

32961

TAJIKISTAN CAS COMPLETION REPORT REVIEW

The Bank's 2003 Country Assistance Strategy (CAS) was the third since the civil war ended and the first after international attention and aid to the region surged. Its three objectives were to: (1) improve social service delivery, especially health and education, and community based development, (2) foster economic growth through private sector development, and (3) strengthen the institutions needed for a market economy.

The region's CAS Completion Report (CASCR) finds that there has been substantial economic growth (the second objective), and little progress towards the others. This growth and large worker remittances significantly reduced poverty, a creditable outcome considering the difficult country conditions and poor governance. Bank activities usefully contributed to this outcome: for example, they helped reduce hindrances on remittances through banks, economic and sector work (ESW) analyzed important sectors like cotton, and projects helped improve policies and some infrastructure. OED finds the outcome of Bank assistance to be moderately satisfactory.

Government Objectives, Bank Strategy, and Overview of Implementation

- 1. The 2003 Country Assistance Strategy followed two earlier ones (May 1996 and June 1998) that emphasized post conflict issues following the 1992-97 civil war, but attention and aid to the region surged following the 2001 international intervention in neighboring Afghanistan. The 2003 CAS, based on the 2002 Poverty Reduction Strategy Paper (PRSP), set three broad objectives¹: (1) improve community-based support of public infrastructure and services, especially health and education, (2) foster economic growth through private sector development, and (3) strengthen the institutions needed for a market economy.
- 2. The 2003 CAS reflected the lessons from earlier Bank work in the country. Some projects had suffered from the government's inability to provide counterpart funds, and others from corruption, mis-procurement and delayed reforms. Many projects were restructured after a Country Portfolio Performance Review in 2002. Since the central government's implementation capacity was limited, the 2003 CAS emphasized community driven development (CDD) initiatives, an approach popular at the time with the Bank and donors, whose importance increased with aid to Tajikistan.
- 3. IDA committed US\$58.8 million in six operations between FY03-05, considerably less than the US\$80 million envisioned in the CAS's base case. Since IDA's first credit in 1996, commitments now total US\$360.9 million through 24 operations, of which US\$273 million has disbursed (Annex Table 1). Twelve projects for US\$211.1 million have closed and of nine

¹ The 2003 CAS lists the objectives in this order, and the region's CASCR arranges them differently.

² The US\$58.8 million includes IDA grants of US\$22.8 million and in addition, a regional HIV/AIDs project approved in March 2005 for US\$26.9 million (undisbursed) partly covers Tajikistan. The Bank also administers US\$5.5 million of GEF grants, as detailed in Annex Table 1. The 2003 CAS envisioned lending US\$100 million as the high case if fiduciary and institutional reforms accelerated, but dropping to the low case of US\$45 million if security, governance or macro-economic management worsened.



projects evaluated, OED rated seven as having satisfactory outcomes (Annex Table 2). No ongoing project is now at risk (Annex Table 3), a marked portfolio improvement since 2000 (the 2003 CAS Annex B2 reported that 53 percent was at risk). Net transfers from the Bank have been positive (Annex Table 6), but although the SAC-III planned for that year was postponed because reforms under SAC-II dragged on, remittance inflows allowed substantial repayments due to the Fund in 2003.³

- 4. Annex Table 4 lists the Economic and Sector work (ESW) planned in the CAS, indicating those that were completed. Some useful studies were not in the CAS, and a few were regional (not listed), reflecting the infrastructure ties that bind Tajikistan with its neighbors. Tajikistan was one of WBI's "focus countries" to build public sector management capacity and develop CDD programs.
- 5. International attention increased aid and brought approaches that the government endorsed in the PRSP, but did not embrace. This reluctance combined with reduced IDA allocation led to five of the seven planned projects being dropped or delayed and the inclusion of new projects (four of the six approved projects were not in the 2003 CAS, Annex Table 1). The PRSP in Tajikistan, prepared after the 2003 CAS, also did not reflect government preferences: OED's evaluation of the PRSP found that "the authorities have gone along with important aspects of the PRGF [Poverty Reduction and Growth Facility] supported program that they do not fully agree with, mainly because they perceive that to do otherwise would reduce development assistance to the country." Despite wide consultations, few outside government were familiar with it and that policy formulation "continues to be limited to a relatively small circle of government and central bank officials." Fund staff drafted the memorandum of economic and financial policies, and neither the public investment program nor the budget reflected the PRSP.

Implementation by Objectives

Objective I: Social Service Delivery & Community Development

6. Outcome. There has been little progress towards social service delivery and community development despite considerable effort. Five of the six projects proposed in the base case supported this objective, but only two have been approved (Annex Table 1) and planned ESW pertaining to this objective were not all completed (Annex Table 4).

³ Three years elapsed between SAC-II's first and second tranche disbursement, but its outcome was rated moderately satisfactory.

⁴ "Evaluation of the Poverty Reduction Strategy Paper (PRSP) Process and Arrangements Under the Poverty Reduction and Growth Facility (PRGF)" (July 6, 2004) available at http://wbln1023.worldbank.org/oed/oeddoclib.nsf/DocUNIDViewForJavaSearch/4BA6B6ACF4E7856D85 256FE10062BBB3/\$file/prsp_country_case_studies.pdf

The PRSP lacked specific priorities and endorsed four elements: (i) encourage equitable, labor intensive economic growth emphasizing exports, (ii) support efficient and fair provision of basic social services, (iii) target support to the poorest groups of the population, and (iv) improve governance and security.

7. The CASCR notes that community development "did not take root as planned. ... it was seen as an NGO-driven agenda not connected to pressing concerns with state-building and enhancing central government capacity."(para. 48) Several small pilot projects (e.g., for poverty alleviation, education and health) sought to tackle major problems, but public apathy, corruption and vested interests made this difficult especially when local authorities feared that this eroded their power. The CASCR notes the experience of the primary health care project that taught "the need to 'anchor' activities in the country's policy context to ensure their sustainability" (para. 43).

Objective II: Stimulating Growth

- 8. Outcome. There has been considerable progress towards this objective. The Bank did not support macroeconomic management directly (a PRGF in December 2002 followed a Fund monitored program that began in January 2002), but Bank-supported structural reforms spanning many sectors helped increase annual economic growth to 10 percent in 2001-04. Such robust growth and large worker remittances (20-30 percent of GDP) reduced the head count measure of poverty from 82 percent in 1999 to 64 percent in 2003. Between a quarter and third of the Tajik male workers are now abroad (mostly construction related, many seasonal, and over 85 percent in Russia with visa free access), and their remittances finance the current account deficit and augment the incomes of the poor. The recovery of international aluminum prices and debt forgiveness also helped economic growth: Russia exchanged some US\$250 million in claims for other assets, and Pakistan forgave debts of US\$13 million. The public sector external debt fell from 84 percent of GDP in 2002 to 40 percent in 2004, with half owed to multilaterals, including the Bank and Fund.
- 9. The Bank helped reduce hurdles (e.g. eliminating a 30 percent tax) to sending remittances through banks, but quick withdrawals from distrusted banks resulted in aggregate banking deposits increasing only modestly to 5.2 percent of GDP. The Bank also introduced banking measures unsuited to Tajik conditions: SAC-II (approved under an earlier CAS but disbursed during the period under review) supported restricting banking entry and raising capital requirements in an effort to make banks sound, but ESW on cotton describes how these restrictions help vested interests.⁵ The CASCR notes "there are indications that the banking sector is not adequately servicing private sector led growth and that some segments of the economy are underfinanced," but it was still appropriate to drop the Banking and Private Sector (credit line) project that would not have addressed the root of the problems.
- 10. **Agriculture** has rebounded despite a serious drought in 2001. Cotton is the major cash crop, and the cultivated area and yields have risen thereby roughly doubling the volume and value of cotton exports (80 percent to EU markets), despite the recent decline in international prices (following the near doubling between 2002 and 2004). Over-cultivation of cotton in the

