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FOREWORD

For African countries looking to sustain and increase their unprecedented growth rates of recent years, the potential of tourism has not been fully recognized as a vital source of economic and development power that can strengthen and expand the continent’s economies. On the wider world stage, tourism contributes more than 9 percent of global GDP, 5.8 percent of all exports, and 4.5 percent of world’s investment. African countries are now in their best-ever position to harness the development promise of expanded, sustainable tourism.

Increasing tourist arrivals and spending, even during the recent economic crisis, shows tourism’s significant potential for growth. Between 2009 and 2010, despite the global financial slowdown, international tourists arrivals in Africa jumped by almost 8 percent, making the region the second fastest growing in the world after East Asia and the Pacific. As a result global hotel chains are poised to spend hundreds of millions of dollars in Africa over the coming years to meet rising demand from both international tourists and the continent’s own fast-growing middle class.

Tourism can be a powerful development path for Africa. In fact, a welcome key finding of new research suggests that with effective planning and development, Africa’s tourism industry could create 3.8 million jobs over the next 10 years. Already, one in every 20 jobs in Africa involves tourism and the travel industry.

With a special analysis of 24 tourism case studies from around the world—spanning Sub-Saharan and North Africa, Asia, Central and Latin America, and the Middle East—this report is a valuable and timely contribution to our efforts to build a sustainable framework for sustainable tourism in Africa. It also identifies policies and institutional approaches for African countries to make their tourist industries more competitive and attractive to new investment.

The study shows how tourism in Thailand barely existed in the 1960s and yet 40 years later, it employs 15–20 per cent of the country’s workforce; Cancun in Mexico grew from an uninhabited peninsula into one of the most visited resorts in the world in just 35 years. Mozambique has managed a seemingly impossible transformation of its tourism industry.
International tourist arrivals to the country surged by 284 percent between 2005 and 2011 and the government forecasts some 4 million tourists to visit each year by 2015. In Cape Verde, tourism earnings now generate 15 percent of its GDP and the sector employs roughly one in five workers.

How did these African countries transform their tourism industries? In Mozambique, it took legislative reform, the development of a tourism strategic plan, and eliminating unnecessary visas. Cape Verde attracted significant private investment through political and banking reforms as well creating a business-friendly environment for tour operators and other companies.

Many other African countries are on the verge of tourism success. However as the case studies show, it is by no means easy to develop and sustain successful tourism destinations. African destinations must compete for tourists against Asia and Central and Latin America which market natural and cultural attractions as well as internationally-benchmarked tourist facilities.

For African countries in the early stages of tourism development, the report suggests the governments and the private sector address security and health concerns associated with political uncertainty and underdeveloped healthcare infrastructure. According to surveys of hotel developers, African destinations fall short in comparison with Asia and the Americas in perceptions of political, economic, and security risks, and poor infrastructure, especially in air travel where the cost, frequency, and routing of African airlines reduces the competitiveness of tourist destinations on the continent.

For those countries looking to scale up tourism, land is often a sticking point. How it is accessed, what tenure is available, what land uses are permitted, and whether investors are treated fairly and consistently are all key questions that surface in the case studies. As tourism grows, planning, standards, and regulations become vital; too many however can put the brakes on growth and ultimately make tourism less sustainable. Price competitiveness is also significant. Benchmarking studies carried out for this report show that the cost of tours to Africa were 25–35 percent higher than tours to other regions, and that flights to Africa were as much as 50 percent more expensive even where shorter distances were involved.

Lastly for those countries like Kenya, Mauritius, and South Africa, which are deepening and sustaining their tourism success, increasing the share of local value added is imperative. For example in Tanzania, World Bank trade research notes that most hotel furniture is imported from China and no trade link existed between local tourism enterprises in the country and the local furniture industry. Another challenge is to manage and cushion the social and environmental impact of large tourism sectors.

While the benefits of tourism development are many, the possible approaches and strategies for development number even more. How can tourism be best developed to address persistent constraints in Africa? This work analyzes persistent constraints and how to resolve them through policy and business reforms that have unleashed tourism potential across other regions of the world. To become competitive worldwide, African governments and the private sector must work together in planning tourism infrastructure, promotion and financing. Competitiveness also requires the ability to successfully manage growth.
Africa’s private companies are increasingly attracting regional and international investment and the returns on investing in Africa are among the highest in the world. In close alliance with the private sector, governments must also do their part to create better transport, electricity, infrastructure, and other key services to develop tourism for more broad-based growth and improved livelihoods.

This report is the first to comprehensively examine tourism in Africa regionally and recommend practical, evidence-based measures enabling the sector’s economic and development power. This gives new impetus to the continent’s development progress by leveraging tourism in pursuit of lasting poverty alleviation and significantly more jobs and opportunity for all Africans.

Makhtar Diop
Vice President,
Africa Region
The World Bank Group
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<td>Country Policy and Institutional Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSR</td>
<td>Corporate Social Responsibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>Certificate of Sustainable Tourism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZM</td>
<td>Coastal Zone Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAI</td>
<td>Direction des Aménagements et des Investissements (Morocco)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFID</td>
<td>U.K. Department for International Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIA</td>
<td>Environmental Impact Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMP</td>
<td>Environmental Management Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPZ</td>
<td>Export Processing Zone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU</td>
<td>European Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDI</td>
<td>Foreign Direct Investment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FONATUR</td>
<td>Fondo Nacional de Fomento al Turismo (Mexico)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTMP</td>
<td>First Tourism Master Plan Maldives</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
GDP  Gross Domestic Product  
GEF  Global Environment Facility  
GMP  General Management Plan (Tanzania)  
GNP  Gross National Product  
HAN  Hospitality Association of Namibia  
HIV/AIDS  Human Immunodeficiency Virus/Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome  
HRA  Hotel and Restaurant Authority (Kenya)  
IATA  International Air Transport Association  
ICT  Information and Communications Technology  
ICT  Instituto Costarricense de Turismo (Costa Rica)  
IDB  Inter-American Development Bank  
IFC  International Finance Corporation  
IGCP  International Gorilla Conservation Program  
ILO  International Labor Organization  
IMF  International Monetary Fund  
INFRATUR  Tourism Infrastructure Department (Dominican Republic)  
IPCC  Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change  
IPR  Integrated Planned Resort  
ISO  International Organization for Standardization  
ITBIS  Impuesto a las Transferencias de Bienes Industrializados y Servicios (Dominican Republic)  
ITTOG  Institute of Travel and Tourism of The Gambia  
KATO  Kenya Association of Tour Operators  
KECMTF  Kilimanjaro Environmental Conservation Management Trust Fund  
KGA  Kilimanjaro Guides Association  
KINAPA  Kilimanjaro National Park Authority  
KPAP  Kilimanjaro Porters’ Assistance Project  
KTB  Kenya Tourist Board  
KTDC  Kenya Tourist Development Corporation  
KTF  Kenya Tourism Federation  
LEED  Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design  
MCT  Ministry of Culture and Tourism (Turkey)  
MEDIA  Mauritius Export Development & Investment Authority  
MICE  Meetings, Incentives, Conferences, Exhibitions  
MIGA  Multilateral Investment Guarantee Agency  
MoT  Ministry of Tourism  
NASCO  Namibian Association of Community Based Natural Resource Management (CBNRM) Support Organisations  
NATH  Namibia Academy for Tourism and Hospitality  
NEPAD  New Partnership for Africa’s Development
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Full Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NGO</td>
<td>Nongovernmental Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAU</td>
<td>Organization of African Unity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODI</td>
<td>Overseas Development Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OECD</td>
<td>Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ONTT</td>
<td>Office National du Tourisme Tunisien (Tunisia)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPI</td>
<td>Pro-Poor Income</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPP</td>
<td>Public-Private Partnership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSAG</td>
<td>Private Sector Advisory Group (Ghana)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSD</td>
<td>Private Sector Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFP</td>
<td>Request for Proposal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SADC</td>
<td>Southern African Development Community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SARS</td>
<td>Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>Strategic Business Partnership (South Africa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SELF</td>
<td>Southeast Entrepreneur Loan Fund (Dominica)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SETDC</td>
<td>South East Tourism Development Committee (Dominica)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIA</td>
<td>Social Impact Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SME</td>
<td>Small and Medium-sized Enterprises</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNV</td>
<td>Netherlands Development Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SONABA</td>
<td>Société Nationale d’Aménagement de la Baie d’Agadir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSA</td>
<td>Sub-Saharan Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STMP</td>
<td>Second Tourism Master Plan Maldives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TANAPA</td>
<td>Tanzania National Parks Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDA</td>
<td>Tourism Development Authority (Egypt)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGST</td>
<td>Tourist Goods and Services Tax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFF</td>
<td>Trade Facilitation Facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSC</td>
<td>Tourism Sustainability Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTCI</td>
<td>Travel and Tourism Competitiveness Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAT</td>
<td>Unité d’Aménagement Touristique (Morocco)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNCTAD</td>
<td>United Nations Conference on Trade and Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>United Nations Development Programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNEP</td>
<td>United Nations Environment Programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNESCO</td>
<td>United National Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNICEF</td>
<td>United Nations Children’s Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNIFEM</td>
<td>UN Women: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women (previously, the United Nations Development Fund for Women)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNWTO</td>
<td>United Nations World Tourism Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USAID</td>
<td>U.S. Agency for International Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAT</td>
<td>Value Added Tax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCA</td>
<td>Value Chain Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VFR</td>
<td>Visiting Friends and Relatives</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
WEF  World Economic Forum
WWF  World Wildlife Fund
WS   Wilderness Safaris
WTTC World Travel & Tourism Council
ZDTI Integrated Tourism Development Areas
ZRPT Zones for Conservation and Protection for Tourism

