

Report Number: ICRR10755

1. Project Data:	Date Posted: 08/03/2000				
PROJ ID: P041971			Appraisal	Actual	
Project Name	: Second Technical Assistance Project	Project Costs (US\$M)	58.18	NA	
Country: Haiti		Loan/Credit (US\$M)	12	0	
Sector(s)	: Macro/Non-Trade	Cofinancing (US\$M)	44.98	NA	
L/C Number	: C2919; CP929	, ,			
		Board Approval (FY)		96	
Partners involved :	UNDP, CIDA, IDB, IMF, Japan, USAID	Closing Date	12/31/2001	06/30/1998	
	•	•	•	•	
Prepared by:	Reviewed by:	Group Manager:	Group:		

2. Project Objectives and Components

a. Objectives

The objectives of the TAP II were to: (a) strengthen the Government's capacity to design and implement priority policy reforms to be supported by the Second Economic Recovery Credit (ERC II); and (b) provide the analytical base for a future comprehensive public sector reform.

b. Components

The six components of the project were: (i) **Property tax reform** (US\$ 1.4 million; 11.7 percent of IDA funding); (ii) **Expenditure management** (US\$ 3.3 million; 27.5 percent); (iii) **Human resources management system** (US\$ 1.0 million; 8.3 percent); (iv) **Private sector development** (US\$ 3.0 million; 25 percent); (v) **Public Sector Modernization** (US\$ 1.1 million; 9.2 percent); and (vi) **Project Implementation** (US\$ 1.6 million; 13.3 percent).

c. Comments on Project Cost, Financing and Dates

The credit, for US\$ 12 million (including US\$ 0.6 million for contingencies), was approved in September 1996. It was scheduled to be effective in March 1997. After two extensions of the deadline, to June 1998, two conditions were still not met (appointment of a project manager and ratification of the project by Parliament), and the credit was consequently terminated. Although other partners extended this project, the Bank opted to close it in an effort to strengthen the portfolio.

3. Achievement of Relevant Objectives:

Not Applicable (NA).

4. Significant Outcomes/Impacts:

NΑ

5. Significant Shortcomings (including non-compliance with safeguard policies):

NΙΛ

6. Ratings:	ICR	OED Review	Reason for Disagreement /Comments
Outcome:	Not Rated	Not Rated	
Institutional Dev .:	Not Rated	Not Rated	
Sustainability:	Not Rated	Non-evaluable	same ratings but different menus
Bank Performance :	Not Rated	Not Rated	
Borrower Perf .:	Not Rated	Not Rated	
Quality of ICR:		Not Rated	

NOTE: ICR rating values flagged with '*' don't comply with OP/BP 13.55, but are listed for completeness.

7. Lessons of Broad Applicability:

NΑ

B. Assessment Recommended? O Yes No

9. Comments on Quality of ICR: