Issue Paper on
Indigenous Peoples
prepared by the Indigenous Peoples Task Force to the GEF
TABLE OF CONTENTS

NOVEMBER 30, 2011

Drafted by the Indigenous Peoples Task Force on the Global Environment Facility Indigenous Peoples’ Policy (IPTF). The task force is composed of the following: Joenia Batista de Carvalho, Brazil; Johnson Cerda, Ecuador; Herminia Degawan, Philippines; Famarck Hlawching, Burma; Edna Kaptoyo, Kenya; Jadder Mendoza Lewis, Nicaragua; Hindou Oumarou Ibrahim, Chad; Saro Pyagbara, Nigeria, and Jennifer Rubis, Malaysia. The Task Force is supported by the GEF Secretariat, the GEF NGO Network and Helen Tugendhat.

INTRODUCTION ........................................................................................................................................................................... 4
MANDATE ..................................................................................................................................................................................... 5
IDENTIFYING INDIGENOUS PEOPLES .................................................................................................................................... 7
POLICY PRINCIPLES .................................................................................................................................................................... 9

KEY POLICY AREAS ........................................................................................................................................................................ 10
Individual and Collective Rights to Land, Territories and Resources .......................................................................................... 10
Free, Prior and Informed Consent (FPIC) ....................................................................................................................................... 11
Full and Effective Participation ....................................................................................................................................................... 13
Traditional Knowledge, Innovations and Practices ....................................................................................................................... 15
Access and Benefit Sharing .......................................................................................................................................................... 16

MECHANISMS FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF THIS POLICY ........................................................................................................ 19
Establishment of an Indigenous Peoples Advisory Committee .................................................................................................... 19
Establishment of a Fund for Indigenous Peoples ......................................................................................................................... 20
Building Up Expertise On Indigenous Issues within the GEF Secretariat .................................................................................... 20
Recourse Mechanisms & Involvement Of Ip-Relevant Un Expert Bodies .................................................................................. 20
Inclusion of IP Issues in the Formulation of GEF Focal Area Strategies ..................................................................................... 21

ANNEX 1
Comparative review of existing policies on indigenous peoples in GEF Agencies ........................................................................ 22

ANNEX 2
Free, Prior and Informed Consent Guidelines from the UNDG ...................................................................................................... 42

ANNEX 3
Kari-Oca Declaration of Indigenous Peoples ......................................................................................................................... 44

This publication is not formal publications of the Global Environment Facility. They are prepared to encourage thought and discussion. The use and citation of this paper should take this into account. The views expressed are those of the author and should not be attributed to the Global Environment Facility.
Introduction

Twenty years ago, the GEF was established to provide grants to developing countries and countries with economies in transition for projects aimed at the protection of the global environment and to promote environmental sustainable development. Following the successful United Nations Conference on Environment and Development, often referred to as the ‘Earth Summit’ held in 1992 at Rio De Janeiro, Brazil, the GEF was restructured and made the funding mechanism for the different conventions arising from the Rio Conference. The Rio Conventions are agreements related to the environment and the sustainable use of the earth’s resources. Today, GEF is involved with the provision of grants for projects related to biodiversity, climate change, international waters, land degradation, the ozone layer, and persistent organic pollutants all of which have impacts on indigenous peoples. Simultaneous with the Earth Summit, indigenous peoples from all parts of the world gathered at Kari Oca to draft their own platform for advocacy on issues related to the environment. The Kari Oca Declaration forms the basis for many of the positions taken by indigenous peoples in various international negotiations and is appended here.

It is fitting that as the GEF and indigenous peoples prepare for the Rio+20 meetings, the GEF is now developing a policy paper on indigenous peoples. Such a policy is crucial in ensuring the fulfillment of GEF’s mandate which arises from the Rio Conventions. Indigenous peoples are likewise keen on developing such a policy to ensure that their contributions to sustainable development and environmental protection continue to be recognized and promoted. It is also important to note that the GEF is developing this policy on indigenous peoples 4 years after the passage of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, a document which clarified the roles of intergovernmental organizations, States and the United Nations in proactively recognizing, protecting and promoting the rights of indigenous peoples.
The history of GEF-financed projects and program, implemented by States and by GEF agencies, has had mixed and disproportionate impacts on the lives and livelihoods of indigenous peoples. It is to address these disproportionate impacts and to ensure that the contributions of indigenous peoples to the mandate of the GEF are recognized that a policy on indigenous peoples became necessary—to provide a framework for engagement between the GEF and indigenous peoples. This message was carried by indigenous peoples to a number of consultation meetings organized during several GEF meetings, including the GEF Assembly in 2010 and Council meetings later in the same year. In May 2011 the GEF CEO made a formal commitment to develop the policy, and work among indigenous representatives began in earnest.

As part of the process of developing such a policy paper, an Indigenous Peoples’ Task Force on the GEF Indigenous Peoples’ Policy was established to develop this issues paper to inform the GEF Secretariat and the GEF Council in their deliberations on a policy paper and to provide key recommendations for the content and approach of such a paper. In internal discussions within the task force in August 2011 a comprehensive draft was finalized and translated for regional discussions. From August to October 2011 regional meetings were held alongside other planned meetings, although dedicated consultation sessions could not be held due to a lack of available resources to support direct meetings. In Latin America, meetings were held in Manaus, Brazil and in Kuna Yala, Panama. In Asia, a regional meeting was held in Chiang Mai, Thailand, with a further meeting in the Philippines. In Africa, following an extensive electronic discussions amongst the different Indigenous peoples groups and networks across the continent, a regional meeting was held in Nairobi, Kenya, with attendees from Tanzania, Kenya, Uganda, Nigeria and Sudan. Further electronic discussions and feedback were sought and received within each of the three regions, and specific outreach was conducted to members of the UN Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues to benefit from their expertise. Finally input was sought and received from individuals from the Pacific and from the Arctic to gain their perspectives and to highlight their concerns, including representation from the Russian Association of Indigenous Peoples of the North (RAIPON). All inputs from the task force have been collated and facilitated by Helen Tugendhat.

This issues paper presents for the GEFSEC and the GEF Council both (a) the principles on which such an indigenous peoples’ policy could rest, including the key protections and aspirations proposed to be contained in the policy document and; (b) the required mechanisms to ensure its effective and smooth implementation across the wide diversity of GEF projects and agencies. This paper also provides references to additional guidance documents that exist and which should be provided as references to GEF Agencies in their promotion of indigenous rights and interests, and is based partly on a comprehensive review of the existing policies in GEF Agencies regarding indigenous peoples (see Annex I). Finally this issues paper contains recommendations for the next steps in the development of the policy itself. The policy sits alongside the mandatory safeguard requirements for indigenous peoples already contained within the GEF Environmental and Social Safeguard Standards (GEF Council, November 2011).

The generous support of the Swiss government has been crucial to the development of this issues paper and the task force would like to express their gratitude for this support.

**Mandate**

The mandate of the GEF is to providing financing for activities that protect the global environment by providing incremental financing to “achieve agreed environmental benefits in the following focal areas: (a) biological diversity; (b) climate change; (c) international waters; (d) land degradation, primarily desertification and deforestation; (e) ozone layer
depletion; and (f) persistent organic pollutants.” In all these areas the rights and interests of indigenous peoples are affected, and the GEF can and has a role in ensuring that such impacts are positive and carried out in partnership with the affected indigenous peoples wherever possible, and at a minimum, that any possible negative impact is mitigated to the extent possible.

In fulfilling its mandate, the GEF supports the realization of the international obligations of GEF member states through its financing of projects in those countries, particularly those obligations established by the UN Convention on Biological Diversity, the UN Convention to Combat Desertification and the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change. The GEF should also play a constructive role in proactively supporting the realization of other international obligations of its member states related to indigenous peoples, including but not restricted to the obligations under the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, the African Charter and ILO Convention 169 for those States that support or have ratified these instruments.

The UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (UNDPR) was adopted by the General Assembly during September 2007. The UN General Assembly approved the text, which had been negotiated over three decades with indigenous representatives, and which contains the minimum standards necessary for fully realizing the rights of indigenous peoples. The General Assembly approved the specific requirements in the Declaration that:

The organs and specialized agencies of the United Nations system and other intergovernmental organizations shall contribute to the full realization of the provisions of this Declaration through the mobilization, inter alia, of financial cooperation and technical assistance. Ways and means of ensuring participation of indigenous peoples on issues affecting them shall be established (Article 41, emphasis added)

For the GEF, the requirement to support the implementation of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples is drawn in part from this requirement in Article 41 for all intergovernmental organizations to provide financial cooperation and technical assistance. Further, the GEF draws an additional mandate to support UNDRIP from the fact that the three implementing agencies of the GEF are also specialized agencies of the UN system and are therefore further obligated under Article 42:

The United Nations, its bodies, including the Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues, and specialized agencies, including at the country level, and States shall promote respect for and full application of the provisions of this Declaration and follow up the effectiveness of this Declaration (Article 42)

The international obligations of GEF member countries also include those established by the Conventions for which the GEF provides financing assistance. See, for instance, the decision of the 7th COP to the CBD that: “the establishment, management and monitoring of protected areas should take place with the full and effective participation, and the full respect for the rights of, indigenous and local communities consistent with domestic law and applicable international obligations.” Such obligations placed on GEF member states by these conventions provide an avenue for GEF to tailor support to achieving and supporting such outcomes.

Therefore we see the basis for the GEF to both (a) proactively provide effective support to indigenous

---


2 Decision VII/28 Protected Areas, at para. 22. See, also, Decision VII/28, Annex, Programme of Work on Protected Areas, Goal 2.2. In: Decisions Adopted by the Conference of Parties to the Convention on Biological Diversity at its Seventh Meeting. UNEP/BDP/COP/7/21, pp. 343-64. Available at: http://www.cbd.int/decisions/?dec=VII/28
peoples in partnerships to achieve the GEF mandate, and (b) support and promote the realization of the UNDRIP in member states. This not only fulfills the requirement that intergovernmental organizations support the operationalization of UNDRIP but further supports member states in meeting their own defined obligations under the environmental treaties to which they are parties, and supports UN specialized agencies affiliated with the GEF to address their obligations also.

It is proposed that the GEF recognize the source of its mandate to promote partnerships with indigenous peoples in the preambular text of the proposed policy. In particular it is proposed that:

The GEF recognizes the critical roles that indigenous peoples play in the management, sustainable use and conservation of the global environment. The GEF seeks to engage indigenous peoples as equal partners in the sustainable use and conservation of the global environment.

The GEF further notes the adoption of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples by the UN General Assembly and the request in the Declaration that intergovernmental organizations contribute to the full realization of the provisions of the Declaration through the mobilization, inter alia, of financial cooperation and technical assistance.

The GEF commits to promoting and supporting the provisions of the Declaration, including by establishing mechanisms to ensure the full and effective participation of indigenous peoples on issues affecting them.

References
UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, September 2007
Instrument for the Establishment of the Restructured Global Environment Facility, October 2011

Identifying Indigenous Peoples

Self-identified indigenous peoples are estimated to number over 370 million, or about five per cent of the world’s overall population. Many concerns have been expressed by indigenous peoples that States should not provide a definition for who are, and who are not, to be considered indigenous. The UN system has, in response, declined to develop such a definition and relies for practical purposes on an approach to identifying indigenous peoples that was proposed by Jose R. Martinez Cobo, the Special Rapporteur of the Sub-Commission on Prevention of Discrimination and Protection of Minorities, in his Study on the Problem of Discrimination against Indigenous Populations. This approach emphasizes a number of characteristics which may be present when identifying indigenous peoples, including historical continuity with pre-invasion societies, a non-dominant position, occupation of ancestral lands (or part of them), distinct languages and distinct cultures (means of livelihood and other manifestations of culture). Crucially the approach provided by Martinez-Cobo emphasizes that self-identification—who they say they are—is an essential part of the identification of indigenous peoples.

3 The Concept of Indigenous Peoples: Background Paper prepared by the Secretariat of the UN Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues, WORKSHOP ON DATA COLLECTION AND DISAGGREGATION FOR INDIGENOUS PEOPLES, New York, 19-21 January 2004
peoples and approaches to identifying indigenous peoples should preserve “the sovereign right and power to decide who belongs to them, without external interference”. This working definition on the term *indigenous peoples* has been endorsed by indigenous experts, UN agencies, and heavily influenced the development of similar approaches by international financial institutions, regional human rights institutions.

Indigenous peoples may be referred to in different countries by such terms as, “hill tribes”, “aboriginal or native”, “minority nationalities”, “schedule tribes”, “ethnic minorities”, “tribal group”, “marginalized group”, “vulnerable group”, “primitive” or backward”, such terms, while not fully acceptable to indigenous peoples are what States use. In many parts of Asia and Africa, governments do not fully recognize the existence of indigenous peoples. Such inadequate recognition has led to the further marginalization of indigenous peoples and has prevented them from being participants and recipients of projects meant to alleviate their conditions. It is important that indigenous peoples are not excluded from such because of the lack of recognition.

The GEF acknowledges the principle of self-identification as the fundamental criteria in the identification of indigenous peoples. For the purposes of implementing this policy, the GEF will also assess the presence of the following additional characteristics which may be present to varying degrees:

- Collective attachment to customary and traditional territories, lands and resources, including groups for whom such attachments have been forcibly severed (ADB, EBRD, UNDP, WB)
- Priority in time in the lands and territories they occupy (FAO, IDB, IFAD)
- Distinct cultural, social, economic institutions, potentially including distinctive languages, customary laws, and other distinct forms of social organization (ADB, IDB, EBRD, WB, UNDP, IFAD, FAO)
- An experience of subjugation or marginalization in relation to dominant society (FAO, IFAD)
Policy Principles

The following policy principles are the proposed basis on which a partnership could be established between indigenous peoples and the GEF, using the proposed policy as a basis:

- The GEF recognizes indigenous peoples as equal partners in the sustainable use, management and conservation of the global environment.
- The GEF will promote the principles articulated in the UNDRIP and will contribute to the realization of the UNDRIP including through the provision of financial cooperation and technical assistance.
- The GEF will support the realization of obligations under ILO Convention 169 and other applicable international obligations.
- GEF-financed activities with impacts on indigenous peoples will be aligned with, and assist in the implementation of, decisions related to indigenous peoples taken by the relevant conventions (CBD, UNFCCC, UNCCD, etc.).
- The GEF recognizes that indigenous peoples have the right to self-determination, including their right to decide the kind of development that takes place among their people and on their lands and territories, in accordance with their own priorities and conceptions of well-being. The right to self-determination refers to the right of all peoples to freely pursue their economic, social and cultural development.
- The GEF will ensure projects financed with GEF funds proceed only with the free, prior and informed consent of the affected indigenous peoples.
- The GEF will promote and support the development of free, prior and informed consent methodologies and mechanisms by indigenous peoples and their organizations, institutions and communities where they implement programmes for managing biodiversity, land degradation and climate change actions.
- The GEF recognizes that indigenous peoples have the right to full and effective participation, on an equal basis and through representatives chosen by themselves in accordance with their own procedures, in determining priorities and strategies for projects and programs affecting them directly or indirectly.
- The GEF will establish mechanisms to ensure that indigenous peoples have opportunity to participate fully in the development of policies or programs of the GEF that impact on them.
- The GEF recognizes the distinctive spiritual relationship that indigenous peoples have with their traditionally owned or otherwise occupied and used lands, territories, waters, including Arctic sea-ice and coastal seas, and other resources, including biodiversity.
- The GEF will prioritize projects that promote and strengthen traditional systems of land use plans and territorial management.
- The GEF will avoid financing projects which can negatively impact indigenous lands, territories and resources, including activities which restrict access to such lands, territories and resources.
- The GEF recognizes the importance of traditional and cultural knowledge, innovations and practices and will promote, respect and support the role of indigenous women, elders and youth, in particular their roles in the intergenerational transfer of traditional knowledge.
- The GEF recognizes the importance of traditional and customary forms of economic organization and the balance with nature represented therein.
- GEF shall provide effective mechanisms for the prevention of, and redress for any such action which will have adverse impacts on indigenous peoples.
Key Policy Areas

There are a number of areas identified by indigenous peoples as key policy issues that a GEF policy on indigenous peoples must address. These are the issues that are most closely tied to the survival of indigenous peoples and the continuation of their distinct cultures, ways of life and land and resource management practices.

INDIVIDUAL AND COLLECTIVE RIGHTS TO LAND, TERRITORIES AND RESOURCES

Indigenous peoples derive their identities from their distinctive spiritual relationship with their traditionally owned or otherwise occupied and used lands, territories, waters and coastal seas, including Arctic sea-ice, and other resources. Such relationships must be recognized, respected, protected and upheld.

However too often indigenous peoples do not receive recognition of their rights to lands and resources, or such rights are recognized in minimal or unsuitable ways. Similarly, indigenous peoples’ rights to their lands and resources can be restricted or denied by governments, for reasons of resource access or for reasons of conservation. Particularly relevant for the GEF is the fact that the establishment of protected areas has, in the past, been used to alienate indigenous peoples from their lands and resources, a historic injustice recognized by the Conference of the Parties to the Convention on Biological Diversity when it decided that “the establishment, management and monitoring of protected areas should take place with the full and effective participation, and the full respect for the rights of, indigenous and local communities consistent with domestic law and applicable international obligations.”

The CBD also creates obligations to respect, preserve and maintain knowledge, innovations and practices of indigenous peoples and local communities (see page 14 for more details) and goes on to address the need to protect and to promote the traditional or customary use of biological resources in Article 10c, here in full:

(c) Protect and encourage customary use of biological resources in accordance with traditional cultural practices that are compatible with conservation or sustainable use requirements

4 Decision VII/28 Protected Areas, at para. 22. See, also, Decision VII/28, Annex, Programme of Work on Protected Areas, Goal 2.2. In: Decisions Adopted by the Conference of Parties to the Convention on Biological Diversity at its Seventh Meeting. UNEP/BDP/COP/7/21, pps. 343-64. Available at: http://www.cbd.int/decisions/?dec=VII/28
To ensure that indigenous peoples’ rights to their lands, territories and resources are fully protected and promoted in the work programme of the GEF it is proposed that:

- GEF shall respect the intrinsic relationship between indigenous peoples and their lands and territories as important strategies in conservation and sustainable use of biodiversity and will support the strengthening and maintenance of such relationships through support for strengthening legal tenure where possible.
- GEF shall guarantee facilitated and flexible processes which recognize and strengthen the tenure rights of indigenous peoples over their lands, territories and resources especially considering their right to self-determination and for full and effective participation and decision-making in all activities affect their lands and resources.
- Where requested by indigenous peoples, the GEF will support the demarcation of lands and territories of indigenous peoples, with the full and effective participation of affected indigenous peoples.
- GEF will recognize and promote the land use and resource management plans of indigenous peoples and the role that such plans have in conservation.
- GEF will respect and promote collective methods of resource management and promote development with identity.
- GEF shall dedicate special attention to projects that aim to recover degraded land, and strengthen traditional customary uses of indigenous peoples.
- GEF shall encourage the development of programs recognizing indigenous governance and economic systems in the management and use of biodiversity and natural resources.
- The GEF will ensure that projects in indigenous territories recognize and promote the exercise of traditional authorities, which maintains the management of good living and promote the complementary goals of conservation, sustainable use of biodiversity and management of mitigation and adaptation of climate change impacts.
- The processes of management of biodiversity and climate change in indigenous territories will incorporate participation in the design, negotiation and implementation adding to the plan of life of the communities.
- The GEF shall recognize and protect the customary use of biological resources and related traditional practices in all projects and programs affecting indigenous peoples in accordance with Article 10c of the CBD and related instruments and international obligations.

FREE, PRIOR AND INFORMED CONSENT (FPIC)

The principle of free, prior and informed consent stems from the right of all peoples to self-determination, and by the international legal norm of non-discrimination. The right to free, prior and informed consent is specifically referenced and protected by six separate articles in the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, including for projects impacting on lands (Article 32), relocation or reduction of access to resources (Article 10), use of traditional knowledge (Article 11), and for administrative measures affecting them (Article 19). It is also an integral part of the human rights-based approach to development, which is necessary for the full and effective participation of Indigenous peoples in projects and programs. This assures the ownership of projects by indigenous peoples.

It has also been adopted as minimum requirement under the GEF Policy on Agency Minimum.
Standards on Environmental and Social Safeguards (ESSS) under certain circumstances, namely for projects that involve relocation of indigenous peoples from their traditional and customary lands and territories where the relevant government has ratified ILO Convention 169. While this application of free, prior and informed consent is far too tightly restricted and limited in impact, it is nonetheless recognition by the GEF of the increasing international acceptance of FPIC as a minimal requirement when working with indigenous peoples. There is significant room for the GEF to support and promote FPIC in the projects it finances, even within the tight parameters set by the ESSS.

Free, prior and informed consent is a process of engaging and partnering with indigenous peoples to ensure that any development initiative proposed only takes place with the full involvement, and freely given consent of the peoples concerned. This necessarily involves the option of non-financing where consent is not freely given. The goal of a process of FPIC is, however, to ensure that a partnership of equals is possible, facilitated and realized in development processes. It involves the establishment of a dialogue and a relationship of trust as early as possible in a project development cycle and requires a good faith effort from all involved parties to discussing options available. A guideline for FPIC is provided in Annex 2, drawn from the UN Development Group Guidelines (UNDG).

For the purpose of the development of a GEF policy on indigenous peoples, it is also relevant to note that of the eight GEF Agencies with policies on indigenous peoples, four have been either developed or updated since the adoption of UNDRIP. All four of these policies contain protections for free, prior and informed consent to varying degrees. In addition, the World Bank Group has also developed a Performance Standard on Indigenous Peoples which is applicable to its private-sector arm, the International Finance Corporation, and this Performance Standard also requires free, prior and informed consent from indigenous peoples for certain project types.

It is proposed that the policy on indigenous peoples ensures that GEF members and agencies shall seek the free, prior and informed consent of Indigenous Peoples on every project and programs proposed in Indigenous peoples’ territories and for Indigenous peoples. In support of this objective, the GEF shall promote and support the development of methodologies of free, prior and informed consent by indigenous peoples involved in or impacted by GEF-financed projects.

In supporting the development of FPIC methodologies, the GEF will encourage Partner Agencies to adopt the following principles of engagement:

- In order to facilitate the involvement and participation of the affected indigenous and local communities, local experts should be identified and their expertise recognized and engaged at the earliest opportunity in project conception, design and implementation
- GEF grant recipients shall ensure capacity building for indigenous people’s communities and organizations to facilitate and support their effective participation in program conception, planning and implementation
- The grant recipient shall ensure adequate time is provided to enable indigenous peoples’ own decision making arrangements to function, especially in dealing with indigenous peoples in remote or neglected areas where little previous experience is available
- GEF grant recipients shall establish mechanisms to ensure that the decisions of IPs concerning projects and programs are incorporated into the structure of the respective projects/programs and made available to relevant indigenous peoples and their authorities
- The GEF will facilitate training processes and programs for project managers and leaders in the management of FPIC processes and in project implementation affecting indigenous peoples and their territories
FULL AND EFFECTIVE PARTICIPATION

“In keeping with the evolution of the Indigenous Peoples movement for recognition and fundamental human rights, now recognized in the UNDRIP, it is no longer appropriate or acceptable for States to make decisions that affect Indigenous Peoples without their “full and effective participation”6

The foundation of a partnership between indigenous peoples and the GEF must be formed in a trusted relationship of full and effective participation, communication and involvement. The full and effective participation of indigenous peoples in the decisions and actions that impact on their lives, livelihoods and territories is a well established principle of good practice in development, as well as a firmly established tenet of international law. The UNDRIP, ILO Convention 169 and other international and regional human rights instruments provide protection of this key principle and it is recognized in the policies on indigenous peoples in numerous GEF Agencies (UNDP, FAO, IFAD, IDB, see Annex I).

Understanding what is meant by the principle of ‘full and effective participation’ is part of the challenge of mainstreaming this into the work of the GEF. The Expert Mechanism on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (EMRIP) recently released its Final study on indigenous peoples and the right to participate in decision-making, and provided a list of characteristics that are found in an effective opportunity for full and effective participation:

- Allows and enhances indigenous peoples’ participation in decision-making
- Allows indigenous peoples to influence the outcome of decisions that affect them
- Realizes indigenous peoples’ right to self-determination


- Includes, as appropriate, robust consultation procedures and/or processes to seek indigenous peoples’ free, prior and informed consent

These characteristics should guide the introduction of mechanisms to promote the full and effective participation of indigenous peoples in various aspects of GEF-related work.

Participation in the Project Cycle

The revisions of the PIF format in 2010 included a new section specifically to require information on engagement of indigenous peoples’ in each project. In addition the Council decision of November 2010 required the inclusion of a stakeholder engagement plan as part of the full Project Document prior to CEO approval.

Indigenous peoples’ targeted or affected by a GEF-supported project shall be present at all stages of the project cycle. In this regard, GEF will invite representatives of these communities to participate in the project preparation. These representatives should be self-selected by the communities, according to their own processes. Direct participation by community representatives will help define the most appropriate channels and methods for consultation and participation at the community level. Access to information should be made available by members of the community in their own languages. Project design, implementation and evaluation will take into account the socioeconomic and cultural specificities of the indigenous peoples’ communities targeted or affected by the project. Project implementation arrangements should facilitate a direct role by indigenous communities in managing resources. Where needed, projects should include capacity building measures to enable indigenous peoples’ organizations to assume effective control over the resources to be invested in their communities. Projects should contribute to the consolidation of indigenous peoples’ organizations at local and territorial levels.
Participation in Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E)
Many current projects lack appropriate indicators to measure success in the livelihoods of targeted indigenous peoples. In future projects, M&E systems should include such indicators wherever they are appropriate. Indicators on how to measure well-being, poverty and sustainability in a way that is relevant to indigenous peoples can be identified based on ongoing work in other organizations to complement conventional project outcome and impact indicators.

M&E mechanisms should be participatory and adapted to capture indigenous peoples’ perceptions and perspectives. This can be achieved through independent M&E studies among indigenous peoples on their opinions and perceptions on the progress of plans and programs. Participatory M&E should be part of normal project operations and should serve as a steering mechanism to identify problems and appropriate adaptive measures. Special care must be taken to facilitate easy and timely access to M&E results by communities themselves. Successes will be documented, applying rigorous and appropriate evaluation methods, including participatory methods, and will be disseminated in-country and to GEF’s partners.

Support the Engagement of Indigenous Peoples in Convention Processes
Within the Convention on Biodiversity, support is provided currently through a CBD voluntary fund for the engagement of Indigenous Peoples in the work of the convention. However, this is dependent on continuing and voluntary contributions.

With the recognition of traditional knowledge within the frameworks of the UNFCCC and UNCCD and the need to support local communities (UNCCD Article 16c, 17d and UNFCCC’s Cancun Adaptation Framework and evolving REDD discussions), the need to further promote the participation of indigenous peoples within this processes is necessary. GEF should work with the secretariats of the CBD, FCCC and CCD to establish more robust longer term mechanisms for financing the engagement of indigenous peoples in the work of the conventions.

Facilitating Dialogues with GEF Focal Points, Convention Focal Points and Indigenous Peoples
Since the 2007 adoption of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, multilateral and international organizations have begun processes of reviewing and aligning their policies. The policy landscape with regards to recognition of indigenous peoples’ issues is constantly evolving.

In view of these constant changes and in order to enhance the positive engagement of indigenous peoples in GEF projects and programs, it is recommended that regular dialogues be organized between the national GEF Focal points, respective Convention focal points and representatives of indigenous peoples at a national level. Such meetings will be critical at the early stage of the GEF four year funding cycle.

The results of such meetings would depend partly on the concerns, interests and needs of the indigenous peoples in the given country. It is expected that such participation would enable review of previous funding cycles and would set targets for participation of indigenous peoples in GEF projects, in Convention implementation arrangements and would support the mainstreaming of indigenous concerns into all areas of Convention financing and implementation.

Proposals for the GEF Indigenous Peoples’ Policy
The GEF policy on indigenous peoples should acknowledge that indigenous peoples have the right to full and effective participation in all decisions affecting their lives, lands, resources and livelihoods, including decision-making roles in projects or programs impacting on them. In the context of GEF-financed projects, this is particularly important in the establishment of social and cultural baselines, the conduct of social and environmental impact assessment, in all stages of project design and implementation, and in monitoring and evaluation, including the establishment of indicators for such monitoring.
In support of this, the GEF should support the promotion of the full and effective participation of indigenous peoples in the following manner:

**GEF Programming**
- Facilitating the direct and effective participation of indigenous peoples in current and future GEF programmes and activities that affect indigenous peoples. It will support enabling environments to foster inclusion of indigenous peoples in the design, execution and evaluation of policies and programmes that concern and/or affect them.
- Support the full and effective participation of indigenous peoples in national and regional planning processes for the use and allocation of GEF financing
- By supporting the establishment of indigenous advisory panels for projects and programs with impacts on indigenous peoples

**Project-level participation**
- Supporting their full and effective participation in the establishment of social and cultural baselines in project level social and environmental impact assessments
- Supporting their full and effective participation in monitoring and evaluation processes, including in the establishment of measurable indicator

**Convention participation**
- By facilitating their effective participation in key policy areas of relevance to the GEF mandate, including the UN Convention on Biological Diversity and the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change

**TRADITIONAL KNOWLEDGE, INNOVATIONS AND PRACTICES**

The development of the GEF policy must recognize that traditional knowledge is developed over centuries and indigenous families and communities are the holders of such knowledge for generations; this knowledge needs to be highlighted as a special contribution of indigenous peoples. Indigenous communities have been victims of bio-piracy. Researchers have taken knowledge away from holders of knowledge without providing for benefit sharing arrangements.

The use of traditional and indigenous knowledge can greatly strengthen project design, subject of course to the free, prior and informed consent of knowledge holders, and should be recognized as a valuable contribution to realizing the mandate of the GEF. For instance, in forest restoration projects the use of indigenous biodiversity knowledge to restore ecosystems rather than planting plantations or stands of non-native trees. Such knowledge can include the restoration of critical medicinal plants and plants of direct household use in the forest. The importance of ensuring the transmission of such knowledge to future generations is part of any move to promote such knowledge and should be facilitated and enhanced in projects, where possible and subject to free, prior and informed consent. Such an understanding of the role of traditional knowledge and innovations contributes to a new paradigm of environmental conservation for Rio +20.

The Convention on Biological Diversity recognizes the importance of indigenous knowledge and innovations for ‘in situ conservation’ in Article 8(j), worth citing here in full:
(j) Subject to its national legislation, respect, preserve and maintain knowledge, innovations and practices of indigenous and local communities embodying traditional lifestyles relevant for the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity and promote their wider application with the approval and involvement of the holders of such knowledge, innovations and practices and encourage the equitable sharing of the benefits arising from the utilization of such knowledge, innovations and practices.

Notably the CBD addresses both the need to respect and preserve knowledge innovations and practices and also to protect against inequitable use (or theft) of such knowledge.

**ACCESS AND BENEFIT SHARING**

Protection for the resources of indigenous peoples should not be restricted to protection of cultural, intellectual and genetic resources. Instead, the understanding used in the proposed policy regarding access to, and benefiting from, the use of resources should include all resources that are located on indigenous peoples’ lands and territories. The GEF should support at all times the equitable sharing of benefits, under mutually agreed conditions, for the utilization of all resources found within the territories and lands of indigenous peoples.

7 UN Convention on Biological Diversity, Article 8(j)
It is proposed that GEF give special attention to projects that aim to promote traditional knowledge while ensuring that the knowledge holders, including indigenous women, are respected and their rights over their intellectual and cultural knowledge, innovations and practices are protected. Projects that assist in passing such knowledge to the younger generation should also be strengthened.

- GEF recognizes and respects the traditional knowledge held by indigenous peoples and the indigenous ways of ownership and knowledge transmission
- GEF projects shall promote the use of traditional experts, medicine men and traditional healers in relation to carrying out activities affecting traditional knowledge
- GEF shall ensure the mainstreaming of the role of indigenous women as keepers of traditional knowledge in all TK related projects
- GEF will encourage GEF financed projects to adhere to international best practice in the utilization of traditional knowledge and the equitable sharing of benefits arising from such use
- Any sustainable livelihood or income replacement or generation projects should be based on existing indigenous knowledge rather than attempt to introduce new agricultural or horticultural systems
- GEF will promote and support projects and programs which support the retention and development of traditional knowledge and innovations that benefit conservation, sustainable use of biodiversity, mitigation of climate change and protection of soils in accordance with Article 8j of the CBD and related instruments and international obligations

References and Guidance for GEF financed projects

The Tkarihwaié:ri Code of Ethical Conduct to Ensure Respect for the Cultural and Intellectual Heritage of Indigenous and Local Communities.*

Akwé: Kon guidelines for the conduct of cultural, environmental and social impact assessment regarding developments proposed to take place on, or which are likely to impact on, sacred sites and on lands and waters traditionally occupied or used by indigenous and local communities.**

* http://www.cbd.int/decision/cop/?id=12308
Towards this end:

- GEF financed programs shall ensure equitable arrangements for the sharing of benefits derived from the use of all forms of resources found on indigenous lands and territories and will only proceed with such arrangements with the free, prior and informed consent of the indigenous peoples concerned.
- GEF-financed activities will recognize that Indigenous peoples have inalienable rights to access, use, exchange or share their genetic resources in sustaining their livelihood systems as regulated by their customary practices and laws acquired through generations, and to collectively benefit from the use of their genetic resources.
- GEF-financed activities will ensure that access to any genetic resources, traditional knowledge or technologies of Indigenous peoples will only take place with the free prior and informed consent of the indigenous peoples concerned, with particular focus on the involvement of women in the decision making process.
- GEF-financed activities will not apply for any form of intellectual property rights protection over the genetic resources, traditional knowledge, innovation, practice or technology without the free prior informed consent of the indigenous peoples concerned.
- GEF-financed activities will only be given access based on benefit-sharing commitments to contribute economically to the concerned indigenous people in the regeneration and conservation of the biological resource, and the maintenance of the innovation, practice, knowledge or technology to which access is sought.
- GEF-financed activities will recognize that indigenous peoples have the right to refuse access to their genetic resources, traditional knowledge, innovations, practices and technologies, including where such access will be injurious to the integrity of their natural or cultural heritage.
- GEF-financed activities will ensure the use genetic resources for purposes consistent with the terms and conditions under which they were acquired.
- GEF will ensure that any access gained and use made by projects financed by GEF to use such traditional, genetic and cultural resources without free, prior and informed consent of the owners of such resources shall be deemed invalid and appropriate recourse will be provided (see also Mechanisms for Implementation pg 17).
- The GEF will further ensure that any transfer of genetic resources (including derivatives thereof) or the traditional knowledge, innovations, practices, or technology of indigenous peoples to third parties will only occur with the consent of the peoples concerned.

References:

Bonn guidelines on access to genetic resources and the fair and equitable sharing of the benefits arising from their utilization
Nagoya Protocol on Access to Genetic Resources and the Fair and Equitable Sharing of Benefits Arising from their Utilization (ABS) to the Convention on Biological Diversity
Mechanisms for Implementation of this Policy

The experience of GEF Agencies clearly shows that specific, well financed, and mutually acceptable mechanisms and institutional arrangements are needed for effective promotion and protection of the rights and interests of indigenous peoples. There is a large array of approaches adopted by GEF Agencies, partly determined by the principle objectives of the agencies themselves. Primarily financing institutions like the World Bank focus on mainstreaming all of the policy requirements into normal project management cycles and therefore do not have separated budgets and historically have not had institutional arrangements for consultations with indigenous peoples. UN agencies on the other hand have established policies largely to promote or guide their work with indigenous peoples and have been quicker to establish advisory or consultative mechanisms with indigenous peoples’ representatives and organizations.

For both types of agencies though, engagement with indigenous peoples has deepened and become more institutionalized over the past decade. This includes the development of experts on indigenous issues in headquarter staff (WB, UNDP, IDB, ADB) and the development of pro-active policies or strategies to support indigenous peoples specifically (IDB, IFAD, ADB, UNDP, FAO).

Engagement of this type has resulted in more effective decision-making processes and greater involvement of indigenous peoples in the projects and programmes designed in this way. To foster such engagement, the GEF policy should include the development of appropriate mechanisms for the implementation of the policy. Based on prior experience and reviews of the efficiency of existing mechanisms, it is proposed that the GEF:

A. Establish an Indigenous Peoples Advisory Committee to provide advice to the Secretariat and to the Council on issues related to the implementation of this policy.
B. Recruit and develop expertise on indigenous issues in the GEF Secretariat, including a direct communication and access point for indigenous peoples into the Secretariat.
C. Establish and administer, through a GEF Agency, a direct access financing avenue for indigenous peoples’ organizations.
D. Establish a recourse mechanism comprising of indigenous representatives, GEF agencies at the local, national and international levels.

ESTABLISHMENT OF AN INDIGENOUS PEOPLES ADVISORY COMMITTEE

It is proposed that the GEF establish an Indigenous Peoples’ Advisory Committee at the international level. The mandate of this proposed Advisory Committee is to (a) provide advice to the Secretariat on technical, project or policy related matters as requested, (b) provide advice to the Council on the implementation of this policy; and (c) enable a channel of consistent dialogue between indigenous peoples and the Global Environment Facility. Secretarial support should be provided from a GEF implementing agency.

The selection process for this Advisory Committee will be led by indigenous peoples, and will include representatives drawn from the following regions: Africa; Asia; Central and South America and the Caribbean; the Arctic; Central and Eastern Europe, Russian Federation, Central Asia and Transcaucasia; North America; and the Pacific (these are the regions used in the selection of membership for the UN Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues and is considered more represented of global diversity than the currently used focal points of Asia, Latin America and the Caribbean, and Africa).

Additionally, indigenous people’s participation in GEF Council meetings should be strengthened and

---

1 This is changing currently with the recent establishment of a ‘High-Level Dialogue between the World Bank and Indigenous Peoples’ which may develop into an advisory function. It is too young as for assessments on its efficacy.
systematized through the establishment of an indigenous advisory board composed of indigenous representatives selected by themselves and independent from NGO involvement.

ESTABLISHMENT OF A FUND FOR INDIGENOUS PEOPLES

To support and promote the implementation of this policy, the GEF will establish a direct-access funding mechanism for indigenous peoples to support local, national and regional projects designed by indigenous peoples. The fund could be administrated by a GEF implementing agency, and the Indigenous Peoples’ Advisory Committee can assist in the establishment of such a Fund.

The Fund would be governed by a Board with representation by indigenous peoples.

If such a fund is not possible in the short-term, due to difficult administrative arrangements or lack of financial support from donor countries, alternative funding arrangements should be explored that would allow sufficient support to be provided to the project types identified in this policy. This could include a specific channel for indigenous peoples within the GEF-UNDP Small Grants Programme tied to the implementation of this policy paper, or other arrangements as suited.

BUILDING UP EXPERTISE ON INDIGENOUS ISSUES WITHIN THE GEF SECRETARIAT

There is a need to build the capacity of the GEFSEC to effectively address indigenous peoples’ concerns at the different levels of project design, implementation, monitoring and evaluation. Guidance and assistance in the application of such a policy needs to be vested in a new post at GEFSEC for program specialist with expertise in indigenous peoples’ issues who can strengthen internal capacity building processes and ensure implementation. The program specialist should also provide advice and guidance on indigenous issues referred to GEF ombudsman. The program specialist should come from indigenous peoples and have a proven track record supporting participation of indigenous peoples.

An internal capacity building program on indigenous peoples’ issues should be initiated and implemented at the earliest stage. This capacity building should be extended to all members of the secretariat and should result in the understanding of indigenous peoples’ rights and issues and how individual staff can mainstream these in their responsibilities. Adequate human and financial resources for internal capacity building should be incorporated.

RECOURE MECHANISMS & INVOLVEMENT OF IP-RELEVANT UN EXPERT BODIES

To address violations of, or non-compliance with, vital aspects of the policy dealing with rights of indigenous peoples, a recourse mechanism should be put in place, to address the matter and provide remedies. This process should be clearly defined and accessible by stakeholders.

It is important that indigenous peoples’ representatives have role in such a process of recourse, including but not restricted to input from the three specialized mechanisms of the United Nations, the UN Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues (UNPFII), the UN Expert Mechanism on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (EMRIIP) and the UN Special Rapporteur on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (SRIP). This may be facilitated by inducting self-selected representatives of the aforesaid bodies into the process or by providing a consultative role to them.

The mandate of such a recourse mechanism will be to receive and respond to complaints that critical policy principles contained within the GEF indigenous peoples’ policy have been violated, with an emphasis on providing mediated and mutually acceptable solutions to issues raised. There may be a relationship between such a mechanism and the Conflict Resolution Commissioner in the GEF Secretariat.
INCLUSION OF IP ISSUES IN THE FORMULATION OF GEF FOCAL AREA STRATEGIES

GEF Focal area strategies are normally prepared by Technical Advisory groups (TAG). This Focal Areas Strategies provide the main framework to guide the expenditure of GEF resources. Currently Indigenous peoples issues are not adequately incorporated into the strategies and there is no representative of IPs in the TAGs.

The GEF shall ensure the inclusion of Indigenous peoples experts in the Technical Advisory Groups.
Annex One

Comparative review of existing policies on indigenous peoples in GEF Agencies

Ten agencies were reviewed in light of their status as either GEF Implementing Agencies (WB, UNDP and UNEP) or as GEF Partner Agencies. Three agencies were not analyzed in detail owing to a lack of distinct policies towards indigenous peoples. These agencies were the African Development Bank (AfDB), the UN Industrial Development Organisation (UNIDO) and the UN Environment Programme (UNEP). Of these, UNEP is currently in the process of developing a distinct policy on indigenous peoples but this has yet to be brought to completion.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Mandatory</th>
<th>Safeguard</th>
<th>Promoting</th>
<th>Adopted</th>
<th>FPIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African Development Bank</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Nations Development Programme (UNDP)</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The World Bank* International Bank for Reconstruction and Development / International Development Agency</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inter-American Development Bank</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Prior agreement and consent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adoption of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Bank for Reconstruction and Development</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian Development Bank</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Yes, somewhat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD)</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO)</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The World Bank International Finance Corporation (IFC)</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>2011 (enforced from 2012)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The World Bank has two separate sets of safeguards, one set (Operational Policies) which apply to projects funded through the public sector financing bodies of the bank, IBRD and IDA. The second set, Performance Standards and the associated Sustainability Policy and Access to Information Policy, which apply to the private sector arms of the bank, the International Finance Corporation and the Multilateral Investment Guarantee Agency. The standards differ significantly when concerned with the rights and interests of indigenous peoples and so are assessed separately here.
### TREATMENT OF KEY POLICY AREAS—UNITED NATIONS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME

#### Definition of ‘indigenous peoples’

The terms “indigenous peoples,” “indigenous ethnic minorities,” and “tribal groups” are used to describe social groups that share similar characteristics, namely a social and cultural identity that is distinct from dominant groups in society. United Nations human rights bodies, ILO, the World Bank and international law apply four criteria to distinguish indigenous peoples:

- (a) indigenous peoples usually live within (or maintain attachments to) geographically distinct ancestral territories;
- (b) they tend to maintain distinct social, economic, and political institutions within their territories;
- (c) they typically aspire to remain distinct culturally, geographically and institutionally rather than assimilate fully into national society; and
- (d) they self-identify as indigenous or tribal.

Despite common characteristics, there does not exist any single accepted definition of indigenous peoples that captures their diversity as peoples. Self-identification as indigenous or tribal is usually regarded as a fundamental criterion for determining whether groups are indigenous or tribal, sometimes in combination with other variables such as “language spoken,” and “geographic location or concentration.”

