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Urban development patterns in both industrial and developing countries with market-oriented economies show strong regularities consistent with urban location theory. Urban population and employment exhibit common patterns of decentralization across large cities.
Summary findings

Much of our knowledge about metropolitan development is still imperfect, but in the past 35 years a great deal of theoretical and empirical work has been carried out in cities and metropolitan areas in both industrial and developing countries with market-oriented economies. This work has produced empirical findings with remarkably strong regularities across countries and cities. Moreover, many of these empirical regularities are quite consistent with urban location theory and suggest the broad applicability of our basic theory to market-based cities.

These regularities offer insights about development and growth pressures in many cities and indicate the directions future development is likely to take.

The development pattern of cities in industrial and developing countries with market-based economies exhibit similar patterns of decentralization of both population and employment, with the largest metropolitan areas converging to similarly decentralized structures with:

- Multiple subcenters.
- Highly decentralized manufacturing employment.
- The central business districts' emerging specialization in service employment.

Cities in developing countries typically have higher population densities than those in industrial countries but the differences have been narrowing over time in the largest metropolitan areas.

Decentralization of population and employment increases reliance on road-based transport for both passengers and freight. Industrial countries have experienced decreases in transit use as auto ownership levels have risen. Many developing countries show early signs of a similar pattern, although their transit ridership levels are still high and their transit systems often offer a rich mix of options in terms of vehicle size and level of service.

Land markets are strong determinants of decentralization. Cities without land markets exhibit quite different development patterns from cities with even poorly functioning land markets. In market-based cities, land rents are closely related to development densities, although empirical work on land rents and values is relatively rare, for lack of data.

Demand patterns in urban housing markets are similar across cities in developing and industrial countries but supply-side impediments vary widely — resulting in a wide range of ratios of housing prices to income.

Similarly, the efficiency with which public infrastructure is provided varies widely across cities and across sectors within cities.

In the coming decades global urbanization will increase, mostly in low-income countries (which in 1995 contained nearly 60 percent of the world's people). Many of those low-income countries already have large metropolitan areas, whose populations will continue to grow.

An earlier version of this paper — a product of the Research Advisory Staff — was presented at the Taxation, Resources, and Economic Development Conference held in October 1996. Copies of this paper are available free from the World Bank, 1818 H Street NW, Washington, DC 20433. Please contact Jean Ponchamni, room N7-043, telephone 202-473-1052, fax 202-522-0304, Internet address jponchamni@worldbank.org. November 1997. (32 pages)
Patterns of Metropolitan Development:
What Have We Learned?

Gregory K. Ingram

World Bank

This paper was originally prepared for the TRED Conference held in Cambridge, Mass. in October, 1996. It was extensively revised in August, 1997. I thank Ezra Bennathan, Douglas Keare, Kyu Sik Lee, and Slobodan Mitric for useful comments on this paper. I also thank for their discussion, comments, and suggestions the participants at the TRED Conference, particularly Alex Anas and Anita Summers.
I. Introduction

Although much of our knowledge about metropolitan development is still imperfect, in the past 35 years a great deal of theoretical and empirical work has been carried out on cities and metropolitan areas in both developed and developing countries with market-oriented economies. This work has produced a set of empirical findings with remarkably strong regularities across countries and cities. Moreover, many of these empirical regularities are quite consistent with urban location theory and tend to indicate the broad applicability of our basic theory to market based cities. This paper attempts to summarize many of these empirical regularities about metropolitan development and its determinants. These regularities offer insights about the development and growth pressures that exist in many cities and indicate what directions future development is likely to take. It would be tempting to argue that all of the empirical regularities discovered are consistent with theory, have normative content, or reflect underlying outcomes that are efficient. In many cases this may be true, but care must be taken in drawing such conclusions because some of these stylized facts may be based on technological or demographic factors as much as they are theory or market outcomes.

II. Urbanization and Economic Development

Across countries, there is a strong relation between the level of economic development and the degree of urbanization. Figure 1 shows the relation between GDP per capita (in 1985 purchasing power parity dollars) and percent of the population living in urban areas for 101 countries in both 1960 and 1985. In Figure 1, a line segment connects each country’s position in 1960 with its position in 1985. For every country, the percent urban in 1985 exceeded the percent urban in 1960. Figure 1 shows that the percent of the population living in urban areas
increases rapidly with GDP per capita at low income levels (below $2000) and then much more slowly with income growth at middle and high income levels. The most rapid growth in urban populations thus occurs as countries move from low income to middle income levels. Moreover, the increase in urbanization with income, as illustrated in Figure 1, applies across countries at a single point in time and over time to an individual country experiencing economic growth.

Figure 1: Urbanization increases with income

Urbanization levels rise with income as resources are moved from the primarily rural agricultural sector to the more urbanized industry and service sectors. The attractiveness of urban areas for the location of industry and services stems from scale economies in production
(efficient plant sizes are large), lower transport costs (reduced by clustering activities together),
the modest use of land by industry and services as an input to production (allowing high
densities), externalities among firms (sharing of information), linkages across firms (providing
intermediate inputs to each other), and potential agglomeration economies (because large clusters
of activities use specialized inputs more efficiently).¹

If this relation between development and urbanization continues to hold, global levels of
income countries (GNP per capita less than $765 in 1995) had 56 percent of the world's
population [World Bank, 1997, p. 36], and low income countries as a group have been
experiencing high rates of economic growth. From 1980 to 1990, GNP per capita in low income
countries increased 4.1 percent per year, compared to a global average of 1.5 percent [World
Bank, 1997, pp. 36 and 132]. Projections indicate that in 2010, of the 59 cities in the world with
populations over five million, 47 will be in developing countries [Berghall, 1995, p. 12].

