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A. INTRODUCTION

Vinh Phuc is a land-locked province located in the upper reaches of the Red River Delta. The provincial city, Vinh Yen, is about 60 km northwest of Hanoi. Vinh Phuc is positioned in three main key development regions of Vietnam: the Red River Delta Region, the Hanoi Metropolitan Region, and the Northern Key Economic Region. Vinh Phuc is hydraulically divided into three drainage basins: (i) Northwest Basin (Basin A); (ii) Central and South Basin (Basin B); and (iii) Northeast and East Basin (Basin C). With these geographical advantages, Vinh Phuc has witnessed impressive economic growth and has become one of the key industrial hubs in Red River Delta and an attractive location for foreign direct investment (FDI).

Nevertheless, Vinh Phuc is facing a variety of challenges, including regular flooding, water pollution, lack of infrastructure and lack of institutional capacity that slows down the rate of economic and social development of the province. In particular, the Phan River basin, hosting 80% of the population and most of the FDI enterprises in manufacturing, and accounting for two thirds of the total area of the province, is frequently affected by floods. Floods have caused substantial loss of agricultural and industrial production, and affects quality of life through damage to infrastructure and increased rural and urban pollution. Therefore, controlling flooding and improving environmental management of the basin in the province is an urgent issue for economic sustainable development.

With the objective of addressing these challenges, The Government of Vietnam is currently preparing the Vinh Phuc Flood Risk and Water Management Project (the Project) which has been proposed for financing from the World Bank. The Project objectives are to strengthen flood protection capacity and prevent the rapid deterioration of surface water quality through the improvement of wastewater management in the central catchment of Vinh Phuc Province (the Province). These objectives will be achieved through (i) supporting the construction plan for flood risk control and rehabilitating the rivers (Component 1); (ii) improving the wastewater collection and treatment systems in towns and rural areas (Component 2); (iii) establishing a monitoring system of water and water quality, flood risk control and emergency response; and (iv) institutional strengthening and training for departments and practitioners in the water resources sector, to better achieve an integrated management of watersheds in the Province.

The project is going to be implemented in order to: control the risk of floods for the Phan and Ca Lo Rivers, improve drainage, water storage and regulation for these rivers, build infrastructure for the development of industrial parks, improve the ecological environment; and create regulating lakes. This is in line with the overall planning of urban construction in Vinh Phuc province Vision 2030-2050, the provincial drainage masterplan, and the transportation masterplan.

A Regional Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) report, a detailed resettlement and compensation plan report, and a development plan report for ethnic minorities have been prepared to ensure the project will be implemented in accordance with the requirements of the World Bank (WB) and applicable national legislation and regulations of Vietnam. The Regional Environmental and Social Impact Assessment provides an overview of the environmental and social baseline conditions on the direct impacted areas, summarizes the potential impacts associated with the proposed project and includes an Environmental and Social Management Plan (ESMP) which sets out the management measures required to mitigate any potential impacts. The ESMP is to be utilized by the contractor to be commissioned by ODA Project
Management Unit, Vinh Phuc Province and will form the basis of site-specific management plans that will be prepared by the contractor and sub-contractors as part of their construction methodology prior to works commencing. These ESMPs will be approved and disclosed by the World Bank and the relevant Vietnamese authorities prior to the start of civil works.

B. PROJECT DEVELOPMENT OBJECTIVE AND DESCRIPTION

1. Project development objective

The development objective of the project (PDO) is to strengthen flood protection capacity and prevent the rapid deterioration of surface water quality through the improvement of wastewater management in the central catchment of Vinh Phuc Province.

Component 1 of the Project (flood risk control) directly benefits inhabitants in flood prone areas along the Phan river basin catchment. The project will protect Basin B and C areas, in particular the areas of lowest elevation, comprising approximately 5,412 hectare (ha). The population of the province in the proximity of the proposed works is estimated at about 810,000 - of which 60 percent live in rural areas and 40 percent in urban areas and small towns. This population has historically been subject to significant flooding, and hydraulic modelling indicates that they remain at high risk. Among the total beneficiaries, 51 percent are female.

The direct beneficiaries from Project Component 2 (improved access to wastewater and drainage services) are the inhabitants in four towns and thirty-three rural villages. About 100,000 people will directly benefit from the project intervention and more than half of the beneficiaries are expected to be among the bottom 40 percent of the population in terms of income. Furthermore, the reduction in pollution loads will result in better water quality and improve the overall environment in Phan River catchment. The inhabitants in neighboring provinces downstream who access drinking water from the Phan and Ca Lo Rivers will also benefit from the improved water quality of the river.

The Project will also establish a mechanism for integrated water management through supporting flood early warning, water resource and water quality monitoring systems which will strengthen the institutional capacity for informed decision-making. The project expects to enhance the operation and maintenance (O&M) capacity of, and better coordination among, water practitioners, and pave the way for integrated water management in the river basin.

2. Project description

**Component 1 - Flood Risk Management (estimated cost USD117 million)**

This component improves flood risk management through structural measures in Basin B (including sub-basins B-1, B-2 and B-3) and Basin C (see Maps in Annexes 1-5 of this Executive Summary). The measures include (i) construction and rehabilitation of three retention lakes with a total area of 260 hectares to increase regulation capacity; (ii) construction of three drainage pumping stations with total capacity of 145 m$^3$ per second and related canals to divert excessive storm water from basin B to the Pho Day and Red Rivers; (iii) dredging key sections along 15 km of the Phan River to increase the discharge capacity; and (iv) construction of two flood control gates with associated embankments to prevent storm water entering Basin B from Basin C.
**Component 2 Water Environmental Management (estimated cost USD17 million)**

This component improves environmental conditions in densely populated small towns and rural communities, as well as overall water quality in the Phan River by providing wastewater and drainage services. The measures include the construction and rehabilitation of wastewater collection and treatment facilities in four district towns and thirty-three rural villages along the Phan River. Given that the source of pollution is mostly from domestic households, this component will focus on treating wastewater. Simple and low cost technologies that will not require sophisticated mechanical equipment, high power consumption and complicated operation & maintenance will be applied.

**Component 3 Implementation Support, Technical Assistance and Institutional Strengthening (estimated cost USD16 million)**

This component supports (i) project implementation including detailed engineering designs, construction supervision, safeguard monitoring and other related activities; (ii) water resource and emergency flood early warning, including consulting services, works, equipment and other related activities; (iii) operation and maintenance (O&M) for assets to be built under the project, including training, development of operation manuals, and provision of necessary equipment; and (iv) institutional development for river basin management and water related sectors in an integrated manner.

**Project Financing**

The estimated total project cost is USD220 million with USD150 million proposed to be financed by an IBRD (International Bank for Reconstruction and Development) loan. The estimated government counterpart funding is US$70 million to cover the costs of resettlement, portion of construction, project overheads, front-end fees and interest during construction.

**C. RELEVANT LEGISLATION AND REGULATIONS**

1. Laws, Decrees, Circulars, and Regulations/ Standards of Vietnam

1.1. **Environmental Regulations**

The project is required to comply with the prevailing environmental laws in Vietnam, which include the 2014 Law on Environmental Protection and Decrees, Circulars, Decisions, standards and regulations of Vietnam on Environment. The most important of these are Decree No. 18/2015/NĐ-CP signed on 14/02/2015 by the Government providing environmental protection planning, strategic environmental assessment, environmental impact assessment and environmental protection plan, Circular No. 27/2015/TT-BTNMT dated 29/05/2015 by the Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment on strategic environmental assessment, environmental impact assessment and environmental protection plan and Vietnamese environmental standards.

1.2. **Social Regulations**

The Legal Framework that applies for the project (laws, decrees) includes both the Involuntary Resettlement including land acquisition, compensation and resettlement and that for Ethnic Minorities development interventions.

- Regarding involuntary resettlement in Vietnam the Legal Framework involves what
follows,
- The Land Law 2013 which has been effective since July 1, 2014.
- Decree No.43/2014/ND-CP guiding in detail some articles of Land Law 2013
- Decree No.44/2014/ND-CP providing methods for land pricing; adjustment to land price brackets, land price lists; specific land pricing and land price consultancy activities.
- Decree No. 47/2014/ND-CP providing compensation, support, resettlement when land is recovered by the State.
- Decree No.38/2013/ND-CP of 23 April 2013, on management and use of official development assistance (ODA) and concessional loans of donors.
- Circular No. 37/2014/TT-BTNMT dated 30 June 2014, regulating compensation, assistance and resettlement when the State acquires land.
- Decision No. 1956/2009/QD-TTg, dated November 17 2009, by the Prime Minister approving the Master Plan on vocational training for rural labors by 2020;
- Decision No. 52/2012/QD-TTg, dated November 16 2012, on the support policies on employment and vocational training to farmers whose agricultural land has been recovered by the State;
- Other regulations or administrative decisions related to resettlement plan to be issued by Vinh Phuc Province People’s Committee in relation to the Land Law 2014, and its relevant decrees and circulars.

With regards to ethnic minority peoples, the following legal documents applied to support the implementation of the EMDP prepared by the project

Constitution of the Socialist Republic of Vietnam (2013) recognized the equality between ethnic groups in Vietnam. Article 5 of the Constitution in 2013 provides:

a) Socialist Republic of Vietnam is the unified state of all nationalities living in the country of Vietnam.

b) The nationalities equal, unite, respect and help each other to develop; prohibits any discrimination, ethnic division.

c) The national language is Vietnamese. The nation has the right to use voice, text, preserving the national identity, promoting traditions, customs, traditions and culture.

d) The State implements a comprehensive development policy and creates reasonable conditions for the ethnic minorities to mobilize resources, along with the development of the country.

The Vinh Phuc People’s Committee prepared the required instruments for the implementation of the project including, the Resettlement Policy Framework, the Ethnic Minorities Policy Framework, three Phase-1 Resettlement Action Plans, and one Phase-1 Ethnic Minorities Development Plan.

2. Safeguards Policies of the World Bank

2.1. World Bank Environmental Safeguards Policies

The project must also comply with the safeguard policies of the World Bank, triggered by Project activities, and summarized in Table 1 below. The ESIA will also apply WBG Environmental, Health, and Safety Guidelines known as the "EHS Guidelines". The EHS Guidelines are
technical reference documents with general and industry-specific examples of Good International Industry Practice (GIIP).

- **OP/BP 4.01 - Environmental Assessment**

  The Project is classified as a category A due to the potentially significant environmental and social impacts associated with the Project investments and activities. A full ESIA including an Environment Management Plan (ESMP) has been prepared for the Project.

  Social Assessments have been conducted for the Project. Social impacts were also considered in the ESIA.

- **OP/BP 4.04 - Natural Habitats**

  The policy is triggered as the project will impact the environment of the Phan and Ca Lo River. The ESIA has assessed project impacts on natural habitats for which provision of appropriate conservation and mitigation measures would be required.

- **OP/BP 4.37 - Safety of Dams**

  The project will not involve any dam construction or rehabilitation or works on related reservoirs. However, there are two irrigation weirs, namely Thanh Lanh and Xa Huong, upstream of Phan River, which are used to meet the irrigation demand of Basin B during the dry season. Full Dam Safety reports have been prepared accordingly, and the mitigation actions proposed are incorporated into the ESIA.

- **OP/BP 7.50 - Projects on International Waterways**

  Component 1 of the Project, Flood Risk Management, includes the construction of three pumping stations in Basin B, with a total capacity of 145 cubic meters per second ($m^3/s$). Two of these pumping stations – Ngu Kien and Nguyet Duc – will discharge water to the Red River. As such, OP 7.50 is triggered, and the riparian state concerned – China – will be notified of the Project activities.

- **OP/BP 4.11 - Physical Cultural Resources**

  The policies are triggered as the project involves large excavation activities. As such, chance find procedures will be incorporated into ESMPs, and added to construction contracts.

2.2. **World Bank Social Safeguards Policies**

The investment of the project will require land acquisition, and will impact temporarily and permanently land, assets and livelihoods of local people, therefore the Bank’s OP 4.12 for Involuntary Resettlement was triggered. Based on the screening, the presence of EM in the project areas was confirmed, therefore the Bank OP 4.10 (Indigenous Peoples) was triggered.

2.2.1 **World Bank Policy on Involuntary Resettlement (OP 4.12)**

The World Bank recognizes that involuntary resettlement may cause severe long-term hardship, impoverishment, and environmental damage unless appropriate measures are carefully planned and carried out. The Bank’s Resettlement Policy OP 4.12, includes safeguards to address and mitigate the economic, social, and environmental risks arising from involuntary resettlement.

   I. The WB’s involuntary resettlement policy objectives are the following:

---

II. Physical displacement, economic and physical adverse impacts should be avoided where feasible or, if not possible, minimized by examining all available design alternatives, technology, site selection. Where avoidance is not possible, impacts shall be mitigated.

III. Where resettlement cannot be avoided, resettlement activities should be conceived and executed as sustainable development programs, providing sufficient investment resources to enable the people affected by the Project to share in benefits.

IV. Affected Persons should be meaningful consulted and should have opportunities to participate in planning and implementing resettlement programs.

V. Affected Persons should be assisted in their efforts to improve their livelihoods and standards of living or at least to restore them, in real terms, to pre-project levels or to levels prevailing prior to the beginning of project implementation, whichever is higher.

2.2.2 The World Bank’s OP 4.10 on Indigenous Peoples

Bank financing projects that affect Indigenous Peoples, the Bank’s OP 4.10 is triggered. This requires the borrower to prepare indigenous peoples instruments to ensure indigenous peoples (in this case ethnic minorities) receive social and economic benefits from the project in a manner that is culturally appropriated and containing special mitigation measure in the case of any impacts that cannot be avoid. Such measures should include: (a) avoid potentially adverse effects on the Indigenous Peoples’ communities; or (b) when avoidance is not feasible, minimize, mitigate, or compensate for such effects; and c) to ensure the broad community support for the project. Those measures are to be addressed by the instruments defined for the case of the policy.

The preparation of this project included screening, which confirmed the presence of ethnic minorities with the characteristics defined by the policy. Therefore the project developed a Social Assessment (SA) and ensured as per the OP 4.10 a process of free, prior and informed consultation with the affected ethnic minorities, as well as, local disclosure of information, which was encompassed with (SA) process and the EMDF and the EMDP elaboration. As there are subprojects that won’t be known at the time of appraisal, the EMDF will guide the preparation of EMDP during the project implementation.
CHAPTER 2: BASELINE CONDITIONS IN THE PROJECT AREA

A. GEOGRAPHICAL AND NATURAL CONDITIONS IN THE PROJECT AREA

Vinh Phuc is a land-locked province located in the upper reaches of the Red River Delta with a total natural land area of 1,231km². The provincial city, Vinh Yen, is about 60 km northwest of Hanoi. Vinh Phuc is positioned in three main key development regions of Vietnam: the Red River Delta Region, the Hanoi Metropolitan Region, and the Northern Key Economic Region. Vinh Phuc is hydraulically divided into three drainage basins: (i) Northwest Basin (Basin A); (ii) Central and South Basin (Basin B); and (iii) Northeast and East Basin (Basin C).

The proposed Project is to be implemented in seven to nine administrative units of the Province (Vinh Yen City, Phuc Yen Town and the five districts of Tam Duong, Tam Dao, Binh Xuyen, Vinh Tuong, Yen Lac). The Project area contains a dense river network (an average of 0.7km/km²) within which the Red, Pho Day and Cau Rivers play a critical role. These rivers provide both water supply and drainage for the Project area.

The Phan River originates from the western side of the Tam Dao mountain range, flows through districts of Tam Duong, Vinh Tuong, Yen Lac and Vinh Yen City and then enters into the Ca Lo River before reaching the Cau River. The total length of the Phan - Ca Lo River from the An Ha 3 door gate to Phuc Loc Phuong is about 140 km (120 km of Phan River and 20km of Ca Lo River). The section of the river within the project area extends for about 64.5 km with many sinuous turns. The river bed depth ranges from 7m to 15m. The Phan River receives water from the entire Basin B (Phan River flow is around 30 – 80 m³/s during the rainy season).

The Ca Lo River downstream also receives water from the Binh Xuyen river system (Basin C) including the Cau Bon, Ba Hanh, Tranh and Noi Rivers. As the rivers meander through many residential areas, there are many bridges and culverts on the rivers. In addition to the Phan River, the Nhi Hoang (B1), Rung (B2), Vac and Sau Vo (B3) lakes act as drainage for the basins.

The initial assessment results demonstrate that drainage capacity of rivers and lakes in the Project area is currently impaired by sedimentation, the meandering alignment and the poor environmental quality in the Basins.

B. ENVIRONMENTAL BASELINE IN THE PROJECT AREA

The monitoring of environmental quality included: ambient air (at 31 locations); ground water (25 samples); surface water (59 samples); wastewater (15 samples); soil environment in areas planned for construction (20 samples); dredged soil (31 samples); and sludge (29 samples).

The analysis of results showed that:

- **Air quality in the Project Area.** The results show that in all surveyed samples, the quality of ambient air is quite good with the concentrations of CO, NO₂, SO₂ and HC much lower than the limits as stipulated in the Technical Regulations QCVN 05:2013/BTNMT and QCVN 06:2009/BTNMT.

- **Surface water quality in the Project Area.** The surface water environment in the study area is mainly affected by agricultural production, industrial production, livestock husbandry, and aquaculture activities. Domestic wastewater from the residential areas along the Phan River is among the key factors affecting surface water quality in the project area. Water sampling was conducted in August and September, which was the rainy season of the Northern region; therefore, the concentrations of pollutants in the water were diluted. At other times of the year,
the concentrations might be higher.

59 surface water samples were taken, monitored and analyzed, coded from SW1 to SW59 in the Project area. Specifically, 10 surface water samples were taken at the locations of proposed WWTPs; pumping stations (03 samples); lakes to be dredged (10 samples); disposal sites (7 samples); Phan River (26 samples); Yen Lap control gate (01 sample), canal connecting Rung Lake-Phan River (02 samples), and three rivers in Binh Xuyen (Tranh, Ba Hanh, and Cau Bon Rivers) (3 samples).

The monitoring indicators including pH, DO, TSS, BOD$_5$, COD, NH$_4^+$, NO$_2^-$, NO$_3^-$, PO$_4^{3-}$, which are the basic indicators to assess the current status of water environment quality in chemical aspects such as self-cleaning ability, organic contamination level, and mineral contamination level, etc. The analysis results are then referred to the National Technical Regulation QCVN 08:2008 BTNMT on surface water quality, B1 level. The current status of surface water quality in the project area is as follows:

In terms of pH level, the measured pH values range from 6.5 to 7.1, which are within the permissible limits (5.5 to 9.0). This is the range to ensure a viable living environment for aquatic species.

All parameters, particularly BOD, NH$_4^+$, and coliform, indicate that the surface water in the project area shows signs of contamination of organic matters. This result is consistent with the annual surface water quality monitoring results of Vinh Phuc Province as the monitoring results show that most of the water bodies in the area including Phan River, Ca Lo, Pho Day, and lakes such as Rung and Vac, have been contaminated with organic matters such as SS, NH$_4^+$, oil and grease, and Coliform. Among the water bodies belonging to the project components, samples taken from Phan River usually have the highest concentrations of DO (SW43), BOD, COD, TSS, (SW17, SW20 - B2), and Coliform (SW17 - B2).

It is also noted that the water quality in the town areas under the Component 2 of the Project has greater sign of pollution than in other areas when most of the pollutant parameters have higher values than permissible standards. Typically, the sample SW57 in Lung Thuong Hamlet in Tam Hong Town (B3) has 10 out of 11 parameters higher than the standards. It can be explained that the samples of the Component 2 are mainly stagnant ponds containing domestic wastewater from the residential areas which are unable to circulate; therefore, organic matters accumulated in these ponds are higher than in the rivers under the Component 1. This also shows that the surface water in the ponds and lakes in the towns under Component 2 has been contaminated due to domestic wastewater and waste from the densely populated areas as well as from the greater trading and services activities than other areas. The results also confirm the project investments (Component 2) in this area are reasonable.

- **Soil quality in the Project Area.** 10 samples were taken at the locations of proposed WWTPs; 3 samples at the locations of lake dredging; 3 samples at the proposed disposal sites; 9 samples at Phan River area; and 6 samples at Binh Xuyen river system area. The analysis parameters to assess the quality of sediments in the project area include the following 11 parameters: pHKCl, some heavy metals (Cd, As, Zn, Hg, Cr (VI), Fe, Pb, and Cu) and pesticides (DDT and DDD).

The analysis results of dredged soil samples are compared with the standards stipulated in the National Technical Regulations QCVN 43:2012 BTNMT on sediment quality, QCVN 03:2008 BTNMT on permissible limits of heavy metals in soil, and QCVN 07: 2009 BTNMT on hazardous waste thresholds. Analysis of results show that all of the parameters of the samples are within the permissible limits for hazardous waste. Compared to the National Technical Regulation QCVN 03:2008 BTNMT on permissible limits of heavy metals in soil, 24 out of 31 samples meet the standards while 7 samples exceed the permissible limit.
- **Surface sludge sediments in the Project Area.** The analysis of 29 samples of sludge sediments show that the accumulation of substances, particularly heavy metals, does not differ much among samples. Compared to the National Technical Regulation QCVN 07: 2009/BTNMT on hazardous waste thresholds, all of the parameters of the sediment samples are within the permissible limits. Compared to the National Technical Regulation QCVN 43:2012/BTNMT on sediment quality, 28 out of 29 samples meet the standards, except for only one sample at Phan River (MS7-B2) that has Pb and Cu concentrations 1.27 times and 1.05 times higher than the permissible limits.

- **Biodiversity.** According to the Report on “Survey on the current status of biodiversity and biodiversity action plan to 2015 with orientation to 2020 of Vinh Phuc Province”, although Vinh Phuc is home to several rare species, these species reside within Tam Dao National Park. According to the above mentioned report and field surveys conducted in the entire Project area, there are no ecologically sensitive zones, natural reserves, or national parks in the Project area. Nevertheless, in the Red River section running through Yen Lac, Vinh Tuong, Lap Thach, and Song Lo districts, survey results show that there are 91 species of fish belonging to 11 orders, 26 families, and 75 genera. Among 91 recognized species, 12 species belong to six families and four genera in the 2007 List of Threatened Species of Vietnam, including two species (Japanese eel Anguilla japonica, Cyprinus multitaentiata) in the Extinct in the wild (EW) category, one species (Luciobrama macrocephalus) in the Critically Endangered (CR) category, three species (Clupanodon thrissa, Tenualosa, Channa argus) in the EN category, and 6 species (Semilabeo notabilis, Bangana lemassoni, Luciocyprinus langsoni, Ochetobius elongatus, Bagarius yarrelli, Hemibagrus guttatus) in the Vulnerable (VU) category.

C. SOCIO-ECONOMIC PROFILES OF THE POPULATION IN THE PROJECT AREA

a. **Overview of Vinh Phuc Province**

Vinh Phuc is located in the Red River Delta belonging to the Northern midland and mountainous region, with co-ordinates: from 21o08’ (Dao Tru Commune, Tam Dao District) to 21o19’ North latitude (Trang Viet Commune, Me Linh district, Hanoi), and from 105o109’ (Bach Luu Commune, Song Lo District) to 105o47’ East longitude (Ngoc Thanh Commune, Phuc Yen Town).

The natural area accounts 1,231.76 km² (as at 31 December 2008) with a population of 1,014,488 people. The province includes 9 administrative units: Vinh Yen city, Phuc Yen Town and 7 Districts (Lap Thach, Song Lo, Tam Duong, Binh Xuyen, Tam Dao, Vinh Tuong, Yen Lac), comprising 112 communes, 25 of wards and towns located in the Red river delta region, in the middle of Vietnam’s North and the transitional area between the mountainous and delta regions. Therefore, Vinh Phuc has 3 ecological regions, including the delta region in the South, midland in the North and mountainous region in Tam Dao district. Socio-economic condition of the province is summarized as follows:

- **Growth rate:** the growth rate always ranks high among the Red River Delta Region and the Northern Key Economic Region. In the period 2006-2010, the growth rate of Vinh Phuc province reached 15.6% per year while the growth rate of whole country in the same period, reached 6.9-7% per year. Vinh Phuc province has the highest growth rate in the Northern Region., followed by Quang Ninh, 13.3% per year, Bac Ninh, 15.2% per year, Hai Duong 11% per year, Hung Yen 14.1% per year and Hai Phong 13.2% per year.

- **Regarding GDP per capita:** Along with the rapid growth of the economy, GDP per capita in the province is also increasing rapidly. The average growth rate is 26% per year by
2020, reaching VND 28.5 million/person, equivalent to US$ 1,550-1,600, higher than the national average rate (reached $ 1,220 / person by 2010) and ranked into the forth position in the Northern key economic zone, followed by Ha Noi, Hai Phong (1.800-1.900 US$/person) and Quang Ninh (1,757 US$/person). In the period 2001-2005, the economic structure of Vinh Phuc province transited rapidly: the proportion of industry and construction in GDP increased 12.01% (from 40.68% in 2000 to 52.69% in 2005).

- **Income and livelihood**: of the people in Vinh Phuc province in recent years has gradually improved. According the General Statistics Office of Vietnam Living Standards, Vinh Phuc province growth rate of per capita income is high. In the period 2001 - 2005, per capita income increased at an average rate of 13.8% / year versus 6.05% of the country during the same period. The poverty rate in the province has decreased from 18.3% (according to latest national standards) in 2005 to 6% in 2010 and about 3% in 2014.

**b. Socio-economic Profile of the Project Households**

The project’s socio-economic survey carried out in 21 communes/wards at 4 project basins included the participation of 965 surveyed household (with 3,770 inhabitants), involving 330 beneficiary households, and 635 affected households. A summary of some of the survey results is as follows:

- **Household Size**: The average number of inhabitants per household according to the survey is 3.9 persons, a figure which is similar to the national index.

- **Ethnic Groups**: The survey’s results on ethnic groups showed that the project basins are occupied mainly by Kinh people, and some San Diu, and Cao Lan ethnic minority households in Tam Dao district; in Binh Xuyen, the area under Component 2 and basin C of the project, registered 96.6% Kinh people and 3.4% San Diu and Cao Lan ethnic minority people.

- **Education**: The surveyed people having secondary school level accounted for the highest proportion of 40.7%; followed by high school level people that accounted for 37.9%. People with college/university or vocational level accounted for 9.4%, and 4.5% of respondents surveyed were illiterate.

- **Occupation**: Household's living condition depends very much on the profession and stability of the main breadwinner in the family. Households’ (HH) income usually rely on more than one job, households heads generally work in agricultural production, and other HH member are state-salaried groups (including civil servants and the retired) who have relatively stable income. As for the poor and medium income households, they have unstable job, income and livelihoods.

- **Income and Expenditure**: In the project area, the proportion of households engaged in agriculture is relatively high and is the main households’ income. They cultivate food crops (such as rice, corn) and some farm products (such as soybeans, beans, peanuts.). Currently, as flooding occurs regularly, there is one spring crop (on the lowlands) and 2-3 crops on uplands ground. Most of the households have average income of 4.45 million dong/household/month (or VND 1.14 million per capita per month).

- **Housing and Assets**: Houses construction and assets reflect economic situation of the HHs for all cases well off, average or poor. The type of housing chosen for construction in the past few years is one-floor house with concrete roof or strong two-floor house. Housing is generally separated from kitchens and auxiliary structures such as bathrooms or toilets.

- **Power Supply**: The survey result showed that in all the project basins, the people
access the national power grid, 97.4% of households use electricity with a separate meter, only 2.6% of households share electricity with their neighbors.

- **Transportation** The survey showed that access roads to the households are mostly concrete roads (70.5%), followed by asphalt roads (8.6%), 7.5% of households surveyed said that the access roads to their houses are earth ones, and 13.5% stated the access roads are made of stones, gravel and bricks.

- **Water Supply** Clean water is one of the criteria used to define households living standards. According to the survey result, the water source for daily use of the households in the project area for most of households in the project area, is drilled wells accounting for 80.3%. The proportion of households using tap water accounted for only 12.9%. In addition, households using water from dug wells accounted 6.1%, and only a small proportion of households using rainwater for their daily activities.

- **Drainage and Flooding** Poor drainage, flooding in the rainy season and stagnancy of waste in residential areas, especially in basins B1, B2 and B3 are main concerns for people that provided feedback during the survey. In detail, about 71.6% of the households responded that flooding occurred in the locality, specifically in basin C (77%), basin B3 (67.5%), basin B2 (71%), basin B1 (76.3%). Component 2 of the project is deployed in the communes of Hop Chau, Ho Son, Quang Minh under Tam Dao district, which are located in the upstream area of 3 rivers in Binh Xuyen, not prone to flooding.

- **Vulnerability** It is estimated that 23.1% of households were assessed to be vulnerable, including 12.2% of women-headed households with dependents; 4.1% of households having the elderly and disabled people; 3.4% of households with ethnic minorities and 3.3% of poor households. Of the project basins, component 2 (Tam Dao district) has by far the largest number of vulnerable households, a site with many EM households, and higher poverty rates, than the other basins.
Various technical options were considered for the Project design. Proposed design alternatives were analyzed based on technical, economic/financial, social and environmental aspects in order to select the most viable option balancing the perspectives of environmental sustainability, beneficiary welfare, and cost-effectiveness.

A. WITHOUT PROJECT

The system of Phan River - Ca Lo River (the Project area) is the largest drainage basin of Vinh Phuc province accounting for nearly 60% of natural areas and 80% of the provincial population. The drainage catchment of the Project area includes the city of Vinh Yen (grade II urban area), Phuc Yen Town (grade III urban area) and most of the FDI enterprises of the province. The Project area contributes a large budget revenue of about 26.5 trillion VND in 2014. Currently the project area has become an industrial hub of the Red River Delta and is a focal area to attract foreign direct investment (FDI) in the country. In case that the project is not implemented, the existing difficulties and obstacles will exaggerate and hamper socio-economic development of Vinh Phuc Province. These obstacles include:

i) Severely reduced capacity for flood risk control, with resultant loss of life and lost productivity

ii) Degraded regional water environment

iii) Obstructing the realization of industrial and urban development in Vinh Phuc Province

B. WITH PROJECT

The Project’s proposed investments are in line with the Vinh Phuc province master plans which have been approved by the Government. Alternatives were considered in the preparation of the master plans, which are also subject to review by environmental authorities as per Vietnamese laws. The social assessment was conducted taking into account the selected technical option. Alternatives were further considered where appropriate during the Project’s feasibility study and related EIA preparation.

1. Component 1 - Flood Risk Management

In this component, the project has many construction investment sections which are divided into 4 different basins. For each separate investment item, the Feasibility Study conducted for the Project assessed technical options while taking into consideration impacts on the local communities. After consultations with relevant agencies and local communities, investment options were determined based on location, scale and design.

1.1. Alternatives for scale and capacity of pumping stations

a. Basin B:

The construction and water drainage plan for the basin B is completely based on the state of natural conditions (drainage by gravity, flooding level, etc.). With each sub-basin B1, B2 and B3, four alternatives to capacity and scale of construction of pumping stations were considered.
Precipitation frequency and design flow of repeating floods are calculated for 1 year, 2 years, 3 years, 5 years, 10 years, 15 years, 20 years, and 25 years.

Based on analysis of drainage capacity, controlled flood area, the potential environmental and social impacts by alternative, the options selected for the Project as follows:

- For Kim Xa sub-basin (B1): Construction of pumping station of 30 m$^3$/s, 38.5ha dredging Nhi Hoang detention lake, rehabilitating the control gate at K3+128 and the 10-door control gate.
- For Ngu Kien sub-basin (B2): Construction of pumping station of 35 m$^3$/s, dredging detention Rung Lake and 11.5km long section of Phan River from Thuong Lap Bridge to the intake gate of Ngu Kien pumping station.
- For Nguyet Duc sub-basin (B3): Construction of pumping station of 80 m$^3$/s; Constructing a new regulating lake in front of the pumping station of 21ha, dredging Sau Vo Lake of 176.51ha, rehabilitating 3.5km long Phan River from section of Phan River from Thuong Lap Bridge to the intake gate and rehabilitating inlet canal from Phan River to Sau Vo retention lake of 7.7km.

b. Basin C:

Two alternatives are proposed: 1) Dredging the existing river alignment with total length of 4 sections of 22,621m and 2) dredging in combination with adjust alignment in several sections of Cau Bon River and Tranh River with total length of 21,375m (Cau Bon River: 7,670m; Tranh River: 5,416m; Ba Hanh River: 7,507m and Noi River from Cau Bon to Tranh River of 782m).

Considering drainage capacity, investment cost and land acquisition impacts on local communities and environmental impacts during construction, Alternative 2 is selected.

1.2. Alternatives for siting of pumping stations

For each pumping station several alternatives to siting were proposed and considered with its auxiliary construction such as inlet canal, outlet canal, regulating lake in front of the station etc.