#### Land and resource rights

Recognition of relationships but no policy response to non-recognition of land rights

43. In the spirit of General Assembly resolutions, UNDP recognizes the profound relationship indigenous peoples have to their environment, land and resources. Indigenous lands are increasingly under threat by development and the introduction of new policies and laws that do not acknowledge indigenous customary rights. UNDP also respects livelihoods based on pastoralism, hunting and gathering, and shifting cultivation lifestyles.
### Traditional Knowledge

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>A significant emphasis is placed on risks to rights over traditional knowledge</strong></td>
<td>10. Indigenous peoples are often unable to take advantage of their most distinctive asset, their local knowledge, at the same time that it is increasingly being commercialized by international enterprises under the protection of a global patent regime—the Trade Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights Agreement, otherwise known as the TRIPS Agreement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>11. Indigenous knowledge.</strong> ... Indigenous cultures comprise a heritage of diverse knowledge and ideas that is a resource for the whole world. As UNDP pursues sustainable human development, attention has been placed on indigenous peoples largely owing to their sustainable development practices. This has led to an interest in indigenous peoples’ ways of life, their cultures, sciences, land and resource management, governance, political and justice systems, knowledge and healing practices. Recognition of indigenous peoples’ assets and traditional knowledge (such as terrestrial and marine ecosystems, naturally occurring medicines from plants and insects, cultivated plant varieties, and animal husbandry) can be helpful to national and international development. Furthermore, indigenous peoples’ continued existence is a testimony to the sustainability and viability of indigenous economic production systems, and social and governance practices that should be supported and enhanced, and most importantly, incorporated into mainstream development practices.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>18. Some findings indicate that projects based on a development strategy formulated by indigenous peoples and true to the traditional indigenous customs and values tend to be successful ...</strong> Not only is indigenous knowledge conserved and developed, it also lays the basis for the sustainable livelihood of the community.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>19. Evaluations have pointed out that programmes and projects should focus on building regional, national and local networks for exchange of experiences and information as well as for policy lobbying on issues affecting indigenous peoples such as the patenting of indigenous cultural knowledge. This often leads to increased awareness, interest and understanding of the communities in a number of areas, such as the protection of culture and indigenous knowledge systems; potential for further projects and activities; establishment of relationships with government and other organizations; and greater flows of information exchange and awareness about information sources.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>33. There is growing recognition of the need to protect indigenous knowledge systems. The 1992 CBD recognizes the need to protect property rights as well as the need for companies to obtain prior informed consent before doing research. But the Convention cannot be legally binding unless translated into national law—and indigenous communities seldom receive priority attention or protection under national law.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>32. Existing rules regulating intellectual property rights at the global level are not conducive to the participation of indigenous peoples in the national or global economy. Current patent laws, for example, do not recognize traditional knowledge and systems of ownership....</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>34. UNDP has an important role to play in fostering open debate ...</strong> Such debate should consider collective rights to knowledge and resources, the need for prior informed consent for their use—consent not just of Governments, but indigenous communities—and the need for transparency in research outcomes. Guidelines for legal recognition of intellectual property sought by indigenous peoples and their organizations are needed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>63. Projects that gather and use indigenous customary knowledge should include measures that promote the recognition of this knowledge as intellectual and cultural property, as well as measures that prevent the dissemination of this knowledge without prior informed consent of the proprietors. Indigenous women must be involved in such activities as they are predominantly the custodians of that knowledge and often the most unlikely to benefit from the project and/or any potential benefit-sharing.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Free, Prior and Informed Consent

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>41. Special attention is needed on issues of resettlement, especially those that involve any form of population transfer that has the aim or effect of undermining indigenous peoples’ rights; and any form of assimilation or integration by other cultures or ways of life imposed on them without free, prior informed consent.</strong></td>
<td>28. Consistent with United Nations conventions such as ILO Convention 169, UNDP promotes and supports the right of indigenous peoples to free, prior informed consent with regard to development planning and programming that may affect them.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Benefit-sharing agreements

60. For projects involving indigenous peoples and any project that may affect indigenous peoples, consultation should include representatives from local and regional IPOs, ensure gender and generational balance (elders and youth), and use culturally appropriate methods that allow indigenous peoples to express their views and preferences. Consideration to indigenous peoples’ concepts of time is recommended, in addition to the utilization of indigenous expertise in policy, research, and training to the extent possible. It is suggested that indigenous peoples and their organizations, UNDP and other stakeholders establish in partnership the methodology of the implementation of the project. Indigenous peoples’ development perspectives should also be respected and integrated in project planning.

63. Projects that gather and use indigenous customary knowledge should include measures that promote the recognition of this knowledge as intellectual and cultural property, as well as measures that prevent the dissemination of this knowledge without prior informed consent of the proprietors. Indigenous women must be involved in such activities as they are predominantly the custodians of that knowledge and often the most unlikely to benefit from the project and/or any potential benefit-sharing.

### Social impact assessment

No reference to a need to engage indigenous peoples in such assessments:

62. Social and environmental impact assessments prior to programme and project implementation are recommended to safeguard against potential negative effects on indigenous peoples, their communities and livelihoods.

### Participation rights

27. By incorporating the “right to development” in its work, UNDP fosters the full participation of indigenous peoples in its development processes and the incorporation of indigenous perspectives in development planning and decision-making. This right is of particular significance to indigenous peoples because in their experience, development has tended to be imposed upon their communities from outside, often resulting in violations of their “right to development,” by damaging ancestral lands, water and natural resources.

59. UNDP country offices will continue to document lessons learned from interventions involving indigenous peoples and are encouraged to undertake a mapping of indigenous peoples and organizations in their country, including priority issues, rights, needs and desires. National databases on indigenous peoples

For projects involving indigenous peoples and any project that may affect indigenous peoples, consultation should include representatives from local and regional IPOs, ensure gender and generational balance (elders and youth), and use culturally appropriate methods that allow indigenous peoples to express their views and preferences. Consideration to indigenous peoples’ concepts of time is recommended, in addition to the utilization of indigenous expertise in policy, research, and training to the extent possible. It is suggested that indigenous peoples and their organizations, UNDP and other stakeholders establish in partnership the methodology of the implementation of the project. Indigenous peoples’ development perspectives should also be respected and integrated in project planning.

### Support for self-governance, autonomy in decision making

**Recognition of self-determination**

**Self-determination.** Indigenous peoples look for assistance in the recognition of the right to self-determination as defined in the United Nations International Covenants on Civil and Political Rights and Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. By virtue of that right, they freely “determine their political status and freely pursue their economic, social and cultural development.” … self-determination shall not be construed as authorizing or encouraging any action that would impair the territorial integrity or political unity of sovereign and independent states. (paragraph 2)

### Others

NHDRs for example, can be used as an important tool for developing disaggregated data on indigenous peoples, including gender-disaggregated data.

Actual monitoring of disaggregated CCA and MDG data will help Governments, development partners and indigenous peoples and their organizations to monitor the human poverty situation of indigenous peoples.
### TREATMENT OF KEY POLICY AREAS—UNITED NATIONS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>As indigenous women tend to experience triple discrimination (poor, female, and indigenous), it is critical that they play a central role in decision-making processes as well as in the design, planning, implementation and evaluation of relevant programmes and projects. UNDP stresses the importance of empowering indigenous women, and promoting gender equity within indigenous communities. (paragraph 61)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Reference to international human rights frameworks | ILO Convention 169
CBD “But the Convention cannot be legally binding unless translated into national law—and indigenous communities seldom receive priority attention or protection under national law” (paragraph 33) |
| Mechanisms for implementation | Primarily through normal UNDP planning processes like the Poverty Reduction Strategy papers (PRSP) and other national level processes run through country offices. Also involvement of the Civil Society Advisory UNDP has a role to play in studying how PRSP recommendations affect indigenous peoples, particularly indigenous women, differently from other segments of the population. |

### WORLD BANK

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of policy:</th>
<th>Operational Policy 4.10 Indigenous Peoples, 2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type:</td>
<td>Mandatory Safeguard with possible proactive support</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Complaint system: | Agency: Y
Project: Y |
| Compliance system: | Y |
| Status: | Currently stand-alone policy requirement. Under review. |
| Staff resources: | Unstated. There is a specialist on indigenous peoples at the Headquarters. |
| Budget resources: | Budgeted in project preparation costs, not separated out. |

### TREATMENT OF KEY POLICY AREAS—WORLD BANK

| Definition of ‘indigenous peoples’ | The term “Indigenous Peoples” is used in a generic sense to refer to a distinct, vulnerable, social and cultural group6 possessing the following characteristics in varying degrees: (a) self-identification as members of a distinct indigenous cultural group and recognition of this identity by others; (b) collective attachment to geographically distinct habitats or ancestral territories in the project area and to the natural resources in these habitats and territories7 (c) customary cultural, economic, social, or political institutions that are separate from those of the dominant society and culture; and (d) an indigenous language, often different from the official language of the country or region.

A group that has lost “collective attachment to geographically distinct habitats or ancestral territories in the project area”; (paragraph 4 (b)) because of forced severance remains eligible for coverage under this policy.

Ascertaining whether a particular group is considered as “Indigenous Peoples” for the purpose of this policy may require a technical judgment (see paragraph 8). |

---

---

---

---

---
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>TREATMENT OF KEY POLICY AREAS—WORLD BANK</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Land and resource rights</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Countries may apply for support to:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Traditional knowledge</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Countries may apply for support to:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Free, prior and informed consent</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Benefit-sharing agreements</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Social impact assessment</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## TREATMENT OF KEY POLICY AREAS—WORLD BANK

| Participation rights | Where the project affects Indigenous Peoples, the borrower engages in free, prior, and informed consultation with them. To ensure such consultation, the borrower: (a) establishes an appropriate gender and intergenerationally inclusive framework that provides opportunities for consultation at each stage of project preparation and implementation among the borrower, the affected Indigenous Peoples’ communities, the Indigenous Peoples Organizations (IPOs) if any, and other local civil society organizations (CSOs) identified by the affected Indigenous Peoples’ communities; (b) uses consultation methods appropriate to the social and cultural values of the affected Indigenous Peoples’ communities and their local conditions and, in designing these methods, gives special attention to the concerns of Indigenous women, youth, and children and their access to development opportunities and benefits; and (c) provides the affected Indigenous Peoples’ communities with all relevant information about the project (including an assessment of potential adverse effects of the project on the affected Indigenous Peoples’ communities) in a culturally appropriate manner at each stage of project preparation and implementation. (paragraph 10) |

| Reference to international human rights frameworks | None |

| Support for self-governance, autonomy in decision making | No reference to indigenous authorities or traditional governance structures, nor traditional or customary forms of decision making, except in footnote 4: “Free, prior, and informed consultation with the affected Indigenous Peoples’ communities” refers to a culturally appropriate and collective decision-making process subsequent to meaningful and good faith consultation and informed participation regarding the preparation and implementation of the project. It does not constitute a veto right for individuals or groups. |

| Gender | Bank-financed projects are also designed to ensure that the Indigenous Peoples receive social and economic benefits that are culturally appropriate and gender and inter-generationally inclusive (paragraph 1) Countries may apply for support to: address the gender and intergenerational issues that exist among many Indigenous Peoples, including the special needs of indigenous women, youth, and children; (paragraph 22 (d)) |

## INTER-AMERICAN DEVELOPMENT BANK


| Staff resources: Dedicated Indigenous Peoples and Community Development Unit in the Sustainable Development Department | Budget resources: Unclear, included in bank operations budgeting |

<p>| Notable features: The IDB policy has two distinct sections, a promotional role ‘fostering development with identity’ and a safeguard role like those policies in the other Banks. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>TREATMENT OF KEY POLICY AREAS—INTER-AMERICAN DEVELOPMENT BANK</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Definition of ‘indigenous peoples’</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Land and resource rights</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Traditional knowledge</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Free, prior and informed consent</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Benefit-sharing agreements</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Social impact assessment</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Participation rights</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TREATMENT OF KEY POLICY AREAS—INTER-AMERICAN DEVELOPMENT BANK

**Reference to international human rights frameworks**

The rights of indigenous peoples are defined in reference to the international system:

Indigenous rights include the rights of indigenous peoples and individuals, whether originating in the indigenous legislation issued by States, in other relevant national legislation, in applicable international norms in force for each country, or in the indigenous juridical systems of each people, hereinafter collectively referred to as the “applicable legal norms”. Indigenous juridical systems will be taken into account according to the rules for their recognition established in the legislation of each country. In the absence of such rules these systems will be recognized whenever they are consistent with national legislation and do not contradict fundamental rights established in national legislation and in international norms. (1.2)

**Support for self-governance, autonomy in decision making**

Preambular text emphasizes this:

The Bank’s experience has come to appreciate the needs, rights, demands, and aspirations of indigenous peoples according to their own worldview. Consequently, the Bank seeks to support socio-cultural development processes that are appropriate to the economy and governance of indigenous peoples, giving priority to territorial and cultural integrity, to a harmonious relationship with the environment, and to security in the face of vulnerability, while respecting the rights of indigenous peoples and individuals.