All dollar amounts are U.S. dollars unless otherwise indicated.
OVERVIEW
TOURISM IN AFRICA

Tourism is a powerful vehicle for economic growth and job creation all over the world. The tourism sector is directly and indirectly responsible for 8.8 percent of the world’s jobs (258 million); 9.1 percent of the world’s GDP (US$6 trillion); 5.8 percent of the world’s exports (US$1.1 trillion); and 4.5 percent of the world’s investment (US$652 billion) (WTTC 2011). The World Travel & Tourism Council (WTTC) estimates that 3.8 million jobs (including 2.4 million indirect jobs) could be created by the tourism industry in Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) over the next 10 years.

The potential for growth in tourism in SSA is significant and compelling. Global hotel chains are poised to spend hundreds of millions of dollars in Africa over the next few years to meet increased demand from both international tourists and the continent’s own fast-growing middle class.¹ Global international tourist arrivals have been growing steadily at 4-5 percent per year since the 1950s. Between 2009 and 2010, despite the global financial crisis, international tourist arrivals to SSA increased by 8 percent, making SSA the second fastest growing region in the world after the Asia Pacific (UNWTO 2010).

Tourism is growing faster in the world’s emerging and developing regions than in the rest of the world (UNWTO 2010). The examples of Cape Verde, the Dominican Republic, Egypt, Indonesia, Mauritius, Mexico, Morocco, South Africa, Tanzania, Thailand, Tunisia, and Turkey show how proactive government support can make tourism a powerful and transformative development tool (see Part 2 for these individual case studies). In Thailand, tourism barely existed in the 1960s; in 2010 it employed 15–20 percent of the workforce. The Dominican Republic had 1,600 hotel rooms in 1972; in 2011 it had over 66,000. Tourism accounted for 31.4 percent of exports of goods and services, and 7.9 percent of GDP in 2011 (see case study 4 in Part 2). Bali, a small island in Indonesia, received 95,000 international tourists in 1973. In 2010, it attracted 1.96 million tourists who spent US$1.9 billion (see case study 8). Cancún in Mexico grew from an uninhabited peninsula into one of the most visited resorts in the world in just 35 years. In Egypt, from 1990 to 2005,
visitor arrivals grew from 2.9 million to 8.6 million and by 2010, total international arrivals were just short of 15 million.

Mozambique has managed a seemingly impossible transformation of its tourism industry. International tourist arrivals grew by 284 percent between 2005 and 2010. The government expects 4 million tourists a year by 2025. The dramatic growth has been attributed to legislative reform, the development of a tourism strategic plan, and the elimination of visas for Southern African Development Community (SADC) countries. Cape Verde (case study 2) has also seen a boom in its tourism sector as a result of market-oriented policies, political and banking reforms, and investment incentives. Receipts from tourism in Cape Verde were US$432 million in 2008, comprising 72 percent of all service exports, 15 percent of GDP, and employing directly and indirectly an estimated 21 percent of the workforce (27,800 people).²

Many other countries in SSA are on the verge of tourism success. In 2011, tourism directly generated 2.7 percent of the GDP of countries in SSA and directly and indirectly accounted for more than 1 in 20 of the region’s jobs (12.8 million) (WTTC 2012). SSA has abundant tourism resources. It has expansive beaches, plentiful wildlife, and extensive nature, culture, and adventure opportunities. As disposable incomes increase, domestic travel for leisure purposes is expected to rise. Between 2001 and 2010, GDP grew an average of 5.2 percent a year and per capita income grew 2 percent a year, up from −0.4 percent in the previous 10 years. Already more than 10 million people are traveling across international borders every year within Africa for shopping, medical needs, sports, religious gatherings, business meetings and conferences, and visiting friends and relatives. For example, 58 percent of all arrivals to Namibia in 2010 were from South Africa and Angola. Regional arrivals to South Africa increased by 12.8 percent between 2009 and 2010 (South African Tourism 2010).

Yet it is by no means easy to develop and sustain a successful tourism destination. African destinations compete for tourists against venues in Asia and South America. It is not enough to have interesting natural and cultural attractions and “friendly people.” In many African countries a deep-rooted skepticism about the economic and social benefits of tourism prevails, due to a lack of accurate economic data about the sector, a genuine concern about the environment, and a discomfort with foreign investors and visitors. This report acknowledges tourism risks, dispels tourism myths, and lays out an achievable framework for sustainable tourism in Africa.

This publication, prepared by the World Bank’s Africa Finance and Private Sector Development (AFTFP) Tourism Team, synthesizes the results of a World Bank study that drew on five analytical tools for its findings: (a) a 47-country tourism database developed to compare tourism information and competitiveness across Sub-Saharan Africa, (b) a tour operator study that included 47 in-depth interviews with small, medium, and large tour operators in the United States, Europe, The Gambia, and Kenya, and an online tour operator survey sent to 175 tour operators in Europe, the United States, and SSA, (c) an air transport study that included analysis of international passenger flows and interviews with upper management airline executives across SSA, (d) a tourism hotel survey that included in-depth interviews with 23 hotel investors, developers, and operators in the United States, Europe, and Africa, and (e) a study of 24 tourism case studies from around
the world (including seven from SSA). This study also informed and benefited from the World Bank’s Africa Tourism Strategy.

Countries in SSA that have the capacity for tourism can be categorized into three main types of destination according to their level of tourism development: those that are initiating tourism, those that are scaling up tourism, and those that are deepening and sustaining tourism. At the time of writing, most SSA destinations are in the first group. When a country moves up in the pyramid, income increases, more jobs are created, and the tourism value chain is strengthened.

This report identifies policies and institutional approaches to improve the tourism competitiveness of African countries so they can move up the pyramid. It clearly explains the opportunities and challenges that tourism offers and suggests tourism competitiveness strategies based on tourism economic success stories (including learning from their shortcomings) from across the world.

**Performance**

Africa’s share of world arrivals, though still small, is growing. From a small base of just 6.4 million visitors in 1990, Sub-Saharan Africa attracted 30.7 million visitors in 2010. Between 2008 and 2009, tourist arrivals to SSA increased by 4.4 percent while arrivals worldwide dropped by 3.8 percent. Between 2009 and 2010, tourist arrivals to SSA increased by 8 percent; the world average was 6.6 percent. Africa was the only region whose tourism sector grew during the world economic crisis. The sector is expected to keep growing.