China and India contain nearly two thirds of the population in all low income countries,
and both already have large cities and above average rates of economic growth. If the urban
population of China or India doubles, will their large cities also double in size? Evidence
indicates that existing large cities in large developing countries grow at roughly the same, or a bit
lower, rate as the overall urban population. In addition to country size, the type of government
also influences the growth of large cities. In countries with unitary governments, large cities
have tended to grow faster than the urbanization level, while large city growth has been slower in
countries with federal governments [Mills and Becker, 1986, p. 59]. This suggests that large
Chinese and Indian cities are likely to grow less than in proportion to their countries' overall
urban population, but they will grow in size.
III. The Distribution of Population within Cities

Any analysis of the physical development of a metropolitan area requires an understanding of how the residential population is distributed within the area and how this distribution changes over time. A great deal is known about this both for individual cities over time and across cities at the same point in time [Ingram and Carroll, 1981; Y.J. Lee, 1985; Mills and Tan, 1980; Zhang, 1991]. In industrial countries large cities (over 2.5 million inhabitants) have higher densities than small cities and both large and small cities tend to be decentralized—with population densities that decline slowly as distance from the city center increases. In developing countries, the population densities of large cities also decline slowly as distance from the center increases, whereas the population densities of small cities drop off rapidly. In terms of overall density patterns, large cities in industrial and developing countries are quite similar with high densities and flat density gradients. These patterns are illustrated by Table 1 which shows for selected North American and Latin American cities average intercepts (D) and gradients (b) from the standard density gradient equation, D(x) = D exp(-bx).

Table 1. Average density intercepts and gradients, selected North and Latin American cities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1970</th>
<th>1960</th>
<th>1950</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large cities (&gt;2.5 million)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North American (six)</td>
<td>16,000</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>18,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin American (four)</td>
<td>26,000</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small cities (&lt;2.5 million)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North American (six)</td>
<td>4,400</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>3,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin American (four)</td>
<td>20,500</td>
<td>.26</td>
<td>16,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Densities are persons per square kilometer.
Source: Calculated from Ingram and Carroll, 1981.
Over time, a universal finding is that metropolitan populations have become more decentralized (population density gradients become flatter)—due to the effects of increases in income (promoting housing consumption) and improvements in transport performance (higher speeds and lower costs relative to incomes) [Meyer and Meyer, 1987]. Population growth in large cities usually does not increase the population density of high density areas, but promotes densification of less developed areas and expansion at the urban fringe. In particular, population densities in the most central zones frequently decline as households are displaced by the expansion of other activities. This is a very robust finding in both industrial and developing countries and has been observed in cities as diverse as Bangkok, Bogota, Mexico City, Shanghai, and Tokyo. In the U.S., from a third to a half of large central cities have lost population over the past 25 years [Downs, 1994, Ch. 5; Meyer and Gomez-Ibanez, 1981, Ch. 2].

The preference for large lot single family housing exhibited in the U.S. does not seem to be ubiquitous. It is not readily observed in Europe, and the few analyses of this issue based on household data from developing countries have not found evidence of a preference for large lots [Dowall and Treffeisen, 1991; Ingram, 1984]. Although gross residential densities toward the periphery of large metropolitan areas in developing and industrial countries are similar, the net residential densities in developing countries are typically higher than those in the U.S. [Mohan, 1994].

Development toward the periphery is driven by lower land prices and lower development costs [Meyer and Gomez-Ibanez, 1981]. It is less costly to build on vacant land than to redevelop encumbered sites which requires the expenditure of resources to destroy existing physical assets and the loss of the assets as well. This is economically feasible when transformation will produce large increases in densities or the shift of a parcel from residential to
commercial or industrial use, but it is rare. Even in the U.S. where redevelopment is thought to be endemic, only about half of one percent of existing dwelling units are demolished each year [U.S. Census of Housing]. Peripheral development is also permitted by the wide availability of motorized modes of passenger transport in the cities of both industrial and developing countries. The shift from walking to motorbuses traveling on streets, the most common transit mode in developing countries, typically triples travel speeds from 5 km. per hour to 15 to 20 km. per hour [World Bank, 1986, p. 53]. The shift from motorbus to motorcar (both on common rights of way) typically only doubles speeds--the average door to door speed for work trips by car in the U.S. in 1980 was 38 km. per hour [Downs, 1992, p. 11].

In addition to being more decentralized, the population distribution in large cities is more variegated than in small cities. Large cities in both industrial and developing countries usually have an original center or central business district (CBD), but they also have a number of subcenters which combine to form a polycentric development pattern [Dowall and Treffeisen, 1991]. Small cities, especially in developing countries, are more likely to have a single well-defined center [Ingram and Carroll, 1981]. In addition, households often sort themselves among locations in cities in particular ways. For example, larger households typically prefer larger dwelling units. Since housing prices and rents are lower at the periphery of cities than at the center, large households are often more decentralized than small households. In Bogota, Colombia, for example, the average household size at the center in 1978 was roughly two persons and household size increased regularly with distance from the center, reaching five persons at the periphery [Mohan, 1994]. This pattern is consistent with urban location theory. However, the relation between household income and distance from the center does not have a
consistent pattern in cities in developing countries, although high income households are clearly
decentralizing in many large cities in developing countries (Ingram and Carroll, 1981).