The consultant preparing the Feasibility Study has analyzed social and environmental impacts regarding soil excavation and backfilling volume, acquired land area, geographical conditions and durability of the construction work and possibility of utilizing the existing works. Based on this assessment, the following options were proposed:

- Kim Xa sub-basin: The pump station located at Km13 + 300, left dyke of Pho Day river, Hoang Yen commune, Tam Duong district, Building 01 main work cluster with station capacity at 30m$^3$/s; Improving drain at K3 + 128 to ensure drainage flow at 56.3m$^3$/s (corresponding to a total amount of flood frequency of 10%); 38.5ha dredging Nhi Hoang lake to depth of 1.8-2.00m; two concrete culverts at K3+128 and K13+300, excavation of a 313.0 m long discharging canal, 18.65 m long diversion canal; and improving 10 valves at the control culvert at K11+369 to separate irrigation basin area.
- Ngu Kien sub-basin: Location of Ngu Kien pump station capacity at 35m$^3$/s at K17+00 on left dyke of Red River, within Ngu Kien Commune, Vinh Tuong District. Construction of a retention lake in front of the pumping station with the area of 30.9 ha, and excavation of a 5898m of approaching canal, and 3833m of discharging canal with a culvert at secondary dyke of Red River, dredging key sections along 11.5km of Phan River. A number of on-river structures will be renovated including Lung Hoa Bridge, Yen Nhien Bridge, Vinh Son Bridge, Lap Y regulating gate and Vinh Son regulating gate.
- Nguyet Duc pumping station: Location of Nguyet Duc pump station capacity at 80m$^3$/s at K26 + 930 on the left dike of Red River, located in Yen Phuong Commune, Yen Lac
District. excavation of a 7.71km of approaching canal from Sau Vo 2 sluice gate to lake in front of the pumping station; and 3.15km of discharging canal with culvert at secondary dyke of Red river, Constructing a new regulating lake in front of the pumping station of 21ha, dredging Sau Vo Lake to serve as a retention lake, with an area of 176.51ha to the depth of 1.5-3.0m, Rehabilitating Sau Vo 2 sluice gate and key section of 3.5 km of Phan River from gate out of Vac Lake outlet canal to Sau Vo sluice gate (0.5 km stone embankment and paved slope). Constructing a new 5.7km of Sau Vo service road and Dong Mong disposal site with area of 52.8ha

1.3. Alternatives for disposal sites

Three locations were initially considered as disposal sites for dredged material.

There are 03 disposed sites are proposed for the Projects namely Kim Xa, Vinh Ninh, Dong Mong.

- For Dong Mong site, no alternative is considered for this disposal site. The only option for Dong Mong disposal site is located within the administrative boundary of Huong Canh Town in Binh Xuyen District. The disposal site area is 54.31 ha and of agricultural land without any house or structure built thereon. This conclusion is stem from the consideration of the local natural conditions and technical requirements and in close consultation with local people and authority.

- Kim Xa site located in the lowlands within Pho Day river alluvial land area with initial design area of 10.3ha, was one selected site. The site is divided into 3 equal slots with design elevation + 17.0m while that of Pho Day dyke is + 18.9m to + 19.0m and the average elevation is + 11.0m and the elevation at the lowest location where the disposal site is planned is + 9.5m. The consultant analyzed this design and realized that it will affect the flood drainage of Pho Day River when flood level surpasses + 11.0m elevation. Hence it was recommended that dredged material with heavy metal content not exceeding QCVN 03:2008/BTNMT for agricultural land may be disposed in the site but the elevation of the disposal site should not exceed surrounding area (+ 11.5m).

- Vinh Ninh disposal site is located outside the secondary dyke of Red River, at the flood plain of the Red River. The disposal site was proposed to be designed average elevation of +15.58 m while the elevation of the surrounding floodplain area is only 12.80 m; which leads to the consequence that the Red River flood drainage capacity will be greatly affected. Therefore environmental assessment conducted for the ESIA recommended that Vinh Ninh disposal site be removed from options for consideration.

Based on these recommendations, the Kim Xa disposal site area has been redesigned to 3.2ha with an elevation of 11.5m and Vinh Ninh disposal site will not be used for the Project.

2. Component 2 Water Resource Management

2.1. Alternatives for wastewater collection and treatment systems in towns and rural areas

Three alternatives were considered for wastewater collection and treatment systems proposed for both towns and rural areas, including 1) centralized wastewater collection and treatment system, 2) separated system and 3) semi-separated wastewater collection and treatment system. Considering economic costs and environmental and social impacts, the semi-separated sewage plan was selected because of its advantages over other options as follows:

- Making use of existing sewers, thereby reducing construction costs.
- Limiting the amount of excavation work in the town center.
- Least environmental pollution from excavation work.
- Highly suitable for urban areas requiring rehabilitation of their wastewater collection and treatment network.
- Smaller land area required, thereby reducing need for resettlement.

2.2. Alternatives to technologies used for the wastewater collection and treatment system

Three alternatives to the wastewater collection and treatment system for 4 towns and 33 residential areas have been made based on the following considerations:

- Technical factors: the quality of wastewater inputs, the capacity of the plant, recipient sources of wastewater;
- Financial factors: investment, operation and maintenance expenses, economic efficiency;
- System sustainability factors: characteristics and nature of urban area, management skills and operational technologies/equipment;
- Environmental factors: Degree of impact on the environment during construction and operation of facilities, wastewater quality after treatment against environmental standards before being discharged into the Phan River.
- Social factors: number of beneficiaries receiving new/improved public services; number of affected people and the degree of impact of land acquisition etc.

The proposed option for treatment technology was the choice of a septic tank in combination with ecologically sensitive plant filtration. The process will be wastewater → trash filter → septic tank → plant filtration → recipient source.

The selected options for capacity include:

- In towns: A total of 5 treatment plants of which 3 stations having a capacity of 1,000 m³/day-night or less and 2 stations with a capacity greater than 1,000 m³/day (1300 and 1700 m³/day);
- In rural area: Capacity range from 50-280 m³/day-night.

C. DEPLOYED PROJECT

The project is prepared based on selection of technical alternatives and final technical design is the case that land acquisition is minimized. The social assessment was conducted taking into account the selected technical option.
CHAPTER 4: IMPACT ASSESSMENT AND IDENTIFICATION OF MITIGATION MEASURES

Impact assessments and mitigation measures for each component with B1, B2, B3 and C basins investment were developed based on document reviews, meetings with key agencies, field visits to project sites and collection of environmental data (air, noise, vibration, sediment, sludge analysis, etc.). A checklist method was used to identify key issues and the required mitigation measures, based on knowledge and experience in the country and taking into account good international practices. In addition to the ESIA, a Social Impact Assessment (SIA), subproject Resettlement Action Plans (RPs), an Ethnic Minorities Development Plan (EMDP); and Reports on Dam Safety (RDS) (Xa Huong and Thanh Lanh Reservoirs), have also been prepared in line with relevant Safeguard policies. These have all been taken into account in ESIA report preparation.

A. OVERVIEW OF THE PROJECT IMPACTS

1.1. Potential positive impacts

The project activities are not only important for controlling and minimizing flood impacts but also for improving the environment and ecology. They also contribute to improved ecological landscape and creating controlling lakes which are aligned with the masterplan of Vinh Phuc urban development until 2030 with vision to 2050.

In addition, in Component 2 Water Environmental Management, analysis of monitored surface water shows that the river water is polluted by such contaminants as BOD\textsubscript{5}, COD or other microorganisms like Coliform, E.coli etc. This implies an urgent need for water management along with investments on flood control and prevention.

This project component was designed to support waste water collection and treatment for 4 districts towns and rural communities along the Phan River, including:

- Wastewater management for towns: construction of 5 collection and treatment schemes in 4 towns of Yen Lac, Tam Hong, Tho Tang and Huong Canh. Each scheme can provide services to around 15,000 to 25,000 people. The scheme in Huong Canh will include a collection system and boosting pump to drain waste water to Quat Luu WTTP in former Vinh Yen centre.

- Wastewater management for rural communities: from project survey results, there are 33 small scale waste water treatment schemes to be constructed under this project. Each scheme can serve at least 500 people for it can be built for a cluster of households/residential area.

1.2. Potential Negative Impacts

Potential negative impacts identified from the activities of the project include:

- Land acquisition and resettlement and socioeconomic impacts in the area: Details of this assessment as well as mitigation measures are described in the SA, and are detailed below.

- The impact on the hydrological regime:
✓ Impacts on hydrological regime in the Pho Hong and Pho Day Rivers through the operation of pumping stations and discharge canals: Evaluation results show that the water drainage system and construction of discharge canals from discharge channel of Kim Xa pumping station to Pho Day River with flow rate of 30m³/s at Kim Xa commune, Vinh Tuong District. Nguk Kien pumping station with a capacity of 35m³/s to Red River at Nguk Kien Commune and Nguyet Duc pumping station with a capacity of 80m³/s to Red River in Trung Kien commune, Yen Lac district do not affect flood drainage regime of the river in flood season. The degree of influence by the water drainage from stations is considered negligible.

✓ Impact on the downstream areas of Basin C: From results of hydraulic calculations with 10-year flood cycle, along with the rehabilitation of control gates of Sate Bridge and Ton Bridge and dredging of 3 river in Binh Xuyen and construction of pumping stations in 3 sub-basins B1, B2 and B3, the impact on downstream areas of Ca Lo River Basin is positive in controlling and mitigating floods for the entire project area and Ca Lo River Basin.

- Impact on the safety of dams of Thanh Lanh and Xa Huong within the Project area: A dam safety assessment report has been completed by the Project. The calculation results showed that the construction of work items of the project does not affect these dams. However, as the dams are located upstream of the project area their safety is likely to have an impact on the project area. The dam safety reports for Xa Huong and Thanh Lanh Reservoirs concluded that Xa Huong Dam is an unsafe dam, which requires major remedial works, and Thanh Lanh Dam is at an acceptable condition, with minor remedial works. Based on the operational rules as mentioned, Xa Huong Reservoir shall be operated at a safe water level with the limit set forth in the operational rules as prepared and approved by the relevant authorities until the major remedial works have been successfully completed.

Details of the evaluation and measures to ensure dam safety are described in the safety assessment report for dams of Thanh Lanh and Xa Huong (RDS).

B. IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES DURING THE SITE CLEARANCE, CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATION

1. Impacts and mitigation on Environmental

Key impacts will arise from: (a) site clearance, including unexploded ordnance (UXO) clearance, and earthworks, (c) increased traffic during construction, runoff from freshly excavated areas, and disposal of waste materials, including dredged material from lakes, rivers and canals, of which some may be contaminated with heavy metal and other pollutants.

During the detailed design of the project works, attention will be given to mitigating these impacts to the extent possible by incorporating into the designs, bidding documentation, and construction contracts. Specifically, the bidding documents and the contracts will reflect (i) the provisions of the comprehensive Environmental Codes of Practice (ECOPs) for small-scale urban construction works that have been prepared for the project (see Table 2 below); and (ii) site specific impact and mitigation measures that have been prepared for each of the project works where impacts and mitigation measures are beyond, or in addition to, the provisions of the ECOPs. Full details on the ECOPs and the site-specific measures are included in the ESIA. The site specific details include impacts and mitigation measures for each of the works once they have been completed and have entered into operation.
Table 2: Categories of Impacts Covered by the ECOPs

- Dust generation
- Air pollution
- Impacts from noise and vibration
- Water pollution
- Drainage and sedimentation control
- Management of stockpiles, quarries, and borrow pits
- Solid waste
- Management of dredged materials and storage area
- Disruption of vegetative covers and ecological resources
- Traffic management
- Interruption of utility services
- Restoration of affected areas
- Labor and public safety
- Communication with local communities
- Chance finds procedures

Several site-specific impacts of the Project have been identified in the ESIA report and mitigation measures, along with ECOPs, are proposed specifically as follows:

- **Handling of dredged material**: The initial analysis of ESIA report showed that dredged material in some planned construction areas have higher levels of organic matter and metal heavy content exceeding QCVN 03: 2008/BTNMT for agricultural land. Therefore, before dredging, it is recommended to conduct further analysis of environmental parameters, especially heavy metals to take appropriate handling measures. If the analyzed heavy metals exceeding QCVN 03: 2008/BTNMT on the soil for agriculture purpose, the dredged material must be transported to the designated slot paved with HDPE in Dong Mong disposal area. The rest of the sludge can be delivered to disposal sites as planned or can be used as building materials. If the excavated materials is determined as not exceed allowable standards of QCVN03 on soil for agriculture purpose, it could be transported and disposal at Kim Xa disposal site.