⁵ The large state-owned bank met its required capital by spinning off its bad loans to a non-bank entity that was subsequently "privatized" to well-connected persons. This non-bank entity intermediated external (government guaranteed) loans to cotton producers, using crop liens as collateral. Restrictions ostensibly to preserve loan collateral preclude competition in transport and storage, and reduce the benefits from cotton gin privatization. The May 2005 "Tajik Cotton Debt Report" described the plethora of inappropriate controls that remain *de facto* despite *de jure* liberalization.

region notwithstanding, Bank ESW suggested that improvements to the dilapidated irrigation and drainage system were warranted in localities with appropriate soil conditions and cropping patterns. But local authorities' policies and controls discourage efficient production, storage and marketing of cotton and the CASCR notes "Bank projects did not cover the cotton sector to a significant extent because the government asked the Bank to focus on non-cotton areas" (para. 31). An earlier Bank project supported the privatization of cotton gins and although the Farm Privatization support project helped privatize land and improve water distribution networks, these pilots cover less than 5 percent of total cotton lands. The pilot projects demonstrated potential improvements that ESW estimates would add \$100 million to GDP.

- 11. **Industry** declined everywhere in the former Soviet Union, but its recovery has been particularly sluggish in Tajikistan. The largest industry is smelting aluminum from ore mainly mined in Kazakhstan, Russia, and Ukraine; and TADAZ, the state owned aluminum company, still operates at 70 percent of 1990 levels (and far less than installed capacity) using about 40 percent of the country's electricity. TADAZ now pays its electric bills, but old arrears and accrued interest remain unresolved adding to the quasi-fiscal deficit. After declining in the 1990s, aluminum prices have risen substantially since 2002 and while TADAZ appears to add value, the Bank has governance concerns. Privatization of large state enterprises has been slow, although the pace has picked up recently, but the government wants to restructure, not sell, TADAZ.
- Tajikistan's landlocked location and crumbling **infrastructure** (e.g. unreliable electricity, bad roads) impedes private sector growth. Maintenance was neglected over the last decade and required investments greatly exceed the county's (and region's) savings. The 2003 CAS emphasized the private provision of electricity and water and included no electricity projects. The Bank helped change laws to allow such private developments, but the sole resulting project was largely because of the Aga Khan Foundation's initiative and interest in the isolated Gorno Badakshan Autonomous Oblast that accounts for just 2 percent of Tajik GDP. Although now a small net electricity importer, Tajikistan has exploited barely a tenth of its large hydro potential, and the government wants to complete several run-of-the-river dams begun under Soviet aegis

⁶ "Irrigation in Central Asia: Social, Economic & Environmental Considerations" (World Bank 2003) answers whether cotton should be grown and the dilapidated irrigation canals restored since water overuse increased soil salinity and shrunk the inland Aral Sea in adjoining Uzbekistan. This also affects electricity, since a third of total power consumption is used to pump water for irrigation, mostly in the fertile Fergana valley.

⁷ Value-subtracting industries reduce welfare; but TADAZ appears to add value. Aluminum revenues cover operating costs (smelter costs are sunk) and although TADAZ reported a loss in 2002, the privately held trading firm that handles its imports and exports apparently made substantial profits. The government rejected the first audit of TADAZ's accounts done after nun international urging, but accepted a second done by a different firm. The *Trade Diagnostic Study* (June 30, 2005 Report No.32603-TJ) reports that although polluting, TADAZ's technology seems reasonably efficient: it uses 15 kw-hrs per kilo of ingot, only a little more than newer plants elsewhere. Adding capacity would be uneconomical (except to ease bottlenecks) since transmitting electricity is cheaper than transporting alumina to the smelter.

⁸ The Asian Development Bank financed electricity projects, but limited progress in the sector prompted the Bank to advance the Energy Loss Reduction project to FY05.



and to build a new north-south transmission link to the existing grid. Bank ESW⁹ found merit in augmenting the existing grid that is technologically obsolete, and in poor repair with bottlenecks that reduce reliability. The Energy Loss Reduction Project that has just been approved finances meters for electricity and natural gas (supplied by Uzbekistan in exchange for pipeline and rail transit) — the first of many steps needed in the sector.

Objective III: Institutional Capacity & Governance

- 13. Outcome. The Bank's first project in 1996 was for institution building technical assistance, but progress has been limited. The government has not implemented the recommendations of the functional reviews of various Ministries (including health, education and telecommunications) or the merit-based system of paying public employees. Much of the technical assistance was ignored, and the CASCR notes that "with hindsight, more significant results could have been achieved through greater co-ordination between the consultants and the government."
- 14. Public expenditures are now better controlled, but planning and budgeting remain weak. Collection rates for important utilities remain low: only 50 percent for electricity. The 2003 CAS recognized that building institutions would take time and emphasized disclosure and transparency of information. Audits of the electricity and gas utilities are reportedly underway but the Bank continues to have governance concerns, particularly in important state enterprises. There appears to be little country ownership since local institutions have not taken up these concerns. Tajikistan is not a major grower or processor of contraband drugs, but being one of the transit routes for Afghan opium and heroin undermines the integrity of government officials. ¹⁰

Overall OED Assessment

- 15. Tajikistan's economic recovery has been remarkable and its macroeconomic management commendable. Annual inflation has declined to under 6 percent in 2005, and 2004 tax revenues were a robust 15.2 percent of GDP; but expenditures are not all consolidated through a central budget as the CAS envisioned. The quasi-fiscal deficit remains high (it has declined to 15 percent of GDP because of economic growth), two thirds of which stem from electricity arrears.
- 16. Much of the Bank's assistance was useful, although some objectives were more relevant for the longer run. Bank ESW, before and during the period under review, covered important sectors with sufficient scope and depth: the two major economic activities, aluminum and cotton,

⁹ The northern areas import power year round from the Uzbek grid, and the southern region imports electricity during winter and exports the electricity generated from snow melt in the spring and summer. The geographic and seasonal pattern of power demand has changed over the past decade, and transmission bottlenecks have worsened although aggregate power use has not risen substantially. "Regional Electricity Export Potential Study" (December 2004) finds that Tajikistan should utilize its current and future generation in accord with its irrigation needs and trade its seasonal electricity surpluses and deficits. This requires investments in transmission.