Tourism is a job-intensive industry. A study by the Natural Resources Consultative Forum found that a US$250,000 investment in the tourism sector in Zambia generates 182 full-time formal jobs. This is nearly 40 percent more than the same investment in agriculture and over 50 percent more than in mining. Zanzibar president Dr. Ali Mohamed Shein has asserted 50 percent of the island’s population would be involved in tourism activities by the year 2020. He also said that the sector would be a major catalyst in promoting agriculture, employment, and fisheries and in creating more jobs in local industries. Already tourism in Zanzibar provides 11,500 workers with direct employment. An additional 45,000 people are engaged indirectly in tourist activities (Hamilton and others 2007).

There are already 5.3 million direct tourism jobs across SSA (WTTC 2012). By 2021, the WTTC forecasts 6.7 million direct jobs in tourism in SSA. As travel and tourism touches all sectors of the economy, tourism’s indirect employment effects are almost three times as large. The WTTC calculates that the total direct and indirect employment impact
of tourism in SSA is 12.8 million jobs. In 2021, more than 16 million people are expected to be employed directly or indirectly as a result of travel and tourism (WTTC 2012).

But tourism is not a panacea. Like other economic activities, it comes with a set of environmental, social, and political risks. If tourism growth goes unmanaged, the natural, cultural, and social asset base on which tourism depends can deteriorate. Cape Verde and Kenya (case studies 2 and 11) are both feeling the impact of rapid, poorly planned coastal development. It is important to clearly identify the risks and challenges of tourism development and compare these with alternative development options at the outset.

**Constraints**

The main constraints to tourism development vary by country, but similar patterns of constraints and challenges were found to occur in each of the three stages of tourism development.

In countries initiating tourism development, important constraints include basic concerns with security and health issues associated with political instability and underdeveloped health care infrastructure. The 2010 AFTFP survey of hotel developers found that the main areas where SSA fell short in investment attractiveness compared with Asia and the Middle East markets were the level of risk (political, economic, security), the image of the region from an investment perspective, air transport service, and government policy (Ernst and Young 2010). Infrastructure development is a crucial part of initiating tourism development. Air and road connections are the most commonly mentioned constraints to growth for tourism in SSA. Africa’s distance from generating markets creates an acute need for higher quality and more competitive air access. The cost, frequency, and routing of airlines in SSA reduces the competitiveness of its destinations. Visas can also be a basic constraint for many countries. If the visa is too expensive or too difficult to obtain, tour operators may opt not to include the country in a regional tour. Where visa requirements have been eased, as in Madagascar and Mozambique, tourism growth surged.

Countries scaling up their tourism industry, like Malawi and Zambia, often need to convince policy makers that tourism is of value. Despite the impressive multipliers and track record that tourism has in SSA, the economic and social importance of the sector is widely underappreciated. An understanding of how tourism works, what it is worth, and why it is important is crucial to achieving “destination readiness” for tourism. South Africa has poured resources into its tourism statistics unit and reaped significant results. Basic data include international and domestic arrivals and departures, and tourist expenditures. Once the basics are in place, the next level of data for different subsectors of the industry is needed: transportation (load factors, costs per passenger per kilometer, for instance); lodging (including capacity, occupancy, room rates); small and medium-sized enterprises; national parks (visitation and entry fees); and other areas. More market research, surveys, and systematic monitoring (including benchmark development) are needed to supply these data.

In addition to data, land is often a sticking point during the scaling up of tourism. Fundamental land issues include how land is accessed, what tenure is available, what land uses are permitted, and whether investors are treated in a fair and consistent manner. In
many countries in SSA, such as Angola, it is difficult to access land for development due to unclear ownership. In Maldives, however, long-term leases for domestic and foreign investors, through a unique leasing program of one resort per island, are available (see case study 13). A similar solution has been used in Cape Verde (case study 2). A number of countries are identifying specific sites dedicated to tourism development.

As tourism grows, planning, standards, and regulations become vital. But too much regulation and unpredictable behavior by government can inhibit growth and ultimately make tourism less sustainable. In Namibia, for example, more than 50 permits and certificates are required for lodging owners who want to register or extend the registration of their accommodation establishment (HAN 2010). This is expensive and time-consuming and inhibits business growth. A study in South Africa found compliance costs were three times as high for tourism businesses as for other sectors of the economy (Meny-Gibert 2007).

Price competitiveness is particularly important for destinations wanting to scale up their tourism sectors. The benchmarking studies carried out for this report found that the cost of tours to SSA was 25–35 percent higher than tours to other parts of the world (Twining-Ward 2010) and flights were almost 50 percent more expensive to SSA even where shorter distances were involved. For example, an average round-trip flight to Madagascar from New York cost US$2,975 while a flight to China from New York cost US$1,173. The reasons for the higher prices include lack of competition in the airline industry and the need for imported goods and services combined with high import duties.

Hotel development costs and the costs of debt financing also affect tourism competitiveness in SSA. In Nigeria, developing hotels costs upwards of US$400,000 per room for a mid-market hotel; in Ghana the cost is US$250,000 per room. Median hotel development costs elsewhere in the world are US$200,000 per room for a full-service hotel (Ernst and Young 2010). Higher room rates are the end result.

For countries that are deepening and sustaining their tourism success, such as Mauritius and Kenya, human resources and product innovation are particularly important. SSA has a large pool of young workers and more than 10 million new job seekers every year (World Bank Group 2010). But the level of education is low. Tourism employment requires at least mid-level service-sector skills. The hotel and restaurant industry often suffers from a discrepancy between training supply and demand. Whereas tourism-training institutes often focus on hotel management, the current skills gap is often at the operational level. Keeping up with the level of demand for tourism education is a challenge for some SSA countries. In Ethiopia, for example, only 32 students can be accommodated in the Catering and Tourism Training Institute despite more than 300 applications. In Namibia, a history of underinvestment in education and of poor educational achievement has left young people unprepared to take up new tourism opportunities. In The Gambia, more than 800 students are enrolled in tourism or hospitality courses through the private and public sector; only one institution, the Institute of Travel and Tourism of The Gambia, provides accredited training (Novelli and Burns 2009). Another challenge is to increase the share of local value added. For example, in Tanzania, a World Bank trade paper noted that most hotel furniture was imported from China and that no trade link existed between local tourism enterprises and the local furniture industry. The last main challenge is to manage and mitigate the social and environmental impact of large tourism sectors.
The Mediterranean coastlines of Spain and Italy are good examples of growth that exploded to the point where the viability of the resorts was threatened. Tanzania’s northern circuit is overloaded and the country is trying to create new areas for tourism growth in the south, in the Selous Reserve, Zanzibar, Pemba, and Mafia Islands.

**Strategies**

This report gathers 24 case studies from around the world to illustrate how tourism development can succeed. Based on these case studies, 11 strategic areas are highlighted and discussed. Some strategies are more applicable to destinations initiating tourism, others are for those looking to scale up tourism or to deepen tourism success. These case studies reveal that, although destination needs, challenges, and approaches change over time, certain basic elements needed to initialize, scale up, and deepen tourism success are applicable to most SSA situations.

**Initializing tourism development** requires certain institutional, infrastructural, and policy foundations. Infrastructure is almost as important as land for tourism. It is needed for the development of tourism and for the welfare of communities. Trunk infrastructure and public utilities are usually provided by the state, as in the case of Turkey (case study 24). There are exceptions, however. In Punta Cana, Dominican Republic, investors financed and constructed the airport and the water supply. Focusing their scarce resources on the tourism segment and geographic location of highest potential has proven an effective strategy for many countries at the start of their tourism journey. Such an approach allows for the removal of a critical mass of constraints (including pertaining to infrastructure, security, and skills) to enable the early arrival of world-class investors who can play a vital role in launching the destination. Such a focused approach can also help pilot policy reforms (for instance in land and air transportation). For example, when Indonesia finally let foreign carriers terminate flights in Bali, tourism grew dramatically.

**Scaling up tourism development** involves planning infrastructure development, promotion and financing. It also requires the ability to successfully manage growth. This entails scaling up and replicating the successes of the initial tourism zones through countrywide policy reforms and decentralization. Agencies are often created to establish an enabling environment for those interested in land investment. An example is Uganda’s land information service. Alternatively, governments such as Madagascar and Mozambique have prepared special tourism investment zones. Some land banks, such as Tunisia’s Real Estate Development Agency (Agence Foncière Touristique), hold land for development. Urban development corporations have also been used to develop land for tourism. They draw on experience from other sectors, where the increased value of land is used as a means of financing utilities (China and India). South Africa (case study 19) and Namibia (case study 18) have adopted conservancy models. Using this model, communities play a more active role in the management and leasing of land. Joint-venture projects have been particularly successful in Namibia.

**Deepening tourism success** involves diversifying products, building human resources, and closely monitoring environmental and social impacts. For countries that
have already achieved substantial success in tourism, such as Kenya, the Seychelles, and South Africa, deepening and sustaining success in the face of global competition is a constant challenge. Developing new, innovative products and markets, undertaking heritage preservation, upgrading tourism competencies, and planning for social and environmental sustainability are integral to the long-term success of destinations. The Seychelles launched its sustainable tourism label. South Africa launched a new minimum standard for responsible tourism. The Dominican Republic produces locally over 90 percent of the supplies needed for its tourism, giving it a competitive advantage over other islands in the Caribbean. This practice also explains the Dominican Republic’s high job-to-tourist-arrival ratio. Dominican Republic businesses rely on local design and materials for its hotels and lodges, together with international standards in fittings and fixtures. An added advantage is the increase in the value of its tourism offering through a distinctive local image.

**Recommendations throughout this report focused on:**

Embracing these strategies consistently is fundamental to all tourism development that is economic productive, environmentally sensitive, and protective of cultural heritage.

- Encouraging tourism managers to focus on the value of their product to be competitive in the international market
- Gaining the essential and strong political support for tourism at the highest governmental level, and encouraging government to take the lead in creating effective institutions and coordinating mechanisms to maintain a dialogue with all stakeholders
- Ensuring the private sector’s vital role and the need for government to create an enabling environment for investments and provide supporting infrastructure for those investments
- Recognizing donors and their capacity to assist the tourism sector in many vital areas, such as infrastructure, training, and pro-poor tourism
- Providing investors with needed information and establishing “one-stop” shops
- Acknowledging the critical role of air transport and the necessity to liberalize air policies
- Improving poor connectivity within countries and regions, and road and internal air access to the region, whether through private sector entrepreneurship, government investments, or a combination
- Resolving the current constraints on tourism and considering other countries’ illustrations of solutions to the issues of land availability, investor access to finance, taxes on tourism investments, low levels of tourism skills, lack of security, safety and high crime, public health, visa requirements, and red tape and bureaucracy
- Assessing the scale of development that is appropriate to the country’s assets and management resources and determining where and when development will take place
- Noting the four pillars of sustainability for tourism: financial, economic, social and environmental, all of which are essential for sustained tourism growth
- Realizing the potential to finance tourism by appropriating to government the economic rents that tourism generates.
The Case Studies

Tourism has been used as a tool for economic development throughout the world. The case studies included in Part II of this report offer an opportunity to learn from previous experience in Africa as well as experiences of other counties globally. The cases illustrate good practice and lessons learned from experience in tourism as a source of growth and poverty alleviation in developing and emerging countries around the world. Some of them also reveal certain failures. Ultimately, the cases are a tool for Sub-Saharan African countries to use to explore and learn from the experiences of tourism development in Africa and other significant destinations. Case activities dates from the 1970s to the mid-2000s and the cases were chosen to illustrate a particular challenge or success and the effects of certain planning decisions. The earlier cases provide an extended timeframe demonstrating their success or failure and offer perspective on how destination grow and change over time. The newer cases reflect more recent policies and trends, such as corporate social responsibility and voluntourism, or charitable tourism. Together the cases studies help countries envisage a dynamic vision for the future of tourism in Sub-Saharan Africa.

The 24 case studies are listed in table I.1 which includes key salient features of each case. The geographic location of each study is shown in figure I.2. While the cases come from a wide range of destinations, within and outside of Sub-Saharan Africa, each case was selected to illustrate a specific lesson learned that can be applied to tourism development and planning in Sub-Saharan Africa as well as in other global destinations.

Figure I.2: Geographic Location of Each Case Study
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Project name</th>
<th>Project category</th>
<th>Salient features of tourism development</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Costa Rica              | Lapa Rios                  | Ecotourism                                | - Environmental conservation  
- Tourism certification, including ethics code                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| Cape Verde              | Transformation in a small country |                                           | - Market-oriented policies and democratic processes  
- Quality air transport infrastructure, supportive air policies  
- Scale, form, and type compatible with available resources                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| Dominica                | Jungle Bay                 | Island ecolodge                           | - Ecotourism integration into world tourism institutions  
(timesharing)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| Dominican Republic      | Puerto Plata               | Coastal estate resort                     | - Political support for tourism  
- Transition from public to private investment  
- Model widely replicated in country  
- Sanitation requirements                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| Dominican Republic      | Future sector growth       | Economic and policy analysis              | - Future dispersion of growth in the country  
- Carrying capacity and diversification of product line                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Dubai                   | Nontraditional economic growth | National transformation                   | - New source of growth in face of depleting oil reserves  
- How to launch a new sector  
- Investment and promotion                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| Egypt                   | Sharm el Sheikh            | Coastal development                       | - Product diversification  
- Land acquisition  
- Institutional rationalization                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Indonesia               | Bali                       | Island, large resort                      | - Protection of cultural heritage  
- Institutional framework  
- Airline access and infrastructure development                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Indonesia               | Nihiwatu                   | Island ecolodge                           | - Community partnerships  
- Social inclusion and charitable donations                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Jordan                  | Aqaba                      | Multisector resort and industrial development | - New coastal resort development  
- Integration of multisector investment in economic zone                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| Kenya                   | Nairobi                    | Convention, incentive, meetings and exhibitions | - Business versus leisure travel, diversification of product line  
- Convention facility investment  
- Targeted marketing                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Republic of Korea       | Kyongju                    | Historic city                             | - Poor coordination and access  
- Market timing                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| Maldives                | Island resorts             | Multi-island resort development           | - Transparent evaluation of resort bids  
- Planning framework and environmental controls  
- Business environment  
- Airline access                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Mauritius               | National tourism           | Policy framework                          | - Export and investment promotion  
- Training  
- Control of supply  
- Airline policy                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| Mexico                  | Cancún                     | City resort of 240 hotels on formerly deserted island | - Location and scale  
- Role of public sector developer, FONATUR  
- All-inclusive tourism
TABLE II.1 CASE STUDY SUMMARY (continued from the previous page)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Project name</th>
<th>Project category</th>
<th>Salient features of tourism development</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Morocco</td>
<td>Bay of Agadir</td>
<td>Rebuilding of city following earthquake</td>
<td>- Mixed use resort development, residential, commercial and hotel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Business environment, open skies, political support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Project stopped for political difficulties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morocco</td>
<td>Coastal cities tourism</td>
<td>Preparing city beach sited for private tender</td>
<td>- Strengthening ministry’s role as tourism planner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Regulation and promotion of private investment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Parallel measures in support of resort development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Namibia</td>
<td>Wilderness travel</td>
<td>Public-private partnerships</td>
<td>- Concessioning community land to private sector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Improved wildlife management through conservancies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>Wilderness Safaris &amp;Beyond</td>
<td>Public-private partnerships</td>
<td>- Land management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Concessioning community land to private sector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rwanda</td>
<td>Sabyinyo Silverback Lodge</td>
<td>Protection of mountain gorillas</td>
<td>- Community partnerships with private sector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Biodiversity protection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>Sentosa Island</td>
<td>Day-trip playground and island resort development</td>
<td>- Building a resort to appeal to residents and international visitors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Importance of training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanzania</td>
<td>Mt. Kilimanjaro</td>
<td>Mountaineering packages</td>
<td>- Poverty reduction analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Sanitation and management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Trade unions for porters, guides and cooks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunisia</td>
<td>Infrastructure in six zones</td>
<td>Coastal city resort development</td>
<td>- Integrated national development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Institutional framework, including land bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>South Antalya</td>
<td>Large-scale resort</td>
<td>- Model widely replicated throughout the country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Staff housing problems</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Authors.

The Role of Donors

Much can be done to assist countries to take advantage of their tourism assets. Many actors are involved, including the UN World Tourism Organization and the European Union, multinational donors, such as the World Bank and the African Development Bank, and bilateral donors, such as the U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID), the U.K. Department for International Development (DFID U.K.), and the Netherlands Development Organization (SNV).

The World Bank’s Africa Region Tourism Strategy vision is *Transformation through tourism: harnessing tourism for growth and improved livelihoods.* The strategy relies on four pillars: policy reforms, capacity building, private sector linkages, and product competitiveness. Working closely with client countries, the implementation of the Africa Region Tourism Strategy focuses on interventions in these four areas to address the persistent constraints to the growth of tourism in SSA. Combined, these interventions enable high-demand tourism products to compete in the global marketplace. This strategy builds on the lessons learned at the beginning of certain countries’ tourism journey when the World Bank helped them by financing the development of tourism zones with high potential (such as in Cancún, Bali, and Puerto Plata in the Dominican Republic, case studies 15, 8, and 4,
respectively). The lessons gathered in this report from these tourism projects and others enlighten how SSA countries can achieve growth and improved livelihoods through tourism in Africa.

Notes

2. For further information on Cape Verde see case study 2 and Twining–Ward (2010).
3. The survey had a 31 percent response rate.
6. Amit Sharma, consultant, contributed a paper (Linkages study, unpublished) as input for a diagnostic trade paper in which several sectors are examined, including furniture.
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PART 1
INTRODUCTION

The Audience for the Report

This report is written for government, state, and local policy makers as well as for donors, potential investors, NGOs, and other stakeholders in the tourism sector in SSA. A related objective is to stimulate further analysis of the tourism sector by SSA and other researchers.

Report Methodology

Like for any industry, the objective metric that a government should use when deciding upon the existence and extent of its tourism industry is the economic value the sector adds through “well paying” jobs, the “reasonable” levels of public and private investment required, and the “limited” damage to the country’s natural and cultural assets. Or put in economic terms, the objective metric of the government should be to increase the economic value added of the sector as well as its total factor productivity—making sure that any negative social and environmental effects are priced into the formula. The latter is particularly important in the case of tourism that relies for its sustainable development on the careful “exploitation” of cultural and natural assets. Thus, in addition to discussing how African countries can become more competitive (by decreasing the cost-quality ratio of the tourism services offered), this report also discusses how countries can generate, sustain, and distribute the economic rents of tourism associated with unique cultural and natural assets.

The methodology used in the report follows the following three analytical steps:

1. Benchmarking performance. African countries have been benchmarked with other comparable countries along four groups: pre-emerging, potential, emerging, and consolidating. The countries were benchmarked in terms of the size and productivity of their tourism industries along the following dimensions:
• The size and growth of their tourism industries based on international tourist arrivals and spending according to UNWTO data
• The quality-to-cost ratio of packaged vacations established through a survey of tour operators conducted for this report
• The cost of accommodation for a given level of quality of service based on a study conducted for this report (Ernst and Young 2010) that includes benchmarking of labor and capital productivity for the Sub-Saharan Africa hospitality sector
• The cost of air transportation based on a study completed for this report (SH&E 2010). This study includes some benchmarking of airline operational performance as proxies to productivity.

2. Explaining differences in performance. Differences in value added, quality, cost, and productivity among countries were explained to the extent possible by differences in the way tourism actors operate, which in turn can be related to factors in their external environment. These external factors include a combination of government failures (for example policies preventing markets from functioning properly) and market failures (such as coordination failures and information asymmetries). As these market malfunctions are often the result of a combination of government failures, the report puts greater emphasis on the latter, including:

• Political and macro instability, increasing the cost and risk of private investment
• Lack of openness and competition in transport industries (for example in airline companies) resulting in high cost and poor services
• Problematic and costly tourism visa policies
• Inadequate physical infrastructure, limiting access to key tourism assets
• Unsecured land rights, increasing the cost and risk of private investment
• Overly restrictive zoning laws, preventing the exploitation of natural tourism assets
• Mismanagement by the government of key tourism assets (including hotels, museums and national parks)
• Policy issues in other related industries (for example a poorly performing construction industry that increases the cost of building hotels)
• Failure to ensure public safety (against malaria or crime, for example)
• Restrictive labor market policies (including emigration policies) and poor educational systems, increasing labor costs for a given skill level
• Policy issues in the financial systems, increasing the cost of capital.

3. Identifying solutions to improve performance growth constraints. Because constraints to the growth of tourism vary by country—although certain commonalities exist—this report includes 24 case studies on the growth of tourism throughout the developing world. The case studies address a range of tourism segments in countries performing at three of the four levels of tourism development identified through the benchmarking exercise, and are designed to reflect the varied characteristics of African tourism. In their totality, they suggest possible solutions to the many issues identified as constraints in African countries, although the solutions would need to be adapted to local circumstances. They show in particular how countries can take a pragmatic and proactive approach in a reasonable amount of time and with limited resources to resolve a
critical mass of issues from the long and hard list of government and market failures (for example through the establishment of tourism zones).

These cases also illustrate how countries have dealt with possible negative tourism-related externalities, such as enforcing regulations to limit degradation of the environment, while going after possible positive externalities, such as capturing some of the economic rents generated by unique assets through taxes and auctions and supporting first mover investors who can often put a destination on the global tourism map but frequently face high entry risk and cost.

Too often in the past, analyses of the tourism sector have not used economic tools that can illuminate constraints and barriers to growth, or identify solutions to increase the value added by the sector or the means to raise funds to protect the assets on which the sector is based. A number of recent World Bank studies of the Africa region have begun to refine and adapt economic tools for the tourism sector. Value chain analysis, a useful tool to identify market failures and the impact of tourism on the poor, is available for a number of countries (see box 2.1 in chapter 2 on value chain analysis).

The Evidence Base for the Report

The following five analytical modules provided the platform and inputs for this tourism report:

- **The SSA tourism database.** This online research tool covers 47 countries in SSA. The database for each includes: geographic, economic, social, and transport indicators; essential economic data on tourism; trends in tourism demand; information on tourism products and activities; a comparative cost analysis, including flight costs, park entrance fees, and tourism competitiveness rankings; and an analysis of tourism performance, potential, constraints to growth, and tourism strategy.

- **The tourism accommodation study.** This study’s objective was to understand the high hotel operating and financing costs and the competitiveness of SSA against comparable international markets. It includes in-depth interviews with 23 hotel investors, developers, and operators in the United States, Europe, and Africa.

- **The air transport study.** The purpose of the study was to explain crucial aspects of SSA air transport performance (for example, the high cost of air travel to and within SSA), discuss the constraints to stronger performance, and identify strategies to achieve global competitiveness in SSA air transport. International passenger flows were analyzed and interviews held with high-level airline executives across SSA.

- **The tour operator study.** This study includes 47 in-depth interviews with small, medium, and large tour operators in the United States, Europe, The Gambia, and Kenya. The results of an online tour operator survey, with responses from 51 tour operators in Europe, the United States, and SSA, are also included.

- **The tourism case studies.** Case studies of 24 tourism destinations worldwide are included in Part 2. There are seven case studies from SSA: luxury wildlife tourism in South Africa; the wildlife conservancy program in Namibia; hiking tourism on Mount
Kilimanjaro, Tanzania; beach tourism in Cape Verde; cultural tourism in Mauritius; conservation tourism in Rwanda; and business tourism in Nairobi, Kenya. Both the successes and shortcomings of each experience provide lessons.

A Note on African Tourism Research

Tourism is of critical importance for economic development and “it is imperative to take tourism seriously and analyze it no less critically and theoretically than what are considered more legitimate topics and places” (Klak 2007). In his study on the African tourism research landscape, Rogerson (2011) observes that the New Partnership for Africa’s Development (NEPAD) in its Tourism Action Plan recognizes tourism “as one of the sectors with the most potential to contribute to the economic regeneration of the continent, particularly through the diversification of African economies and generation of foreign exchange earnings.” The methodology for Rogerson’s study involved a search for relevant material on Google Scholar, reviews of chapters in books and proceedings that were not captured by Google Scholar, and intensive analysis of major tourism journals published in the last decade.

