Program Development Objectives

Program Development Objective (from Program-for-Results Appraisal Document)
To strengthen capacity of core national and county institutions to improve delivery of devolved services at the county level.

Overall Ratings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Previous Rating</th>
<th>Current Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Progress towards achievement of PDO</td>
<td>Moderately Satisfactory</td>
<td>Moderately Satisfactory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Implementation Progress (IP)</td>
<td>Moderately Satisfactory</td>
<td>Moderately Satisfactory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Risk Rating</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Implementation Status and Key Decisions

The Kenya Devolution Support Program-for-Results was approved by the Board on March 15, 2016, followed by signing of the credit by the National Treasury in April 2016. The program became effective on September 15, 2016. A full program secretariat is in place.

KDSP implementation has picked up momentum, starting very well with all county governments signing into the program followed by rounds of sensitization and submission of capacity building plans by county governments and implementing agencies at the national level.

The program has registered the following achievements: (i) the first evidence of verified Program results against the agreed disbursement linked indicators (DLIs) was furnished by Government and cleared by the Bank, triggering a disbursement of SDR 18.68 Million in June 2017. County Governments will receive their first 2016 capacity building grants in FY2016/2017—this will follow as soon as confirmation by National Treasury is received that these program resources have been factored in the CARA for 2017/18. (ii) Program communication and coordination among key stakeholders, especially with Council of Governors and Counties has improved. (iii) the first Annual Capacity and Performance Assessment (ACPA) is currently under implementation and the procurement for next year’s ACPA has been initiated. The first ACPAs is the basis of releasing of performance grants to county governments in FY 2017/18. (iv) National Treasury reached an agreement with the Senate on a process for inclusion of County Capacity Building and Performance Grants in the County Allocation of Revenue Act (CARA). KDSP grants for FY2018/2019 have already been reflected in the national budget and the County Allocation Revenue Bill. (v) The program through the implementing agencies and Kenya School of Government has rolled out several training programs contributing to building capacity and systems in county governments.

Data on Financial Performance

Disbursements (by loan)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Loan/Credit/TF</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Currency</th>
<th>Original</th>
<th>Revised</th>
<th>Cancelled</th>
<th>Disbursed</th>
<th>Undisbursed</th>
<th>Disbursed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P149129</td>
<td>IDA-57650</td>
<td>Effective</td>
<td>XDR</td>
<td>144.40</td>
<td>144.40</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>18.68</td>
<td>125.72</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Key Dates (by loan)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Loan/Credit/TF</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Approval Date</th>
<th>Signing Date</th>
<th>Effectiveness Date</th>
<th>Orig. Closing Date</th>
<th>Rev. Closing Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P149129</td>
<td>IDA-57650</td>
<td>Effective</td>
<td>15-Mar-2016</td>
<td>15-Apr-2016</td>
<td>15-Sep-2016</td>
<td>31-Dec-2020</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Risks

Systematic Operations Risk-rating Tool

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Category</th>
<th>Rating at Approval</th>
<th>Previous Rating</th>
<th>Current Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Political and Governance</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macroeconomic</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Substantial</td>
<td>Substantial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sector Strategies and Policies</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Substantial</td>
<td>Substantial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical Design of Project or Program</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Substantial</td>
<td>Substantial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutional Capacity for Implementation and Sustainability</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Substantial</td>
<td>Substantial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiduciary</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment and Social</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stakeholders</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Disbursement Linked Indicators (DLI)

▶ DLI 5: National Treasury implements annual planned activities to strengthen countrywide frameworks and systems and to address county capacity gaps (Text)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DLI 5</th>
<th>Development of 6-month FY 15 (Jan-June 2016) CB plan underway</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Actual (Previous)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>18-Feb-2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: ongoing.

▶ DLI 4: MoPSYGA implements annual planned activities to strengthen countrywide frameworks and systems and to address county capacity gaps (Text)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DLI 4</th>
<th>Development of 6-month FY 15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Actual (Previous)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### DLI 1: Office of the Auditor General submits audit reports on time and in compliance with ISSAI for all counties that have submitted financial statements in compliance with the PFMA and prevailing acc (Text)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Actual (Previous)</th>
<th>Actual (Current)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18-Feb-2016</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Audits have not been submitted. This DLI has delayed in achieving its objective for Year 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Audits have delayed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### DLI 2: Introduction and timely implementation of Annual Capacity & Performance Assessments by MoDP (Text)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Actual (Previous)</th>
<th>Actual (Current)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18-Feb-2016</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>first ACPA is ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>First ACPA has started. Firm commenced the task and it is expected the assessment will be completed in 13 weeks time.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### DLI 3: MoDP implements annual planned activities to strengthen countrywide frameworks and systems and to address county capacity gaps (Text)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Actual (Previous)</th>
<th>Actual (Current)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18-Feb-2016</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Preparation of CB Plan underway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ongoing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(jan-june 2016) CB Plan underway
### DLI 6: Kenya School of Government implements annual planned activities to address county capacity gaps

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Actual (Previous)</th>
<th>Actual (Current)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>18-Feb-2016</td>
<td>29-Dec-2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments**
ongoing

**Development of 6-month FY 15 (Jan-June 2016) CB plan underway**

### DLI 7: Counties have undergone annual capacity & performance assessment and met access conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Actual (Previous)</th>
<th>Actual (Current)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>18-Feb-2016</td>
<td>29-Jun-2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments**
performance assessment has not yet started.

### DLI 8: Counties have undergone annual capacity and performance assessment and have met minimum access conditions and minimum performance conditions for grant funding and implemented projects according

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Actual (Previous)</th>
<th>Actual (Current)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>18-Feb-2016</td>
<td>29-Jun-2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments**
performance assessment has not yet started.

---

**Results Area**

**Intermediate Results Area**

- Building county-wide institutional capacity for devolution
- Capacity and Performance Based Grants - County institutional performance
## Project Development Objective Indicators

**PDO Indicator 1:** Counties have strengthened institutional performance as demonstrated in the ACPA - Score in the ACPA for institutional performance of participating counties (average across all counti (Percentage, Custom)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Actual (Previous)</th>
<th>Actual (Current)</th>
<th>End Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Actual (Previous)</th>
<th>Actual (Current)</th>
<th>End Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18-Feb-2016</td>
<td>29-Dec-2016</td>
<td>20-Jun-2017</td>
<td>31-Dec-2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments**
- First ACPA is being initiated. No ACPA scores available yet.

**PDO Indicator 2:** MC-Number of counties which comply with the minimum performance conditions (DLI 8) (Number, Custom)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Actual (Previous)</th>
<th>Actual (Current)</th>
<th>End Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>35.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Actual (Previous)</th>
<th>Actual (Current)</th>
<th>End Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18-Feb-2016</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>20-Jun-2017</td>
<td>31-Dec-2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments**
- First ACPA is being initiated. No ACPA scores available yet.