¹⁰ The *Trade Diagnostic Study* reports from a recent Bank survey of truckers (not drug transporters who may pay more) that bribes at the multiplicity of police checkpoints "act like a tax on road transport of around 20 percent" or 1 percent of GDP (pg.18), except that the receipts do not benefit the budget.



were found to be viable, but the central government's poor oversight of TADAZ remains a concern and local governments' pervasive *de facto* controls prevent realizing cotton's potential.¹¹ The gains for the economy from better resource use would be greatest in the cotton sector but simple "stroke of the pen" measures that adjustment lending supports will not suffice (see para. 10 and footnote 5); so dropping SAC-III that had been planned in the CAS did not detract from Bank assistance, especially as remittances were ample to finance needed imports.

- 17. The 2003 CAS advocated empowerment and community development to circumvent the central government's limitations and the misuse of authority by *oblast* and *rayon* administrations. When it became clear that lower levels of community organization lacked the necessary administrative abilities, the Bank commendably changed tack and approved projects that accommodated central and local governments' divisions of jurisdictions and patronage. The current portfolio therefore has few problems because supervision and oversight seem adequate. Nevertheless, the emphasis in the 2003 CAS on community development may have delayed other important projects (e.g. in electricity).
- 18. Overall, OED finds the outcome of Bank assistance to be moderately satisfactory: the economy grew impressively and poverty declined, but progress was more modest toward other objectives such as improving social services and institutional development. ESW examined important issues requiring government decisions (e.g. on irrigation, electricity etc.) with a keen appreciation of regional developments allowing consistent advice given to Tajikistan's neighbors. Bank projects appear to have been well-designed and adequately supervised despite the difficult country situation; but some useful projects could have begun earlier had the government been more forthright, allowing the 2003 CAS to reflect country, not donor, preferences.

Assessment of Completion Report

19. The CASCR describes the Bank's experience candidly, including the difficulties encountered. It is commendably careful when linking impressive growth to the Bank's activities, and includes relevant indicators to support its findings (although it could have synthesized them in an overview of progress towards the three main objectives). The CASCR provides a thoughtful and balanced review and suggestions for the next CAS.

Lessons and Findings

20. Tajikistan illustrates how substantial foreign aid complicates development: the government muted its reservations to some approaches and projects favored by donors—despite substantial participation in the PRSP. Aid makes the Bank's task more difficult and important: the Bank must not only discern what the authorities want, but must also divine what the country needs—and reconcile the two when they differ.

¹¹ "Welfare Implications of Cotton Farmland Privatization: A Poverty and Social Impact Analysis" (June 24, 2004) notes that many cotton farmers got collective land tenure rights, not clear title to demarcated lands. Consequently, managers of farming collectives continue to heed local authorities and make poor decisions to the farmers' detriment.



- 21. The primary lesson from this experience is the importance of country specific and timely ESW that informs policy makers and guides projects. For example, ESW found that cotton debts resulted from precluding competition for inputs, outputs and credit, not because cotton was unproductive as the government claimed. Other ESW (some regional) on poverty, irrigation, electricity, etc. were also useful; but there was little work of similar quality in industry and in banking.
- 22. The second lesson is for the Bank and government to agree on specific steps, not just on broad objectives. Agreement on specific projects and their design would have made it easier for the Bank to help the country reap the potentially large efficiency gains in sectors such as cotton and the savings that such growth generates could finance development.

Annex Table 1: Review of Proposed and Approved Projects

1996 (closed 2000) 5.0 1997 (closed 1997) 50.0 1997 (closed 2002) 12.0 Dropped 1999 (closed 2001) 50.0 1999 (closed 2004) 5.0 2000 2 17.0 2000 2 17.0 2000 2 17.0 2001 (closed 2004) 5.0 2001 2000 2 17.0 2002 2 17.0 2001 2001 17.0 2001 2001 17.0 2002 17.0 2001 2001 17.0 2001 2001 17.0 2001 2001 17.0 2001 2001 17.0 2001 2001 17.0 2001 2001 17.0 2001 2001 17.0 2001 1998 (closed 2001) 10.0 1998 (closed 2002) 2.0 1999 (closed 2002) 2.0 2000 (closed 2003) 335.1 2000 (closed 2003) 335.1 2000 (closed 2003) 335.1 2000 2000 335.7 2000 2000 35.7	Amount	Ŧ	Year Approved		IDA Grants	Disbursed Amounts	Non-IDA Grants	Sector	Latest Latest		Latest Le Risk In	Lend. Instr.
1996 (closed 2000) 5.0 1997 (closed 1997) 50.0 1997 (closed 2002) 12.0 Dropped 2000 (closed 2004) 5.0 2000 (closed 2005) 6.7 2000 2002 17.0 2000 2002 17.0 2001 (closed 2004) 5.0 1998 (closed 2007) 10.0 1998 (closed 2007) 6.7 2000 (closed 2007) 6.5 2000 1998 (closed 2007) 6.7 2000 6.8 2000 7.8	W\$SO			US\$(M)	US\$(M)	US\$(M)	US\$(M)		3	ı	Rating Ty	Ţ,
1997 (closed 1997) 60.0 Dropped 1999 (closed 2002) 12.0 Dropped 1999 (closed 2001) 50.0 1999 (closed 2004) 5.0 2000 (closed 2005) 6.7 2000 2002 17.0 2002 17.0 2001 (closed 2004) 50.0 2002 2002 17.0 2004 5.0 2004 5.0 2004 5.0 2004 6.0 2004 6.0 2004 6.0 2005 1998 (closed 2007) 10.0 1998 (closed 2002) 5.0 2006 2002 10.0 2006 2000 6.7 2007 1998 (closed 2002) 5.0 2006 2005 2005 2006 2006 10.0 2006 10.0 2006 10.0 2006 10.0 2006 10.0 2006 10.0 2006 2006 10.0 2006 2006 10.0 2006 2006 2007 2006 2006 2006 2007 2006 2006 2006 2007 2006 2006 2006 2007 2006 2006 2006 2007 2006 2006 2006 2007 2006 2006 2006 2007 2006 2006 2006 2007 2006 2006 2006 2007 2006 2007 2006 2006 2007 2008 2008 2008 2009 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 20	_		1996 (closed 2000)	5.0	ı	4.7	:	PSG	s	s,	Σ	≩
Dropped 1999 (closed 2001) \$6.0 0 1999 (closed 2004) 5.0 2000 (closed 2005) 5.4 1999 (closed 2005) 6.7 2002 17.0 2002 17.0 2001 (closed 2004) 50.0 2001 (closed 2004) 50.0 2003 13.0 2001 (closed 2004) 10.0 Dropped in 2004 5.0 2004 5.0 2004 5.0 Delayed to 2006 (Policy Based Credit) Delayed to 2006 (Policy Based Credit) 1998 (closed 2001) 10.0 1998 (closed 2002) 5.0 1999 (closed 2001) 2.0 2000 2005 15.0 1999 (closed 2001) 6.5 2000 2006 11.0 2000 2006 11.0 2000 2006 11.0 2000 2006 2006 11.0 2006 2006 2006 11.0 2006 2006 2006 2006 2006 2000 3.857 2000 2000 3.857			1997 (closed 1997) 1997 (closed 2002)	50.0 12.0	: :	49.4	.: :	S S	⊃ ળ	o s	Z I	₽₽
1999 (closed 2001) 50.0 1999 (closed 2004) 5.0 2000 (closed 2005) 5.4 1999 (closed 2005) 6.7 2000 2002 17.0 2002 17.0 2002 17.0 2001 (closed 2004) 50.0 2001 (closed 2004) 50.0 2001 (closed 2004) 13.8 2003 13.8 2004 5.0 2004 5.0 2004 5.0 2004 6.0 2004 6.0 2004 6.0 2006 Closed 2001) 10.0 1998 (closed 2002) 5.0 1998 (closed 2002) 6.7 2000 (closed 2002) 6.7 2000 6.0 2005 1999 (closed 2002) 6.0 2006 10.0 2006 10.0 2006 10.0 2006 10.0 2006 10.0 2006 10.0 2006 10.0 2006 2006 10.0 2006 2006 10.0 2006 2006 10.0 2006 2006 10.0 2006 2006 2006 10.0 2007 2007 2007 2007 2007 2007 2007 200		ľ	Dropped	:	:	÷	:					
1999 (closed 2001) 50.0 1999 (closed 2004) 5.0 1999 (closed 2004) 5.0 2000 (closed 2005) 5.4 1999 (closed 2005) 6.7 2000 2 2000 2 2001 (closed 2004) 50.0 2001 (closed 2004) 50.0 2001 (closed 2004) 50.0 2001 (closed 2004) 50.0 2001 2004 13.8 2003 13.0 2004 2005 2005 2001 1998 (closed 2001) 6.7 2000 2005 2005 2005 2006 2006 2009 2.0 2000 (closed 2001) 6.7 2000 2006 2006 10.0 2006 2006 2006 10.0 2006 2006 2009 335.7 2006 2006 2006 2006 2006 2006 2006 2006		5										
1999 (closed 2004) 5.0 20.0 (closed 2005) 5.4 1999 (closed 2005) 5.4 1999 (closed 2005) 5.7 2000 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2003 2001 (closed 2004) 5.0 2001 2001 (closed 2004) 5.0 2001 2001 2001 2001 2001 2001 2001 20	20	1999	1999 (closed 2001)	20.0		55.8	:	<u>a</u>	v,			č
1999 (closed 2004) 5.0 1999 (closed 2005) 5.4 1999 (closed 2005) 6.7 2002 2002 17.0 2002 17.0 2002 17.0 2003 13.8 2001 (closed 2004) 50.0 2003 13.0 2004 5.0 2004 5.0 2004 5.0 2004 6.0 2004 6.7 2004 6.7 2004 6.7 2006 10.0 1998 (closed 2002) 5.0 1998 (closed 2002) 6.7 2006 10.0 2005 2005 2005 2005 2006 11.0 2006 2000 3.857 2000 3.857 2000 3.857	20	1999	1999	20.0	:	22.4	: :	s S	တ			} ≥
2000 200 13.8 2000 2000 17.0 2002 17.0 2002 17.0 2002 17.0 2003 13.1 2001 (closed 2004) 50.0 2003 13.0 2004 5.0 Delayed in 2004 5.0 Delayed to 2006 (Policy Based Credit) Delayed to 2006 (Policy Based Credit) 1998 (closed 2002) 5.0 1998 (closed 2002) 5.0 2000 6.5 2000 1998 (closed 2002) 6.5 2000 2000 15.0 2000 2000 335.7 2000 2000 35.7 2000 2000 35.7	e r	1999	1999 (closed 2004)	5.0	:	8.4	:.		s c			≥ :
2000 20.0 2002 17.0 2002 17.0 2001 (closed 2004) 50.0 2001 2001 3.1 2003 13.8 2003 13.0 2004 5.0 Delayed to 2006 (Policy Based Credit) Delayed to 2006 1998 (closed 2002) 5.0 1998 (closed 2002) 6.5 2000 2.000 0.5 2000 1999 (closed 2002) 10.0 2000 1999 (closed 2002) 10.0 2000 1999 (closed 2002) 10.0 2000 1999 (closed 2002) 2.0 2000 1999 (closed 2002) 2.0 2000 1999 (closed 2002) 3.5 2005 1999 (closed 2002) 3.5 2006 1999 3.35.7 2006 1998 2.8.6 1998 2.8.6 1998 42.2 1999 45.0 2000 3.57 2000 3.57 2000 3.57		1999	1999 (closed 2005)	6.7	: :	6.7	: :	PSG	n⊃	ກທ	n ⊒.	≩ ≩
2002 7.00 2002 13.8 2001 (alosed 2004) 50.0 2003 13.0 2003 13.0 2003 13.0 2003 13.0 2003 13.0 2004 5.0 2004 2006 (Policy Based Credit) Delayed to 2006 (Policy Based Credit) 1988 (closed 2001) 10.0 1998 (closed 2001) 2.0 2000 (closed 2001) 2.0 2000 (closed 2001) 2.0 2000 2000 0.5 2005 1999 (closed 2002) 2.0 2006 2006 15.0 2006 2006 15.0 2006 1998 235.7 2006 1998 235.7 2006 2006 15.0 2006 2006 15.0 2007 2007 2007 2007 2007 2007 2007 200		2000	2000	000		,		ć	c	(2
