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Glossary

- **Accessibility**
  Refers to measures to ensure persons with disabilities have equal access to the physical environment, transportation, information and communications, including information and communications technologies and systems, and to other facilities and services. Measures also include the identification and elimination of barriers to accessibility.¹

- **Disaster Recovery Framework (DRF)**
  Pragmatic, sequenced, prioritized, programmatic, yet living (and flexible) action plan that ensures resilient recovery after a disaster.²

- **Disaster risk**
  The potential loss of life, injury, or destroyed or damaged assets which could occur to a system, society or a community in a specific period of time, determined probabilistically as a function of hazard, exposure, vulnerability and capacity.³

- **Disabled People’s Organization (DPO)**
  A representative organization of persons with disabilities that is led, and majority, governed by persons with disabilities.

- **Functioning**
  An umbrella term that includes an individual’s body structure and functions, their activities, and level of participation. An individual’s level of functioning is an interaction with barriers in society and their personal factors, such as gender and age.⁴

- **Post-Disaster Needs Assessment (PDNA)**
  A multisectoral assessment that measures the impact of disasters on the society, economy, and environment of the disaster-affected area.⁵

- **Reasonable accommodation**
  Adjustments to meet specific accessibility requirements of individuals with disabilities.

- **Underlying risk factors**
  Pre-existing conditions that can increase disaster risk. Includes poverty and inequality, climate change, unplanned urbanization, demographic change, and the limited availability of technology.⁶

- **Universal design**
  The process of designing goods & services in order that they can be used equitably by all people.

---

³ UNDRR terminology. [https://www.undrr.org/terminology/disaster-risk]
⁴ Based on the WHO International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health. [https://www.who.int/classifications/icf/en/]
⁶ Based on UNDRR terminology. [https://www.undrr.org/terminology/underlying-disaster-risk-drivers]
1. Introduction

a. Background

The impacts of disasters and the related recovery and reconstruction needs of individuals and communities vary. Disaster impacts are dependent on pre-existing conditions and underlying risk factors, including access to resources, the availability of social networks and support, and levels of participation in community life. The more an individual or group is excluded from society, the greater the disaster impact will be. Simply, disasters affect some people more than others and many of those disproportionately affected are persons with disabilities.

An estimated 15 percent of the world’s population have a disability with 100 million people experiencing serious difficulties functioning. A fundamental characteristic of disability is diversity and persons with disabilities represent a broad range of individual lived experiences. This diversity is recognised in the United Nations (UN) Convention on the Rights of Person with Disabilities (CRPD) which describes persons as disabilities as having:

long-term physical, mental, intellectual or sensory impairments which in interaction with various barriers may hinder their full and effective participation in society on an equal basis with others.\(^7\)

The CRPD places emphasis on the barriers persons with disabilities face and that contribute to exclusion from all aspects of life. In comparison to persons without disabilities, persons with disabilities frequently experience lower educational attainment; fewer work opportunities and lower household incomes; higher unmet health needs; and higher household expenditure on transportation and health.\(^9\&10\) Barriers also contribute to higher rates of death and injury among persons with disabilities in disasters.\(^11\) Disasters also contribute new long-term injuries and increase disability in populations.\(^12\)

Post-disaster recovery presents the opportunity to build back better and to reduce the underlying risk factors that contribute to disproportionate risk. Importantly, recovery and reconstruction processes that actively engage with and include persons with disabilities contribute to building more inclusive and resilient societies for all.

---


b. Purpose

This Guidance Note (the Note) provides action-oriented guidance for government officials and decision makers with responsibility for post-disaster recovery and reconstruction. The guidance will enable the development of disability inclusive planning and programming across sectors and government. The Note is expected to be of interest to wider government and non-government actors, including Disabled People’s Organizations (DPOs), concerned with inclusive recovery.

Key considerations and critical information for recovery planning are highlighted in text boxes. A summary and key action points are provided at the end of each section. The Note is organized around the following topics:

- Key principles for disability-inclusive recovery.
- Disability data for Post Disaster Needs Assessment and inclusive recovery.
- Enabling policies and legislation for disability-inclusive recovery.
- Institutional mechanisms for inclusive recovery.
- Financing mechanisms.
- Implementation arrangements.

2. Key principles

a. Disability inclusive recovery as opportunity

Disasters disproportionately affect the poor. The poorest 20% of affected populations account for 11% of asset losses in disasters; however, this same group bears 47% of losses to well-being. The relationship between poverty and disability is bi-directional. That is, if you are a person with disabilities, you are more likely to be poor and if you live in poverty, you are more likely to have a disability. The World Bank and GFDRR estimate that quicker and more inclusive recovery could reduce losses to well-being by US$65 billion a year.