## Overall Comments

## Intermediate Results Indicators

**IR Indicator 1.1:** Number of months taken to produce a full set of audits of financial statements of counties (Months, Custom)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Actual (Previous)</th>
<th>Actual (Current)</th>
<th>End Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Actual (Previous)</th>
<th>Actual (Current)</th>
<th>End Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18-Feb-2016</td>
<td>29-Dec-2016</td>
<td>20-Jun-2017</td>
<td>31-Dec-2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicator</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Actual (Previous)</td>
<td>Actual (Current)</td>
<td>End Target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR Indicator 1.2: ACPA and value for money audits completed on time (DLI 2)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>18-Feb-2016</td>
<td>30-Dec-2016</td>
<td>20-Jun-2017</td>
<td>31-Dec-2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR Indicator 1.3: Annual capacity building plans for county governments are completed (DLI 3)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>18-Feb-2016</td>
<td>29-Dec-2016</td>
<td>20-Jun-2017</td>
<td>31-Dec-2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR Indicator 1.3: Planned MoDP Capacity Building activities are implemented according to the annual implementation plan (DLI 3)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>18-Feb-2016</td>
<td>29-Dec-2016</td>
<td>20-Jun-2017</td>
<td>31-Dec-2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR Indicator 1.4: Annual HRM capacity building activities for county governments are completed (DLI 4)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>18-Feb-2016</td>
<td>29-Dec-2016</td>
<td>20-Jun-2017</td>
<td>31-Dec-2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR Indicator 1.4: Planned DPSM capacity building activities are implemented according to annual implementation plan (DLI 4)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>18-Feb-2016</td>
<td>29-Jun-2016</td>
<td>20-Jun-2017</td>
<td>31-Dec-2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### IR Indicator 1.5: Annual PFM capacity building activities for county governments are completed (DLI 5) (Yes/No, Custom)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Actual (Previous)</th>
<th>Actual (Current)</th>
<th>End Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>18-Feb-2016</td>
<td>29-Dec-2016</td>
<td>20-Jun-2017</td>
<td>31-Dec-2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### IR Indicator 1.5: Planned NT PFM capacity building activities are implemented according to annual implementation plan (DLI 5) (Yes/No, Custom)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Actual (Previous)</th>
<th>Actual (Current)</th>
<th>End Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>18-Feb-2016</td>
<td>29-Dec-2016</td>
<td>20-Jun-2017</td>
<td>31-Dec-2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### IR Indicator 1.6: Kenya School of Government implements annual planned activities to address county capacity gaps (DLI 6) (Yes/No, Custom)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Actual (Previous)</th>
<th>Actual (Current)</th>
<th>End Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>18-Feb-2016</td>
<td>29-Dec-2016</td>
<td>20-Jun-2017</td>
<td>31-Dec-2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### IR Indicator 1.6: Planned KSG capacity building activities are implemented according to the annual implementation plan (DLI 6) (Yes/No, Custom)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Actual (Previous)</th>
<th>Actual (Current)</th>
<th>End Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>18-Feb-2016</td>
<td>29-Dec-2016</td>
<td>20-Jun-2017</td>
<td>31-Dec-2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### IR Indicator 1.7: Inter-Governmental Relations Strengthened (Number, Custom)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Actual (Previous)</th>
<th>Actual (Current)</th>
<th>End Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>35.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>18-Feb-2016</td>
<td>29-Jun-2016</td>
<td>20-Jun-2017</td>
<td>31-Dec-2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: not yet assessed.
### IR Indicator 2.1: Strengthened County PFM capacity. Average (for all counties) aggregate deviation between budget and outturn (average across all sectors) reduced by: (Percentage, Custom)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Actual (Previous)</th>
<th>Actual (Current)</th>
<th>End Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>18-Feb-2016</td>
<td>29-Dec-2016</td>
<td>20-Jun-2017</td>
<td>31-Dec-2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments
not yet assessed.

### IR Indicator 2.1: Strengthened county PFM capacity. Value of Audit queries as % of total expenditures reduced by: (Percentage, Custom)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Actual (Previous)</th>
<th>Actual (Current)</th>
<th>End Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>18-Feb-2016</td>
<td>29-Dec-2016</td>
<td>20-Jun-2017</td>
<td>31-Dec-2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments
not yet assessed

### IR Indicator 2.1: Strengthened county PFM capacity. Number of counties with 25 steps in the IFMIS procurement process adhered to by: (Percentage, Custom)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Actual (Previous)</th>
<th>Actual (Current)</th>
<th>End Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>18-Feb-2016</td>
<td>29-Dec-2016</td>
<td>20-Jun-2017</td>
<td>31-Dec-2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments
not yet assessed
## IR Indicator 2.2: Improved Planning and M&E capacities. Number of CIDPs that adhere to guidelines increased by: (Percentage, Custom)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Actual (Previous)</th>
<th>Actual (Current)</th>
<th>End Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>18-Feb-2016</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>20-Jun-2017</td>
<td>31-Dec-2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: not yet assessed

## IR Indicator 2.2: Improved Planning and M&E capacities. Number of Counties producing County Annual Progress Reports on time (September 30) by: (Percentage, Custom)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Actual (Previous)</th>
<th>Actual (Current)</th>
<th>End Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>18-Feb-2016</td>
<td>29-Dec-2016</td>
<td>20-Jun-2017</td>
<td>31-Dec-2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: not yet assessed

## IR Indicator 2.2: Improved Planning and M&E Capacities. Number of counties where the county M&E Committee (COMECE) meets regularly increased by: (Percentage, Custom)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Actual (Previous)</th>
<th>Actual (Current)</th>
<th>End Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>18-Feb-2016</td>
<td>29-Jun-2016</td>
<td>20-Jun-2017</td>
<td>31-Dec-2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: not yet assessed