- **Impact on the ecosystem**: ESIA report exhibited that the construction process will impact on aquatic ecosystem. According to the Report on “Survey on the current status of biodiversity and biodiversity action plan to 2015 with orientation to 2020 of Vinh Phuc Province”, although Vinh Phuc is home to several rare species, these species reside within Tam Dao National Park. According to the above mentioned report and field surveys conducted in the entire Project area, there are no ecologically sensitive zones, natural reserves, or national parks in the Project area. Nevertheless, in the Red River section running through Yen Lac, Vinh Tuong, Lap Thach, and Song Lo districts, survey results show that there are 91 species of fish belonging to 11 orders, 26 families, and 75 genera. Among 91 recognized species, 12 species belong to six families and four genera in the 2007 List of Threatened Species of Vietnam, including two species (Japanese eel Anguilla japonica, Cyprinus multitaeniata) in the EW category, one species (Luciobrama macrocephalus) in the CR category, three species (Clupanodon thrissa, Tenualosa, Channa argus) in the EN category, and 6 species (Semilabeo notabilis, Bangana lemassoni, Lucioyprinus langsoni, Ochetobius elongatus, Bagarius yarrelli, Hemibagrus guttatus) in the VU category. All effort will be taken to ensure,
through flow management, and periodic monitoring of presence of threatened species, in coordination with MONRE, that threatened species will not be unduly affected.

To mitigate this impact, phased construction and diversion methods are essential.

- **Risk of flooding during construction:** Construction sites are mostly located in areas adjacent to waterways or in agricultural areas (consisting of in-field irrigation canals). Therefore, the construction activities can have impact on regional flow regimes and cause local flooding. Mitigation measures are proposed that before construction of each building, the contractor must perform the diversion measures to ensure the local flow and the construction location shall be well fenced to prevent construction materials from entering into surrounding waters. The construction process shall be limited to the dry season.

- **Risk of landslides and subsidence of works:** During the construction process, the risk of landslides or subsidence is potential, which may affect the quality of work, pose hazards to the workforce and local communities, and affect the environment of the surrounding area. Therefore the Project has conducted geotechnical surveys on all proposed construction sites, thereby ensuring appropriate construction methods are adopted to limit risk.

All environmental management plans and mitigation measures proposed shall be incorporated into bidding documents as well as related documents for each construction site and the contractor must prepare specific plans. The construction process will be closely monitored by supervision consultants to minimize the environmental impacts on the region, and to ensure appropriate health and safety measures are followed.

The Contractor is responsible for familiarizing themselves with the following “Chance Finds Procedures”, in case culturally valuable materials are uncovered during excavation, including:

- Stop work immediately following the discovery of any materials with possible archeological, historical, paleontological, or other cultural value, announce findings to project manager and notify relevant authorities;
- Protect artifacts as well as possible using plastic covers, and implement measures to stabilize the area, if necessary, to properly protect artifacts
- Prevent and penalize any unauthorized access to the artifacts
- Restart construction works only upon the authorization of the relevant authorities
- All contracts should include a Chance Finds Procedure clause

2. Social Impacts and mitigation measures:

2.1. Positive Impacts

VPFRWMP project is to provide sustainable water environment for long-term socio-economic growth of Vinh Phuc province. Specifically, the project will focus on ensuring flood control in central basin of the province and prevent the rapid degradation of surface water quality. According to the overall urban planning of Vinh Phuc province by 2030 and vision to 2050, Vinh Phuc Province will be divided into three areas: urban, industrial and services area; (2) areas for agriculture, forestry and fisheries development; and (3) area for nature conservation and tourism development. Of which, urban, industrial and services area covers the entire Vinh Yen city, part of Phuc Yen town and part of Binh Xuyen, Tam Duong, Yen Lac and Vinh Tuong district. This will support to create sustainable prosperity aimed at building a developed city together with improved living quality and a protected environment, meeting the standards of urban area Grade 1, with an important role in the North economic zone of the country.

Thus, the project is being planned, so the core urban center of the province, Vinh Yen city,
would become a satellite town to Hanoi capital. The project will create a strong spread given the connection with suburbs (the neighboring districts of Vinh Yen city). The plan is to take most advantage of nature abundance of lakes and rivers in Vinh Phuc province, in a network of greenery and open water surface for the entire urban areas. This will create a sound urban environment, while protecting the ecological environment of existing river for its sustainable development. Sau Vo lake plans a city park at urban scale, creating a relaxing space for residents. Along with construction planning of Dai Lai, Dam Vac, Dam Rung lakes, Sau Vo lake will be key reservoir. Therefore the project aims to be a major opportunity for renovating a sustainable living environment for the Vinh Phuc province, creating inter-regional and inter-national trade connections. These are favorable conditions for the development of infrastructure and calling investors to generate resources for a sustainable economic development. Therefore, the basic and key objective of the project is to protect the existing ecological environment, sanitation of residential areas along rivers, dredging lakes to increase the storage capacity and form chains of modern urban ecology areas with sustainable ecological systems in Sau Vo, Dam Vac and Dai Lai lakes. The urban areas and resorts formed around Vinh Yen city are expected to be the destination of the inhabitants from Hanoi and for tourist resorts.

2.2. Adverse Impacts and Mitigation Measures

a. Involuntary Resettlement

Assessment of Adverse Impacts. Assessing the project impacts indicates that land acquisition as a result of the project is unavoidable. It is anticipated that Component 1&2 involve construction and rehabilitation of the rivers, retention lakes, irrigation and drainage/sewerage infrastructures that will imply land acquisition and resettlement and its concomitant impacts. In the preparation stage, a close cooperation with the Vinh Phuc PMU and the consultations with relevant local authorities at district/commune levels, confirmed that there was a process of looking and identifying options for the planned works location, and attempting to minimize the resettlement impacts on the vulnerable and poorest people. The exact location and size of the dredging area, irrigation and drainage/sewerage systems, and landfill have not been identified yet. Thus the estimation of the scope of land acquisition as well as accurate number of households affected by the sub-projects is not defined at this point. However, it can be confirmed that involuntary resettlement is inevitable and an estimated number of 6,229 households could be affected throughout project life (not including 65 households to be temporary impacted), of which an estimated 1,916 would be affected households under the first phase of three year-one subprojects.

Mitigation Measures. Potential adverse social impacts due to land acquisition and other assets triggered World Bank’s OP 4.12. In compliance with the provision of the policy, the project required the preparation of a RPF and RAPs. The RAPs addressed the potential impacts due to relocation and others on livelihoods impacting communities and households. The Vinh Phuc PPC and authorized PMU ensured that any involuntary resettlement will be carried out in accordance with the agreed RPF/RAPs. To meet the World Bank Policy requirements, payment for all assets (including land, structures, crops, and other assets) must be based on the replacement cost survey. Displaced people’s living conditions should be restored to at least the pre-project level. In the community meetings and consultations, the local authorities expressed their appreciation with regards to the World Bank’s policy to restore livelihood of the affected people and to assist poor and vulnerable households.
b. Impacts on Ethnic Minority.

Assessment of Adverse Impacts. The potential project’s impacts assessment were estimated on the basis of consultations and in-depth interviews with key stakeholders. According to the assessment temporary impacts during the construction phase caused by the dredging activities of three-River Network in Binh Xuyen, will include land, and local income. However, these impacts are to be clearly defined and confirmed when the detailed engineering design will be finalized and available.

Mitigation Measures. The Social Assessment (SA) indicated that ethnic minority communities of Cao Lan and San Diu, Nung and Dao are present in the proposed project area and could be potentially affected. A process of free, prior informed consultation with affected EM’s communities during the project design was carried out and will be done for new subprojects to be identified during project implementation to ensure there is a broad community support. The EMPF and EMDP were prepared on the basis of: a) social assessment prepared for the whole VPFRWMP project, and results of the environmental impact assessment; b) consultation with ethnic minority peoples present in the project areas; and c) consultation with key project stakeholders, including Vinh Phuc Provincial’s Department of Planning and Investment, and the Committee for Ethnic Minority Affairs. The EMPF and EMDP aim at ensure that: (i) affected EM peoples receive culturally appropriate social and economic benefits, and (ii) when there are potential adverse effects on EM, the impact are identified, avoided, minimized, mitigated, or compensated for.

c. Impacts on Livelihood (Income Generating Activities) and Sources of Income

Assessment of Adverse Impact. Apart from land acquisition, the project interventions will have other impacts, both positive impacts (e.g. reduction of flooding; increasing agriculture production...) and adverse impacts (e.g. reduced sources of income due to loss of agricultural land and temporary loss of income (minor) from fishing activities (Sau Vo, So, Nhi Hoang and Rung retention lakes; changing alignment of existing drainage and sewerage that may cause temporary flooding and water provision cuts.

Mitigation Measures. For subprojects that involve dredging on the lakes, and or rivers, households who do fishing may be potentially affected during the construction operation. It is anticipated that those relying on fishing as secondary income generation activities, should be consulted when the detailed design of the subprojects are available, and the construction measures become clear. Effort should be made with regards to construction measures to ensure construction operation are done during the low season when fishing activities are minimal and could not be done to minimize the potential impact. In case, impact is not avoidable, compensation should be provided to the affected households – as per RPF, to ensure their livelihood will not be worse off as a result from the project construction. It would be the same for other activities potentially impacted such as animal breeding.

d. Gender Action Plan and Gender Monitoring Plan

The SA results indicated that job opportunities and adaptability to job change are barriers for women, especially farming women in age of above 40. The fact is that women mainly participate in agricultural production/aquaculture. The project implementation will affect agricultural land/aquaculture, thereby increasing risks of shortage of jobs for women.

Mitigation Measures. This impact may be minimized if local women, especially women of affected households are offered with opportunities to participate in the programs of vocational training, capacity building and propaganda campaign to raise awareness of sanitation, traffic safety or prevention of social evils. Job priority to women during the project implementation will reduce unemployment for women and create opportunities to increase income for affected
households. During the project preparation, women is ensured to participate in community meetings, in-depth interviews as well as household survey at rate of 20-40%. Income from agricultural production/ aquaculture of households in general and of women in general will be affected during the construction of the project works. Therefore, it is essential to arrange jobs suitable especially project-affected women. This will enable women to earn income from unskilled labor during the construction. However, job creation is both opportunity and also potential risk due to labor safety and abuse. Some other potential issues including traffic safety, discrimination for unskilled laborers should be considered. Gender issues is considered as a risk and incorporated in the Gender Action Plan and Gender Monitoring Plan that is presented in the Annex 1 of the Social Assessment.

e. Sexually Transmitted Infections

Assessment of Adverse Impact. The project impacts relates to increased risks of exposure to HIV/AIDS during construction and post construction phase due to large volumes of transit traffic along the road. Women are at larger risk to be posed by HIV/AIDS, road safety than man. Additionally, poor women and female-headed households in the Project area are at risk to suffer economically losing productive assets (houses, business, farm land) due to land acquisition.