Post-disaster recovery and reconstruction present the opportunity to build a foundation for strengthened resilience and well-being across communities. Improving the accessibility of infrastructure, information and communications; ensuring barrier free urban and land use planning; widening work force participation; and improving access to healthcare and education contribute to inclusion and, in turn, reduce disaster risk. Improved accessibility not only benefits persons with disabilities. Disability-inclusive

---


recovery and reconstruction benefits older people, people who are ill, people who have been injured, pregnant women, and minority language speakers.

b. Understanding disability

The concept of disability has evolved from being medically focused to an understanding that is more socially constructed. Disability is the result of an individual’s impairment, or difficulty functioning, and barriers in society. Barriers may be attitudinal, such as prejudice and stigma; institutional, including discriminatory policies and legislation; informational, including limited access to information and appropriate means of communication; and environmental or physical.

Barriers impact upon the lives of individuals with disabilities differently. For example, persons with intellectual disabilities can experience severe stigma and prejudice. This can lead to persons with intellectual disabilities being hidden in communities and invisible in recovery planning. Levels of functioning difficulties, such as mobility or vision, differ between individuals and persons with disabilities may experience one or multiple functioning difficulties. A disability-inclusive recovery process will actively include a range of lived experiences and the participation of diverse groups of persons with disabilities.

c. Intersectionalities

The language of the CRPD places the person before the disability; that is, ‘persons with disabilities’ and not ‘disabled persons’. The CRPD terminology reminds that, for example, women and men with disabilities have different lived experiences. Personal factors, including gender, age, and indigeneity all impact on how disability is experienced. For example, women with disabilities may experience a ‘triple jeopardy’ of exclusion resulting from the intersection of gender, disability & poverty. These jeopardies intersect and further limit community participation and access to opportunities.

Considering intersectionalities between disability and personal factors, such as gender and age, enable us to look beyond seeing persons with disabilities as a homogenous group. Recent research notes that a man with a lower limb amputation may be accepted as a village official or teacher in rural Cambodia; however, it is not clear a woman with disabilities would have the same opportunities. In the same community, men with intellectual disabilities were reported as subject to pity with ridicule considered an acceptable norm. Effective disability-inclusive recovery considers the barriers that different individuals face and focuses on removing those barriers to improve equality of opportunity.

---


d. Accessibility

Disasters disrupt the environment, institutions, and socio-economic processes creating further barriers for persons with disabilities. Addressing barriers during recovery and reconstruction requires a holistic approach. This means that barriers are not addressed in isolation and the aim of removing barriers is clear; that is, to contribute to closing the gap between persons with and without disabilities. For example, building a ramp to improve physical access at a government office is not enough. Information in the office needs to be accessible and communicated appropriately. Likewise, staff need to be sensitive to the requirements of persons with diverse disabilities. All are needed if the services the office provides are to be accessible. The CRPD sets out two key accessibility principles:

- Reasonable accommodation

  Reasonable accommodation refers to making appropriate and necessary adjustments (accommodations) to meet the access needs of individuals with disabilities. Reasonable means without ‘a disproportionate or undue burden’. Article 5 of the CRPD notes reasonable accommodation is integral to ensuring non-discrimination and equality.

- Universal design

  Universal design is designing goods, environments, programmes & services so they can be used equitably by all people. The importance of universal design in recovery is highlighted in the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction. The World Bank Environmental and Social Framework recommends ‘universal access’ in the design and construction of buildings and infrastructure as ‘unimpeded access for people of all ages and abilities in different situations and under various circumstances.’

e. Disabled People’s Organizations

Disabled People’s Organizations (DPOs) are representative organisations of persons with disabilities led by persons with disabilities. DPOs vary in terms of membership and may represent a particular group of persons with disabilities or persons with disabilities in general. The focus areas of DPOs vary, for example some are exclusively advocacy organizations while others may also deliver services. While DPOs may be established at the national level, many DPOs are small and locally focused. DPOs can ensure a disability perspective in recovery and reconstruction and provide essential disability expertise. DPOs should be engaged with as a priority through the recovery process.

---

https://www.preventionweb.net/files/43291_sendaiframeworkfordrren.pdf

Box 1. Considerations: DPO engagement

Growing awareness of disability inclusion is increasing demand for DPO engagement. These pressures may be amplified in a post-disaster context.

It is essential that persons with disabilities, and their representative organizations, are consulted and involved in all stages of recovery. However, disability inclusion is a responsibility shared by all recovery stakeholders. Responsibility for disability inclusion must not be placed on the shoulders of DPOs alone.