2001 (closed 2004) 50.0 2001 3.1 2003 13.0 2003 13.0 2004 5.0 Delayed in 2004 5.0 Delayed to 2006 (Policy Based Credit) Delayed to 2006 1998 (closed 2002) 5.0 1998 (closed 2002) 6.7 2000 2.000 2005 1.00 2005 1.00 2005 1.00 2006 1.00 2000 3.57	15	2000	2002	17.0	: :	5.3	: :	WSS	n vo	n vs	= = ທ :	≩≩
2001 (closed 2004) 50.0 2001 3.1 2003 13.0 Dropped in 2004 3.0 2003 13.0 Delayed to 2006 (Policy Based Credit) Delayed to 2006 Delayed to	_	2000	2002	13.8	:	6.2	:	S.	S	S		≧
2003 13.0 2003 13.0 Dropped in 2004 5.0 2004 2006 (Policy Based Credit) Delayed to 2006 (Policy Based Credit) Delayed to 2006 Delayed to 2006 Delayed to 2006 Delayed to 2006 1998 (closed 2001) 10.0 1998 (closed 2001) 2.0 2000 (closed 2001) 2.0 2000 0.5 2002 1999 (closed 2001) 2.0 2005 1999 (closed 2001) 2.0 2006 1996 (closed 2001) 2.0 2006 15.0 2006 15.0 2006 15.0 2006 15.0 2006 15.0 2006 15.0 2006 15.0 2006 15.0 2006 2006 15.0 2006 2006 335.7 2000 335.7	40	2001	2001 (closed 2004)	20.0	:	53.5	:	급	s	s	Σ	Ą
2003 Dropped in 2004 Delayed to 2006 (Policy Based Credit) Delayed to 2006 Tool (closed 2001) Delayed to 200 Delayed to 2006 D		2007	2001	3.1	:			S.			=	2
2003 Dropped in 2004 2004 Delayed to 2006 (Policy Based Credit) Delayed to 2006 Delayed to 2006 Delayed to 2006 Delayed to 2006 Use (closed 2001) 1988 (closed 2001) 2000 2000 2000 2000 2005 2005 2005 2005 2006 115.0 2006 2006 115.0 2006 2006 115.0 2006 2006 125.0 2006 2006 2006 2006 2007 2008 200						:		!	:	:		:
2003 13.0 Dropped in 2004 5.0 2004 5.0 Delayed to 2006 (Policy Based Credit) Delayed to 2006 Tiggs 6.0 Tiggs 7.0 Tig												
2004 5.0 2004 5.0 2004 5.0 Delayed to 2006 (Policy Based Credit) Delayed to 2006 1998 (closed 2001) 10.0 1998 (closed 2002) 5.0 2000 2000 6.0 2000 0.5 2002 2005 115.0 2005 2005 115.0 2006 1998 128.6 1998 28.6 1998 28.6 1998 42.2 2000 3.56 2000 3.57 2000 2000 3.56 2000 2000 3.56 2000 2000 3.56 2000 2000 3.56 2000 2000 3.56 2000 2000 3.56 2000 2000 3.56 2000 2000 3.56 2000 3.56 2000 3.56 2000 3.56 2000 3.56 2000 3.56 2000 3.56 2000 3.56 2000 3.56 2000 3.56 2000 3.56	20	2003	2003	13.0	7.0	2.2	:	EDC	s	S		≧
2004 5.0 Delayed to 2006 (Policy Based Credit) Delayed to 2006 1998 (closed 2001) 10.0 1998 (closed 2002) 5.0 1999 (closed 2002) 6.0 2000 2000 2000 2005 2005 Total (May 2005) 335.7 2006 1998 2206 1998 2206 1998 2206 1998 2206 1998 222 2006 2006 2006 2006 2006 2006 2006	_	2002	Mohbed III 2004	:	:	:	:	2	:	:	=	≩
Delayed to 2006 (Policy Based Credit) Delayed to 2006 1998 (closed 2001) 1998 (closed 2001) 2,00 2,00 2,00 2,00 2,00 2,00 2,00 2,0		2004	2004	5.0	5.8	0.5	4.5	RS	S	s	s	≧
Delayed to 2006 Delayed to 2006 Delayed to 2006 Delayed to 2006 1998 (closed 2001) 10.0 1998 (closed 2002) 5.0 1999 (closed 2002) 6.7 2000 2000 2005 2005 2005 2006 10.0 2006 11998 28.6 1998 42.2 1998 42.2 2000 2000 35.7		2004	Delayed to 2006 (Polic	y Based Cre	ĵį							
Delayed to 2006 Delayed to 2006 1998 (closed 2001) 10.0 1998 (closed 2002) 5.0 1999 (closed 2002) 5.0 2000 2000 6.7 2000 2005 2005 2005 2005 2006 10.0 2006 1998 42.2 1998 42.2 1998 42.2 2000 2000 35.7		2005	Delayed to 2006									
1998 (closed 2001) 10.0 1998 (closed 2001) 10.0 1998 (closed 2002) 5.0 1999 (closed 2002) 5.0 2002 2002 10.0 2005 2005 2005 15.0 2006 15.0 2006 15.0 2006 10	र र	2005	Delayed to 2006									
1998 (closed 2001) 10.0 1998 (closed 2001) 10.0 1999 (closed 1902) 10.0 1999 (closed 1902) 5.0 2000 (closed 2002) 6.7 2002 0.0 2005 2005 2006 2006 15.0 2006 15.0 2006 15.0 2006 1998 1998 1998 1998 1998 2000		2002	Delayed to 2000									
1998 (closed 2001) 10.0 1998 (closed 1998) 10.0 1998 (closed 2002) 5.0 2000 (closed 2002) 2.0 2005 2005 2005 2005 2006 2006 2006 2006 2006 2006 2006 2006												
1998 (closed 2001) 10.0 1998 (closed 2002) 10.0 1999 (closed 2002) 5.0 2000 (closed 2001) 6.7 2005 (closed 2002) 2.0 2005 0.0 2005 10.0 2006 15.0 2006 115.0 2006 115.0 2006 115.0 2006 115.0 2006 15.0												ı
1999 (cincsed 1999) 1999 (cincsed 1902) 1999 (cincsed 1902) 1999 (cincsed 2002) 2000 2000 2005 2005 2005 2006 150 2006 150 2006 110 2006 110 2006 11998 28 6 11998 28 6 11998 28 6 2000 3857 2000 2000 3857			1998 (closed 2001)	10.0	:	10.0	:	¥ (S	S		≥ 7
1999 (closed 2001) 6.7 2000 (closed 2002) 2.0 2002 10.0 2005 10.0 2005 15.0 2006 15.0 2006 15.0 2006 15.0 2006 15.0 2006 15.0 2006 15.0 2006 15.0 2006 15.0 2006 2006 2006 2006 2006 2006 2000 38.7 2000 38.7 2000 38.7			1990 (closed 2002)	5.0	: :	4.5	; ;	3 9	ກທ	n 🗆	< = z ∑	3 ≥
2000 (closed 2002) 2.0 2002 10.0 2005 10.0 2005 15.0 2005 15.0 2006 15.0 2006 15.0 2006 15.0 1938 28.6 1938 28.6 1939 42.2 2000 3.5.7 2000 3.5.7			1999 (closed 2001)	6.7	:	:	: :	<u>a</u>	:	. :		2
2005 2005 2005 2005 2005 2006 2006 2006			2000 (closed 2002)	2.0		: 6	:	9 2	: 0	: 6		} }
2005 2005 2005 2006 15.0 2006 10.0 2006 119.0 1998 42.2 2000 2000 35.7 2000 35.7			2002	10.0	: :	6.7	: :	E E	ာဟ	າທ		;
2005 15.0 2005 15.0 2006 15.0 2006 15.0 2006 15.0 2006 15.0 2006 15.0 2006 15.0 2006 25.0 2000 35.7 2000 55.0			2005	:	10.0	:	;	RS	;	:		≩
2006 10.0 2006 10.0 2006 10.0 2006 10.0 1998 28.6 1999 42.2 1999 45.0 2000 3.5.7			2005 2005	15.0	3.0	: :	1.0	E EN	# :	# :	= = ** :	≩≩
2006 2006 2006 1998 1998 2000			Total (May 2005)	335.1	25.8	273	5.5					-
2006 2006 1998 1999 2000			2006	10.0	:	:	:	뢒	:	:	=	≥
2006 1998 1999 2000 2000			2006	15.0	:	:	:	WSS	:	:	= •	≥ 3
1998 1998 1999 2000 2000			2000	0.0	:	:	:	<u>.</u>	:	;	:	3
1998 1999 2000 2000			1998	28.6		:		RS	:	:	∢ :	3
1999 2000			1998	42.2	ŧ	:	:	PSD	:	:	∢ :	3
2000			1999 2000	45.0	:	;		RS M	:	:	= =	≧ ≧
			2000	5.0	: :	: :	: :	2 S	: :	: :	: =	; ≥
PUT 1827 Tasts ID-I (NOVEW Materialised) Source: World Bark Taikisten Country Assistance Strategies (1998 and 2003; SAP Business Warehusse Tables 1 1 2003 Source: World Bark Taikisten Country Assistance Strategies (1998 and 2003; SAP Business Marehusse Tables 1 1 20 2 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2	onna CAD Rusiness Wareh	Tables	2003	0.0	A 9005		7	쮼				