IV. The Distribution of Employment within Cities

Because of data unavailability, fewer studies have examined the spatial distribution of
employment than the spatial distribution of population in cities. Nevertheless, a highly regular
set of findings have emerged. Studies within cities over time indicate that there is a marked
tendency for employment to decentralize--the proportion of jobs in the center falls over time and
most new growth in employment is located out of the center of large cities [Meyer and Gomez-
Ibanez, 1981]. Suburbs in the U.S. contain more than half of all urban jobs and are the site for
three quarters of the new office space [Downs, 1992; Diamond and Noonan, 1996]. Analysis of
U.S. data indicates that industry is attracted by freeways and special facilities such as airports,
but not by central locations [Shukla and Waddell, 1991]. In developing countries, urban
industrial employment exhibits strong patterns of decentralization [K.S. Lee, 1989; Lee and
Choe, 1989; Y.J. Lee, 1985; Hamer, 1985a]. In Shanghai, for example, decentralization stems
from the development of both specialized satellite industrial towns and rural industry. A third of
Shanghai's industrial workers were rural in 1991 [Ning and Yan, 1995]. In Bogota, employment
decentralization seems to be driven mainly by market forces, whereas in Sao Paulo and Seoul,
government policies also encouraged it [K.S. Lee, 1989]. The first study of employment location
in Africa documents a strong pattern of decentralization of manufacturing employment in the
Johannesburg metropolitan area [Rogerson and Rogerson, 1996].

At the same time, employment is typically more centralized in an urban area than is the
population. That is, if a cordon line is drawn at an arbitrary distance from the city center, the
specified area will contain a larger proportion of all urban jobs than of all urban population
[Hamilton, 1982]. This means that the typical commuter in an urban area commutes from a residence more distant from the center to a work place less distant from the center. However, employment is not heavily concentrated in the central business districts of large cities [Meyer and Gomez-Ibanez, 1981]. In the U.S. it is rare to have more than 8 percent of a metropolitan area's jobs located in the central business district. New York City and Washington, D.C. top the list with fourteen and twelve percent respectively; Philadelphia is more typical with seven percent [U.S. Dept. of Transportation, 1975]. In developing countries, the central business districts in large cities are likely to have between ten and twenty percent of all metropolitan employment, but the percentages are falling rapidly as most job growth is located outside of the central business district [K.S. Lee, 1989; Lee and Choe, 1989, Y.J. Lee, 1985].

There are also similar job location patterns within cities by type of industry. Manufacturing employment is more decentralized than service employment [Y.J. Lee, 1985]. Firms have literally changed locations over time, and the annual mobility rates of manufacturing firms in developing and industrial countries are similar at around three to five percent per year [K.S. Lee, 1989]. Printing is the only centralized manufacturing activity in both industrial and developing countries. Moreover, there is a tendency for large manufacturing plants to be more decentralized than small plants, and for areas close to the center to specialize in the location of new, small manufacturing enterprises in so-called "incubator areas" [World Bank, 1991; K.S. Lee, 1989]. The movement of manufacturing firms is often stimulated by the need for more space, better infrastructure services, and improved freight transport by truck [Hamer, 1985a; K.S. Lee, 1989]. The relocation of manufacturing activity also reduces the demand for freight transport in central areas which can reduce central traffic congestion.
As manufacturing jobs move out of the center, they are replaced by service sector jobs. The evolution of service sector jobs in the center is less regular, but in many large cities in developing countries retail activities remain concentrated in the center for some time. Eventually retail activity disperses and is replaced by employment in finance, law and other activities which is less oriented to households but require good communication and face to face contact. In the U.S., retail employment is now widely decentralized [Diamond and Noonan, 1996], whereas such employment is still centralized in many developing countries where large retail establishments are still located centrally [Y.J. Lee, 1985]. The strong decentralization of retail establishments may not occur until auto ownership reaches fairly high levels.

V. Location Patterns and Transportation

There are many reasons why people take trips in urban areas, but trips to work and school are major components of travel. In developing countries the journey to work typically accounts for 40 to 50 percent of urban trips, and trips to school account for another 20 to 35 percent [Mohan, 1994]. Moreover, travel problems are most acute during peak hours, and work trips alone may account for three fourths of peak travel. Accordingly, the work trip travel pattern in cities in developing countries is a key determinant of transport demand and the overall need for added transport capacity. Industrial countries have experienced much growth in non-work travel, so that their work trips may now be less than a third of all trips and half or less of peak hour trips [Meyer and Gomez-Ibanez, 1981; Smail, 1992]. However, for both industrial and developing countries, the patterns of population and employment decentralization, summarized above, obviously have profound implications for transport because they are important determinants of the work trip travel pattern.
Decentralization of both jobs and residences spreads work trip travel flows over a broader area. If all jobs were in the center, there would be high traffic flows on radial routes into the center and high corridor volumes because the transport system would have many origins for work trips but a concentrated destination. As employment disperses from the center, the transport system has to serve many additional destinations. This reduces traffic volumes between origins and the central destination, raises volumes to other destinations, and lowers radial corridor volumes. These changes make serving transport demand with transit systems more costly because transit costs are higher and/or transit service levels are lower at lower levels of corridor passenger flows [Meyer, Kain, and Wohl, 1965]. The increasing costs and decreasing service levels of transit that accompany decentralization lead more travelers in middle and high income countries to use private autos, which further lowers transit passenger volume and further degrades transit performance.