## IR Indicator 2.3: Improved HR and performance management capacity. Number of counties with staff performance appraisal process operationalized increased by: (Amount(USD), Custom)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Actual (Previous)</th>
<th>Actual (Current)</th>
<th>End Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
IR Indicator 2.3: Improved HR and performance management capacity. Number of counties with performance contracts for level 1 (and or 2) increased by: (Amount(USD), Custom)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Actual (Previous)</th>
<th>Actual (Current)</th>
<th>End Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>18-Feb-2016</td>
<td>29-Jun-2016</td>
<td>20-Jun-2017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: not yet assessed

IR Indicator 2.4: Strengthened citizen education and public participation at the county level. Number of counties with established and functional civic education units increased by: (Percentage, Custom)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Actual (Previous)</th>
<th>Actual (Current)</th>
<th>End Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>18-Feb-2016</td>
<td>29-Jun-2016</td>
<td>20-Jun-2017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: not yet assessed

IR Indicator 2.4: Strengthened citizen education and public participation at the county level. Number of counties with established and functional civic education units increased by: (Percentage, Custom)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Actual (Previous)</th>
<th>Actual (Current)</th>
<th>End Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>18-Feb-2016</td>
<td>30-Jun-2016</td>
<td>20-Jun-2017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PHINDIRITBL

IR Indicator 2.4: Strengthened citizen education and public participation at the county level. Number of counties with evidence of citizen input in plans and budgets increased by (Percentage, Custom)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Actual (Previous)</th>
<th>Actual (Current)</th>
<th>End Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>18-Feb-2016</td>
<td>29-Jun-2016</td>
<td>20-Jun-2017</td>
<td>31-Dec-2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PHINDIRITBL

IR Indicator 2.4: Strengthened citizen education and public participation at the county level. Number of counties with the following documents published online: CIDP, ADP, Annual Budget, Fiscal Strat (Percentage, Custom)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Actual (Previous)</th>
<th>Actual (Current)</th>
<th>End Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>18-Feb-2016</td>
<td>29-Jun-2016</td>
<td>20-Jun-2017</td>
<td>31-Dec-2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PHINDIRITBL

IR Indicator 2.5: Improved investment implementation and value-for-money. Number of counties that prepare Annual Environmental and Social Audits/reports increased by (Percentage, Custom)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Actual (Previous)</th>
<th>Actual (Current)</th>
<th>End Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>18-Feb-2016</td>
<td>29-Jun-2016</td>
<td>20-Jun-2017</td>
<td>31-Dec-2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PHINDIRITBL

IR Indicator 2.5: Improved investment implementation and value-for-money. Number of counties projects with a satisfactory value-for-money level increased by (Percentage, Custom)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Actual (Previous)</th>
<th>Actual (Current)</th>
<th>End Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>18-Feb-2016</td>
<td>29-Jun-2016</td>
<td>20-Jun-2017</td>
<td>31-Dec-2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall Comments
Overall, KDSP implementation started reasonably well given that it seeks to address institutional challenges to make devolution work in Kenya to enhance service delivery to the citizens. The enthusiasm about the program was very high at the start and is still indeed very high among county governments. All counties signed up for the program and also submitted their capacity building programs. National level agencies too submitted their capacity building programs and the process of assessing and verifying these capacity building plans was completed, triggering the first disbursement in the amount of roughly USD 24.8 Million, higher than the target set at appraisal for this time in implementation of the program.
However, the program also faces some formidable challenges, namely, (i) resolving the issue of delayed county audits by the office of the...
auditor general; (ii) securing program resources in the Division of Revenue Bill and County Allocation of Revenue Bill—a process that has to be negotiated and safeguarded every year; (iii) ensuring that key program implementing agencies have adequate program budget to implement the program; and (iv) delays in the implementation of the first annual capacity and performance assessment caused by procurement challenges at the program secretariat.

Because of the structural challenges, including whether it is possible or not to amend the CARA for 2016 (and if so, how soon) to incorporate the program resources in the amount of USD 15 million that the National Treasury had budgeted for in the 2016 National Budget, County Governments have not yet received their share of program resources despite having fulfilled program requirements and delivering against the program DLI. In the next FY 2017/18, the good news is that USD 55 Million has been captured in the DORA and CARA and hence there is a high expectation that counties will receive their share of program resources as soon as the annual performance assessment process is completed.

The task team is working closely with the counterpart, program implementation secretariat and all key stakeholders to address the implementation challenges noted above. On each of the challenges noted above, there is progress in addressing them and we do hope that this pace will be maintained by the program secretariat and all key implementing agencies.