During a recovery process, DPOs may be operating outside their normal area of work. How DPOs can be resourced to contribute to recovery and reconstruction requires consideration.

The role of DPOs in recovery and reconstruction will depend on available DPO capacities and capabilities. DPO roles may include facilitation, training of recovery personnel, technical guidance and support, monitoring and accessibility audits, and direct implementation of recovery programming.

DPOs may not exist in all recovery locations. Where DPOs do exist, they are unlikely to work directly in all affected communities. Not all DPOs represent all persons with disabilities and multiple DPOs should be engaged in the recovery and reconstruction process to ensure diverse representation. Local DPOs may be identified by national level DPO networks or Departments of Social Affairs. Where DPOs are not present in a working area, individuals with disabilities should be engaged in the recovery process with due consideration to diversity, including gender, age, and disability type.

Summary and action points

- Post-disaster recovery provides the opportunity to create more inclusive and resilient societies.
- Lived experiences of disability are diverse and will vary according to type/s of disabilities, gender, age, indigeneity, and household incomes.
- Disability-inclusive recovery is primarily concerned with creating equal opportunities for persons with disabilities through the removal of barriers.
- Barriers are not just physical and should be addressed holistically.
- Disabled People’s Organizations are key allies for ensuring disability-inclusive and should be engaged throughout the recovery and reconstruction process.

3. Data for inclusive Post Disaster Needs Assessment and recovery

a. Disability data and sources

While global estimates suggest disability prevalence to be 15%, national disability figures vary and are often far lower. For example, prevalence figures for Asia and the
Pacific vary between 24% for New Zealand to 1.1% for Brunei Darussalam.\(^{22}\) This variation is due to differences in how disability is defined and counted. Direct questions, such as ‘do you have a disability?’, are frequently used in national surveys and underreport prevalence due to stigma associated with disability. The use of medical categorisations or impairment types may also underrepresent the extent of disability.\(^{23}\)

Despite recognition of the need for sex, age and disability disaggregated data (SADDD) for effective recovery planning and delivery,\(^{24}\) data on disability is neither routinely collected in post-disaster needs assessments (PDNA) nor incorporated in Disaster Recovery Frameworks (DRF). There are two key reasons why data on persons with disabilities is required:

i. To understand impact and recovery and reconstruction requirements, we need to know how many persons with disabilities there are in the affected area and their needs.

ii. To ensure services are equitably delivered during recovery and reconstruction, we need to know where persons with disabilities are.

If disability data has not been collected in the PDNA, a rapid survey may need to be conducted to establish a baseline. National, or local, Statistical Offices may be appointed as the lead agency and should be appropriately resourced. Departments of Health and Social Affairs may have access to community workers that can assist in data collection. Data on the number of persons with disabilities may also be available from existing sources; however, each has limitations.

- **Population and household surveys**

Increasingly, disability prevalence data is collected in population and household surveys by National Statistical Offices (NSOs). Disability surveys may also have been conducted by NSOs, local Statistical Offices, or other agencies. Disability surveys include the World Health Organisation’s (WHO) Model Disability Survey (MDS) or Disability Assessment Schedule 2.0 (WHODAS 2.0).\(^{25,26}\) The UN Children’s Fund (UNICEF) has also included questions on disability in Multiple Indicator Cluster Surveys (MICS) in some countries.\(^{27}\)

Data from population and household surveys can provide information on prevalence in an affected area, but usually will not identify individuals or households for service delivery purposes.

---


\(^{25}\) Model Disability Survey. [https://www.who.int/disabilities/data/mds/en/](https://www.who.int/disabilities/data/mds/en/)

\(^{26}\) WHODAS 2.0. [https://www.who.int/classifications/icf/whodasi/en/](https://www.who.int/classifications/icf/whodasi/en/)

\(^{27}\) Multiple Indicator Cluster Surveys. [https://mics.unicef.org/](https://mics.unicef.org/)
• Administrative data

Administrative data is used to manage and deliver a programme or service. Disability data may be stored in health or education management information systems or be available for persons with disabilities receiving disability benefits or social insurance. This data may include identifiable information, including addresses. However, there may be legal restrictions and privacy considerations on what data can be shared. Administrative data only includes individuals with disabilities who are accessing a service and not the wider population of persons with disabilities.

• Key informants

Due to stigma and low awareness, persons with disabilities may be hidden by their families and not identified in traditional household surveys. Trusted key informants in affected communities may be able to identify persons with disabilities. Key informants may include teachers, health workers, women’s group, and DPO representatives. Due to the stigma associated with disability, seeking information on disability from community leaders can result in underreporting. Where data is collected from community leaders, this should be cross-checked with other sources.