Mitigation Measures. To mitigate and address the risks related to HIV/AIDS and other endangering woman may during construction time due to presence of construction worker, HIV/AIDS awareness and prevention awareness program should pay particular attention to women. The project will address the needs for better dissemination of information on HIV/AIDS and other risks, such as drug abuse. The HIV/AIDS program should include awareness campaigns at the construction sites and in the communities, developing peer educators and community monitoring combine with the awareness on safe migration, and by community PMU and Woman Union of project communes monitoring and public campaigns.

Temporary Impacts

During construction period, the project may cause some temporary negative impacts on certain peoples’ economic activities or limits to access certain resources (e.g. limited access to Sau Vo Lake); others are impact due to dust, noise, and road safety.

Assessment of Potentially Linked Project

The SA indicated that the ADB Green Cities Program, to be implemented from 2016-2021 in Vinh Yen city, does not have any investments linked with the WB financed project. The ADB Green Cities Program has an approved SA and PSSA, including measures to bridge the gap between ODA donor and GoV regulation. The due diligence for this link project is presented in Annex 5 of Social Assessment Report.

C. CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

1. Cumulative Environmental Impacts

The ESIA conducted a review of related recently completed and ongoing investments in Vinh Phuc to identify possible linkages and potential cumulative impacts in relation to the proposed Project. Based on the assessment and due diligence review, negative cumulative impacts from linked and associated urban infrastructure and industrial development projects are deemed to be limited.

Vinh Phuc Province, with the support of the Vietnamese Government, donors and international funding institutions, has implemented development programs and projects for Vinh Phuc
Province in general and the Phan River region in particular. Key infrastructure projects are as follows.

1. Grade II Urban Development Programme (Green City Program), Vinh Yen City, Vinh Phuc Province, (financed by the ADB).
2. Vinh Phuc Improving Investment Environment Project (VPIIE) (financed by JICA)
3. Road as Vac Lake dyke (section from Vac Lake Golf to Yen Lac – Vinh Yen road financed by Vinh Phuc Province)
4. Lung Hoa WWTP, Vinh Tuong District, Vinh Phuc (financed by Vinh Phuc Province)

In assessing cumulative impacts, in addition to the positive and negative impacts of related infrastructure projects, impacts of industrial parks, sand extraction and borrow pits on the area’s environment were also evaluated.

In Vinh Phuc, there are 8 large scale industrial parks (IPs) with a total area of 1,721 ha, including Khai Quang (214 ha); Kim Hoa (50 ha); Binh Xuyen I (288 ha); Binh Xuyen II (42 ha); Ba Thien I (327 ha); Ba Thien II (308 ha), Tam Duong II (361 ha). Of which 03 IPs (Ba Thien I, Ba Thien II, Binh Xuyen) that are under construction, with one (Khai Quang) operational at present. The IP management boards are responsible for organizing plan preparation, construction investment, managing and operating the drainage systems in IPs under their management.

According to the current regulations, all wastewater from industrial parks must be collected and treated to meet discharge requirements before discharging into the receiving water. In the only one running, that is, Khai Quang IP, its own wastewater treatment plant is operating and the post treatment water quality is assured by DONRE monitoring as satisfying environmental standards.

There are borrow pits in Districts of Tam Duong and Tam Dao. The environmental impact will increase if the project exploits the pits for use as backfill material. However, current calculation proves that all backfilling works will utilize dredged material from components in the Project and there is little possibility that material will be required from these sites.

It should be noted that the operation of the drainage system into Red River and Pho Day River added to the presence of (often extrajudicial) sand extracting activities will contribute to increasing pressure on river water quality as well as increasing erosion risk along river banks.

Listed below is a comprehensive table of the most relevant Valuable Ecological Components (VECs) that may be affected by the Project. These VECS have been selected and assessed against other related and ancillary projects that may have a cumulative impact on the Phan River and its basin:

1. Water quality
2. Aquatic Bio-diversity
3. The quality of life of local communities
4. Downstream water use

Due to lack of detailed pollution data, the impacts of adjacent development projects are assessed in order of magnitude. Overall, the cumulative impacts of the Project are positive in that flood risk management measures will enhance the control and regulation of floods, and the wastewater treatment measures will improve the environmental quality for the Phan River basin, and the quality of life for local residents.

2. Cumulative Social Impacts

The team that prepared the SA conducted a systematic review of related documents, including the Feasibility Study, Hydraulic Modeling, the Environmental and Social Impact Assessment Report, to examine if there are any potentially cumulative impacts, particularly flooding, that may
result as a result of the investments of the Project. The review confirms there is no cumulative impact, indicative of unexpected flooding, that could be identified during project preparation stage.

During project implementation, when the detailed engineering designs for all subprojects are available, additional review to screen for cumulative impact (flooding) would be confirm and the impact will be confirmed. In case, the investments of the project may be result in unusual flooding to a particular land area, impact assessment will be further done to confirm the magnitude of the impact. If the impact affects adversely the income generating activities and livelihoods of the people, and these impacts (permanent or temporary) could not be avoided, the affected people will be compensated for – as per project’s RPF.
CHAPTER 5: ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL MANAGEMENT PLAN (ESMP)

A. BASIC PRINCIPLES

As part of the Regional Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA), the Environmental and Social Management Plan (ESMP) is a safeguard instrument that is typically used in many projects and consists of information and guidance for the process of mitigating and managing environmental adverse impacts throughout the project implementation. It is common in Vietnam that an ESMP includes a list of typical mitigation measures to be implemented by the contractors, an environmental monitoring program, organization arrangements, and an estimated monitoring cost.

There is a comprehensive regulatory framework in Vietnam related to the process of preparing environmental and social impact assessment, environmental standards, protection, land management and land use, cultural properties, and other aspects related to the construction and operation of facilities and infrastructure in Vietnam. This ESMP is consistent with those provisions.

To facilitate effective implementation of the ESMP, VP ODA-PMU will:

(a) Establish Environmental and Social Unit (ESU) who is responsible for timely EMP implementation including monitoring, reporting, and capacity building related to safeguards regulations.

(b) Assign Construction Supervision Consultant (CSC) who is responsible for monitoring the implementation of environmental mitigation measures taken by the contractor, which is a part of the construction contract and this requirement will be included in the Terms of Reference (TOR) of the Construction Supervision Consultant.

(c) Recruit a qualified national consultant as Independent Environmental Monitoring Consultant (IEMC) to support the VP ODA-PMU in performing the tasks.

The Department of Planning and Investment (DPI), Department of Agriculture and Rural Development (DARD), Department of Natural Resources and Environment (DONRE), together with the DPCs and CPCs in the project area will be responsible for implementing mitigation measures during the project operation process. These agencies will ensure that the mitigation measures are implemented and provide adequate budget. The Provincial Steering Committee (PSC) led by the Chairman or Deputy-Chairman of the PPC will provide overall policy guidance and oversee the project implementation. The roles and responsibilities of the specialized agencies and DPI, DONRE will also be very important.

The mitigation measures proposed in ESMP are divided into two key parts:

- Firstly, Environmental Codes of Practice (ECOPs) include generally typical impacts expected to occur during the construction process of the project. The mitigation measures to address these impacts will be provided in ECOPs and a number of measures will be incorporated into the contract with construction contractors and design consultants.

- Secondly, the site-specific impacts and their respective mitigation measures which are not covered in ECOPs, or which are of an order of magnitude that require mitigation measures not covered in the ECOPs, are described in more details in the EMP.

With regards to land acquisition and resettlement activities, or impacts related to indigenous peoples, mitigation measures are presented in separate reports (Resettlement Plan, Resettlement Plan Framework, and Ethnic Minority Development Plan). These activities will be implemented and monitored separately.
Some components of the project will finance environmental measures, above and beyond mitigation measures as described in the ESMP. This is the case for Component 3 - Implementation Supporting and Institutional Strengthening.

**B. MANAGEMENT ORGANIZATION AND RESPONSIBILITIES**

1. **Environmental Management**
   
   Environment management responsibilities have been defined in the ESIA and the related ESMP. Environmental management during construction involves the PMU of the Vinh Phuc province their CSCs, contractors, and the Independent Environmental Monitoring Consultants (IEMC) that Vinh Phuc province will be required to select and appoint. Details of these responsibilities are provided in section E below.

2. **Social Management**
   
   The following section specifies the key responsibilities of relevant stakeholders with respect to implementation of SA/RAP/EMDP for site specific civil works/ subprojects that will be finalized/determined during project implementation.

   **Vinh Phuc Provincial People’s Committee**
   
   As a line agency, Vinh Phuc PPC is responsible for the overall outcome of any SA/RAP/EMDP that will be prepared and implemented under this project. VP PPC will maintain an overall oversight of the RAP preparation and implementation, and will provide guidance to relevant Departments, District People’s Committee to ensure effective and timely collaboration and coordination between these agencies in the preparation and implementation of site specific RAP. When a Resettlement Action Plan is prepared, VP PPC will ensure the RAP is prepared in accordance with the requirements set forth in this RPF. Once a RAP is concurred by the World Bank (via a No Objection), VP PPC will approve the final SA/RAP/EMDP, or designate a relevant District PC to ratify the SA/RAP/EMDP to enable SA/RAP/EMDP implementation. The VP PPC will also assure it will cover all the costs related to compensation to affected, and their resettlement, if any, under this Project, and ensure the compensation. Resettlement and livelihoods restoration of affected households will be implemented and monitored in accordance with the project SA/RPF/EMPF.

   **Department of Planning and Investment**
   
   The Vinh Phuc Department of Planning and Investment is the project owner that is fully responsible for managing and supporting Vinh Phuc ODA PMU in the project implementation, which includes approval of updated resellement plan(s) and managing the implementation of Resettlement Plan(s)

   **Relevant Provincial Departments**
   
   The Department of Finance (DOF) shall be responsible for appraising the compensation rate proposed by the relevant authorities based on results of independent land price appraisal and submitting to the PPC for approval. In the beginning of RP implementation, the DOF will closely coordinate with DOC, Department of Natural Resources and Environment, Department of Transport, Department of Industry, District People’s Committee in appraising unit prices and proposing PPC to adjust if necessary to ensure that compensation rate is replacement cost at time of compensation for the project-affected persons.
Department of Finance:
  a) Coordinate with the relevant agencies to submit prices of land and assets to the PPC for approval.
  b) Coordinate with Department of Natural Resources and Environment to appraise compensation, assistance and resettlement plan and compensation cost.
  c) Checking the compensation payment, assistance and related costs.

Department of Natural Resources and Environment:
  a) Guiding to determine categories and area of land as well as entitlements to compensation when the State acquires land.
  b) Coordinating with DPI, DOC, DOF to submit to the PPC for making decision on land acquisition scope.
  c) Being chairman for appraising the compensation, assistance and resettlement option, evaluating and selecting compensation, assistance and resettlement plan and compensation cost.
  d) Submitting to Vinh Phuc Provincial People’s Committee for making decision on land acquisition scope.

Department of Construction:
  a) Guiding to determine scope, area and legal status of the structures attached to the acquired land.
  b) Re-appraising quality of houses, structures, museums, ports and other construction works.
  c) Determining price of houses and structures built on land for calculating compensation value to submit to the PPC for approval.
  d) Coordinating with the competent authorities to determine position and scope of the resettlement sites.

