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Project Development Objectives

Project Development Objective (from Project Appraisal Document)

The objectives of the Project are to assist Jilin Province in improving its agricultural product quality and reducing agricultural product safety risks through: (i) introducing good agricultural practices, (ii) improving the implementation of agricultural product safety related regulations, and (iii) strengthening the agricultural product safety monitoring system.

Has the Project Development Objective been changed since Board Approval of the Project?

☐ Yes  ☐ No

Component(s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component Name</th>
<th>Component Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Promotion of Good Agricultural Practices for Agricultural Product Quality and Safety</td>
<td>17.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Monitoring of Agricultural Product Quality and Safety</td>
<td>68.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture Product Quality and Safety Applied Research, Training and Awareness Raising</td>
<td>13.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demonstration Models for Safe Agriculture Supply Chains</td>
<td>28.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Management and Monitoring</td>
<td>7.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall Ratings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Previous Rating</th>
<th>Current Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Progress towards achievement of PDO</td>
<td>Moderately Satisfactory</td>
<td>Moderately Satisfactory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Implementation Progress (IP)</td>
<td>Moderately Satisfactory</td>
<td>Moderately Satisfactory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Risk Rating</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Implementation Status Overview

Good progress has been made over the past six months. In particular, the operation manuals for various components have been revised and simplified to increase the uptake and
accelerate implementation, which is beginning to materialize. The implementation of the activities on supervision systems, information systems, environmental survey, applied research, training and public awareness are proceeding at a good pace, particularly in terms of physical progress. The bidding for the laboratory civil works contracts has been completed. Overall the disbursement rate has picked up and is currently at 28.7%.

### Locations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>First Administrative Division</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Planned</th>
<th>Actual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>Jilin Sheng</td>
<td>Yanbian Chaoxianzu Zizhizhou</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>Jilin Sheng</td>
<td>Tonghua Shi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>Jilin Sheng</td>
<td>Siping Shi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>Jilin Sheng</td>
<td>Liaooyuan Shi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>Jilin Sheng</td>
<td>Jilin Shi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>Jilin Sheng</td>
<td>Jilin Sheng</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>Jilin Sheng</td>
<td>Baishan Shi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>Jilin Sheng</td>
<td>Changchun Shi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>Jilin Sheng</td>
<td>Baicheng Shi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>Jilin Sheng</td>
<td>Songyuan Shi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Results

#### Project Development Objective Indicators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator Name</th>
<th>Core</th>
<th>Unit of Measure</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Current</th>
<th>End Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percent of agricultural production adopting certified production standards (pollution free) in the province</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
<td>02-Sep-2010</td>
<td>11-Aug-2014</td>
<td>30-Jun-2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Intermediate Results Indicators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator Name</th>
<th>Core</th>
<th>Unit of Measure</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Current</th>
<th>End Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Establish a risk based agricultural product safety monitoring system (as defined in Para. 36 of the PAD)</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>Text</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicator Name</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>Unit of Measure</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Current</td>
<td>End Target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of technical standards developed and adopted</td>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>96.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>02-Sep-2010</td>
<td>11-Aug-2014</td>
<td>30-Jun-2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>96.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of demonstration sites support under the project achieving certification</td>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>58.00</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>02-Sep-2010</td>
<td>11-Aug-2014</td>
<td>30-Jun-2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>58.00</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of farmers visiting demonstration sites</td>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>933.00</td>
<td>3000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>02-Sep-2010</td>
<td>11-Aug-2014</td>
<td>30-Jun-2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>933.00</td>
<td>3000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of participating farmers adopting GAP</td>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>22.00</td>
<td>70.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>02-Sep-2010</td>
<td>11-Aug-2014</td>
<td>30-Jun-2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>22.00</td>
<td>70.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of visiting farmers adopting GAP within one year after visiting demo-sites</td>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>14.00</td>
<td>15.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>02-Sep-2010</td>
<td>11-Aug-2014</td>
<td>30-Jun-2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>14.00</td>
<td>15.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of product certifications received by farmer groups or enterprises for the whole province</td>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>53.00</td>
<td>600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>02-Sep-2010</td>
<td>11-Aug-2014</td>
<td>30-Jun-2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>53.00</td>
<td>600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Agricultural Product and Quality and Safety Supervision Offices operational</td>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>02-Sep-2010</td>
<td>11-Aug-2014</td>
<td>30-Jun-2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of agricultural product quality and safety site inspections per year</td>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>3500.00</td>
<td>6000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>02-Sep-2010</td>
<td>11-Aug-2014</td>
<td>30-Jun-2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>3500.00</td>
<td>6000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer system to support supervision activites and risk based agro products safety monitoring operational</td>
<td></td>
<td>Text</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>in progress</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>02-Sep-2010</td>
<td>11-Aug-2014</td>
<td>30-Jun-2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>in progress</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Initial sampling results and annual up-date for risk based agro products safety monitoring system established

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Text</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>in progress</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>02-Sep-2010</td>
<td>11-Aug-2014</td>
<td>30-Jun-2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Comments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Number of food safety laboratories operational

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>02-Sep-2010</td>
<td>11-Aug-2014</td>
<td>30-Jun-2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Comments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bidding completed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Number of government staff successfully trained based on exit exams

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>02-Sep-2010</td>
<td>11-Aug-2014</td>
<td>30-Jun-2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Comments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>exceeds final target</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Number of farmers successfully trained based on sample exit exams

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>02-Sep-2010</td>
<td>11-Aug-2014</td>
<td>30-Jun-2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Comments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>exceeds final target</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Increased percentage of farmers who have minimum understanding of GAP as measured by a general survey of all farmers in media target area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>02-Sep-2010</td>
<td>11-Aug-2014</td>
<td>30-Jun-2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Comments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No survey has been conducted. However, GAP are being disseminated throughout the province through media outlets and to the farmers through training.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Increased percentage of targeted audiences who have minimum understanding of food safety as measured by a general survey in the media target area, including similar percentage increases for minorities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>02-Sep-2010</td>
<td>11-Aug-2014</td>
<td>30-Jun-2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Comments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Percentage of successful sub-projects that demonstrate the integration of small farmers into high value food chains, and record lessons learned.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>02-Sep-2010</td>
<td>11-Aug-2014</td>
<td>30-Jun-2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Comments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Collection rate for sub-loans is satisfactory (greater than 97 percent)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>01-Apr-2014</td>
<td>30-Jun-2016</td>
<td>30-Jun-2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Comments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Not applicable- sub-loans repayment not yet due</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### Data on Financial Performance (as of 04-Sep-2014)

#### Financial Agreement(s) Key Dates

---

---

---
### Project Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Ln/Cr/Tf</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Approval Date</th>
<th>Signing Date</th>
<th>Effectiveness Date</th>
<th>Original Closing Date</th>
<th>Revised Closing Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Disbursements (in Millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Ln/Cr/Tf</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Currency</th>
<th>Original</th>
<th>Revised</th>
<th>Cancelled</th>
<th>Disbursed</th>
<th>Undisbursed</th>
<th>% Disbursed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P101716</td>
<td>IBRD-78990</td>
<td>Effective</td>
<td>USD</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>28.67</td>
<td>71.33</td>
<td>29.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Key Decisions Regarding Implementation

Project PMOs to continue to step up their efforts to accelerate project implementation and ensure that funds are disbursed on time. Project PPMO to provide necessary training and guidance to newly appointed staff throughout project counties. It is critical that all construction/renovation works for new/existing laboratories get underway to ensure their completion prior to the end of the project.

### Restructuring History

There has been no restructuring to date.

### Related Projects

There are no related projects.