

1. Project Data:	Date Posted: 07/13/2000				
PROJ	ID: P057704 OEDID: C3	3074	Appraisal	Actual	
Project Nar	ne: Port Sector Reform	Project Costs (US\$M)	2.3	0	
Country: Egypt		Loan/Credit (US\$M)	2	0	
Sector, Major Se	ct .: Ports & Waterways, Transportation	Cofinancing (US\$M)			
L/C Number: C3074					
		Board Approval (FY)		98	
Partners involved :		Closing Date	12/31/2000	01/31/1999	
Droporod by:	Poviowed by:	Croup Monagor:	Croup		
Prepared by:	Reviewed by:	Group Manager:	Group:		
Helen Abadzi	Klas Ringskog	Ridley Nelson	OEDST		

## 2. Project Objectives and Components

#### a. Objectives

The overall development objective was to improve the efficiency of Egyptian ports and ancillary services through regulatory reform, commercialization of sector agencies, and development of a privatization plan and labor deployment plan for selected organization units. Specific objectives were: (a) Maritime sector diagnostics; (b) regulatory reform; (c) corporatization of the maritime sector.

#### b. Components

Phase 1 was to assess deficiencies in trade logistics and potential for container transshipment at Egyptian ports and recommend a strategy to improve efficiency; and develop specifications and bid documents for port /trade management systems including EDI (Electronic Data Interchange) systems to facilitate trade. 2) Phase 2 was to develop a policy framework to accommodate greater private participation in ports; and produce draft regulations for the port sector to implement the new policy of private participation . 3) Phase 3 was to a) create a new structure for a corporatized organization in the sector and assists in its implementation; b) restructure the financial management systems for port sector entities to make them autonomous and self-financing; and c) develop a plan for privatizing selected units and a labor redeployment program.

### c. Comments on Project Cost, Financing and Dates

The US\$2 million loan covered mainly technical assistance. It was canceled after the Egyptian parliament failed to ratify it. The project was seen as too much oriented towards expensive technical assistance.

#### 3. Achievement of Relevant Objectives:

No implementation took place

#### 4. Significant Outcomes /Impacts:

No outcomes

# 5. Significant Shortcomings (including non -compliance with safeguard policies ): n/a

6. Ratings:	ICR	OED Review	Reason for Disagreement /Comments
Outcome:	Not Rated	Not Rated	
Institutional Dev .:	Not Rated	Not Rated	
Sustainability:	Not Rated	Not Rated	
Bank Performance :	Not Rated	Not Rated	
Borrower Perf .:	Not Rated	Not Rated	
Quality of ICR:		Not Rated	

## 7. Lessons of Broad Applicability:

If the proposed credit has a large technical assistance component, it is wise to gauge whether support for the

opearation extends beyond the implementing agency .				
8. Audit Recommended? ○ Yes ● No				
9. Comments on Quality of ICR:				
The project completion note is satisfactory.				