Decentralization of jobs and residences typically reduces transit performance but improves auto performance by reducing average work trip lengths and lowering traffic volumes on radial corridors. Distributing traffic flows more widely across the transport network and reducing radial corridor volumes may reduce congestion. In addition, the decentralization of employment and residences has the potential for reducing the travel distances of commuters [Downs, 1992]. Employment decentralization, in particular, can be envisaged as moving job locations closer to residential locations and improving the jobs/housing balance. The potential for this is illustrated by average travel times from residence to workplace, such as those shown in Table 2, which almost always indicate that work trip travel times are longest for trips from suburban residences to central business district (CBD) work places, and shortest from suburban residences to suburban work places.
Table 2: Average minutes of one-way commuting by location of residence and workplace, U.S., 1980.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residence</th>
<th>Workplace</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>24.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suburb</td>
<td>35.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Downs, 1992, p. 20.

Regression analyses of average commuting times across 82 metropolitan areas in the U.S. demonstrate that greater centralization of employment (measured as the proportion of metropolitan employment in the largest city) increases average work trip commute times [Gordon, Kumar, and Richardson, 1989]. An analysis of Bogota, Colombia indicated that the average distance from home to work remained constant while the city's population grew by 40 percent because of the decentralization of employment [Pineda, 1981]. A comparison of London and Paris, inquiring why residents of the two cities travel nearly identical amounts even though London has 20 percent more people and is much more spread out than Paris, concluded that the greater dispersion of London's population and employment is the key reason [Mogridge, 1986].

The changed traffic volumes that occur as decentralization proceeds, noted above, may require that a wider range of transit modes and service levels be made available. Large cities in developing countries often utilize a variety of on-street transit vehicles ranging from group taxis, jitneys, and vans; through full size buses; to articulated buses [World Bank, 1986; Kain, 1991]. The optimal vehicle size is a function of passenger route volumes and desired headways (time elapsed between vehicles) [Walters, 1979]. In peripheral areas and on the routes with low passenger volumes, it is often economical to use smaller vehicles. This is often observed in developing countries whereas industrial countries typically have less variety in the size and types
of transit vehicles. In the U.S., this is largely due to regulations put in place to protect transit franchises that were granted to private firms [Meyer, Kain, and Wohl, 1965]. More recently, smaller vehicles are used in the U.S. to serve suburban areas and especially the handicapped through dial-a-ride programs [Meyer and Gomez-Ibanez, 1981].

The typical direction of causation in historical studies of metropolitan development has been to view transport as a determinant of land use. Large transit systems built in the late 19th and early 20th century had a major impact on their cities' development patterns, improving access to the central business district and promoting relatively high density development along well defined transit corridors. However, now that job growth in the central business district is low (or negative), particular care must be taken when analyzing transport investments that are strongly oriented to serve the central business district. Transport projects, such as subways and freeways, that increase transport capacity to the central business district are often very expensive because of their separate rights of way and high costs of construction—running to US$100 million per kilometer for an installed subway system and close to that for an urban limited access highway [Kain, 1991; World Bank, 1986, p. 52].

If transport is a determinant of land use development, what impact will the construction of a large transit system have on the development pattern of an existing metropolitan area? Will it increase residential densities or centralize employment? A review of experience with new subways in Montreal, San Francisco, Toronto, and Washington, D.C. found very modest effects on metropolitan development patterns, with some evidence of development around stations in Toronto and Washington, and some evidence of CBD development in Montreal and San Francisco [Meyer and Gomez-Ibanez, 1981]. More recent analyses of experience with new subway systems in the U.S. show that costs have been well above, and ridership levels well
below, forecasts or projections made when the projects were reviewed and approved [Pickrell, 1989]. This has also been the experience of many rail rapid transit systems in developing countries [Fouracre, et al., 1990]. The construction costs of metros in developing countries are so high that they crowd out many other investments and can even have consequences for macroeconomic management. Most systems have operating deficits that severely strain local budgets, as in Pusan and Mexico City [World Bank, 1996; Kain, 1991]. Perhaps the strongest financial performance from a recently constructed subway is in Hong Kong, where fare box revenues cover operating costs and contribute to capital costs [Fouracre, et al., 1990]. Incorrect cost and travel forecasts are also common in new toll road projects, such as those in Mexico, and revenue shortfalls have had severe financial consequences for investors [World Bank, 1994].

As noted above, the pattern of decentralization in older metropolitan areas and the low densities of newer auto-oriented cities make it more costly to serve urban travelers by transit. Moreover, superimposing transit systems on existing cities has had minimal effects on land use patterns. These two facts have led many analysts to argue that land use controls should be used as an instrument to affect urban travel demand. This view is often embodied in reports that recommend more and better land use planning as a solution to urban transport and environmental problems [Diamond and Noonan, 1996]. Sometimes the proposal is explicit, as in an analysis of transport policy for Canberra and Asian cities:

"Unlike...most cities worldwide, all of Canberra's land is in public ownership. This leasehold land tenure system makes possible a much more interventionist style of government in which the approach should be that of the benign and prudent landlord managing a large and complex estate in stewardship for the benefit of present and future generations." [Black, 1992, p. 8]

The notion of using land use controls as an instrument to affect transport demand also stems from cross sectional studies that indicate that low density cities are more auto oriented than high
density cities [Newman and Kenworthy, 1991], and from the debate about wasteful commuting in the U.S. [Hamilton, 1982; Small and Song, 1992].