Source: World Bank Tajikistan Country Assistance Strategies 1996, 1998, and 2003, SAP Business Warehouse Tables 1.1, 2b. 1, 2b. 2, 2a. 1, and 4.3, April 2005.
Notes: **Proposed in strategy as Education Support and Empowerment Project; Public Sector Governance (PSG), Rural Sector (RS), Social Protection (SP), Economic Policy (EP), Economic Policy (EP), Economic Policy (EP), Health Nutrition and Population (HMS), Water Support and Samilation (WSS), Transport (TRA), Urban Development (ENV), Energy and Mining (EM), Private Sector Development (PSD), and Financial Sector (FS). The Bank has not provided grants during this period.

5	ç
Š	5
2	
3	_
ą	
7	-
3	Sec Sec
č	3
3	Ŋ
(ט
3	
0	Ļ
٥	<u>.</u>
450	ימון - ע
Victor D	שומוע
Paiikietan D	Tallerstall - L
2. Taiibietan D	E. Lajinistali - r
o 2. Taiibietan D	G E. I AJINISTAII - L
9. Tai	NG 4. I AJINISTAII - L
Tahlo 9. Taiikietan D	I and T. I all VISTALL - L
ov Tahla 9. Taiikietan D	A I AMIG 4. I AJINISTALI -
Dunay Tahla 2. Taiibietan D	A I AMIG 4. I AJINISTALI -
Anney Table 9. Taiibietan D	AIIICA I able 2. I alinistali - L

		2001 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I					
Approval FY	Proj. ID	Project Name	IBRD/IDA Amount		Outcome	Suctainability	bream! ()
1996 1997 1997 1998 1999 1999 1999	P043231 P044202 P008863 P053386 P055133 P047438 P057953 P059655	Institution Building and Technical Assistance Credit (IBTA) Pilot Poverty Alleviation Project Agricultural Recovery and Social Protection (ARSP) Credit Post Conflict Rehabilitation Structural Adjustment Credit I Education (LIL) Emergency Flood Assistance Structural Adjustment Credit II	50 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		SATISFACTORY MODERATELY SATISFACTORY MODERATELY UNSATISFACTORY SATISFACTORY SATISFACTORY SATISFACTORY SATISFACTORY UNSATISFACTORY MODERATELY SATISFACTORY	LIKELY UNLIKELY UNLIKELY UNLIKELY UNLIKELY UNLIKELY UNKELY UNKELY UNKELY UNKELY	SUBSTANTIAL MODEST MODEST MODEST MODEST HIGH MODEST NEGLIGIBLE MODEST
Source: World Bank	. SAP Business W	Region Total Evaluated (\$M) Total Evaluated (\$M) (No Tajikistan 197 9 ECA 3,227 26 World Bank 17,800 23C Source: World Bank, SAP Business Warehouse Table 2a.1 BRD/IDA No. of Operations and Commitments (including supplements), 4.a.3, and 4.a.5, May 25, 2005.	Total Evaluated (\$M) 197 3,227 17,800 supplements), 4.a.3, and 4.a.5, 1	Total Evaluated (No) 9 26 26 230 May 25, 2005.	Outcome % Sat (No) 77.8 80.8 69.3	Sustainability % Likely (No) 88.9 65.4 46.7	1D Impact % Subst (No) 55.6 46.2 36.8

Annex Table 3: Portfolio Status FY 1998-2005

Country	Fiscal year	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	7000	2005
Tajikistan	# Proj	8	8	101	6	40	44	2004	COOZ
	Net Comm Amt	27.0	120.4	140.0	7	2 1	= ;	2	2
	# 0-20 14 0:21	0.17	120.4	145.5	1.83.1	151.5	171.5	127.3	143.2
	# Froj At RISK	0	2	ဂ	2	4	~	2	0
	% At Risk	0	25.0	30.0	22.2	40.0	16	20.0	0
	Comm At Risk	0	68.7	75.7	19.0	29.3	20.0	23.7	9 6
	% Commit at Risk	0	57.1	52.8	14.7	10.3	27.7	40.0	0.0
Afghanistan	# Proj			2	Ė	5.5	1	18.0	0.0
	Net Comm Amt	:	:	:	:	4	8	13	17
		:	:	:	:	100.0	315.2	608.2	726.2
	# Proj At Risk	:	:	:	:	0	က	-	8
	% At Risk	:	:	:	:	0	37.5	7.7	17.6
	Comm At Risk	:	:	:	:	0	85.0	15.0	176.0
:	% Commit at Risk	:	:	:	:	0	27.0	2.5	24.2
Kyrgyz Republic	# Proj	1	13	14	15	4	14	16	2.7.2 16
	Net Comm Amt	226.4	243.9	226.9	262.4	255.5	259.2	278.1	044.0
	# Proj At Risk	<u>-</u>	7	2	2	-	1	1.0.1	7:1-7
	% At Risk	9.1	15.4	14.3	13.3	. 17	. ,	75.0	. 0
	Comm At Risk	15.0	46.6	31.0	57.0	10.0	15.0	22.0	0.01
	% Commit at Risk	9.9	19.1	13.7	21.7	30	, r	0.00	0.00
Turkmenistan	# Proj	က	8	er.			5 -	70.0	74.0
	Net Comm Amt	89.5	74.5	70.1	45.1	45.1	- 077	:	:
	# Proj At Risk	_	c				9.0	:	:
	% At Risk	33.3	100	100	100	- 0	0 0	:	:
	Comm At Risk	25.0	74.5	70.1	45.1	20.06	>	:	:
	% Commit at Risk	27.9	100	100	100	67.2	0	:	:
Uzbekistan	# Proj	2	7	ο α	201	ý į	0	: 0	: ٢
	Net Comm Amt	214.0	269.0	298.0	0.77.0	287.1	345.5	302.8	7050
	# Proj At Risk	2	က	4	, ec	4	D.::0	0.200 R	200.0
	% At Risk	40.0	42.9	50.0	42.9	50.0	44 4	62.5	429
	Comm At Risk	87.0	171.0	199.0	132.0	162.0	170.3	188.8	153.3
	% Commit at Risk	40.7	63.6	8 99	47.7	F. A.	40.2	60.4	6.00