Rogerson notes that notwithstanding the impressive growth in tourism flows to SSA during the last two decades and the policy prominence afforded tourism as a driver for economic development, the volume of academic research focused on African tourism systems has had limited growth, even in the benchmarking of African tourism products against global efficiency standards (Barros and Dieke 2008; Santos, Dieke, and Barros 2009; Barros, Dieke, and Santos 2010). Recent overviews of tourism scholarship in Africa in general and for the 15 countries of the Southern African Development Community (SADC), underscore the limited scholarship around the tourism-development nexus. Given the potential of tourism growth, Rogerson suggests that careful and strategic policy interventions, grounded in evidence-based research from the African experience will be essential to maximize the role of tourism in national, regional, and local development. Seif and Rivett-Carnac (2010) have called for the need “to anchor research to a canon of literature, so as to achieve greater compatibility between research sites and over time.”

Conceptually, African tourism investigations can employ a diverse set of theoretical frameworks because tourism is both a social and an economic activity. Rogerson also suggests that the United Nations Millennium Development Goals could be used as a framework for research. He lists the priority areas on which African tourism research should focus:

- Tourism as a tool to protect the environment and cultural heritage
- The implementation of tourism’s pro-poor aspects to relieve poverty
- Tourism as a lever for economic growth
- Tourism’s intersectoral linkages
- The different types of tourism to understand the benefits of each (for example, high-end versus package holidays, community-based tourism, heritage tourism, city tourism)
- Human resource and employment issues for the tourism and hospitality sector
- The nature of the “African tourist,” both domestic and regional.
Rogerson concludes that giving voice to new African scholarship in tourism and hospitality requires that the agenda of a new research center in Africa be grounded in and informed by contemporary theoretical debates and discourse. The research program of a world-class tourism and hospitality center to be based in Africa should concentrate on the challenges and gaps around the nexus between tourism and development in Africa specifically, and in the developing world as a whole.

Notes

1. For tourism publications related to SSA, see http://www.worldbank.org/afr/tourism.
2. This section of the report summarizes the findings of Christian M. Rogerson (2011). The report’s bibliography references 116 studies.
3. NEPAD was adopted by the African heads of state and government of the Organization for African Unity (OAU) in 2001 and was ratified by the African Union (AU) in 2002 to address Africa’s development problems within a new paradigm. NEPAD’s main objectives are to reduce poverty, put Africa on a sustainable development path, halt the marginalization of Africa, and empower women.
4. The 15 countries are Angola, Botswana, the Democratic Republic of Congo, Lesotho, Madagascar, Malawi, Mauritius, Mozambique, Namibia, the Seychelles, South Africa, Swaziland, Tanzania, Zambia, Zimbabwe.
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WHY TOURISM?

Africa Rising

There are new grounds for optimism for the economic future of Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA). Until the onset of the global economic crisis, GDP growth had averaged 5 percent a year for a decade (World Bank 2011). Growth was widespread even among non-oil-exporting countries and countries that experienced conflict. Although Africa was badly hit by the global crisis, the continent avoided worse growth shortfall in 2009 thanks to prudent macroeconomic policies and financial support from multilateral agencies; it rebounded in 2010. SSA countries’ poverty rate declined from 59 percent in 1995 to 50 percent in 2005 (World Bank 2010a). Child mortality rates are declining, HIV/AIDS is stabilizing and primary education completion rates are rising faster in SSA than elsewhere.

Africa’s private sector is increasingly attracting investment with much of the funding coming from the United States and Europe. China, India and other countries are also investing large sums in SSA. Private capital flows are higher than official development assistance and foreign direct investment is higher than in India. Returns to investment in Africa are among the highest in the world. The public sector has set the conditions for the exponential growth of information and communications technology (ICT), which could transform the continent. The private sector is creating an emerging middle class of hundreds of millions of consumers. The climate for market oriented, pro-poor reforms is proving robust and the voice of civil society is increasingly heard. Interregional cooperation is strengthening and democracy has taken hold in several countries. Given this scenario, experts view SSA as being on the brink of an economic takeoff, much like China was 30 years ago, and India was 20 years ago.

Tourism is one of the key industries driving the change. From a small base of just 6.7 million visitors in 1990, SSA attracted 33.1 million visitors in 2011 (UNWTO, 2012). Tourism contributed US$33.5 billion to the economies of SSA, accounting for 2.7 percent of the region’s GDP (WTTC 2012). Already 1 in 20 jobs in SSA is in travel and tourism.
New analysis indicates that women manage about 50 percent of hospitality businesses in Africa (UNWTO 2011). Tourism provides multiple opportunities for economic growth and improved livelihoods.

**Ten Justifications for Tourism**

Tourism often does not receive the credit it deserves as an economic transformer. Yet the evidence from Thailand, where tourism accounts for 6 percent of GDP, to Mauritius and Cape Verde, where it accounts for 13 percent and 15 percent of GDP, respectively, tells a different story and underlines the sector’s potential. There are 10 principal reasons for developing tourist assets and poising a country for sustained economic growth of its tourism sector.

1.) Tourism can fuel the economic transformation of countries.

The global tourism sector is vast and still growing. In 2010, 935 million tourists traveled internationally. They spent US$339 billion directly in emerging economies. The total global export income from tourism was over US$1 trillion. The average annual growth rate in international tourist arrivals from 1995 to 2010 was 4.1 percent (UNWTO 2010). The total direct, indirect and induced impact of travel and tourism on global GDP in 2011 was estimated at US$6.3 trillion, with 255 million jobs in the sector, US$743 billion in investments and US$1.2 trillion in exports. Tourism’s contribution in 2011 represented 9 percent of global GDP, 1 in 12 jobs, 5 percent of investment and 5 percent of exports. Growth forecasts for 2012, although lower than originally anticipated, should still see tourism contributing 2.8 percent of total GDP (WTTC 2011).

**Figure 2.1:** The Transformational Effects of Tourism in Thailand

![Figure 2.1: The Transformational Effects of Tourism in Thailand](image)

Source: Garcia 2010.
Tourism has demonstrated its economic strength and potential all over the world. In Thailand, tourism barely existed in the 1960s; in 2010 it was worth 6 percent of GDP and employed 15–20 percent of the workforce.

Thailand is not the only tourism success story. The Dominican Republic, in the Caribbean, had only 1,600 hotel rooms in 1972 but in 2011 had over 66,000; tourism accounts for 31 percent of exports of goods and services, and 7.9 percent of GDP. Bali, a small island in Indonesia, received 95,000 international tourists in 1973; in 2010, Bali attracted 1.96 million tourists who spent US$1.9 billion. Cancún in Mexico grew from an uninhabited peninsula to one of the most visited resorts in the world in just 35 years: Cancún now has over 600,000 residents and hosts over 10 million tourists a year. Its success is attributable to: (a) the government’s foresight in imagining a grand resort on a deserted peninsula as part of its long-term strategy for economic development, (b) proximity to a very large and wealthy market in North America, especially in Miami, (c) its Caribbean climate and beach assets (see case study number 15 in Part 2), and (d) help from Spanish hotel groups skilled in offering all inclusive vacations that had previously held little appeal for US tourists.

Similar patterns of growth occurred in Egypt. In 1970, Egypt attracted fewer than 400,000 tourists; by 1980 the number had reached 1 million. From 1990 to 2005, visitor arrivals grew from 2.9 million to 8.6 million; by 2010 total international arrivals amounted to just under 15 million and tourist expenditures reached US$12.5 billion. Tourism has accounted for 9.1 percent of Egypt’s GDP and has traditionally been the country’s largest foreign exchange earner, ahead of worker remittances and petroleum products. According to the WTTC, total direct and indirect employment in the sector amounts to 3.35 million jobs (see case study number 7 in Part 2).

2.) Tourism creates many good jobs.

