

**INTEGRATED SAFEGUARDS DATASHEET
APPRAISAL STAGE**

I. Basic Information

Date prepared/updated: 02/20/2014

1. Basic Project Data

Country: South Sudan	Project ID: P149700 [Parent Project ID: P113586]	
	AF 1: P125381; AF 2: P130396; AF 3: P145339	
Project Name: Southern Sudan Emergency Food Crisis Response Project AF IV		
Task Team Leader: Abel Lufafa		
Estimated Appraisal Date: February 18, 2014	Estimated Board Date: March 13, 2014	
Managing Unit: AFTA3	Lending Instrument: Investment Project Financing	
Sector: Other social services (60%); Crops (30%); General agriculture, fishing and forestry sector (10%)		
Theme: Other rural development (50%); Social safety nets (50%)		
IBRD Amount (US\$m.):	0	
IDA Amount (US\$m.):	9	
GEF Amount (US\$m.):	0	
PCF Amount (US\$m.):	0	
Other financing amounts by source:		
<u>BORROWER/RECIPIENT</u>		0.00
		0.00
Environmental Category: B - Partial Assessment		
Simplified Processing	Simple <input type="checkbox"/>	Repeater <input type="checkbox"/>
Is this project processed under OP 8.50 (Emergency Recovery) or OP 8.00 (Rapid Response to Crises and Emergencies)	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>

2. Project Objectives

To support the adoption of improved technologies for food production and storage, and provide cash or food to eligible beneficiaries in South Sudan.

3. Project Description

Component 1- Support to Agricultural Productivity: This component seeks to increase farmers' own production and to improve postharvest handling and management of food crops. This component would support provision of inputs (seeds and tools), technical advice on production and postharvest management, and construction of low-cost food banks and granaries at household and community levels.

Component 2- Strengthening Community Safety Nets: This component provides support for a safety net program that targets food insecure households. The program will be based on a flexible and differentiated targeting approach where in some areas, beneficiaries will be required to participate in high priority public works in exchange for food or cash, while in others (depending on the need and prevailing circumstances), beneficiaries, identified based on predetermined criteria through a facilitated process, will be provided direct food support.

Component 3- Support to Project Implementation: This component finances administrative costs of the Project Coordination Unit, including, procurement, monitoring, reporting and evaluation of activities under the project.

4. Project Location and salient physical characteristics relevant to the safeguard analysis

The project will be located in the following States: Western Equatoria, Central Equatoria, Northern Bahr el Ghazal, Lakes, Upper Nile, Western Bahr el Ghazal, and Jonglei. As need arises, the project will be scaled to other states with food insecurity hotspots.

5. Environmental and Social Safeguards Specialists

Ms Varalakshmi Vemuru (AFTCS)

Ms Svetlana Khvostova (AFTSG)

6. Safeguard Policies Triggered	Yes	No
Environmental Assessment (OP/BP 4.01)	X	
Natural Habitats (OP/BP 4.04)	X	
Forests (OP/BP 4.36)	X	
Pest Management (OP 4.09)	X	
Physical Cultural Resources (OP/BP 4.11)		X
Indigenous Peoples (OP/BP 4.10)	X	
Involuntary Resettlement (OP/BP 4.12)	X	
Safety of Dams (OP/BP 4.37)		X
Projects on International Waterways (OP/BP 7.50)		X
Projects in Disputed Areas (OP/BP 7.60)		X

II. Key Safeguard Policy Issues and Their Management

A. Summary of Key Safeguard Issues

1. Describe any safeguard issues and impacts associated with the proposed project. Identify and describe any potential large scale, significant and/or irreversible impacts: Activities planned under this additional financing and restructuring will not trigger any new safeguard policies other than those previously triggered (OP 4.01, OP 4.04, OP4.09, OP4.36, OP4.10 and OP4.12). The environmental and social assessment carried out for this project reveals that the project activities are small in size and generating considerable socio-economic benefits to the farmers and the communities in the project areas. No significant irreversible environmental and social impacts from project activities are envisaged. The anticipated adverse social and environmental impacts would be limited and it is possible to mitigate these through implementation of appropriate environmental and social safeguards instruments.

