

**AYANCIK HEPP**

**ILK ELEKTRIK URETIM A.S.**

**REPORTING FORM FOR LAND  
ACQUISITION**

**IN ENGLISH**

REPORTING FORM FOR LAND ACQUISITION

İLK ELEKTRİK ÜRETİM A.Ş.

<b>1. Information About Project</b>	
Name & Location of Sub-project	Ayancık HEPP is located in Ayancık, Güzelçay I-II HEPP is located in Dikmen district, committed to Sinop province.
Project Sponsor	İLK ELEKTRİK ÜRETİM A.Ş.
Project Cost	AYANCIK: 39,184,736 USD GÜZELÇAY: 23,388,286 USD
Installed Generation Capacity	AYANCIK: 3unit*5,20 MW/unit=15,60 MW GÜZELÇAY I: 2 unit*1,57 MW/unit=3,14 MW GÜZELÇAY II: 2 unit*2,48 MW/unit=4,96 MW
Key Dates of Implementation	Expropriations were done by the civil court of first instance in 2009, 2010, 2011. Also permissions were taken from Ministry of Environment and Forestry and Treasury.
General Information	<p>Ayancık:</p> <p>A 338.370 m<sup>2</sup> of land has been expropriated for construction of Ayancık HEPP and 2.679.358 TL has been paid for it. It consists 10 villages (Cevizli, Pazarcık, Çamyayla, Tevfikiye, Otmanlı, Mestan, Akçakese, Babaçay, Hacıoğlu, Sofu) of Ayancık district. The Hepp is located on undulating and sloping land, so the area is not suitable for agricultural production. Furthermore, most of the people live in Ayancık worked for their own living abroad (in Europe) and returned to homeland when retired. So they don't deal with soil and generate income with agricultural activities.</p> <p>30 people's land were 100% expropriated in Ayancık. The investor specified that 70% of these people (21 people) were still abroad. So their land is not a source of income for them. The rest are retired people.</p> <p>There were few gardens also on expropriated areas. But the owners of the gardens have not been subjected to any economic losses because the price of the cut trees has been paid to them. Additionally large part of the gardens still belong to the owners.</p> <p>17,240 m<sup>2</sup> field area was volunteer purchased and 8.000 TL was paid. Permissions were taken from Ministry of Environment and Forest for using 3.059.334 m<sup>2</sup> forest area. The price of the trees and guarantee amounts were paid. There is no structure or other fixed assets on the land. Permissions from Treasury were taken in order to use 88.656 m<sup>2</sup> treasury area and 28.382 TL was paid.</p>

	<p>Güzelçay:</p> <p>56.502 m<sup>2</sup> area was expropriated for construction of Güzelçay I-II HEPP and 302.952 TL was paid. It consists 3 villages (Küplüce, Çorak, Karaağaç) of Dikmen district. The type of expropriated area was largely field and undulating land. These areas are not suitable for generating income. There was one hazelnut garden. 6% of the total garden was expropriated. So the owner of the garden has not been subjected to any economic losses. 5 people's land were 100% expropriated in Güzelçay. The land was used for construction of penstock. It was a sloping terrain, the owners didn't use the land for agricultural activities, they had not been generating income from the area before expropriation.</p> <p>It can be clearly seen that; the villagers don't generate incomes from agricultural activities. So they have no economic losses because of the expropriation.</p> <p>3.500 m<sup>2</sup> field area was volunteer purchased and 25.500 TL was paid. Beyond expropriation, 533.708 m<sup>2</sup> area was rented from Ministry of Environment and Forest. The price of the trees and guarantee amounts were paid. There is no structure or other fixed assets on the land.</p> <p>There were houses on the primary land plan for expropriation previously sent to EMRA for Küplüce village (Güzelçay) and Cevizli village (Ayancık). However the company made some changes before starting construction and didn't include the houses into the construction area. The houses are written on the EMRA decisions number 2120-1/3297-16/3255-6. But the decisions given by the civil court of first instance and the expert reports about these two EMRA decisions haven't been reached to the company yet. After they are received, the revised Annex 1 will be mailed to the Bank.</p>
--	---

### 2.1.Inventory of Land & Assets Acquired from Private Owners

Name of Owners/land user	Given on Annex 1
Project Component: Area(s) / plots(s) acquired (ha)	AYANCIK: 338.370 m <sup>2</sup> GÜZELÇAY I-II : 56,502 m <sup>2</sup> TOTAL: 394.872 m <sup>2</sup>
Owner's/user's total land holding (ha); % taken for project.	AYANCIK: 1.286.470 m <sup>2</sup> , 26 % was taken for project. GÜZELÇAY: 345,571 m <sup>2</sup> , 16 %

	was taken for project. Details are given on Annex I.
Land use: pasture, agriculture, residence, etc.	Pasture
Inventory of any structures or other fixed or productive assets (wells, fences, trees, field crops, etc) affected.	Some fruit trees and a hazelnut garden.
Indicate if land was rented or informally used by another party.	No
Indicate if non-owner users had assets, trees, crops, etc affected	No
Indicate if land-based activity is primary source of income for owner or land user.	No
Compensation paid.	AYANCIK: 2.679.358 TL GÜZELÇAY: 302,952 TL TOTAL: 2.982.310 TL
Dates delivered.	2009,2010,2011
Impact on income of owner.	No negative impact. The expropriated land were not the only means of living of the land owners.

## **2.2.Inventory of Land & Assets Acquired from Private Owners (Volunteer Purchased)**

Name of Owners/land user	Given on Annex 1
Project Component: Area(s) / plots(s) acquired (ha)	AYANCIK: 17,240 m <sup>2</sup> GÜZELÇAY I-II : 3.500 m <sup>2</sup> TOTAL: 20.740 m <sup>2</sup>
Owner's/user's total land holding (ha); % taken for project.	AYANCIK: 100 % was taken for project. GÜZELÇAY: 100 % was taken for project. Details are given on Annex I.
Land use: pasture, agriculture, residence, etc.	Field
Inventory of any structures or other fixed or productive assets (wells, fences, trees, field crops, etc) affected.	No any structure or fixed assets.
Indicate if land was rented or informally used by another party.	No
Indicate if non-owner users had assets, trees, crops, etc affected	No
Indicate if land-based activity is primary source of income	No

for owner or land user.	
Compensation paid.	AYANCIK: 8.000 TL GÜZELÇAY: 25.500 TL TOTAL: 33.500 TL
Dates delivered.	2010
Impact on income of owner.	No negative impact.

### 3.Inventory of Public, Community, or State Land Acquired

Land parcels / plots acquired (ha).	AYANCIK: 716.405 m <sup>2</sup> GÜZELÇAY I-II : 404.941 m <sup>2</sup> TOTAL:1.121.346 m <sup>2</sup>
Land type / land use: Forest, commons for grazing, other.	Forest, stream course
Ownership: State, community, other.	Ministry of Environment and Forest and Treasury.
Structures or other fixed assets.	No structure or other fixed assets.
Compensation, land transfer, or other measures to mitigate impacts on land users. Specify measures and dates of delivery.	There are no land users. But compensations for trees, value of land leasing and deposits were paid. Details are given on Annex 1.

### 4.Public Awareness, Consultations, and Communication

In order to exchange views and give information about project a meeting was arranged before the construction started. After receiving the land owners' approval, the construction has been started. There were no concerns raised at the meeting. Because the land users had no economic losses. Also some of the land owners live abroad. The investor applied to the Court for expropriation after starting the construction and it is still going on.

### 5.Status of Land Acquisition

Completed	Pending Court decision	On-going	Follow-up
		X	

### 6.Other Measures or Assistance provided (beyond cash compensation)

Beneficiary(s)	No beneficiary
Relocation assistance	No relocation assistance
Alternative Land	No
Livelihood restoration measures	-
Summary of impact addressed	An elementary school was reconditioned in Ayancık. There was a house which was not in a good condition for living next to the (outside) project area in Ayancık. This house was

	tumbled and another house was constructed for the householder. 12.000 sallees were planted on four different places in consideration of cut trees to construct the Güzelçay HEPP in Dikmen.
--	--

### 7. Identification of Vulnerable People

Beneficiary	No vulnerable people.
Method of identification	No
Assistance or other measures provided.	No

### 8. Grievance Redress

Mechanism(s) made available for project-affected persons to register grievances or complaints.	It was announced that project affected persons (if any) could register complaints to the construction site manager directly or by the village headman. Up to now no complaints were received.
Were affected people made aware of grievance redress mechanism? If so, when and where?	The affected people can announce their complaints to the site manager.
Was the grievance redress mechanism easy to access and free of cost to affected parties?	Yes.
Was an independent third party engaged in facilitating grievance redress. E.g.: community leaders, NGOs, or other mutually-respected independent parties.	The village headman.