The most noteworthy attempt to use land use to affect transport has been the development of planned communities that contain a rough balance of both residences and jobs. The hypothesis has been that workers would prefer to live and work in the same community, minimizing their commuting travel. Results have not fulfilled expectations. Studies of British New Towns in 1990 found them to be only 5.4 percent more self contained than comparable towns [Bae and Richardson, 1993, p. 6]. Analysis of planned communities in the U.S. found their residents' commuting patterns to be no different from those in unplanned communities [Downs, 1992, p. 104]. These results should not be surprising. Residential location theory predicts that commuters will commute down the rent gradient, trading off transport costs to gain location rent savings; it does not predict that commuters minimize travel time. The fact that residents of British New Towns commute out (mainly by train) from these towns to their jobs in nearby large cities, and that workers in those towns drive away from their jobs to homes in the surrounding countryside is what residential location theory predicts.

The commuting patterns in planned communities indicate that balancing the spatial distribution of jobs and workers within communities, or focusing only on residential densities or on employment densities, may have little effect on travel patterns that are driven by tradeoffs between travel costs and location rents. Directly controlling household location choices is not very popular where it has been tried. Some local governments require municipal workers to live within the municipality, a requirement that often makes the workers worse off. In addition, the focus on residential densities may omit other aspects of residential choice that strongly affect household welfare. For example, the analysis by Newman and Kenworthy relates gasoline use in
metropolitan areas to their average densities, and finds that low density areas consume more gasoline. However, the high density metropolitan areas with low gasoline use also typically have high housing prices and low consumption of residential floor space per person. High gasoline consumption is associated with high housing space consumption (see Figure 2).

**Figure 2: Gasoline Consumption and Residential Floor Area per Person**

![Gasoline Consumption and Residential Floor Area per Person](image)

*Note: Low gasoline use is associated with residential crowding: 89 percent of the variation in per capita gasoline use is explained by the square of floor area per person.*

*Source: Calculated from data on gasoline use derived from Newman and Kenworthy 1991, and data on floor area from World Bank, 1996, p. 61.*

Using land use controls as an instrument to affect transport demand in developing countries would not likely succeed. Many of these countries have elaborate systems of zoning and land use controls on the books, but very little enforcement capacity [Hayashi, et al., 1992; Miyamoto and Udomsri, 1992]. In many cities in developing countries, up to half of the dwellings that are constructed each year are illegal [World Bank, 1993]. Roughly 60 percent of the houses now existing in Bogota were originally illegal [Mohan, 1994; Hamer, 1985b]. Land use changes have also been analyzed as a means of improving air quality in urban areas. The
conclusion: changing spatial structure is an inefficient way to improve urban air quality, and raising densities may increase exposure to high pollutant concentrations [Bae and Richardson, 1993].

VI. Land Markets

Many of the empirical generalizations or stylized facts summarized above come from cities that have land markets which function more or less well. The outcomes obtained result from the actions of decentralized decision makers in a market setting as well as the influence of other factors such as technical change and the durability of structures. Location theory shows that the declining density gradients are systematically related to underlying land rent gradients. It predicts that the land rent gradients will be less steep than the density gradients because capital is substituted for land in the production of housing [Mills, 1972, pp. 79-84]. The strong empirical regularities found with population densities suggest that there are similar regularities in land rent patterns. However, land rent data are rare. The relatively few empirical studies dealing directly with land rents tend to obtain results consistent with theory [e.g., Ingram, 1982; Mills and Song, 1977; Mohan and Villamizar, 1982].

An indirect test of the relation between population densities and land rents is illustrated by "the exception that proves the rule." At least one city, Moscow, developed over a period of 70 years with no underlying land market, and its population density pattern differs dramatically from that in other cities [Bertaud and Renaud, 1995]. Figure 3, comparing density patterns in Moscow and Paris, shows that Moscow has a density gradient that actually increases from the center. The reduction in population density in Moscow from 4 to 8 kilometers from the center reflects a ring of industrial land use in that area. The lack of a land market to allocate land or
promote its redevelopment, and the presence of administrative procedures that promoted the
hoarding of inputs, produced a startling industrial land use pattern. Not only is industry located
close to the center, industrial land use occupies 31.5 percent of the total built-up land in Moscow.
This compares with 5 to 6 percent in most large cities. This land was used for plants and to
"warehouse" industrial inputs and outputs, and it seems that industrial land was being
warehoused as well. The relative regularity of population density patterns in other cities--which
have land markets of widely varying efficiency--suggests that even poorly functioning land
markets have a strong effect on metropolitan spatial structure relative to no land market at all.

**Figure 3: Residential Densities in Moscow and Paris**

![Diagram of Comparative Population Density Gradient](image)

Source: Institute of Master Plan of Moscow 1992

Like other prices in an economy, land prices perform two roles: they have an allocative
function and a distributive function. In their allocative role, land prices indicate the value of land
to producers and signal how land should be used. In their distributive role, land rents and
increases in land values produce returns to land owners. When land prices are high, they indicate
that the land should be developed intensively and/or be occupied by an activity that highly values
the site. In residential use, for example, high land values signal that land be developed at high
residential densities. At some sites, the value of land in non-residential use may exceed its value
in residential use, and only non-residential uses can afford the site. Objections to the income to
land owners produced by rising land prices have led some countries to intervene directly in the
land market in ways that have proven to be counter productive, with India’s Urban Land Ceiling
Act a noteworthy example [World Bank, 1993, p.29]. Rather than intervening in the land market
directly, it is possible to gain the advantages of the allocative role of land prices and moderate the
impact of the distributive role of land prices by taxing incomes earned from land rent or land
sale.