**Gender**

As part of promoting ‘development with identity’ the IDB will: Support for participation and leadership by, and protection of, women, the elderly, youth and children, and for the promotion of equal rights.

**Mechanisms for implementation**

For countries with indigenous populations of significant size, diversity, or vulnerability, the Bank will propose to the governments of the respective countries, as part of the country strategy and programming processes, as well as sector, national sub-regional and operational strategy processes, the inclusion of development with identity issues. (page 7)

*In addition to this operational policy, the IDB also has an Indigenous Peoples Development Strategy*

---

### EUROPEAN BANK FOR RECONSTRUCTION AND DEVELOPMENT

**Name of policy:** Environmental and Social Policy: Performance Requirement 7 (PR7)

**Type:** Mandatory

**Complaint system:**

Agency: Y

Project: Y (paras 26, 27)

**Compliance system:**

Y/N

**Status:** Single E&S policy with a specific section on indigenous peoples

**Staff resources:**

The Bank will maintain adequate staff resources to oversee the environmental and social appraisal and monitoring processes and to initiate and develop environmentally and socially beneficial projects (para 48)

**Budget resources:**

In order to ensure that the strategic directions described above are properly addressed, the EBRD will allocate appropriate resources to ensure an effective implementation of this Policy (paragraph 48)
<p>| Definition of ‘indigenous peoples’ | In the Policy and this PR, the term “Indigenous Peoples” is used in a technical sense to refer to a social and cultural minority group, distinct from dominant groups within national societies, possessing the following characteristics in varying degrees: self-identification as members of a distinct indigenous ethnic or cultural group and recognition of this identity by others; collective attachment to geographically distinct habitats, traditional lands or ancestral territories in the project area and to the natural resources in these habitats and territories; descent from populations who have traditionally pursued non-wage (and often nomadic/transhumant) subsistence strategies and whose status was regulated by their own customs or traditions or by special laws or regulations customary cultural, economic, social, or political institutions that are separate from those of the dominant society or culture; a distinct language or dialect, often different from the official language or dialect of the country or region. |
| Land and resource rights | Indigenous Peoples are often closely tied to their customary lands and its forests, water, wildlife, and other natural resources, and therefore special considerations apply if the project affects such ties. While these lands may not be under legal ownership pursuant to national law, use of these lands, including seasonal or cyclical use, by communities of Indigenous Peoples for their livelihoods, or cultural, ceremonial, or spiritual purposes that define their identity and community, can often be substantiated and documented. (para32) However land rights are to be determined with reference only to national law. The affected communities of Indigenous People will be informed of their rights with respect to these lands under national laws, including any national law recognising customary rights or use. (paragraph 33) |
| Traditional knowledge | An objective of the policy: to respect and preserve the culture, knowledge and practices of Indigenous Peoples in accordance with their wishes. (paragraph 6) Policy requirements are purely protective. FPIC required where a project proposes to use the cultural resources, knowledge, innovations, or practices of Indigenous Peoples for commercial purposes (paragraph 37) |
| Free, prior and informed consent | Need for free, prior and informed consent. This PR recognises the principle, outlined in the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, that the prior informed consent of affected Indigenous Peoples is required for the project-related activities identified in paragraphs 31–37, given the specific vulnerability of Indigenous Peoples to the adverse impacts of such projects. (paragraph 4) The special categories provided in paragraphs 31–27 are: Impacts on traditional or customary lands under use (32, 33, 34) Relocation of Indigenous Peoples from traditional or customary lands (35, 36) Cultural resources: “Where a project proposes to use the cultural resources, knowledge, innovations, or practices of Indigenous Peoples for commercial purposes” (37) |
| Benefit-sharing agreements | This PR approaches Indigenous Peoples as partners, respecting them as people and peoples whose values can contribute greatly to not only their own, but also to national socio-economic development. (paragraph 2) … issues related to Indigenous Peoples are frequently complex. Thus, special measures are required to ensure that indigenous men and women are not disadvantaged and that they are included in, and benefit from, Bank-supported projects as appropriate. (paragraph 3) Objectives, include: to enable Indigenous Peoples to benefit from projects in a culturally appropriate manner (paragraph 6) Participatory approaches will be the foundation of determining mitigation, benefits-sharing and customary lifestyle-supporting measures. (PR7 paragraph 28) |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>TREATMENT OF KEY POLICY AREAS—EUROPEAN BANK FOR RECONSTRUCTION AND DEVELOPMENT</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Social impact assessment** | *No requirement for involvement of indigenous peoples*  
In cases where the project has not yet commenced, the client will identify through a social assessment all communities of Indigenous Peoples who may be affected, both positively and negatively, by the project within the project’s area of influence, as well as the nature and degree of the expected social, cultural (including cultural heritage), and environmental impacts on them, and the measures planned to (i) avoid or mitigate adverse impacts and (ii) share any project-related benefits. (paragraph 17) |
| **Participation rights** | The client will establish an ongoing relationship with the affected communities of Indigenous Peoples from as early as possible in the project planning process and throughout the life of the project. The engagement process will ensure their meaningful consultation in order to facilitate their informed participation on matters that affect them directly, proposed mitigation measures, the sharing of development benefits and opportunities, and implementation issues. (paragraph 22) |
| **Reference to international human rights frameworks** | *Reference to UNDRIP as outlining the principle of FPIC (see above)*  
The EBRD will actively seek, through its investments, to contribute to the effective implementation of relevant principles and rules of international law related to environment, labour, corporate responsibility and public access to environmental information. These principles and rules are set forth in instruments such as treaties, conventions and multilateral, regional or bilateral agreements, as well as in relevant non-binding instruments. The EBRD will not knowingly finance projects that would contravene country obligations under relevant international treaties and agreements related to environmental protection, human rights, and sustainable development, as identified during project appraisal. (E&S Policy paragraph 4) |
| **Support for self-governance, autonomy in decision making** | **Objectives include:**  
- to recognise and respect the customary laws and customs of Indigenous Peoples and to take these into full consideration (paragraph 6)  

*The process of engaging with indigenous peoples includes, among others, the following:*  
involvement of Indigenous Peoples’ representative bodies (for example, councils of elders or village councils, among others), Indigenous Peoples’ organisations as well as individually affected indigenous persons understanding and respect for any relevant customary laws provision of sufficient time for Indigenous Peoples’ collective decision-making process facilitation of the Indigenous Peoples’ expression of their views, concerns, and proposals in the language of their choice, and without external manipulation, interference, or intimidation and in a culturally appropriate manner (paragraph 25) |
| **Gender** | Indigenous communities are multi-vocal; consultations and participation must be inclusive of gender, generational, and excluded groups(PR7, paragraph 25) |
| **Mechanisms for implementation** | Environmental and Social Advisory Council (ESAC) whose views will be sought on general policy-related issues and on all sector policies prior to their finalisation. (para 51)  
The EBRD will monitor and evaluate its environmental and social performance against the aims of this Policy on a continuing basis. The Performance Requirements will be reviewed on an on-going basis and may be amended or updated, subject to approval of the Board of Directors. In addition, the Policy will be subject to review by the Board of Directors every five years (paragraph 52). The EBRD’s new accountability mechanism, the Project Complaint Mechanism (PCM), operational since March 2010, has replaced the Independent Recourse Mechanism (IRM) that has been in place since July 2004 (EBRD website) |
**ASIAN DEVELOPMENT BANK**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of policy: Safeguard Policy Statement (section two on indigenous peoples)</th>
<th>Type: Mandatory Safeguard plus some proactive support to IPs</th>
<th>Complaint system: Project - YES Agency - YES</th>
<th>Compliance system: Y</th>
<th>Status: Combined with other mandatory safeguards into a single system</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Staff resources:** ADB has a total of 48 professional staff positions for safeguard review work, including 26 environmental specialist positions and 22 social development specialist positions.

**Budget resources:** "ADB will allocate appropriate resources to ensure effective implementation of the SPS.... The SPS places major emphasis on the need to assist DMC’s to strengthen their own safeguard systems and develop capacity to implement these. Such capacity development will be pursued together with bilateral and multilateral partners, based on demand from DMCs. Targeted resources of $80-100 million, through establishment of a trust fund to mobilize external resources, will be sought in the medium term”