DPOs may have membership data and are likely to be able to facilitate identification of persons with disabilities in affected areas. However, it should not be assumed that DPO membership data will include all persons with disabilities in an area. Including DPOs in disability data collection & the identification of persons with disabilities is increasingly recognised as good practice. Technical support and appropriate training should be made available to any DPOs engaged in data collection.

b. Measurement tools: the Washington Group Questions

The Washington Group on Disability Statistics was established in 2001 to improve the quality and comparability of disability data collected in national censuses and surveys.28 The Washington Group have developed several question sets. These include an Extended Set with additional questions, including for improved identification of persons with intellectual disabilities, and a Module on Child Functioning developed with UNICEF.2930 The Washington Group Short Set of questions are designed to be simple to use and follow a functional approach to disability based on the difficulties a person may experience conducting everyday activities.

Box 2. Key information: the Washington Group Short Set

---

28 See: http://www.washingtongroup-disability.com/about/history/#note-808-1
30 Module on Child Functioning, see: https://data.unicef.org/resources/module-child-functioning/
The Inter-Agency Standing Committee (IASC) Guidelines on the Inclusion of Persons with Disabilities in Humanitarian Action recommend use of the Washington Group questions in response and recovery.\textsuperscript{32} Where the importance of disability data is currently noted in DRFs, such as Sri Lanka’s 2017 flood and landslide DRF, no guidance on how to collect disability data is provided.\textsuperscript{33} However, there are an increasing number of guidance resources available from the Washington Group’s website.\textsuperscript{34} Detailed guidance is also contained in the World Bank’s guide to Disability Measurement in Household Surveys, 2020.\textsuperscript{35}

The Washington Group Short Set of questions on disability

- Do you have difficulty seeing, even if wearing glasses?
- Do you have difficulty hearing, even if using a hearing aid?
- Do you have difficulty walking or climbing steps?
- Do you have difficulty remembering or concentrating?
- Do you have difficulty (with self-care such as) washing all over or dressing?
- Using your usual (customary) language, do you have difficulty communicating, for example understanding or being understood?

Responses to the above questions

a. No difficulty
b. Yes, some difficulty
c. Yes, a lot of difficulty
d. Cannot do at all

---


\textsuperscript{34} See: http://www.washingtongroup-disability.com/

Box 3. Considerations: use of the Washington Group questions

- The Washington Group questions are not designed to identify all persons with disabilities. The identification of persons with disabilities should be ongoing throughout the recovery process.

- The Washington Group Short Set will identify some persons with intellectual disabilities. The Extended Set of questions has additional questions to improve the identification of persons with intellectual disabilities or cognitive difficulties. The Extended Set is available from the Washington Group website.

- The Washington Group questions do not identify impairments or health conditions. However, the questions can be used as a screening tool for referral for medical assessment to ensure specific health needs are met.

- The Washington Group recommends ‘a lot of difficulty’ as the cut-off for identifying persons with disabilities in censuses and surveys. Including ‘some difficulty’ responses may identify more persons with disabilities if the objective is broader inclusion in recovery programming.

- It is recommended to use the full six Short Set questions. In a resource poor humanitarian situation, such as in a rapid PDNA, there may be justification for using the first four questions of the Short Set as a second-best option. However, this will result in missing some persons with disabilities and should be transparently reported.

- Ensure resources are available to analyse the data before collecting the data.

- Plan sufficient time to train data collectors. The questions are designed to be simple, but the underlying functioning approach to disability can be unfamiliar. Data collectors need to be comfortable not mentioning ‘disability’ before asking the questions. Any direct reference to disability before asking the Washington Group questions can skew findings.

c. Data on barriers and accessibility

Tools such as the Washington Group questions can help collect sex, age and disability disaggregated data at the individual level, but do not provide a complete understanding of disability. Disability data in recovery and reconstruction must also include data on barriers and improved accessibility. Efforts to remove barriers to inclusion should also be documented and monitored (also see Box 8). Crucially, barriers must be actively removed regardless of the availability of prevalence data.

Monitoring improved accessibility can include accessibility audits of buildings, programmes, and communications (see section 7.c). Retrospective reviews can be used to form an accessibility baseline by identifying barriers prior to the disaster event. This can aid planning and the prioritisation of interventions to improve accessibility during recovery and reconstruction. To ensure relevance, the identification of barriers should
be in collaboration with persons with disabilities and their representative organizations.