In developing countries, the observation often made that land rents are "too high," but a
diagnosis of pathology requires a theory of health. How high should land rents be? Relative
land rents in urban areas vary by location. High rents are observed at locations that are easily
accessible and have high concentrations of economic activity, and land rents and densities are
closely related, as noted earlier. Often the center of an urban area is the most accessible location
and has the highest land rents and densities. This common sense (and theoretically sound)
approach explains relative land rents in a city, but what explains the total value of land rent in a
city or its average level? Empirical work on this topic is not extensive, but there is suggestive
evidence that land rents at the national level absorb a roughly constant share of output⁴, and that
the total value of land rents in a city varies directly with the value of the economic product
produced in the city [Ingram, 1982]. Economic growth in a metropolitan area will therefore raise
aggregate land rents and values.
VII. Housing, Residential Location, and Labor Markets

Urban housing and residential location have been the subject of much theorizing and empirical work. Both theory and empirics indicate that household residential locations are systematically determined relative to the household's workplaces. The direction of causation is not clear. We do not know if households choose their workplace and their residence simultaneously or in a particular order [Waddell, 1993]. However, there are strong regularities. As noted in section V above, the distance from home to work is usually greater for workers employed at the city center than for those employed elsewhere in the metropolitan area, and the average distance from home to work is shorter, the further from the center is the workplace. In addition, workers tend to live and work in the same radial corridor of the city [Meyer, Kain, and Wohl, 1965; Mohan, 1994]. These patterns, which exist in both industrial and developing countries, provide some guidance for planners (and developers) who are trying to locate new residential developments or industrial parks in expanding metropolitan areas.

Much empirical work has been done on housing demand and the expenditures that households make on housing in urban housing markets. A major and very robust result in both industrial and developing countries is that the proportion of income spent on housing by households within a particular city is higher for low income households than for high income households [Mayo, et al, 1986; Malpezzi and Mayo, 1987]. This is illustrated by Figure 4, which also shows that the city-wide average share of income spent on housing rises with overall average city income levels.

In the jargon of economics, the demand for housing is inelastic with respect to income at a fixed point in time, but may be elastic over time as incomes grow. It is noteworthy that the average city-wide share of income spent on housing peaks for middle income developing
countries and is lower in industrial countries. This pattern is similar to that displayed by the share of GDP invested in housing [Burns and Grebler, 1976; Annez and Wheaton, 1984; World Bank, 1993, p.102]. In addition, housing expenditures increase somewhat with family size because larger families buy larger units than smaller families [Malpezzi and Mayo, 1987]. These empirical regularities allow us to predict how much different households would be willing to pay for housing or what the distributional impact would be of a housing or property tax, but it is less useful for predicting the income level of households living in dwelling units with particular rents. In particular, we often find relatively high income households living in housing units in areas where rents are low. This means that low rent neighborhoods are often not necessarily effective location proxies for low income households [Ingram, 1984].

**Figure 4: Patterns of Spending on Housing**

Relative to the work done on housing demand, there are few analyses of housing supply. The work that has been done indicates that housing construction is typically a very competitive industry that uses simple technologies and has few barriers to entry [Mohan, 1994], although distortions in the land market can concentrate market power in a few firms [World Bank, 1993]. In many cases households can help construct their own units and many do [Hamer, 1985b]. Industry studies indicate that the largest construction firms have a small proportion of the market [Ingram, 1982]. This is not always true of the construction materials industry which can be non-competitive, particularly for materials such as cement and plumbing fixtures in developing countries [Berghall, 1995, p. 64; World Bank, 1993, p. 139]. Analyses of the cost of building a standard housing unit across developing countries indicate that construction costs vary much less than incomes. For example, construction costs only double across countries whose percapita income levels differ by a factor of five [World Bank, 1993, p. 80].

Impediments to efficient housing supply involve much more than construction inefficiencies. In many developing countries infrastructure provision is carried out by the public sector and is not responsive to demand [World Bank, 1994, pp. 30-31]. Serviced land ready for development often commands a scarcity premium well above the cost of providing infrastructure [Green, et al., 1994; World Bank, 1993, p. 81]. Unreasonably high construction standards and restrictive land use and zoning regulations can raise housing costs dramatically [Angel and Mayo, 1996]. Regulatory hurdles and procedures can take vast amounts of time--subdivision and titling of lots were estimated to take between five and seven years in Malaysia in the mid-1980s [World Bank, 1993, p. 85]. Across varying regulatory regimes, average ratios of housing prices to household incomes vary from a low of 2.5 in Bangkok to a high of from five to seven in Seoul or Kuala Lumpur [ibid.].
It has long been evident to urban analysts that an urban labor market can be viewed as the dual of an urban housing market. Housing analysts often take the location of the workplace as fixed and study residential location and housing choice in terms of the travel cost-location rent tradeoff. A similar approach can be taken to urban labor markets: assume that residential location is fixed and study employment location and job choice in terms of tradeoffs between travel cost and wage differences across work places. After all, individuals change their jobs roughly twice as often as they change their residence [Simpson, 1992, Ch. 2].