Currently, more than 200 million people are underemployed in Sub-Saharan Africa and 10 million more seek jobs every year (World Bank 2010b). As tourism grows, the sector’s job creation and income-generating potential rise exponentially (Natural Resources Consultative Forum 2007). Tourism compares well to other sectors in terms of opportunities for SME development, career advancement, and lifelong learning potential. One in 20 jobs in SSA is already in travel and tourism (Twining-Ward 2010a). Tourism is also a more efficient job creator than many other sectors due to the multiple downstream effects. A study in Zambia by the Natural Resources Consultative Forum found that a US$250,000 investment in the tourism sector generates 182 full-time formal jobs. This is nearly 40 percent more than the same investment in agriculture and over 50 percent more than in mining (Hamilton and others 2007).

3.) Tourism shows particular promise for remote regions and developing regions.

Tourism is growing faster in the world’s emerging and developing regions than in the rest of the world. International arrivals in developing countries increased by an
average of 11 percent a year between 1990 and 2009 (UNDP 2011). The share of international tourist arrivals received by emerging and developing regions increased from 32 percent in 1990 to 47 percent in 2010 (UNWTO 2010).

4.) Tourism accelerates reform.

Tourism accelerates policy and economic reforms that can help SME development and stimulate foreign investment (Wong, Christie, and Al Rowais 2009). In Cape Verde, tourism took off when the banking sector was reformed, when the escudo was pegged to the euro, and when an attractive package of investment incentives was created (Twining-Ward 2010b). In Rwanda, significant improvements in “doing business” indicators were linked to the desire to increase gorilla tourism. Across SSA, destinations are finding that political stability, good governance, and an enabling business environment provide the foundation for tourism growth (UNCTAD 2007).

5.) Tourism triggers infrastructure improvements.

In an effort to attract visitors, governments and private sector business people often invest in infrastructure improvements that have positive impacts on the economy and on rural communities. South Africa invested US$2.6 billion in upgrading the Johannesburg, Cape Town, and Durban airports in preparation for an influx of sports tourists for the 2010 FIFA World Cup (Agence Française de Développement 2010). For some years hotel managers worldwide have reached out to their surrounding communities to improve the health and welfare of their workers but also to ensure that local people prize the benefits that tourists can bring them, thus protecting tourists from potential resentment from the much poorer local people. In addition, tourists are generally willing to donate money to help local communities. Sun ‘n’ Sand Beach Resort in Kenya provided water and a health clinic for a neighboring village. The Nihiwatu eco-lodge in Sumba, Indonesia, raises US$400,000 annually to help 147 villages with health, clean water, and nutrition projects (see case study number 9 in Part 2).

6.) Tourism increases domestic consumption and diversifies exports.

Tourists create demand for nontourism goods and services such as transport, gasoline, retailing, finance, real estate, agriculture, and communications. The WTTC expected tourism to generate twice as much from indirect spending on nontourism goods and services and from induced supply chain benefits, than from direct tourist spending in 2011 (WTTC 2011).

7.) Tourism empowers women, young people, and marginalized populations.

Women make up an estimated 70 percent of the world’s poor (ILO 2009). Empowering women to participate in economic development at all levels and in
all sectors is essential to build strong economies and stable, just societies (UNIFEM and UN Global Compact 2010). Tourism is one of the few economic sectors in which women outnumber men in certain positions and are paid the same. In Africa, a 2010 study by UNWTO and UN Women found that 31 percent of employees in the hotel and restaurant sector were women (Twining-Ward 2011), compared to 21 percent in other sectors. Young people also derive productive employment from tourism. In Namibia, after just one year of training, an unskilled laborer can learn to be a tour guide and thereby increase his or her income significantly. By engaging young people in productive employment, tourism can provide an alternative to out-migration, urban poverty, and armed conflict.

8.) Tourism stimulates cultural heritage and environmental conservation.

Tourism creates additional value for historic buildings, heritage sites, and the fine and performing arts (Robinson and Picard 2006; World Bank 2001). Cultural heritage is under threat across SSA but tourism provides a revenue source for its protection. In Ethiopia, for example, the World Bank helped finance a US$35 million cultural heritage project in 2010 that supports and complements private sector investment at major heritage sites and their surrounding communities through tourism planning. Tourism also provides a stimulus for environmental conservation and promoting alternative livelihoods. In 2008, a partnership between the UNDP Global Environment Facility (GEF) and the government of the Seychelles established a US$3.6 million, six-year biodiversity management project. In Costa Rica, the decision to promote sustainable tourism led to the establishment of a broad spectrum of environmental and social initiatives, including the Costa Rica Certificate in Sustainable Tourism and Blue Flag Beaches.

9) Tourism promotes public–private partnerships.

Effective tourism planning requires collaboration and partnerships between public and private sectors. Consultation enables participants to take joint responsibility for policy choices, facilitates the collection of economic data, and has positive outcomes for national planning. Public–private partnerships (PPPs) in conservation, infrastructure development, and investment promotion have been used for many years in SSA. Examples include the approach taken by Kenya Wildlife Service, SANParks in South Africa, the Madagascar National Parks Initiative, and Gorongosa National Park in Mozambique (Garcia 2010). The WWF reports that more than US$19 million has been invested by the private sector in tourism joint ventures in communal conservancies in Namibia since 1998 (NASCO 2009).

10) Tourism improves the national image.

Successful tourism can change external perceptions of a country, improve intercultural understanding, and create a positive internal frame of reference for a country. Once war-torn Rwanda has changed its image because it features mountain gorilla
conservation and tourism. Civil strife is in Mozambique’s past; it is now known as a country with attractive beach resorts. Costa Rica is associated with biodiversity, ecotourism, and environmental consciousness, rather than with the drug trafficking that plagues other countries in the vicinity. The advent of satisfied tourists increases national pride, enhances investor confidence, and serves as an engine of growth for the economy as a whole.

The Complexities of Tourism

Tourism’s complexity arises from its multisectoral nature, as well as its dependence on numerous actors with very different interests in the sector, including those of the international visitors that determine its success. Most, if not all, African destinations are dependent on the arrival of tourists from countries that are largely outside their sphere of influence. In developing countries, regional and domestic tourism can seldom replace the demand for goods and services that international tourists generate. Such tourism is to be encouraged, however, as it can increase demand, help diversify accommodation to the benefit of small, local entrepreneurs, and mitigate seasonality.

In today’s globalized market, all tourism products compete against each other on price. Although the prime decision maker is the individual traveler, the volume of tourists to a particular destination is determined to a considerable extent by the world tourism industry, represented by tour operators, travel agents and transport services in the countries of tourist origin. Destinations can influence these external industry managers through effective and continuing promotion and marketing campaigns but will be successful only if the sale of a high-quality product is competitive in value, not just in price.

Managing Tourism’s Risks

Each sector comes with its own set of risks and challenges and tourism is no exception. The tourism sector, however, is burdened by criticisms that do not reflect reality.

Leakage

A frequent criticism about tourism is that the destination receives only a portion of the total cost of a tourist’s expenditure. The belief in “leakage” ignores the inputs, entrepreneurship, and risks taken by businesses in the countries of tourist origin. The so-called leakage actually represents the financial returns to these businesses that, without the profit incentive, would not undertake the tourism venture. The destination would then be deprived of tourism revenues from all but domestic tourists and, possibly, regional tourists, where leakage would also occur.

A preliminary study in Tanzania estimates that the country captures about half the global value chain in package holidays sold in Europe, but this estimate excludes
discretionary spending by tourists. To put tourism in perspective, another global value chain analysis for coffee exports from Tanzania estimates that growers of the best coffee can expect to receive only about 4 percent of the final retail price of the coffee sold in supermarkets in Europe (Mitchell, Keane, and Laidlaw 2009). If basic processing, packaging, and transportation are added, the country still only captures about 8 percent of the final retail price of coffee. As Box 2.1 on Value Chain Analysis states, such analysis can identify areas in the chain where the country may be able to derive more benefits from tourism. But, even in the worst cases when a country can provide few local inputs to the value chain, tourism employs local people, among them women and poorly educated workers who would have difficulty finding alternative employment.

**Box 2.1**

**Value Chain Analysis**

Value chain analysis (VCA) is a method for accounting and presenting the value that is created in a product or service at each stage as it is transformed from raw input to a final product consumed by end users. Three policy and reform agenda issues typically emerge from the analysis:

- Product market issues, for example trade policy, competition, price distortions, subsidies, licensing, product standards, customs, and property rights regulations
- Factor market issues, for example wages, finance charges, utility markets, and land prices
- Sector-related issues, for example market diversification, research and development, product diversification, and supplier linkages.