Vinh Phuc ODA PMU
Vinh Phuc ODA PMU shall take general responsibility for the project’s operations, including resettlement. The PMU includes technical, financial, accounting, social and resettlement divisions. PMU will be responsible for:

During RAP preparation:
  a) Work closely with the WB to prepare the agreed RAP in accordance with the RPF.
  b) Develop and provide orientation training on the requirements of the RPF to ensure District PC, and relevant stakeholders involved in RAP planning and implementation understand the requirement for RAP – as set forth in this RPF.
  c) Coordinate with the relevant departments under VP PPC and relevant District PC to obtain their comments/suggestions, and their consensus on RAP preparation and implementation.
  d) Ensure the RAP is prepared in accordance with the RPF.

During RAP implementation:
  a) Take lead in recruitment of consultants who will carry out a replacement costs survey, and independent monitoring of RAP implementation.
  b) Ensure the required budget for RAP implementation is timely allocated and available for compensation payment/resettlement. Update RAP and conduct internal monitoring of RAP implementation as per requirements set out in the RPF.
c) Designate staff with profound experience in resettlement and familiar with Bank’s OP 4.12 as a social focal point for PMU. This/these staff will provide regular support to provincial governments in RAP implementation. If such experienced staffs are not available, a consultant should be recruited. ToR for this consultant is subjected to Bank’s prior review.

d) Prepare bi-annual progress reports and submit to the WB.

e) Conduct training on requirements of project’s RPF and RAP; work closely with District’s People’s Committee and District Resettlement Board in updating RAPs following the completion of detailed measurement survey, consultation, and replacement costs survey.

f) RAP of the Vinh Phuc PPC must reflect the replacement costs surveys, local compensation rates, and consultation with affected households. The RAPs must be submitted to the Bank for prior review and no-objection prior to proceeding with civil works and compensation payment.

Responsibility for preparation and implementation of EMDP are as follows:

- The general responsibility of the elaboration and implementation of the EM policy framework belongs to the Vinh Phuc ODA PMU. The PMU should assign social staff or shall employ consultants, if required to work in close coordination with such relevant agencies as Departments at provincial level, district People’s Committees involved in the project and affected communities to prepare the EMPF. This EMPF will be cleared by the WB and approved by the Vinh Phuc PPC prior to the time of Agreement Negotiation.

- The EMDP of each sub-project will be made by social specialist hired by the PMU on the basis of principles of the EMPF. The Vinh Phuc PPC will be responsible for approving and implementing the EMDP.

- The fund for the preparation of EMDP of the sub-project will come from the counterpart fund of the Vinh Phuc province.

- The PMU, via Environment and Resettlement Division, shall be responsible for ensuring effective implementation of the EMPF and the EMDPs in close consultation to the same level departments and project districts.

Responsibility for preparation and implementation of SA are as follows:

The Vinh Phuc PPC will be the owner of the project, through Vinh Phuc ODA PMU, implements the mitigation program in Social Action Plan under the SA, in cooperation with District People Committees, Provincial Department of Labour, Invalid and Social Affairs, Provincial Steering Committee of HIV/AIDS, drug and prostitution, Vietnam Women's Unions, Vietnam Fatherland Fronts and Mass Organizations.

A team of Project Implementation Consultants will be engaged in building capacity of the implementing agencies, Women's Union Mass Organization and facilitating the implementation of the program.

**District People’s Committee**

- Directing, organizing, disseminating propaganda and motivating all concerned organizations and individuals to comply with the compensation, assistance and resettlement policies.

- Directing the DRC to prepare and implement the compensation plan.

- Coordinating with the departments, divisions, organizations and the Employer to implement the project.

- Solving grievances related to compensation, assistance and resettlement.
**Provincial Center for Land Fund Development (LFDC)**

Vinh Phuc PPC makes decision on establishing LFDC as resettlement unit fully responsible for the project resettlement implementation. Responsibilities of the LFDC is as following:

a) Preparing and organizing the implementation of compensation, assistance and resettlement plan.

b) Inspecting and taking responsibility for the compensation calculation for households and summarizing volume of compensation payment to submit to the PPC for appraisal and approval, then directly payment to the affected households right after receiving the compensation fund.

c) Reflecting expectations and participating in solving DPs’ grievances related to compensation entitlements and policies.

d) Closely coordinating with the independent monitoring agency.

e) Working under the principle of collective decision by majority rule.

**Ward People’s Committee (WPC)**

The Ward/Commune PC will assist the LFDC in implementing the RAP. Specifically, the Ward/Commune People’s Committee will be responsible for the followings:

a) Assist the DPC, LFDC in organizing public meetings, consultations and information dissemination during RAP implementation;

b) Form working groups at the commune and direct their functions, assign commune officials to assist the LFDC to conduct Detailed Measurement Survey, prepare land acquisition dossiers for the project, prepare and implement resettlement activities;

c) Identify replacement land for the affected households who are eligible and propose income restoration programs appropriate to the conditions of the people and the locality;

d) Supervise and implement the resettlement support measures and cooperate with LFDC to find resettlement land for the relocating households;

e) Resolve complaints at the first level as prescribed by the existing law;

f) Actively participate in the land acquisition, compensation payments, and in other related resettlement activities and concerns.

**C. ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL MONITORING**

1. Environmental monitoring

It is essential to design the monitoring program and monitoring frequency appropriately to be able to record both the overall performance of the project works as well as the short-term impact due to construction activities. The environmental monitoring program will be implemented during the pre-construction and construction phases at 3 levels:

- Monitoring the level of compliance with mitigation measures,
- Community-based monitoring, and
- Monitoring the environmental parameters set out in the ESIA.

2. Social monitoring

The PMU should be assisted by a team of social development specialists to implement the proposed social interventions. Selected team of specialists should have experience in implementing M&E and skills training.

The monitoring and evaluation of the proposed implementation programs should be done
continuously from the project commencement to until the end of at least one year of operation. Independent Monitoring Consultants will be contracted for monitoring of resettlement implementation. It also should be a consultant to monitor implementation of social action plans described above.

**D. ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL SUPERVISION**

1. **Environmental supervision**

   Environmental supervision during construction will be the responsibility of the CSCs, who will be required to include in their supervision teams personnel with experience in supervising the environmental aspects of projects financed by international agencies such as the World Bank. They must also be familiar with the environmental legislation requirements of the Government. In accordance with their supervision contracts, and with the provisions of the construction contracts, the CSCs will be responsible for supervising all construction activities, including the mitigation measures that have been incorporated into the contracts on the basis of the ESMPs, and more broadly for ensuring that any negative environmental impacts of the project are minimized.

2. **Social supervision**

   Internal monitoring of the SA/RAP implementation is the main responsibility of the PMU, inter alia, in addition to project implementation and management. Monitoring of SA/RAP implementation does not only focus on actual SA/RAP implementation, but also on SA/RAP preparation to ensure the SA/RAP is timely and appropriately prepared and implemented in accordance with the project’s RPF. Monitoring of SA/RAP implementation is required by PMU, on a monthly and quarterly basis, to ensure the SA/RAP implementation is on track and that any emerging issues/shortcomings; including complaints from affected households are timely solved.

**E. INDEPENDENT ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL MONITORING CONSULTANT (IESMC)**

1. **Independent Environmental Monitoring Consultant (IEMC)**

   The monitoring and related audit of the subprojects will be carried out by Independent Environmental Monitoring Consultants (IEMC) appointed by Vinh Phuc PMU. The IEMC will be responsible for carrying out environmental sampling and monitoring with according to the frequency in ESIA of project, on all environmental-related issues regarding the works. They will check, review, verify and validate the overall environmental performance of the respective subprojects through regular inspections and review. This review will provide confirmation that the results reported by the contractors to the construction management consultants and the PMU are valid and that the relevant mitigation measures and monitoring programs provided in the subproject ESMP is being fully complied with. The IEMC will also supply specialized assistance to the PMU and, if required, to the CSCs, on environmental matters.

2. **Independent Social Monitoring Consultant (ISM C)**

   In addition to internal monitoring by PMU, an independent monitoring agency (an academic or a consulting firm with proven track record in resettlement monitoring and evaluation) will be recruited by PMU to carry out monitoring of the SA/RAP implementation.

   The contracted independent monitoring agency will provide independent monitoring and
evaluation of the implementation of the SA/RAP. The service of independent monitoring will be maintained during project implementation until restoration of livelihoods of affected households has been re-established to pre-project levels.

The main indicators of independent monitoring include:

a) Full payment of compensation for land, housing and other assets to PAPs prior to land acquisition.

b) Adequacy of compensation in enabling PAPs to replace affected assets.

c) Provision of technical support for house construction to affected households who rebuild their structures on their remaining land, or build their own structures in new places as arranged by the project, or on newly assigned plots.

d) Provision of income restoration support.

e) Restoration of productive activities.

f) Restoration or replacement of community infrastructure and services

g) Operation and results of grievance procedures (to check if the GRM functions properly and if grievances are fully and timely addressed to ensure the objective of RAP is met).

h) Throughout the implementation process, household income trends will be observed and surveyed. Any potential problems in the restoration of living standards will be reported.

During SA/RAP implementation, PMU (internal monitoring), PMU’s consultant (independent monitoring), and the World Bank’s Task Team are expected to work closely with each other. A Terms of Reference for the Independent Monitoring Consultant will be prepared by PMU and approved by the World Bank. Technical support will be provided by the Bank in the finalization of the ToR. Monthly and Quarterly Internal Monitoring Reports should be submitted to Bank for coordination and support purpose.

Besides that, the PMU will be also responsible for the overall implementation and internal monitoring of this EMDP/GAP, and the same independent monitoring consultant (who is responsible for external monitoring RAP) will monitor the implementation of EMDP/GAP. Monitoring report will be submitted to the World Bank for review and comments, by semi-annual basis.

F. COST OF ESMP

1. Cost of EMP

The cost for resettlement and compensation will not be included in the cost of ESMP implementation, instead it is done by the government counterpart fund. The ESMP cost for project will comprise: (a) cost for implementation of the mitigation measures by contractor, (b) cost for supervision by the CSC, (c) cost for the independent environmental monitoring consultant (IEMC), (d) monitoring of environmental quality, and (e) PMU safeguard management costs. Costs for the implementation of the mitigation measures during construction will be part of the contract costs while the costs for monitoring by the CSC is provided for in the construction supervision contracts. Costs for PMU operations related to the EMP are provided for in the project management budget of the PMU.

Estimated cost for IEMC is 176,683 USD include cost of Environmental quality monitoring. The environmental quality monitoring is USD 93,613 and IEMC costs are consistent with the national regulations for reference purpose. However, the final costs will be updated during detailed design process.
Table 3. Estimated EMP implementation cost (mil. USD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expenditure item</th>
<th>Cost ($US)</th>
<th>Funding sources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a) Implementing mitigation measures during construction</td>
<td>Part of the contracts</td>
<td>WB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Supervising safeguards during construction</td>
<td>Included in construction and supervision contracts</td>
<td>WB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) ESU under PMU</td>
<td>From provincial budget for environmental protection</td>
<td>Counterpart fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d) IEMC</td>
<td>176,683</td>
<td>WB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e) Environmental safeguard capacity building program</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>WB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Cost of implementation of resettlement (3 phase 1 subproject, plus estimate for the remaining, costs estimate from EMDP implementation, costs estimate form gender action plans)

All the activities proposed in the mitigation program above would be implemented with national resources, and there are no international technical assistance requirements for these activities. Beneficiaries of the programs are based on criteria of: a) vulnerability; b) poverty/income; and c) female-headed households. The table below summarizes the activities by component and year, in which requirement for compensation for 1st year is about US$13.5 million and for EMDP support is about US$38,000.