Very little empirical work has been done using this approach to urban labor markets. This is partly because the urban wage gradient is much flatter than the land price gradient, and partly because of the heterogeneity of both workers and jobs [Moses, 1962]. Recent work on urban labor markets based on search theory has yielded testable predictions--such as that more skilled workers will search more broadly across the urban labor market and therefore have longer commutes [Simpson, 1992]. The predictions of these search models have reasonable empirical support, but additional work is needed to gain insights about what can be done at the metropolitan level to improve urban labor market outcomes.

VIII. Infrastructure and Basic Services

Cities in industrial and developing countries vary greatly with respect to the efficiency of their infrastructure provision [World Bank, 1994]. Infrastructure investment, maintenance funds, and the services themselves have been provided by the public sector in most countries until recently. This is now changing in both industrial and developing countries, and private sector involvement in infrastructure provision is increasing. Latin American countries are privatizing infrastructure services such as telecommunications, electric power, and transport services, while in East Asia private investment is financing a greater share of infrastructure investment needs.
through a variety of concessions and contracts [Ingram and Kessides, 1995]. Case studies indicate that privatizing firms and using private contractors for infrastructure construction, operation, or maintenance is less costly and more efficient than having such work done by public employees [Galal, et al., 1994; Gyamfi, et al., 1992; Heseltine and Silcock, 1990; Newbery and Pollitt, 1996].

The financial arrangements to pay for infrastructure vary from user fees to general tax revenues. Infrastructure agencies which utilize user fees and which can alter the fees to cover the costs of service have fewer financial problems, are more likely to extend service, and are more efficient than agencies that have to rely on general tax revenues for support [World Bank, 1994]. In some cases, revenue from user fees covers investment costs as well as operating costs.

Some infrastructure agencies have had success using betterment fees to pay for infrastructure investments. Experience indicates that households are willing to pay such fees only for infrastructure investments that directly benefit them such as connecting their house to a water main, paving the sidewalk in front of their house, or installing local street lights. Households are not willing to pay betterment fees for infrastructure investments that are near them but which have many beneficiaries, such as the improvement of nearby arterial streets [Mohan, 1994; World Bank, 1988]].

Particular attention must be paid to the infrastructure needs of industry in order to increase economic productivity. Infrastructure services such as electricity and transport are important intermediate inputs to enterprises, and the quality and reliability of infrastructure services can be an important determinant of a firm's location [K.S. Lee, 1989; Lee and Anas, 1992]. The efficiency of infrastructure service provision varies across countries but is not related to per capita GDP, and within a country the efficiency of service production in one
infrastructure sector tells us virtually nothing about the efficiency of service production in another [World Bank, 1994]. These two findings suggest that the organization and incentives within infrastructure sectors are primary determinants of the quality of infrastructure services that are produced.

Recent macro-level studies indicate that infrastructure services contribute significantly to economic growth in the U.S. [Aschauer, 1989; Munnell, 1992], and the results from other countries are mixed [Canning and Fay, 1993; Ford and Poret, 1991]. The returns estimated in some of these studies are often surprisingly (and unbelievably) large, but they are very sensitive to specification and the level of aggregation of the data [Holtz-Eakin, 1992; Gramlich, 1994]. There is still substantial disagreement among analysts about why this is the case. The results from these macro-level studies are not solid enough to provide guidance for designing infrastructure investment policies or programs. The best estimate of the return of an infrastructure investment in a metropolitan area continues to be a comprehensive benefit-cost analysis at the investment project level.

IX. Potential Sources of Regularities

The findings summarized here are based mainly on studies that have been carried out in market based or mixed economies. There are many common behavioral patterns exhibited by households and firms in urban areas across industrial and developing countries. In many cases even the behavioral parameter estimates--such as the elasticities in housing demand or mode choice equations--are very similar across countries with very different income levels. These similarities are often so striking that they demand an explanation. One obvious explanation is that across countries households are fundamentally similar; in economic terms, they have similar utility functions.
A second possible explanation for the empirical regularities across urban areas is embodied in the analysis underlying purchasing power parity estimates of GDP across countries which focuses on the determinants of the prices of tradable versus non-tradable goods [Kravis, et al., 1978]. Most urban services are non-tradables. The prices of tradables are set internationally whereas the prices of non-tradables are mainly a function of domestic income levels. This implies that the ratio of the prices of many urban services to each other and to local incomes will not vary greatly across countries. Given the underlying similarities of households (and of household utility functions) across countries, the similarities of urban service relative price ratios and price/income ratios are likely to produce similar behavior in economic explanations involving urban goods and services.

**X. Conclusion**

The development pattern of cities in developing and industrial countries with market-based economies exhibit similar patterns of decentralization of both population and employment, with the largest metropolitan areas converging to similarly decentralized structures with multiple subcenters, highly decentralized manufacturing employment, and emerging specialization of the central business district in service employment. Cities in developing countries typically have somewhat higher population densities than those in industrial countries, but the differences have been narrowing over time in the largest metropolitan areas.

Decentralization of population and employment increases reliance on road-based transport for both passengers and freight. Industrial countries have experienced decreases in transit use as auto ownership levels have risen. Many developing countries show early signs of a similar pattern, although their transit ridership levels are still high and their transit systems often offer a rich mix of options in terms of vehicle sizes and levels of service.
Land markets are strong determinants of decentralization, and cities without land markets exhibit quite different development patterns from cities with even poorly functioning land markets. In market based cities, land rents are closely related to development densities, although empirical work on land rents and values is relatively rare because of a lack of data. Analyses of urban housing markets indicate that demand patterns are very similar across cities in developing and industrial countries, but that supply side impediments vary widely--resulting in a wide range of ratios of housing prices to incomes. Similarly, the efficiency of public sector infrastructure provision varies widely across cities, and across sectors within cities.