Box 4. Considerations: future disability data preparedness

Collecting data on persons with disabilities in post disaster situations is an exercise in identifying who survived and, possibly, who was injured. Having robust data on disability prior to a disaster, or pre-placed, can contribute to preventing injury and deaths and minimising disaster impacts.

The recovery process should contribute to improving the collection and future application of disability data. This includes better understanding disability prevalence; the identification of persons with disabilities for participation in recovery processes; and understanding who may require assistance, such as evacuating, in future situations of risk.

To utilise pre-placed data, strategies that address privacy and confidentiality concerns need to be considered. This may include voluntary mechanisms where DPOs act as custodians of information on persons with disabilities. With agreement, information on the location of persons with disabilities may then be shared with first responders.

Summary and action points

- How disability is measured varies and results in a broad range of prevalence figures. In the majority of cases, disability prevalence remains significantly underestimated.
- Post-disaster situations present the opportunity to improve the availability of current and future disability data.
- Suitable data to assess the impacts on, and recovery needs of, persons with disabilities may not exist. Disability data should be collected in the PDNA. If not, a rapid disability survey will need to be resourced and conducted. Despite limitations for use in recovery, existing sources of disability data may need to be used.
- Use the Washington Group Short Set of questions to collect disability data and to monitor disability inclusion in recovery and reconstruction.
- Collecting data on individuals with disabilities is not enough. Data on barriers and accessibility improvements also need to be collected to understand and assess disability inclusion in recovery and reconstruction.

4. Enabling policies and legislation for disability inclusive recovery

Legislation and policies establish the necessary enabling environment for disability-inclusive recovery and reconstruction. A suitable enabling environment facilitates the tasking, prioritization, and allocation of resources necessary for inclusive recovery.

Awareness of the need for disability inclusion is increasing, but this is not yet fully reflected in national policies. While 181 countries had ratified the CRPD by early 2020,
there are few examples of specific polices addressing disability-inclusive recovery. However, there are examples of national disability legislation that address the inclusion of persons with disabilities in disaster risk management and recovery. Indonesia’s 2016 disability law requires national and local government to ensure ‘proper and accessible accommodations’ for, including with the participation of, persons with disabilities in disasters. This includes post-disaster recovery and reconstruction.

Pre-dating Pakistan’s 2020 Disability Rights Act, the National Disaster Management Authority published National Policy Guidelines on Vulnerable Groups in Disasters in 2014. The guidelines emphasize the inclusion of persons with disabilities and of enabling ‘these communities to rebuild their lives in a holistic and integrated manner’. The guidelines also note the imperative of addressing inclusion as a crosscutting issue. The drafting of Bangladesh’s National Plan for Disaster Management 2016-2020 included consultative stakeholder workshops on disability-inclusive disaster management. The plan recognizes social inclusion as foundational to building resilience and that a ‘whole of society’ approach should be employed across recovery.

Disability-inclusive disaster management policies and strategies lay the foundation for inclusive recovery and reconstruction and the development of an inclusive DRF. Policies and strategies will either supplement existing disability legislation or guide inclusive recovery in the absence of appropriate legislation. Where national legislation and policies addressing disability inclusion do not exist, or are incomplete, international frameworks and standards can be referred to.

**Box 5. Key information: frameworks and standards for disability-inclusive recovery**

---


40 Links to resources not previously listed:


Summary and action points

- The legislative and policy environment can enable, or hinder, disability-inclusive recovery and reconstruction.
- Suitable disability legislation facilitates the prioritization and allocation of resources to disability-inclusive recovery.
- Some countries may have disability legislation that addresses inclusion in disaster risk management and recovery or specific polices on disability inclusion in disaster risk management.
- The majority of counties have ratified the CRPD. The CRPD, alongside other international frameworks and standards, can be used to guide disability inclusive recovery and reconstruction.

5. Institutional mechanisms for disability inclusive recovery

National governments are ultimately responsible for ensuring disability inclusion in recovery. Guidance on deciding the lead agency for recovery and reconstruction is provided in the Guide to Developing Disaster Recovery Frameworks, 2015. In non-disaster situations, responsibility for disability lies across ministries, including health, social affairs and education. Some countries, such as the Philippines, have a Disability Affairs Office to coordinate disability inclusion across government. A similar model may be used in recovery and reconstruction to support the lead recovery agency. Any such coordination mechanism must not divert attention away from the shared responsibility all agencies and recovery stakeholders have for disability inclusion.