Y03-05	Report No.	:
Sector Work F	Actual Delivery	06/30/2004 (FY04)
d Economic and	Planned Delivery	FY03
Annex Table 4: Tajikistan Planned and Delivered	Explicitly Planned Reports	Public Expenditure Review

Explicitly Planned Reports	Planned Delivery	Actual Delivery	Report No.
Public Expenditure Review	FY03	06/30/2004 (FY04)	
Country Procurement Assessment Report	FY03	06/23/2003 (FY03)	29245
Country Financial Accountability Assessment	FY03	06/25/2003 (FY03)	29693
Utility Reform Study	FY03	05/03/2005 (FY05)	
Scale up CDD in Central Asia (CDD Review)	FY03	06/19/2003 (FY03)	
Regional Paper and Workshop on Microfinance	FY03	04/15/2003 (FY03)	: =
Poverty Assessment	FY04	06/01/2004 (FY04)	30853
Health Sector Note	FY04	06/30/2004 (FY04)	
Business Environment and Investment Climate Review	FY04	05/16/2005 (FY05)	
Gender Assessment		05/13/2005 (FY05)	:
Country Economic Memorandum - Replaced by Policy Notes (public sector		(001.)	:
wages, aviation, remittances)		:	=
Social Dimension of Regional Differences	FY05		
Review on Future Directions in Agriculture	FY05	: :	
Other Economic and Sector Work mentioned in CAS 2003	Planned Delivery	Actual Delivery	Сомеган
Trade and Transport Facilitation		(FY05)	Provide analytical basis
Water Management		(EV04)	Fronting of partial basis
Central Asia Regional Electricity Export Potential Study		(FV05)	Howife the patient of the discussion of the contract of the co
Communicable Diseases (two reports on AIDS and Tuberculosis)	:	(FY04/05)	Carry out of the potential of and Impediments for electricity exports outside of Central Asia
Knowledge Sharing	:	(paiona)	Soft in distance forming contain in cook country
Strenathenina Civil Society	:	(Grigoling)	Set up distance rearrang centers in each country
World Bank Institute's CDD programs		(F103) (ongoing)	A regional competition of NGO projects: Innovation Day
IDF grant on Empowering Mahallas	:	(Guing)	Create and support the Community Empowerment Network in Central Asia
	:	:	(Did not materialize)
Other Economic and Sector Work done	Planned Delivery	Actual Delivery	Coverage
Poverty and Social Impact Analysis		06/24/2004 (FY04)	Welfare Implications of Cotton Farmland Privatization
Trade Diagnostic Study	FY05	06/30/2005 (FY05)	Trade barriers and opportunities
Public Sector Wages Note	FY05	06/30/2005 (FY05)	Public Sector wage policy
Note on Aviation	FY05	:	Aviation Sector
A Strategic Financial Sector Assessment	:	(FY05)	Increasing Access to Finance and Intermediation in the Banking Sector
Source: World Bank, Imagebank, April 18, 2005, and Tajikistan Country Assistance Strategy, 2003.	, 2003.		

Annex Table 5: Tajikistan - Economic and Social Indicators 1994-2004

Health and Sanitation Immurization, DPT (% of children ages 12-23 months) Improved awaters source (% of population with access) Improved swaters for fines (% of population with access) Life expectancy at birth, total (years) Mortality rate, infant (per 1,000 live births) Mortality rate, infant (per 1,000 live births) Life application (% of bital) Life population (% of bital) Population growth (annual %) 19	790	250		1330	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	djinistari	row income	Countries	Doniblic		Turkmanichan
n ages 12-23 months) uldiation with access) of population with access) ars) we births)														TOTO COLUMN		OLDERISION I UI MITERITSION
pulation with access) or population with access) ars) ve births)																
potation will access) of population with access) ars) we births)		0.00	80.0	81.0	820	830	83.0	80.0	85.0		80.0	. 0	8	0	8	
of population with access) ars) we brifts)	:	:	:	:				58.0				9	3	20.3	200	20.3
ars) ve births)	:								:	:	0.00	6.4	97.8	0.97	89.0	71.0
ve births)	68	3 684	68.4		:	:	:	23.0	:	;	23.0	35.5	79.9	0.09	27.0	62.0
	8				:	:	:	9.99	66.3	:	67.3	580	699	683	27.0	040
	Š	•	:		:	80.0	:	:	76.0		7 14	802	200	1 6	9 6	
	5.8	5.9	6.0		6.2	69	63	6 9	6.3	: 0		0.0	0.07	3	28.3	76.0
Population growth (annual %)	27	976			22.0		7.0	0.0	0.3	6.3	6.1	2125.4	282.4	4.8	24.1	4.5
0.	*				77.0	7/.0	27.6	27.6	27.6	27.6	27.7	287	646	25.4	27.4	
Education and Human Capital	0.	9.	C.L	1.8	0.5	0.5	9.0	9.0	9.0	0.7	-	20	,	3 7		0.4.0
												9	ē	-	0.	2.0
School conclinate with the Up people ages 15 and above) 98.7	38.8	8.98.9	0.66	066	99.1	500					Š					
ocrosi elirolliment, preprimary (% gross)					,	1	: 0		:	:	0.68	26.7	83.1	:	1.66	888
			:	25		0.7	9.0	10.1	:	:	9.0	21.7	999	10.8	28.1	
School enrollment secondary (% gmes)	:	:	;	133	5.5	2	106.8	110.3		-	200	8	5			
Growth and Wealth	:	:	:	75.7	75.6	78.5	82.0	85.8			70.6	2.5	0.00	4.00.4	102.7	
Domit Court									:	:	0.0	47.1	30.2	87.5	95.4	:
	-12	.4 -16.7	1.7	5.3	3.7	83	40.5	0	,		ć					
our per capita growth (annual %)	-13.8	.180		3.4		1 6	2 6		70	10.6	707	5.1	-1.5	1.5		5.7
2				. 80	- 6	7.7	9.6	8.5	9.5	9.9	-1.3	3.1	-1.6	0.4	10	3.7
	200			8	200	3	820	930	1040	1150	776	1699	5169	1371		2470
	5			1/1	171	180	171	181	213	236	185	376	3	333		
(676)											3	2	:	222		684
	Š			27.1	27.4	29.4	29.4	29.4	28.4	30.0	000	8	,	;		
	39.0	0 27.8	24.3	21.8	23.4	26.0	25.1	247		2.0.0	200	787	70.2	40.7		23.2
Ser vices, etc., value acced (% of GDP)	สั	6 33.2		51.1	40.2	44.5	7	1 2	20.2	20.3	27.3	56.6	38.7	24.0		49.9
Exports of goods and services (% of GDP)	:	73.5		48.0	24.2		50.0	40.9	200	26.5	42.4	45.2	51.1	36.3		26.8
Imports of goods and services (% of GDP)		17.0		2	2.50	01.1	63.4	4.4	0.09	50.5	67.5	18.5	36.4	36.7		44.6
Current account balance (% of GDP)	. ^	,		R:/C	8	4.0	75.8	77.8	79.3	69.7	76.2	212	30.4	47.0		200
	7.7-	-1.7	9.7	-6.1	-3.3	-6.3	9 .9	-2.6	-2.6	-3.9	-6.4		4.5	2 0		
Total debt service (% of emorts of coods and socious)	6											:	2	ò		-
External debt (%, of CNI)	2	6	6.2	14.2	11,3	7.8	11.5	11.3	1.6	10.4	7.0	16.2	,	ç		
43.7	53	1 71.0	121.2		123.6	1107	104.6	0.70	707			200	0.5	8.7		14.6
Total reserves in months of imports			0.5		00	7		2	13.1	200.	30.3	20.7	40.3	93.9		43.8
Current revenue, excluding grants (% of GDP):d			}		6	3 5	?	=	1.3	2.0	1.0	5.8	3.1	3.1		7.4
Current expenditure, total (% of GDP).d	:	. "	:	3.5	10.2	0.0	11.4	:	15.0	15.2	10.3	12.5	17.1	15.8		
Overall budget halance excluding capital groups (% of CDD), 4 **	i		:	11.4	10.0	8.8	9.6	:	12.6	12.5	66		15.6	10.6		
Gross domestic saving (% of CDD)	: 5	. :		-2.5	-0.8	9.0-	-0.1	-0.1	0.9	0.3	o C	:	7 7	2 7		
Inflation coosings (agency 60)	19.	18.9	13.0	6.4	15.9	7.3	4.3	4.6	-03	5	110	. 0		7 1		
mindred, consumer prices (arrival %)	:	:	:	,		300	38.6	100	7 0		-		2	9.		24.4