The coming decades will see an increase in global urbanization with most of the increase taking place in low income countries which contain in 1995 nearly 60 percent of the world's population. Many of these countries already have large metropolitan areas whose populations will continue to grow as urbanization increases.
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**Endnotes**

1 For a detailed description of the causes of urbanization, see Henderson, 1985.

2 Results for Bangkok and Mexico are from the author's own analysis. Other sources are Bogota [Mohan, 1994], Shanghai [Ning and Yan, 1995], and Tokyo [Zhang, 1991].

3 A classic study of the impact of transport on urban development analyzes Boston [Warner, 1970].

4 In the U.S. land rents absorbed 7.7 percent of national income in 1850 and 6.4 percent in 1956 [Mills, 1972, p. 49].
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Contact for paper</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WPS1815 Unfair Trade? Empirical Evidence in World Commodity Markets Over the Past 25 Years</td>
<td>Jacques Morisset</td>
<td>August 1997</td>
<td>N. Busjeet 33997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WPS1816 Returns to Regionalism: An Evaluation of Nontraditional Gains from Regional Trade Agreements</td>
<td>Raquel Fernandez</td>
<td>August 1997</td>
<td>J. Ngaine 37947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WPS1817 Should Core Labor Standards Be Imposed through International Trade Policy?</td>
<td>Keith E. Maskus</td>
<td>August 1997</td>
<td>J. Ngaine 37947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WPS1818 What Affects the Russian Regional Governments' Propensity to Subsidize?</td>
<td>Lev Freinkman, Michael Haney</td>
<td>August 1997</td>
<td>N. Campos 38541</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WPS1819 The Argentine Pension Reform and Its Relevance for Eastern Europe</td>
<td>Dimitri Vittas</td>
<td>August 1997</td>
<td>P. Infante 37642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WPS1820 Private Pension Funds in Argentina's New Integrated Pension System</td>
<td>Dimitri Vittas</td>
<td>August 1997</td>
<td>P. Infante 37642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WPS1821 The &quot;IPO-Plus&quot;: A New Approach to Privatization</td>
<td>Itzhak Goldberg, Gregory Jedrzejczak, Michael Fuchs</td>
<td>August 1997</td>
<td>I. Goldberg 36289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WPS1822 Intergovernmental Fiscal Transfers in Nine Countries: Lessons for Developing Countries</td>
<td>Jun Ma</td>
<td>September 1997</td>
<td>C. Ima 35856</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WPS1823 Antidumping in Law and Practice</td>
<td>Raj Krishna</td>
<td>September 1997</td>
<td>A. Bobbio 81518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WPS1825 Current Accounts in Debtor and Creditor Countries</td>
<td>Aart Kraay, Jaume Ventura</td>
<td>September 1997</td>
<td>R. Martin 39026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WPS1826 Standards and Conformity Assessment as Nontariff Barriers to Trade</td>
<td>Sherry M. Stephenson</td>
<td>September 1997</td>
<td>M. Pateña 39515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WPS1827 The Determinants of Agricultural Production: A Cross-Country Analysis</td>
<td>Yair Mundiak, Don Larson, Ritz Butzer</td>
<td>September 1997</td>
<td>P. Kokila 33716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Author</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Contact for paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WPS1828 The Determinants of Banking Crises: Evidence from Developed and Developing Countries</td>
<td>Asli Demirgüç-Kunt Enrica Detragiache</td>
<td>September 1997</td>
<td>P. Sintim-Aboagye 38526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WPS1829 Economic Reform and progress in Latin America and the Caribbean</td>
<td>Norman Loayza Luisa Palacios</td>
<td>September 1997</td>
<td>E. Khine 37471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WPS1831 How Trade Patterns and Technology Flows Affect Productivity Growth</td>
<td>Wolfgang Keller</td>
<td>September 1997</td>
<td>J. Ngaine 37947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WPS1832 Pension Reform in Bolivia: Innovative Solutions to Common Problems</td>
<td>Hermann von Gersdorff</td>
<td>September 1997</td>
<td>C. Pavlak 82099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WPS1833 Cost Recovery and Pricing of Payment Services</td>
<td>David B. Humphrey Robert H. Keppler Fernando Montes-Negret</td>
<td>October 1997</td>
<td>T. Ishibe 38968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WPS1835 Cost-Benefit Analysis of the Global Dracunculiasis Eradication Campaign</td>
<td>Aehyung Kim Ajay Tandon Ernesto Ruiz-Tiben</td>
<td>October 1997</td>
<td>A. Kim 35029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WPS1836 Health and Labor Productivity: The Economic Impact of Onchocercal Skin Disease</td>
<td>Aehyung Kim Ajay Tandon Asrat Hairu and Others</td>
<td>October 1997</td>
<td>A. Kim 35029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WPS1838 The Limits and Merits of Participation</td>
<td>Paulo Vieira da Cunha Maria Valeria Junho Pena</td>
<td>October 1997</td>
<td>E. Thomas 31019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WPS1839 The Effects of Financial Liberalization and New Bank Entry on Market Structure and Competition in Turkey</td>
<td>Cevdet Denizer</td>
<td>November 1997</td>
<td>E. Khine 37471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WPS1840 Efficient Public Sector Downsizing</td>
<td>Martin Rama</td>
<td>November 1997</td>
<td>S. Fallon 38009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>