---

A number of mechanisms have been established by local and national governments to ensure disability inclusion in recovery. Persons with disabilities were actively involved in recovery following the Christchurch earthquake, 2011.\(^{42}\) The Earthquake Disability Leadership Group was established and advocated for a concerted focus on improving accessibility during reconstruction. This included legislative proposals to ensure all commercial and public buildings were accessible. A community forum, including persons with disabilities, was established to provide inputs to the Earthquake Recovery Minister. Christchurch City Council also benefits from a standing Disability Advisory Group of persons with disabilities and family members to ensure engagement between the council and wider disability community.

An alternative mechanism has been established in Indonesia. In 2014, the Head of the Indonesian National Disaster Management Agency issued a regulation on disability & disaster management.\(^{43}\) The regulation instructs local disaster management agencies to establish Disability Service Units. In Central Java, Disability Service Units have been established at the provincial & district levels with accompanying guidance developed in collaboration with persons with disabilities. The guidance tasks Disability Service Units with coordinating the participation of DPOs within recovery & reconstruction processes.\(^{44}\) In this role, the Disability Service Units are an integral part of the provincial disaster management agency.

Following the 2015 Nepal earthquake, a National Reconstruction Authority (NRA) was established with a steering committee chaired by the Prime Minister.\(^{45}\) The NRA developed Nepal's Post Disaster Recovery Framework with broad stakeholder engagement, including with DPOs. The Framework prioritises assistance for at-risk groups with specific reference to persons with disabilities in reconstruction, employment, training, and health care support. Social inclusion is emphasised as is the need for mechanisms to ensure access to recovery services for persons with disabilities and other at-risk groups in remote areas via the establishment of community-based organisations (CBOs).

The following box provides detailed action points for ensuring disability inclusion in recovery. Overall responsibility for delivering on these action points lies with the lead recovery agency.

---


Box 6. Considerations: lead recovery agency tasks to ensure disability inclusion

**Resource identification**
- Identify & map sectoral disability expertise within government. This may include on special & inclusive education, social protection, health & rehabilitation, or accessible infrastructure.
- Identify & collate existing government policies & guidelines relevant to disability inclusion. This may include building codes & accessibility standards or workplace regulations on anti-discrimination.
- Identify gaps in resources in respect of disability expertise and government policies and guidelines

**Coordination**
- Identify a lead agency for coordinating Disability Affairs in recovery & reconstruction. This agency should be endorsed and financed/resourced at the ministerial level, or higher, to ensure credibility & effectiveness.
- Allocate a disability focal point to each sector or thematic area within the recovery process. For example, a focal point from the Ministry of Education with experience of inclusive or special education should be appointed to the education cluster. The focal points should report to the Disability Affairs lead.
- Establish a coordination mechanism, group, or forum to ensure the perspectives of persons with disabilities are included and communicated.

**Standard setting**
- Ensure existing standards, such as on accessibility in building codes, are applied across the recovery and reconstruction process. Where sectoral guidelines do not exist, interim guidance & standards should be drafted with DPOs.

**Monitoring & compliance**
- Task the Disability Affairs lead and Focal Points to monitor disability inclusion across the recovery and reconstruction process.
- Monitoring should be conducted with persons with disabilities, such as DPO representatives.
- Ensure the Disability Affairs lead has the authority & procedures to enforce standards for disability inclusion.

**Summary and action points**
- Identify and designate an agency with responsibility for coordinating and overseeing Disability Affairs in recovery and reconstruction. This agency should be endorsed at the highest level and provide guidance and support to the overall lead recovery agency.
- Establish disability focal points across line ministries with responsibility for reporting and communicating between ministries and the Disability Affairs lead.
- Ensure standards for disability inclusion in recovery and reconstruction are established and communicated.
• Establish mechanisms to ensure the participation of persons with disabilities in the planning and designing of recovery and reconstruction processes.

6. Financing mechanisms

The inclusion of persons with disabilities in recovery and reconstruction processes can increase workforce participation and household incomes, generate tax revenue, and reduce social welfare dependency. Improving accessibility also ‘future proofs’ investments by ensuring infrastructure remains fit for purpose over time. This is particularly important for countries with ageing populations or increasing incidences of non-communicable diseases that will increase demand for accessible infrastructure in the future.

The Government of Vanuatu’s PDNA following Tropical Cyclone Pam, 2015 notes the importance of targeted financing for persons with disabilities during recovery. The PDNA recognises the disproportionate impact of the cyclone on persons with disabilities and their limited ability to self-recover. As such, the PDNA recommends the strategic allocation of government and donor funds to groups that cannot quickly self-recover. This is alongside the requirement for at-risk groups, including persons with disabilities, to be included in recovery and clean-up related employment. Two-year waivers of fees, including school and medical fees and taxes, are also recommended as well as the provision of micro-grants and training to restore livelihoods and incomes.