The national Environmental and Social Screening and Assessment Framework (ESSAF) discussed by the Bank EDs on March 28, 2013 is applicable to this project. Relevant safeguards instruments namely Pest Management Plan (PMP), Environmental and Social Assessment (ESA), Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF) and RPF were prepared and disclosed in-country and at the Bank's InfoShop on May 21, 2013 and August 30, 2013 respectively.

OP/BP 4.10 on Indigenous Peoples is triggered as analysis by World Bank and other experts confirms that the overwhelming majority of people in the project area are expected to meet the requirements of OP 4.10. The project complies with the requirements of OP 4.10 on Indigenous Peoples as the implementation arrangements at the field level embed the basic principles of OP 4.10 of a free, prior, and informed consultation leading to broad community support for the proposed Project through a vulnerability approach, targeting of the poorest and a functioning grievance redress mechanism. Per the requirements of OP 4.10, no separate IPP is to be prepared.

2. Describe any potential indirect and/or long term impacts due to anticipated future activities in the project area:

No significant indirect and/or long-term impacts associated with this additional financing and restructuring are expected.

3. Describe any project alternatives (if relevant) considered to help avoid or minimize adverse impacts.

NA

4. Describe measures taken by the borrower to address safeguard policy issues. Provide an assessment of borrower capacity to plan and implement the measures described. A national Environmental and Social Screening and Assessment Framework (ESSAF) was discussed by the Bank EDs on March 28, 2013, and is applicable to this additional financing project. The ESSAF will guide the management of the environmental and social impacts during implementation, in addition to the safeguards instruments that have been prepared. The borrower has prepared PMP, ESA, RPF, and ESMF and disclosed in-country and infoshop.

In order to offset/minimize the anticipated environmental and social impacts due to the implementation of the proposed AF, the Borrower will commit to undertake the following: a) to use and implement all guidelines and procedures indicated in the ESSAF and ensure their use as the safeguards instrument by implementing NGOs, during implementation of project activities. b) to use and implement the ESA, ESMF, ESMP, RPF and PMP. The Bank implementation support mission also confirmed that environmental and social screening of the subprojects is routinely performed, in a manner consistent with EMSF and RPF, and ESMPs are developed for subprojects as required. The subprojects have not required preparation of a RAP to date.

The Environmental and Social Safeguards Officer in the PCU will oversee overall safeguards implementation for this AF.

5. Identify the key stakeholders and describe the mechanisms for consultation and disclosure on safeguard policies, with an emphasis on potentially affected people. Key stakeholders will include the: Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry, Tourism, Animal Resources, Cooperatives and Rural Development and the communities residing in and around the project area.

Consultations were undertaken to facilitate stakeholder involvement and awareness during preparation of ESMF, ESA, RPF, PMP and ESMPs and this will continue through project implementation to ensure that the information required for decision making is available to all interested parties. The National ESSAF ESMF, ESA, RPF and PMP are available to relevant ministries, implementing partners, communities and NGOs at local level.

B. Disclosure Requirements Date

Environmental Assessment/Audit/Management Plan/Other:

Was the document disclosed prior to appraisal?	Yes
Date of receipt by the Bank	05/21/2013
Date of "in-country" disclosure	05/21/2013
Date of submission to InfoShop	05/21/2013
For category A projects, date of distributing the Executive Summary of the EA to the Executive Directors	

Resettlement Action Plan/Framework/Policy Process:

Was the document disclosed prior to appraisal?	No
Date of receipt by the Bank	08/15/2013
Date of "in-country" disclosure	08/30/2013
Date of submission to InfoShop	08/30/2013

Indigenous Peoples Plan/Planning Framework:

Was the document disclosed prior to appraisal?	N/A
Date of receipt by the Bank	
Date of "in-country" disclosure	
Date of submission to InfoShop	

Pest Management Plan:

Was the document disclosed prior to appraisal?	Yes
Date of receipt by the Bank	05/15/2013
Date of "in-country" disclosure	05/15/2013
Date of submission to InfoShop	05/15/2013

*** If the project triggers the Pest Management and/or Physical Cultural Resources, the respective issues are to be addressed and disclosed as part of the Environmental Assessment/Audit/or EMP.**

If in-country disclosure of any of the above documents is not expected, please explain why:

C. Compliance Monitoring Indicators at the Corporate Level (to be filled in when the ISDS is finalized by the project decision meeting)

OP/BP/GP 4.01 - Environment Assessment

Does the project require a stand-alone EA (including EMP) report?	Yes
If yes, then did the Regional Environment Unit or Sector Manager (SM) review and approve the EA report?	Yes
Are the cost and the accountabilities for the EMP incorporated in the credit/loan?	Yes

OP/BP 4.04 - Natural Habitats	
Would the project result in any significant conversion or degradation of critical natural habitats?	No
If the project would result in significant conversion or degradation of other (non-critical) natural habitats, does the project include mitigation measures acceptable to the Bank?	N/A
OP 4.09 - Pest Management	
Does the EA adequately address the pest management issues?	Yes
Is a separate PMP required?	Yes
If yes, has the PMP been reviewed and approved by a safeguards specialist or SM? Are PMP requirements included in project design? If yes, does the project team include a Pest Management Specialist?	Yes
OP/BP 4.10 - Indigenous Peoples	
Has a separate Indigenous Peoples Plan/Planning Framework (as appropriate) been prepared in consultation with affected Indigenous Peoples?	No
If yes, then did the Regional unit responsible for safeguards or Sector Manager review the plan?	N/A
If the whole project is designed to benefit IP, has the design been reviewed and approved by the Regional Social Development Unit or Sector Manager?	N/A
OP/BP 4.12 - Involuntary Resettlement	
Has a resettlement plan/abbreviated plan/policy framework/process framework (as appropriate) been prepared?	Yes
If yes, then did the Regional unit responsible for safeguards or Sector Manager review the plan?	Yes
OP/BP 4.36 - Forests	
Has the sector-wide analysis of policy and institutional issues and constraints been carried out?	No
Does the project design include satisfactory measures to overcome these constraints?	N/A
Does the project finance commercial harvesting, and if so, does it include provisions for certification system?	N/A
The World Bank Policy on Disclosure of Information	
Have relevant safeguard policies documents been sent to the World Bank's Infoshop?	Yes
Have relevant documents been disclosed in-country in a public place in a form and language that are understandable and accessible to project-affected groups and local NGOs?	Yes
All Safeguard Policies	
Have satisfactory calendar, budget and clear institutional responsibilities been prepared for the implementation of measures related to safeguard policies?	Yes
Have costs related to safeguard policy measures been included in the project cost?	Yes
Does the Monitoring and Evaluation system of the project include the monitoring of safeguard impacts and measures related to safeguard policies?	No
Have satisfactory implementation arrangements been agreed with the borrower and the same been adequately reflected in the project legal documents?	Yes

D. Approvals

Signed and submitted by:	Name	Date
Task Team Leader:	Mr Abel Lufafa	02/10/2014
Environmental Specialist:	Ms Svetlana Khvostova	02/10/2014
Social Development Specialist	Ms Varalakshmi Vemuru	02/10/2014
Additional Environmental and/or Social Development Specialist(s):		
Approved by:		
Regional Safeguards Coordinator:	<i>ler</i> Ms Alexandra C. Bezeredi	02/20/2014
Comments:	<i>Hannelore van Tilburg 2/20/14</i>	
Sector Manager:	Mr Tijan M. Sallah <i>Tijan</i>	02/20/2014
Comments:		