

1. Project Data:	Date Posted: 08/22/2003				
PROJ ID	: P005523		Appraisal	Actual	
Project Name :	Municipal Finance II	Project Costs (US\$M)	110.3	150.4	
Country:	Morocco	Loan/Credit (US\$M)	70.0	70.0	
Sector(s):	Board: UD - Power (25%), Other social services (25%), General water sanitation and flood protection sec (25%), Roads and highways (25%)	Cofinancing (US\$M)	0.2	0.2	
L/C Number:	L4231				
		Board Approval (FY)		02	
Partners involved :	France	Closing Date	12/31/2001	12/31/2002	
Prepared by:	Reviewed by:	Group Manager :	Group:		
Roy Gilbert	Christopher D. Gerrard	Alain A. Barbu	OEDST		

2. Project Objectives and Components

a. Objectives

(a) Increase the provision of basic infrastructure services in small and medium -size cities;

(b) strengthen Fonds d"Equipment Communal (FEC) as a commercially viable, autonomous financial institution specialized in providing financial services to the municipal sector.

b. Components

(i) Municipal Infrastructure (final cost US\$150.1 million). US\$70.0 million line of credit to FEC to be on-lent (for up to 15 years) to eligible municipalities to finance about 210 municipal infrastructure projects, the balance to be financed by municipalities themselves.

(ii) Institutional Development - ID (US\$0.3 million). To implement an institutional development plan to transform the FEC especially by reforming its accounting and management information systems .

c. Comments on Project Cost, Financing and Dates

Counterpart funding by municipalities was US\$80m--twice the appraisal estimate. The Bank loan was fully disbursed at completion. Completion was one year later than forecast, owing to the increased scale of sub -projects financed under the loan and the slow progress with the ID component.

3. Achievement of Relevant Objectives:

(a) Fully achieved through financing and completing more municipal infrastructure sub -projects than envisioned. Nearly half the sub-projects were for "urban improvements". The next most important were in solid waste, urban transport, and commercial facilities.

(b) Mostly achieved through improvements to FEC management and level of performance, even without full privatization. FEC's capital adequacy improved. Arrears fell to 7.2 percent of outstanding loans. Annual return as a percentage of net value of total assets was 2.1 percent, against a project minimum of 1.2 percent. FEC admin expenses were only 0.7 percent of assets versus an appraisal target of 0.9 percent.

4. Significant Outcomes/Impacts:

- Twice as many sub-projects were financed as planned and total funding increased by 35 percent thanks to municipalities doubling their expected counterpart contributions.
- The project offered an opportunity for authorities in Morocco to engage in a fruitful dialogue about the reform of the relations between the central government and governments at the local level.
- The project brought important benefits to smaller resource -poor municipalities that would otherwise have received no assistance.

5. Significant Shortcomings (including non-compliance with safeguard policies):

- The project design did not provide technical assistance and institutional support to municipalities (as is normally provided through this kind of operation), as the borrower did not agree to such a component. This undermined the institutional development impact of the project at the level of local governments.
- Despite assurances by the borrower, FEC was not transformed into a joint -stock company by completion as

agreed. During implementation FEC had to survive long periods without a General Manager. The lack of a borrower ICR and borrower input into the Bank ICR are further indicators of a less -than-full borrower commitment to the reforms sought by this project. Following the withdrawal of Treasury guarantees, FEC is now highly exposed to foreign exchange risks and has yet to put into place a mechanism for hedging such risks.

•

6. Ratings:	ICR	OED Review	Reason for Disagreement /Comments
Outcome:	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	
Institutional Dev .:	Modest	Modest	
Sustainability :	Likely	Likely	
Bank Performance :	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	
Borrower Perf .:	Unsatisfactory	Unsatisfactory	
Quality of ICR :		Satisfactory	
NOTE: ICR rating values f	lagged with ' * ' don't comply	with OP/BP 13.55, but are li	isted for completeness.
governments more Well performing muthe regulatory auth Projects should inco order to assess sul Given the disparate reduction and equir 8. Assessment Recom Why? To for since OED's last urban	creditworthy and able to unicipal finance markets a orities, enforced through lude financing for M&E a- b-project impacts upon lo e resource endowment ac ty objectives in small and mended? Yes O No llow-up and update progr PPAR in Morocco.	sustain bankable investm and financial intermediarie ex -post verification and c ctivities by local governm cal communities. cross municipalities, spec medium-sized local gove	es require appropriate enabling controls by control. ents together with central authorities in ific guidelines should address poverty
acknowledgements of the type understanding of the type and type	d coverage of the issues he project's shortcomings	on the institutional side . ced if the ICR had describ	ntation, together with candid A reader would leave with a better ved (in one paragraph) the types of