The need for rapid disbursement of recovery funds means that standards for disability inclusive budgeting and procurement need to be set quickly. Experience shows that if disability inclusion is not mandated in recovery and reconstruction budgets, improvements to accessibility will not be prioritized and opportunities for building back better missed. Where possible, budgeting and procurement should be guided by existing national policies and regulations on accessibility, such as building codes and accessibility related legislation. Coordination is required to ensure financial investments and contributions from varied stakeholders meet disability inclusion objectives. This includes across government (on-budget) funds, including contingency funds, and non-governmental (off-budget) sources. Key considerations are outlined below.

Box 7. Considerations: budgeting for disability inclusive recovery

**Informing recovery and reconstruction financing**
- Budget for inclusion of the Washington Group questions in the PDNA and for the analysis of findings.
- Budget for training of enumerators on how to use the Washington Group questions, including how disability is conceptualised in the questions.
- Budget for supplementary data collection to identify barriers and accessibility requirements.

**Financing accessibility**
- Set standards and ensure disability inclusion is budgeted across all sectors of recovery by all stakeholders (see Box 6 above).
- Prioritise households with limited means to self-recover, including those with household members with disabilities.
- Target financial support towards improving equality of opportunity between persons with disabilities and persons without disabilities.
- Applying principles of universal design and ensuring accessibility from the outset is far more cost-effective than retrofitting accessibility.

**Budgeting to ensure DPO representation**
- Ensure DPOs are financially resourced to contribute to the recovery process and the monitoring of their professional contributions are recognised.

**Disability inclusive procurement policy**
- Prioritise service providers, contractors or similar managed by, or employing, persons with disabilities.
- Ensure all providers of goods and services contributing to the recovery process have a disability inclusion policy in place.
- Ensure all suppliers and service providers follow the principles of universal design.

**Summary and action points**
- Investments in disability-inclusive recovery can bring long-term benefits by ensuring infrastructure and services remain fit for purpose over time.
- Target financial support and related interventions to households and groups that have limited ability to self-recover, including households with persons with disabilities.
- Set standards for disability inclusion in budgeting and procurement quickly and ensure they are applied across the recovery and reconstruction process.
- Require full consideration of accessibility, including the principles of universal design, as a condition of financial contributions and assistance by all recovery actors.

7. **Implementation arrangements**
a. Twin track approach

While a twin track approach is not specific to disability inclusion, the approach is recognised as critical for ensuring disability inclusion in recovery programmes and services.\(^47\) The twin track approach should be integrated across recovery and reconstruction interventions. The two components, or tracks, of the approach are:

- **Mainstreaming**
  Mainstreaming is the removal of barriers to allow persons with disabilities to participate in, and benefit from, all aspects of recovery and reconstruction. That is, all mainstream recovery programmes and services should be accessible to, and usable by, persons with disabilities.

- **Targeting**
  Targeting refers to providing interventions and investments specifically for persons with disabilities. Targeted interventions may include empowering persons with disabilities to participate in the recovery process or providing assistive technologies through disability specific programmes. Mainstreaming alone is insufficient to ensure no one is left behind.

Mainstreaming includes ensuring universal design principles are followed and accessibility is a requirement in the reconstruction of buildings and infrastructure. A related targeted intervention would be training and equipping DPOs to monitor and ensure compliance with accessibility standards and related building codes.

b. Barriers, design considerations and diverse needs

As has been emphasised, the social understanding of disability focuses attention on the removal of barriers to equalise opportunities between persons with and without disabilities. Directing attention to the removal of barriers in recovery and reconstruction provides benefits to a broad range of persons with disabilities. While reasonable accommodations (see section 2.d) will still be required to ensure all persons with disabilities can participate in and benefit from recovery, this approach is well-suited to complex recovery and reconstruction environments.

An alternative approach would be to begin with an individual’s impairment, or disability, as a basis for guiding recovery planning. This alternative is complex for the non-specialist and can be difficult to apply to identifying and addressing diverse needs. While in no way downplaying the importance of addressing disability specific needs, this Note emphasises the removal of barriers as central to disability-inclusive recovery and reconstruction. The following table provides examples of how this approach may be applied to benefit individuals with a range of functioning difficulties.