**TREATMENT OF KEY POLICY AREAS—ASIAN DEVELOPMENT BANK**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Definition of ‘indigenous peoples’</th>
<th>A distinct, vulnerable, social and cultural group possessing the following characteristics in varying degrees: (i) self-identification as members of a distinct indigenous cultural group and recognition of this identity by others; (ii) collective attachment to geographically distinct habitats or ancestral territories in the project area and to the natural resources in these habitats and territories; (iii) customary cultural, economic, social, or political institutions that are separate from those of the dominant society and culture; and (iv) a distinct language, often different from the official language of the country or region. (SPS page 56)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land and resource rights</th>
<th>Indigenous Peoples are closely tied to land, forests, water, wildlife, and other natural resources, and therefore special considerations apply if the project affects such ties. In this situation, when carrying out the social impact assessment and preparing the IPP, the borrower/client will pay particular attention to the following: (i) the customary rights of the Indigenous Peoples, both individual and collective, pertaining to ancestral domains, lands, or territories that they traditionally own or customarily use or occupy, and where access to natural resources is vital to the sustainability of their cultures and livelihood systems; (ii) the need to protect such ancestral domains, lands, and resources against illegal intrusion or encroachment; (iii) the cultural and spiritual values that the Indigenous Peoples attribute to such lands and resources; (iv) the Indigenous Peoples’ natural resources management practices and the long-term sustainability of such practices; and (v) the need to rehabilitate the livelihood systems of Indigenous Peoples who have been evicted from their lands. Also possible support for indigenous peoples in: strengthen local legislation to establish legal recognition of the customary or traditional land tenure systems of Indigenous Peoples; (SPS para37 (i))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traditional knowledge</th>
<th>Primarily protective, requiring consent for the commercial use of cultural resources and knowledge (see below). Also planning processes should incorporate indigenous knowledge: Prepare an Indigenous Peoples plan (IPP) that is based on the social impact assessment with the assistance of qualified and experienced experts and that draw on indigenous knowledge and participation by the affected Indigenous Peoples’ communities. (page18, principle 6) Also possible proactive support: preserve and respect indigenous knowledge, including strengthening intellectual property rights; (SPS para37 (viii))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TREATMENT OF KEY POLICY AREAS—ASIAN DEVELOPMENT BANK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Free, prior and informed consent</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>... consent of affected Indigenous Peoples communities, through meaningful consultation, will be ascertained for the following project activities: (i) commercial development of the cultural resources and knowledge of Indigenous Peoples; (ii) physical relocation from traditional or customary lands; and (iii) commercial development of natural resources within customary lands under use that would impact the livelihoods or cultural, ceremonial, or spiritual uses that define the identity and community of Indigenous Peoples. For the purposes of policy application, consent of affected Indigenous Peoples communities refers to a collective expression by the affected Indigenous Peoples communities, through individuals and/or their recognized representatives, of broad community support for such project activities. Broad community support may exist even if some individuals or groups object to the project activities. (SPS para 55)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Benefit-sharing agreements</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>... identify social and economic benefits for affected Indigenous Peoples that are culturally appropriate and gender and inter-generationally inclusive and develop measures to avoid, minimize, and/or mitigate adverse impacts on Indigenous Peoples. (page 18, principle 2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Social impact assessment</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When screening by ADB confirms likely impacts on Indigenous Peoples, the borrower/client will retain qualified and experienced experts to carry out a full social impact assessment (SIA), and if impacts on Indigenous Peoples are identified, the borrower/client will prepare an IPP in conjunction with the feasibility study. The project’s potential social impacts and risks will be assessed against the requirements presented in this document and applicable laws and regulations of the jurisdictions in which the project operates that pertain to Indigenous Peoples matters, including host country obligations under international law. ... The SIA will, in a gender-sensitive manner, in consultation with Indigenous Peoples communities, identify the project-affected Indigenous Peoples and the potential impacts of the proposed project on them.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Participation rights</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for projects with potential impacts on Indigenous Peoples, the borrower/client will ensure their meaningful consultation and facilitate their informed participation on matters affecting them directly, such as proposed mitigation measures, sharing of project benefits and opportunities, and implementation arrangements.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reference to international human rights frameworks</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples was adopted by the United Nations General Assembly in September 2007. Many countries in Asia and the Pacific have voted in favor of this nonbinding declaration. The Asian Development Bank (ADB) recognizes the rights of Indigenous Peoples to direct the course of their own development. (SPS page 55)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Further: The project’s potential social impacts and risks will be assessed against the requirements presented in this document and applicable laws and regulations of the jurisdictions in which the project operates that pertain to Indigenous Peoples matters, including host country obligations under international law.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Support for self-governance, autonomy in decision making</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No effective recognition of this. The definition of consent does not reference autonomy in decision-making: consent of affected Indigenous Peoples communities refers to a collective expression by the affected Indigenous Peoples communities, through individuals and/or their recognized representatives. (SPS paragraph 31)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The safeguard element of the policy sits next to an additional ‘proactive’ section of the policy intended to support indigenous peoples in a positive manner, whereby: In furtherance of the objectives to benefit Indigenous Peoples, developing member countries can ask ADB to support them in their development planning and poverty reduction strategies by providing financial assistance for a variety of initiatives,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social impact assessment, grievance mechanisms and consultation and participation processes are all to be carried out in a ‘gender inclusive’ manner (page 18, principles 2–3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mechanisms for implementation</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandatory application of the policy wherever indigenous peoples are identified in project areas.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**INTERNATIONAL FUND FOR AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT (IFAD)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type:</td>
<td>Guidelines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formal Complaint system:</td>
<td>Project – N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agency – N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance system:</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status:</td>
<td>Stand alone guidelines, with reference to IFAD operational guidelines (to be updated)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Staff resources:**
The policy references the need for capacity building within IFAD staff.


**Budget resources:**
A breakdown of costs needed to implement the policy is given, however it is unclear whether a specific budget line will be/has been set aside to cover these costs. Costs detailed include for policy implementation (USD 200,000 /year + 50,000 one-time cost), analysis and consultation at COSOP stage (USD 20-25,000), preparation of country technical notes on indigenous peoples (USD 50,000), IP Forum (USD 100,000 /yr) (COSOP—Country Strategic Opportunities Programme)

**Interagency Linkages:**
IASG, ILO, UNDP & UNDG
Also CGIAR & ILC

**TREATMENT OF KEY POLICY AREAS—INTERNATIONAL FUND FOR AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT FUND**

**Definition of ‘indigenous peoples’**
The policy sets out a working definition of indigenous peoples based on the following criteria:
- Priority in time, with respect to occupation and use of a specific territory;
- The voluntary perpetuation of cultural distinctiveness, which may include aspects of language, social organization, religion and spiritual values, modes of production, laws and institutions;
- Self-identification, as well as recognition by other groups, or by state authorities, as a distinct collectivity; and
- An experience of subjugation, marginalization, dispossession, exclusion or discrimination.

**Land and resource rights**
Land, territories and resources is recognized as a principle of engagement. The agency will promote equitable access to land and territories by indigenous peoples and enhance their tenure security and sets this within the legal frameworks and policies of its borrowing countries and in a manner consistent with its Policy on Improving Access to Land and Tenure Security.

The agency proposes to achieve promotion of access to land and territories and enhancing of tenure security of indigenous peoples through strengthening their own capacity to manage their territories and resources in a sustainable way.

The policy references the relationship of indigenous peoples to ancestral territories and resources as central to the identity of indigenous peoples and that this forms the basis of their livelihoods. Additionally, the policy acknowledges that access to and management of these resources are often regulated by complex customary laws and systems, of which IFAD must have an adequate understanding. (4. Principles of Engagement)

**Traditional knowledge**
The policy references indigenous peoples’ knowledge as a principle in Section 4. The policy states that the agency will: value indigenous peoples’ knowledge and practices in investment projects, build on these assets by supporting pro-poor research that blends traditional knowledge and practices with modern scientific approaches.

Toward improving livelihoods, the agency will: promote partnerships between indigenous peoples’ communities and national agricultural research centres and the Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research (CGIAR)

The policy acknowledges that indigenous peoples’ knowledge may also advance scientific understanding. (4. Principles of Engagement)
| **Culture** | Cultural heritage and identity as assets. IFAD will acknowledge and build upon the asset of their cultural distinctiveness. It will assist communities in taking full advantage of their traditional knowledge, culture, governance systems and natural resources, all of which form part of their tangible and intangible heritage. (4. Principles of Engagement) |
| **Participation rights** | In promoting the participation of indigenous peoples in thematic areas within IFAD’s mandate, IFAD will support the participation of indigenous peoples in defining and implementing policies related to climate change issues. (4. Principles of Engagement) |
| | In promoting participation in strategic decision-making within IFAD International engagement: Establishment of a biennial indigenous peoples’ forum at IFAD, in conjunction with the IFAD Governing Council (alternating with the Farmers’ Forum global meeting). The forum will be a process of dialogue and consultation between representatives of indigenous peoples, IFAD staff and Member States. It will bring together between 20 and 30 indigenous peoples’ representatives, including members of the IPAF board, UNPFII and selected representatives of indigenous peoples’ communities involved in IFAD-supported programmes. National engagement: In selected countries, IFAD will invite one or more indigenous peoples’ representatives to be part of the in-country component of the country programme management team (CPMT); propose that its government counterpart invite indigenous peoples’ representatives to the COSOP design workshop. (5. Instruments, Procedures and Resources) |
| | In promoting participation within the project cycle The policy calls for communities targeted or affected by an IFAD-supported project shall be present at all stages of the project cycle. In this regard, IFAD will normally invite representatives of these communities to participate in the CPMT or in project preparation. Direct participation by community representatives will help define the most appropriate channels and methods for consultation and participation at the community level. It will also facilitate access to information by members of the community who do not speak the mainstream language. (5. Instruments, Procedures and Resources) |
| **Free, prior and informed consent** | The policy considers free, prior and informed consent (FPIC) as a principle in Section 4. Towards supporting FPIC, the Fund shall examine whether the borrower or grant recipient consulted with the indigenous peoples to obtain their free, prior and informed consent. FPIC is considered as a criterion for project approval. (4. Principles of Engagement) |
| **Support for self-governance, autonomy in decision making** | Towards consideration of the principle of FPIC, the policy states that in working with Member States on projects targeting or affecting indigenous peoples, IFAD shall support the participation of indigenous peoples’ communities in determining priorities and strategies for their own development. (4. Principles of Engagement) |
| **Benefit-sharing agreements** | No explicit reference to benefit sharing. The Fund considers “access to markets” as a principle of engagement. The agency’s commitment in this regard is to explore these opportunities and enable indigenous peoples’ communities to value their products and engage in markets on more profitable terms. (4. Principles of Engagement) |
| **Social impact assessment** | The use of SIAs are not explicitly mentioned in the policy however as part of the FPIC process, verification of two impact measures are undertaken, namely whether the project proposed by Member States can: (a) avoid potentially adverse effects on the indigenous peoples’ communities; or (b) when avoidance is not feasible, minimize, mitigate or compensate for such effects. (4. Principles of Engagement) |
| **Reference to international human rights frameworks** | Within the section that focuses on implementation, the policy references cooperation with ILO on issues related to Conventions 107 & 169. Additionally the agency will contribute to the implementation of the UNDG Guidelines designed to assist United Nations country teams to mainstream and integrate indigenous peoples’ issues. (5. Instruments, Procedures, Resources) The following frameworks are referenced in the policy’s introduction: ILO 169, UNDRIP, CBD Article 8 (j) (1988) and ABS, FAO International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources, WIPO Intergovernmental Committee on Intellectual Property, Genetic Resources, Traditional Knowledge and Traditional Cultural Expressions (2001), UNESCO’s Convention on the Promotion and Protection of the Diversity of Cultural Expressions (20 October 2005) and the Convention for the Safeguarding of Intangible Cultural Heritage (October 2003). (1. Introduction) |
### TREATMENT OF KEY POLICY AREAS—INTERNATIONAL FUND FOR AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT FUND

| Gender | The policy recognizes ‘triple discrimination: as women in their countries, as members of an indigenous peoples’ community, and as women within an indigenous peoples’ community.’ IFAD will continue to incorporate a gender focus in its programmes, with a special commitment to improve the well-being of indigenous women by: (a) expanding their access to and control over fundamental resources such as land, capital, traditional knowledge and technologies; (b) strengthening their agency, decision-making role in community affairs, and representation in local institutions; and (c) building on their untapped potential for sustainable development, by recognizing their role as stewards of natural resources and biodiversity, and as bearers of rich varied traditional knowledge systems. (4. Principles of Engagement) |
| Mechanisms of implementation | Mechanism for development of the policy was the Policy Reference Group on Indigenous Peoples’ Issues. “IFAD will implement these principles in the formulation of country strategies, in policy dialogue and throughout the project cycle, and will update its operational guidelines accordingly. In addition, IFAD will strengthen the Indigenous Peoples Assistance Facility, will establish new learning and knowledge sharing instruments, and will further develop dialogue with indigenous peoples through the creation of an indigenous peoples’ forum” (Instruments, Procedures and Resources, paragraph 37). Extensive further description of national, regional and international mechanisms for promoting the involvement of indigenous peoples in IFAD programming and projects (paragraph 27–43) |

### FOOD AND AGRICULTURE ORGANIZATION

| | | |
| Staff resources: FAO focal point on Indigenous people under Gender, Equity and Rural Employment | Budget resources: No specific mention of resources however the policy states that the agency will seek to make due efforts to allocate more resources to indigenous peoples’ issues in the future and will consider the possibility of establishing a more coherent programme that can strengthen activities on indigenous peoples’ issues and serve as a platform for advocating greater engagement. | |
| | | |
| Inter UN Linkages: IASG, UNDAF, reference to PFII developed tools for capacity building |

### TREATMENT OF KEY POLICY AREAS—FOOD AND AGRICULTURE ORGANIZATION

| Definition of ‘indigenous peoples’ | In accordance with international consensus, FAO will abide by the following criteria when considering indigenous peoples: ■ Priority in time, with respect to occupation and use of a specific territory; ■ The voluntary perpetuation of cultural distinctiveness, which may include aspects of language, social organization, religion and spiritual values, modes of production, laws and institutions; ■ Self-identification, as well as recognition by other groups, or by State authorities, as a distinct collectivity; ■ An experience of subjugation, marginalization, dispossession, exclusion or discrimination, whether or not these conditions persist. (II. Indigenous Peoples and Development) |

---

**Note:** The content provided is a natural representation of the document, focusing on the parts related to the treatment of key policy areas concerning indigenous peoples. The table and sections are structured to highlight the policies and mechanisms implemented by the International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD) and the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TREATMENT OF KEY POLICY AREAS—FOOD AND AGRICULTURE ORGANIZATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Land and resource rights</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Traditional knowledge</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Free, prior and informed consent</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Social impact assessment</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TREATMENT OF KEY POLICY AREAS—FOOD AND AGRICULTURE ORGANIZATION

| Participation rights | Promoting participation of indigenous peoples within programmes  
The policy states the benefits of the active engagement of indigenous communities (V. Mechanisms for implementing the FAO policy on indigenous peoples. A.3. Research with indigenous communities).  
Participation of indigenous peoples on the Committee on World Food Security, FAO committees, conferences and regional conferences. The participation in the FAO’s internal civil society liaison and internal private sector cooperation group are also mentioned as avenues for participation. (V. Mechanisms for implementing the FAO policy on indigenous peoples. B2. Participation/Inclusion).  
The 2001 International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (International Treaty) recognizes the contributions made by indigenous peoples and mandates national governments to promote the rights of farmers, including participation in the relevant decision-making processes. (V. Mechanisms for implementing the FAO policy on indigenous peoples. B3. Standard Setting). |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference to international human rights frameworks</td>
<td>Core principles are defined by: Indigenous peoples around the world are culturally distinct, yet they share a number of common values and a shared sense of purpose in their demand for internationally recognized rights and autonomy. These commonalities are expressed in the following core principles and rights, which have been articulated by indigenous representatives and are at the heart of the UN Declaration as well as other international legal and standard-setting instruments. As such, they provide an international framework by which UN agencies are guided. In this respect, they must be addressed when working with indigenous peoples and must consequently also form the basis of FAO’s work in this area. FAO’s objectives for engagement with indigenous peoples are formulated in light of its expertise and in recognition of the rights to which indigenous peoples are entitled under international law. (page 15) UNDRIP, ILO 169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support for self-governance, autonomy in decision making</td>
<td>Self determination and development with identity are recognized as core principles of the policy. It refers to the diversity of understanding of what constitutes ‘poverty’ and ‘well-being.’ According to many of them, well-being is a multidimensional condition defined by a range of human experiences, including social, mental, spiritual and cultural welfare. Relatedly, poverty cannot be defined only in terms of material standards; one is poor not only when resources are low, but also when one is unable to live a desired lifestyle. For this reason, indigenous peoples advocate a holistic view of development and livelihood security that transcends models based solely on conventional economic criteria. Under the principle of free, prior, informed consent, the policy recognizes that legitimate consultation measures are conducive to allowing a self-determined development process. (II. Indigenous peoples and development).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culture</td>
<td>Cultural rights are a core principle of the policy and state that indigenous peoples and individuals have the right not to be subjected to forced assimilation or destruction of their cultures, but are entitled to live in accordance with the traditions and the customs that underlie their integrity and way of life and are in compliance with universal principles of human rights. It asks that development efforts ensure contextual idiosyncrasies and diverse socio-cultural expressions are taken into account (II. Indigenous peoples and development).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Gender equality is a core principle of the policy, which recognizes that indigenous women face several levels of discrimination on account of their gender as well as their ethnicity. The agency recognizes the substantial contributions to agriculture and household food security and that promoting the economic and social empowerment of indigenous women is thus fundamental to poverty reduction and development (II. Indigenous peoples and development). The policy calls for specific attention to the inclusion and participation of indigenous women within FAO programmes. (V. Mechanisms for implementing the FAO policy on indigenous peoples.B2. Participation/Inclusion).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanisms for implementation</td>
<td>Different mechanisms have been designed for various programmes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MECHANISMS FOR IMPLEMENTATION: ADVISORY COMMITTEES / FORUMS / PANELS AND FUNDS

International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD)

On finalizing their Policy on Engagement with Indigenous Peoples (2009), IFAD established a high-level advisory forum of indigenous peoples’ representatives who meet with and advise the Governing Council of the Fund. This built on consultative frameworks already put into place during the development of the Policy itself. It also ties to the Indigenous Peoples’ Assistance Facility, the IFAD management direct-access fund available to indigenous peoples by including members of the IPAF Board in the newly formed Forum, and to UN expert mechanisms by including members from the UN Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues.

“An indigenous peoples’ forum at IFAD will be established and will be held every other year, in conjunction with the IFAD Governing Council (alternating with the Farmers’ Forum global meeting). The forum will be a process of dialogue and consultation between representatives of indigenous peoples, IFAD staff and Member States. It will bring together between 20 and 30 indigenous peoples’ representatives, including members of the IPAF board, UNPFII and selected representatives of indigenous peoples’ communities involved in IFAD-supported programmes. The forum will also promote accountability in providing feedback on IFAD’s operations.”
Annex Two

Free, Prior and Informed Consent Guidelines from the UNDG
ELEMENTS OF FREE, PRIOR AND INFORMED CONSENT

WHAT?
- Free should imply no coercion, intimidation or manipulation;
- Prior should imply consent has been sought sufficiently in advance of any authorization or commencement of activities and respects time requirements of indigenous consultation/consensus processes;
- Informed should imply that information is provided that covers (at least) the following aspects:
  a. The nature, size, pace, reversibility and scope of any proposed project or activity;
  b. The reason(s) or purpose of the project and/or activity;
  c. The duration of the above;
  d. The locality of areas that will be affected;
  e. A preliminary assessment of the likely economic, social, cultural and environmental impact, including potential risks and fair and equitable benefit sharing in a context that respects the precautionary principle;
  f. Personnel likely to be involved in the execution of the proposed project (including indigenous peoples, private sector staff, research institutions, government employees and others);
  g. Procedures that the project may entail.

CONSENT:
Consultation and participation are crucial components of a consent process. Consultation should be undertaken in good faith. The parties should establish a dialogue allowing them to find appropriate solutions in an atmosphere of mutual respect in good faith, and full and equitable participation. Consultation requires time and an effective system for communicating among interest holders. Indigenous peoples should be able to participate through their own freely chosen representatives and customary or other institutions. The inclusion of a gender perspective and the participation of indigenous women are essential as well as participation of children and youth as appropriate. This process may include the option of withholding consent. Consent to any agreement should be interpreted as indigenous peoples have reasonably understood it.

WHEN?
Free, prior and informed consent should be sought sufficiently in advance of commencement or authorization of activities, taking into account indigenous peoples’ own decision-making processes, in phases of assessment, planning, implementation, monitoring, evaluation and closure of a project.

WHO?
Indigenous peoples should specify which representative institutions are entitled to express consent on behalf of the affected peoples or communities. In free, prior and informed consent processes, indigenous peoples, United Nations agencies and governments should ensure a gender balance and take into account the views of children and youth as relevant.

HOW?
Information should be accurate and provided in a form that is accessible and understandable, including in a language that the indigenous peoples will fully understand. The format in which information is distributed should take into account the oral traditions of indigenous peoples and their languages.
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Kari-Oca Declaration of Indigenous Peoples
KARI-OCA DECLARATION

We, the Indigenous Peoples, walk to the future in the footprints of our ancestors.

From the smallest to the largest living being, from the four directions, from the air, the land and the mountains. The creator has placed us. The Indigenous peoples upon our Mother the earth.

The footprints of our ancestors are permanently etched upon the lands of our peoples.

We, the Indigenous peoples, maintain our inherent rights to self-determination. We have always had the right to decide our own forms of government, to use our own laws, to raise and educate our children, to our own cultural identity without interference.

We continue to maintain our rights as peoples despite centuries of deprivation, assimilation and genocide.

We maintain our inalienable rights to our lands and territories, to all our resources—above and below—and to our waters. We assert our ongoing responsibility to pass these onto the future generations.

We cannot be removed from our lands. We, the Indigenous peoples are connected by the circle of life to our lands and environments.

We, the Indigenous peoples, walk to the future in the footprints of our ancestors.

Signed at Kari-oca, Brazil on the 30th Day of May, 1992
Reaffirmed at Bali, Indonesia, 4 June 2002.
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Bijago man release young turtles which risk to be preys for birds
The Global Environmental Facility unites 182 member governments—in partnership with international institutions, nongovernmental organizations, and the private sector—to address global environmental issues. An independent financial organization, the GEF provides grants to developing countries and countries with economies in transition for projects related to biodiversity, climate change, international waters, land degradation, the ozone layer, and persistent organic pollutants. These projects benefit the global environment, linking local, national, and global environmental challenges and promoting sustainable livelihoods.

Established in 1991, the GEF is today the largest public funder of projects to improve the global environment. The GEF has allocated US$10.5 billion, supplemented by more than US$51 billion in co-financing, for more than 2,700 projects in more than 165 developing countries and countries with economies in transition. Through its Small Grants Programme, the GEF has also made more than 12,000 small grants directly to nongovernmental and community organizations.

The GEF partnership includes 10 Agencies: the UN Development Programme, the UN Environment Programme, the World Bank, the UN Food and Agriculture Organization, the UN Industrial Development Organization, the African Development Bank, the Asian Development Bank, the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development, the Inter-American Development Bank, and the International Fund for Agricultural Development. The Scientific and Technical Advisory Panel provides technical and scientific advice on the GEF’s policies and projects.