Source: World Bank, Statistical Information Management and Analysis (SIMA), April 18, 2005; IMIF, March 2, 2005.

Mote: Data for CIS countries based on own calculations. (*) Preliminary IMF data. (**) Overall fiscal balance (excluding Public Investment Program, PIP) in 2000-04.

Annex Table 6: Net Transfers between Tajikistan and the World Bank/IMF

(in millions of U.S. dollars)

ì	Bank Gross	Bank	Bank	Bank	IMF
FY	Disbursements	Repayments	Interest and Fees	Net Flows	Net Flows
1997	20.7	0	0.1	50.7	0.00
1998	14.1	C			7.77
1999	24.0	•	6.0	13.7	10.3
0000	0.10	0	9.0	30.4	70.5
7000	34.3	0	80	33 K	
2001	24.4	c) 7	0.00	2.3
2002	- 1	> +	0.1	23.4	15.6
7007	34.5	0	1.2	33.2	0.3
2003	8.6	0	14	ا د	0.3
2004	0 77		<u>t</u> .	6.0	-28.8
	D: #	0	1.9	43.0	98-
2002	26.3	0	87	3 1/6	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

Note: IMF data refer to the previous calendar year (one semester before) and was converted to U.S. dollars at the exchange rate of April 19, 2005. Positive numbers Sources: World Bank's Integrated Controller's Systems and International Monetary Fund, April 2005.

Annex Table 7: Tajikistan Millennium Development Goals

	1990	1994	1997	2000	2003
Goal 1: Eradicate extreme poverty and hunger					
Percentage share of income or consumption held by poorest 20%		••			7.9
Population below \$1 a day (%)		• •		13.9	7.4
Population below minimum level of dietary energy consumption (%)		21			61
Poverty gap ratio at \$1 a day (incidence x depth of poverty)				3.4	1.3
Poverty headcount, national (% of population)					
Prevalence of underweight in children (under five years of age)					
Goal 2: Achieve universal primary education					
Net primary enrollment ratio (% of relevant age group)	76.7		<i>85.3</i>		
Primary completion rate, total (% of relevant age group)		100	98	99	100
Proportion of pupils starting grade 1 who reach grade 5					
Youth literacy rate (% ages 15-24)	99.8	99.8	99.8	99.8	
Goal 3: Promote gender equality and empower women					
Proportion of seats held by women in national parliament (%)		••	3	3	13
Ratio of girls to boys in primary and secondary education (%)			89.8	87.1	87.9
Ratio of young literate females to males (% ages 15-24)	100	100	100	100	
Share of women employed in the nonagricultural sector (%)	40.1	43	46.1	48.7	50.4
Goal 4: Reduce child mortality					
Immunization, measles (% of children ages 12-23 months)		90	83	87	89
Infant mortality rate (per 1,000 live births)	 92	89		80	76
Under 5 mortality rate (per 1,000)	119	113		101	95
Goal 5: Improve maternal health	117	113		101	- 7
Births attended by skilled health staff (% of total)			<i>7</i> 9	71.1	
Maternal mortality ratio (modeled estimate, per 100,000 live births)	**			100	
Material Mortality ratio (Modeled estimate, per 100,000 live birdis)	••	•••		100	•
Goal 6: Combat HIV/AIDS, malaria, and other diseases				34	
Contraceptive prevalence rate (% of women ages 15-49)	 107.4	49.5	83.4	114.5	168.3
Incidence of tuberculosis (per 100,000 people)					
Number of children orphaned by HIV/AIDS		**	***	••	0.1
Prevalence of HIV, total (% of population aged 15-49)	••	••	***		2.4
Tuberculosis cases detected under DOTS (%)		••	**	••	2.4
Goal 7: Ensure environmental sustainability					F0
Access to an improved water source (% of population)	**	••		••	58 53
Access to improved sanitation (% of population)	••	••			53
Access to secure tenure (% of population)	••				••
CO2 emissions (metric tons per capita)	••	0.9	0.8	0.6	••
Forest area (% of total land area)				2.8	
GDP per unit of energy use (2000 PPP \$ per kg oil equivalent)		1.6	1.3	1.7	1.8
Nationally protected areas (% of total land area)	1.2	••	••		4.2
Goal 8: Develop a global partnership for development					
Aid per capita (current US\$)		11.6	14.3	20.1	22.9
Debt service (% of exports)	''		::		
Fixed line and mobile phone subscribers (per 1,000 people)	45.4	46.7	37.9	35.9	44.8
Internet users (per 1,000 people)	• •	• •		0.5	0.6
Personal computers (per 1,000 people)	• •			••	• •
Unemployment, youth female (% of female labor force ages 15-24)		• •		• •	••
Unemployment, youth male (% of male labor force ages 15-24)		**	• •	• •	• • •
Unemployment, youth total (% of total labor force ages 15-24)		* **			
Other					
Fertility rate, total (births per woman)	5.1	3.6	3.5		2.9
GNI per capita, Atlas method (current US\$)		230	160	180	210
GNI, Atlas method (current US\$) (billions)		1.3	1	1.1	1.3
Gross capital formation (% of GDP)	24.8	36.5	19.7	11.6	19
Life expectancy at birth, total (years)	69.3	66	68.4		66.3
Literacy rate, adult total (% of people ages 15 and above)	98.2	98.7	99	99.5	
Population, total (millions)	5.3	5.7	6	6.2	6.3
Trade (% of GDP)	63		174.8	166.5	139.3
Source: World Development Indicators database, April 2005					

Source: World Development Indicators database, April 2005

Note: Figures in italics refer to periods other than those specified.