The functional difficulty categories in the final column of the table are based on the Washington Group Short Set of questions (see Box 2) and are not exhaustive. The

---

examples provided are illustrative and are not a substitute for consultation with DPOs and persons with disabilities.
Table 1. Barriers, design considerations and functional difficulties.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Barriers</th>
<th>Build back better priority</th>
<th>Design considerations</th>
<th>Functional difficulty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Environmental             | Public spaces, walkways, transportation, & buildings, including internal rooms are accessible & navigable. Ensure barrier-free egress/evacuation in emergency situations. | • Ramps and handrails.  
• Doors and entry ways width.  
• Floor space and positioning in toilets and bathrooms.  
• Position of switches, alarms, and handles.  
• Height of service counters and work spaces.  
• Fire lifts/elevators in high rise buildings.  
• Stairway evacuation devices.  
• International Organization for Standardization (ISO) 21542:2011 on Building Construction- Accessibility and Usability of the Built Environment [https://www.iso.org/standard/50498.html](https://www.iso.org/standard/50498.html) | • Mobility  
• Upper body / fine motor  
• Seeing |
|                           |                                                                                           | • Guiding blocks.  
• Global positioning system (GPS) and waypoint technologies.  
• Digital signage.  
• Work spaces & education facilities equipped with adapted tools and accessible systems & processes. | • Seeing  
• Cognitive |
| Information / Communication | Information & communications, including early warning systems, are delivered in accessible formats. | • Braille.  
• Large print.  
• Audio.  
• Text to speech.  
• Closed captioning / sign language interpretation across television, video, & related media.  
• Visual media.  
• Text messaging services.  
• Plain language.  
• Visual media.  
• Digital and interactive technologies | • Seeing  
• Hearing  
• Cognitive |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Websites are accessible &amp; in line with international standards:</th>
<th>Websites are accessible &amp; in line with international standards:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Picture based communication boards.</td>
<td>• IW3C Web Accessibility Initiative (ISO/IEC 40500:2012). Web</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Digital applications and devices.</td>
<td>Content Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG) 2.1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><a href="https://www.w3.org/TR/WCAG21/">https://www.w3.org/TR/WCAG21</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Seeing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Hearing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Cognitive.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Communication.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutional</td>
<td>Institutional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disability inclusion is institutionalised across government, sectors, &amp; business.</td>
<td>Existing regulations, such as accessibility and building codes, are enforced.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• New standards and guidance are developed as required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Disability inclusion is budgeted and resourced as an integral part of building back better.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitudinal</td>
<td>Attitudinal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public officials &amp; private sector service providers are disability aware.</td>
<td>Guidelines and standards on disability etiquette.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Trainings by DPOs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Increase interaction with persons with disabilities at all levels.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• All</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
c. Monitoring and evaluation

With commitments to leave no one behind, there is a need for disability disaggregated data for monitoring and reporting progress against the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and related frameworks, such as the Sendai Framework. The Washington Group questions are widely recommended by disability stakeholders for this purpose.\footnote{Disability data disaggregation. Joint statement by the disability sector. Ottawa, 2017. \url{http://www.internationaldisabilityalliance.org/data-joint-statement-march2017}} The inclusion of the Washington Group questions in monitoring and evaluating recovery processes aligns with broader disability data collection efforts and can contribute to improved disability data collection over time. However, the Washington Group questions alone are insufficient for measuring disability-inclusion.

**Box 8. Considerations: accessibility monitoring items**

- Number of public buildings and workplaces reconstructed with improved accessibility.
- Number of officials completing disability awareness training.
- Number of mixed media recovery messages communicated.
- Quality and comprehensibility of recovery messages communicated.
- Number of recovery related television broadcasts including sign language and closed captioning.
- Number of recovery related forums including persons with disabilities.
- Diversity of disability represented in recovery related forums.
- Number of policies and standards revised/developed to ensure disability inclusion in recovery.

Washington Group data should be combined with data on improved accessibility and the extent to which barriers have been reduced or removed. Data on both individual participation by persons with disabilities and on improved accessibility is required to fully evaluate efforts to build back better.

Further, disability inclusion requires changes in systems and structures. The institutional and policy changes required to form an enabling environment for disability inclusive recovery and reconstruction also require monitoring. It is these systematic changes that enable inclusive, resilient, and sustainable societies. Disability inclusive recovery and reconstruction presents the opportunity to do just this.

**Summary and action points**

- Apply a twin track approach that invests in disability inclusion in mainstream recovery programming and disability specific interventions.
- Focus disability-inclusive recovery and reconstruction around the removal of barriers to benefit as wide a range of persons with disabilities as possible. At the same time, do not overlook specific individual needs and the importance of reasonable accommodation.
- Persons with disabilities are best placed to evaluate whether recovery processes are inclusive or not.
- Do not lose sight of the overall aim of disability-inclusive recovery. That is, to enable equality of opportunities and to build resilience for all.