Table 4.1: Estimated Budget for RPF/RAPs/EMDP Implementation (USD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Content</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Resettlement Activities</td>
<td>36,219,452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Ethnic Minority</td>
<td>38,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Social Action Plan (including GAP, Health Action Plan and Information Disclosure Campaign)</td>
<td>32,666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>36,290,118</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A. PUBLIC CONSULTATION DURING PREPARATION OF THE ESIA

Two public consultations were carried out during the preparation of project ESIA. In line with the Government’s consultation procedures the first consultation was conducted to collect opinions from Department of Planning and Investment (DPI), Department of Natural Resources and Environment (DONRE), Department of Construction (DOC), DOT (Department of Transportation), DARD (Department of Agriculture and Rural Development), representatives from 7 districts/city of Vinh Yen, Phu Yen, Tam Duong, Tam Dao, Binh Xuyen, Yen Lac and Vinh Tuong. There are 229 participants as leaders of PC of commune, wards, townships, community representatives, leaders of hamlets/neighborhoods in 56 wards/communes/townships in the project area. All comments and concerns expressed during the consultation have been taken into account during the preparation of the project’s feasibility studies. The second consultation focused on the results of the impact assessment and the proposed mitigation measures. Details are provided in the ESIA reports and summarized in Table 4.

Table 4 - Summary of Public Consultation Programs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Community’s opinions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>The first public consultation</strong></td>
<td>Disclosing information of project contents and proposed activities for the community, relevant NGO organizations, and local government in project areas; Gathering opinions of the government, community, organizations and advisory expert group in terms of environmental issues, especially undefined environment problems in the report. Based on this, public opinions are noted and integrated into project design as well as environment management plan; Ensuring accurate evaluation of entire environmental impacts and proposal for mitigation measures of environmental impacts with best results.</td>
<td>- Need to ensure electrical provision for affected households - Manage waste strictly on site and assist job-placements for affected households whose production land will be acquired - During project implementation, the project owner and construction contractors are required to comply with the contents presented in the ESIA - Overall the community is satisfied with EIAs and agreed to support the project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14th August 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The second public consultation</strong> (is planned to be carried out on December 30th, 2015 following the completion of this draft ESIA)</td>
<td>- World Bank and the consultants sought opinions of leaders, unions, and</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In addition to the two public consultations required by the Government and World Bank policies, two local government agencies, the Provincial People’s Committees and Fatherland Front Committees, were also consulted. Their letters containing comments on the project can be found in the ESIA appendixes.

Outside the country, this draft of the ESIA report will be disclosed at the World Bank’s InfoShop in Washington DC by December, 2015. In country, ESIA report in Vietnamese will be disclosed at the office of the relevant District People’s Committees and on the project website.

B. PUBLIC CONSULTATION DURING PREPARATION OF THE SA, RPF, EMPF, RAPS AND EMDP, EMDP

Main objectives of the public consultation under SA/RPF/EMPF preparation is to ensure the affected communities, households, local authorities, relevant agencies to be provided with information about the project, consulting about selection of technical options, potential impacts on land, income and non-land assets. Information disclosure plays an important role in promoting the progress of the project during the implementation, preparation and operation of the project with the consensus of communities, local authorities and relevant agencies. This will minimize possibility of conflicts and risks, increase investment efficiency and social significance of the project.

During preparation of RAP/EMDP, public consultations were carried out in different rounds and with methods, summarized as follows:

I. A survey that include 965 households consulted through a questionnaire covering 21 wards in 7 project districts of Vinh Phuc province.

II. Qualitative research was conducted through in-depth interviews, with 246 key informants. These include: a) leader of population group/chief of villages, b) leaders of Ward/commune People’s Committee, c) Agriculture extension officials, d) head of medical stations, e) women’s union, f) households located in the project area (including the affected households and beneficiary households), and g) affected and beneficiaries households.

III. In addition, 21 focused group discussions (including 172 peoples) and community meetings (including 392people) were carried out. These consisted on representatives from social unions of villages/hamlets and vulnerable households, ethnic minority households, household-headed women.

A Stakeholder communication and Consultation Strategy prepared for the project implementation will ensure affected communities, households, local authorities, relevant agencies to be provided with information about the project, and for consulting about selection of technical options, potential impacts on land, income and non-land assets, among others.
A. CONCLUSION

1. Environmental Aspect

The project implementation is consistent with the Vinh Phuc socio-economic development masterplan. The ESIA was prepared to identify all potential positive and negative impacts to the natural environment, local economy and society, to propose mitigation measures, and to delineate an environmental management and monitoring plan.

The assessment of potential negative impacts as identified in the report, include general impact and site-specific impacts for each component and each basin (B and C). The impacts are assessed according to the phases of the project including project preconstruction phase, construction phase and operation phase.

During the preconstruction stage, the negative impacts identified are mainly related to land acquisition, compensation and resettlement and clearance of UXO.

In the construction phase of the project, environmental impacts are mainly related to the generation of dust, emissions from dredging operations, earthworks, transportation and the operation of facilities and vehicles or wastewater from construction, domestic wastewater of the workers, construction and domestic solid waste. To minimize these impacts, mitigation measures have been proposed and ECOPs will be included in the contract for the construction contractors and construction supervision consultants.

In addition to the general environmental impacts, a number of site-specific environmental impacts are identified and mainly related to the dredging works of the Phan river basin, Binh Xuyen rivers, retention lakes and pump stations. They include impacts on the hydrological regime, the impact of the dredged material, the risk of erosion in the dredged areas, affecting aquatic ecosystems, the risk of flooding etc. For each impact, the ESIA report recommended appropriate mitigation measures. These include, for example:

- Diversion measures for dredging works to ensure sufficient drainage capacity of rivers and successive construction measures for reducing impacts on aquatic habitats
- Dredged materials are carefully checked on heavy metals. They must be dried and transported to appropriate sites, e.g. heavy metal loaded materials are disposed in Dong Mong site.
- Avoid transportation of dredged material using roads in densely populated areas.
- Avoid construction in rainy season and farming time.

An environmental monitoring and supervision program has been proposed, in accordance with the scale of the project and the regulations of the government of Vietnam and the WB on environmental monitoring during project implementation in which the responsibilities of each unit are well indicated. The monitoring and supervision results will be submitted to the environmental authorities of Vietnam and the World Bank on a regular basis.

The ESIA has also been disclosed to the local authorities and people in the Project area and constructive and mostly positive comments were received from stakeholders consulted.
2. Social Aspect

The Project will generate positive environmental, social and economic impacts during the operational phase. This includes: (i) Increasing flood drainage capacity, water storage capacity and regulating water for Phan and Ca Lo rivers, meeting the water demands for communes along these rivers; (ii) improving of ecological environment and forming the regulatory lakes, compatible with overall planning of urban construction of Vinh Phuc province until 2030 and vision to 2050; (iii) implementing step by step drainage solution planning for entire Phan and Ca Lo river basin in Vinh Phuc; (iv) upgrading infrastructure of rivers, drainage channels in the event of heavy rain causing flooding and (iv) creating trust to attract FDIs into the exploitation of infrastructure and connection with Trans-Asia route of Hanoi - Lao Cai, focusing on attracting investments into the development of Binh Xuyen, Ba Thien, Tam Duong Industrial Zones and inland ICD port.

The alternative design were reviewed carefully. However, involuntary resettlement is inevitable. It is estimated that 6,229 households could be affected throughout project life, of which an estimated 1,916 would be affected households under the three year-one subprojects. None of EM peoples are potentially affected as a result of permanent land acquisition although some 20 EM households could be potentially temporarily affected during the construction of the Binh Xuyen subproject as a result of land acquisition and possible fishing activities.

B. RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Environmental Aspect

As a large-scale project Vinh Phuc Flood Risk and Water Management aims to bring more socio-economic benefits to Vinh Phuc province. Therefore during the implementation of the project the cooperation and coordination of related agencies, especially the support from the World Bank for financial and technical assistance, is needed.

The project implementation will generate some potential negative impacts, therefore measures to minimize environmental impact in accordance with ECOPs and EMP must be executed throughout the project life and community and local governments should work together to strengthen supervision.

2. Social Aspect

The main negative social impacts related to the project includes: i) involuntary resettlement; iii) loss of livelihoods; iii) impacts on vulnerable groups; iv) impacts on safety and health. These impacts will be mitigated through a number of plans and programs prepared for the Project:

- Resettlement Policy Framework
- Ethnic Minority Policy Framework
- Ethnic Minority Development Plan
- Resettlement Action Plan;
- Social Action Plan
- Gender Action and Monitoring Plan
- Community Health Action Plan
- Stakeholder Communication and Consultation Plan

The PMU will be in charge of the implementation of these plans and programs and will ensure appropriate implementation in order to minimize negative impact to livelihood of local people, propose PMU to develop micro finance programme, agricultural Extension Services and training course on business development skills for affected households.
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### ANNEX 6 - Table 1. Project Cost and Financing by Component [To be revised]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Project cost items</th>
<th>Construction costs after taxes (USD)</th>
<th>WB loan (USD)</th>
<th>Counterpart fund (USD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Phase 1: 30% of the project value</td>
<td>37,298,344</td>
<td>33,568,510</td>
<td>3,729,834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Construction costs</td>
<td>35,815,151</td>
<td>32,233,636</td>
<td>3,581,515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Equipment costs</td>
<td>1,483,193</td>
<td>1,334,873</td>
<td>148,319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Phase 2: 70% of the project value</td>
<td>83,170,962</td>
<td>74,853,866</td>
<td>8,317,096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Construction costs</td>
<td>54,721,080</td>
<td>49,248,972</td>
<td>5,472,108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Equipment costs</td>
<td>28,449,882</td>
<td>25,604,894</td>
<td>2,844,988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total of construction and equipment costs</td>
<td>120,469,306</td>
<td>108,422,376</td>
<td>12,046,931</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Component 3</td>
<td>14,545,450</td>
<td>13,090,905</td>
<td>1,454,545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total costs of Components 1, 2 and 3</td>
<td>135,014,756</td>
<td>121,513,281</td>
<td>13,501,476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Project management costs</td>
<td>1,500,390</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,500,390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>Construction consulting fees</td>
<td>3,959,644</td>
<td>805,714</td>
<td>3,153,930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII</td>
<td>Other costs</td>
<td>7,389,126</td>
<td>3,485,355</td>
<td>3,903,772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIII</td>
<td>Costs for compensation, support and resettlement</td>
<td>31,553,246</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>31,553,246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IX</td>
<td>Contingencies</td>
<td>26,185,302</td>
<td>18,216,107</td>
<td>7,969,195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quantity contingencies for additional work load</td>
<td>17,941,716</td>
<td>12,580,435</td>
<td>5,361,281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inflation contingencies for cost escalation</td>
<td>8,243,586</td>
<td>5,635,672</td>
<td>2,607,913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Interest cost</td>
<td>13,640,475</td>
<td>5,979,984</td>
<td>7,660,491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total investment costs</td>
<td>219,242,940</td>
<td>150,000,440</td>
<td>69,242,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>