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Key Dates
Board Approval Date  17-Jul-2007  Original Closing Date  31-Dec-2011  Planned Mid Term Review Date  10-May-2010  Last Archived ISR Date  10-May-2010
Effectiveness Date  07-Aug-2007  Revised Closing Date  31-Dec-2011  Actual Mid Term Review Date  10-May-2010

Project Development Objectives

Has the Program Development Objective been changed since Board Approval of the Program?
○ Yes  ○ No

Component(s)

Component Name | Component Cost
--- | ---
Economic Reintegration | 40.00
Identification Process | 20.00
Community Rehabilitation | 40.00
D. Capacity Building and Project Administration | 20.00

Overall Ratings

| | Previous Rating | Current Rating |
--- | --- | ---
Progress towards achievement of PDO | Moderately Satisfactory | Moderately Satisfactory |
Overall Implementation Progress (IP) | Moderately Unsatisfactory | Moderately Satisfactory |
Overall Risk Rating | |

Implementation Status Overview
Overall implementation has considerably improved since the mid term review (MTR). Disbursements have greatly accelerated with the project being now the best performer in the...
country portfolio (total disbursements stand at 47%), PCAP internal procedures are faster and less cumbersome, a monitoring system is finally in place, outcomes are steadily improving (13,778 youth-at-risk and ex-combatants have been reached, representing 56% of the target, and 672 community sub-projects have been approved against a target of 600, with 56 sub-projects completed). In short, the project appears to have reached its intended cruising speed.

A number of important challenges remain, mostly related to long-standing management problems. Among the most pressing issues are: (a) the PIU continues to lack a full time Coordinator and the interim Coordinator has enormous responsibilities that prevent him from devoting enough attention to the project; (b) considerable shortcomings in procurement and financial management persist and no action has apparently been taken to end the contract of the fiduciary management agency in spite of the conclusions of the mid-term review (May 2010) and of an independent evaluation; (c) key positions within the project management team have still not been filled after four months.

### Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Current</th>
<th>End Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data on Financial Performance (as of 12-Nov-2010)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Financial Agreement(s) Key Dates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disbursements (in Millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Currency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Key Decisions Regarding Implementation
Fiduciary management arrangements have to be changed in light of negative assessment of present arrangements.

Restructuring History

Related Projects