VCA is typically performed in three stages: (a) mapping of industry processing chains graphically and quantitatively by disaggregating components such as cost, time, and value added along the various segments of each chain, (b) establishing domestic benchmark performance indicators for comparison against international competition and best practices, and (c) further analysis such as assessing the relative importance of the different issues that affect the performance of the value chain and prioritizing the most binding constraints on the competitiveness of the industry.

The VCA framework identifies a priority set of issues, some of which are sector specific whereas others apply to the entire economy. Certain issues must be addressed by the private sector, while others fall in the domain of the public sector. In a tourism context, VCA involves mapping the transactions and relationships that take place along the tourism supply chain, and working with tourism stakeholders to identify the bottlenecks in the system and create appropriate market-based solutions.

The World Bank has made value chain analysis a priority in recent years. A VCA for Kenya’s tourism sector (World Bank 2010c) highlighted the impact of infrastructure limitations on overall costs; for example, the highest cost within the safari package is inland transport for a weekend excursion. The study found that public sector charges and fees substantially eroded private sector profits across all segments of the tourism product. In-country expenditures varied significantly across the different tourism segments. The authors noted that the private sector was responding effectively to demand for customized, small-scale ecotourism packages that offer exclusive wildlife viewing well above the price of such packages in competing African markets. They warned, however, that given the inadequacy of the policy and regulatory environment for conservation and wildlife tourism, the tourism load may strain the sustainability of these ecosystems. Insights such as these, gained through VCA, support the development of the sector from a variety of perspectives.

*Source: FIAS 2007.*

**Sociocultural Impacts**

Tourism has been associated with a variety of social and cultural ills, particularly prostitution. In some countries prostitution is illegal, whereas child prostitution is illegal nearly everywhere. Tourism is often blamed for fostering both. Prostitution exists openly or
clandestinely in most developed and developing countries. In the latter, prostitution is most likely a byproduct of unemployment; desperate people, most often women who are unable to find other work, turn to the oldest profession to feed and house themselves and their dependents. Markets for prostitution exist in all countries—the demand for these services exists with or without tourism. Mining is renowned for its camp followers and most urban areas have concentrations of prostitutes. Ports are also known to be centers of prostitution. Some foreign visitors undoubtedly pay for prostitutes, but a majority of tourists travel either as families or as couples so do not use these services. Tourism cannot be blamed for the existence of prostitution, although a small minority of tourists do pay for it.

Child prostitution and/or pornography are entirely different issues. They are illegal activities such that those promoting and buying them can be prosecuted in the destination and charges can pursue them to their home country. Most governments abhor these activities and many NGOs worldwide are working to abate them or take preventive measures to protect street children. The tourism industry itself has established a “Code of Conduct for the Protection of Children from Sexual Exploitation in Travel and Tourism,” which is an industry-driven tourism initiative co-funded by the Swiss government’s State Secretariat for Economic Affairs and by the private sector within the tourism industry supported by the End Child Prostitution, Child Pornography, Trafficking of Children for Sexual Purposes (ECPAT) international network; its advisory partners are UNICEF and the UNWTO. As noted in the Costa Rica case study (number 1 in Part 2), since 2008 applicants for certification by the Instituto Costarricense de Turismo’s National Accreditation Commission must be signatories to the above code of conduct against the sexual exploitation of children and adolescents. Any foreigner travelling for the purpose of child prostitution or pornography is a criminal, not a tourist.

Crime levels are said to rise with tourism. Too often the discrepancy between the tourists’ incomes and those of the local people breeds resentment toward the visitors. Again, where unemployment is high and poverty is endemic, crime is more likely to occur. One solution is to strengthen the police force in areas frequented by tourists; a related solution is to identify high-crime areas in the destination and warn tourists to stay away. A more effective and long-term solution is to extend the benefits of tourism to local communities living near tourist accommodation. For some time, hotel managers throughout the world have deliberately reached out to their surrounding communities. Whether by offering employment, stimulating the provision of services such as guiding and dry cleaning, supporting local schools, buying hotel inputs from local farmers, donating bed linen and towels when replaced in the hotels, booking performers of indigenous song and dance, or creating a marketplace for handicrafts and local products within the hotel, the benefits of tourism reach surrounding communities and make the tourist a valued guest rather than the object of robbery. These same actions are precisely the way to make tourism more pro-poor because direct contact is generally required to engage the poor in tourism.

The fear that foreign visitors might corrupt local cultures arose in the early days of mass tourism and package holidays. In today’s globalized world, tourists are far less responsible for introducing local people to different cultures and preferences than are the Internet, movies, DVDs, CDs, and so forth.
Tourism can fuel higher prices for land in tourist areas. This is the equivalent of the gentrification or rebuilding of depressed urban areas in large cities throughout the world. When land is needed for higher-priced economic activities than residential or small business can generate, land prices rise, but governments can help to mitigate these negative impacts through zoning and the construction of low-cost housing. Tourism can also lead to increased reliance on imported goods and services, including food, by locals, but this can also be countered by offering local cuisines to tourists, providing a more authentic experience while encouraging the continued production of local foodstuffs. Most hotels welcome a constant flow of high-quality local foodstuffs for their kitchens that are almost always cheaper than the imported products.

If tourism growth goes unmanaged, the natural, cultural, and social asset base on which tourism depends can deteriorate. Abominable stories about the overuse of mountain slopes, coastal areas, small islands, and fragile cultural assets have been heard for years. Without question, some countries have not protected their assets as they should. With the growing realization of the fragility of these assets and the increased information about how to protect them, and with the international technical and financial assistance that has followed, awareness about establishing visitation limits for an asset has helped to mitigate and stem some of the more grievous misuses of tourism assets. Bhutan controls access to the country as a whole; the Galapagos Islands limit the number of boats and occupants that can visit the site; India forbids the close proximity of vehicles to the Taj Mahal. As the discussion on economic rents in this report shows, countries have tools to acquire funds to protect and improve the assets that attract tourists. A well-balanced package of user fees and policies to protect the assets is essential for the successful management of the tourist sector.

Managed sustainably, tourism is an effective development tool. When tourism’s environmental, social, and economic constraints are addressed, tourism energizes economies. Costa Rica’s ecocountry branding has had a positive effect on a multitude of goods and services, from sales of coffee and furniture to manufacturing. Thailand has bounced back from military coups and a tsunami on the strength of its tourism industry. With the full knowledge that tourism is a complex sector with tentacles that reach into a myriad of other economic activities, all of which require careful management, countries with tourism assets should prioritize tourism as a development tool.

**Competitiveness**

Productivity, efficiency, quality, and innovation, together with factor and transaction costs, are central elements to understanding competitiveness. Productivity is difficult to calculate in the service sector, however, and competitiveness in tourism is especially difficult to measure quantitatively. The World Economic Forum’s Travel and Tourism Competitiveness Index (TTCI) uses a combination of 14 qualitative and quantitative indicators to compare the competitiveness of 139 destinations. They include regulatory, infrastructure, and tourism resources indicators. The TTCI ranks countries according to scores given for policies,
regulations pertaining to the environment, safety and security, health and hygiene; indicators for government workers employed in tourism, air transport, ground transport, other tourism and ICT infrastructure, and for price competitiveness, quality of human resources, and national perceptions of tourism; and the strength of natural and cultural resources.

Complexity in measuring competitiveness in tourism arises because the whole is larger than the package of goods and services used by the tourist. The tourist experience is affected by the cultural setting, the attitudes of the local people, the climate and, simply, the sum of all the experiences the tourist has from leaving his or her home to arriving in the tourist destination and then on the return trip home. The value of the package becomes more important to the tourist than its price. A receptive local population can, therefore, create great value, although it is difficult to measure, for the tourism product.

Competitiveness in tourism is depicted in this report as a pyramid. At the bottom lies the destination with only the most essential tourism facilities. As tourism becomes accepted, the destination must provide additional and improved facilities to expand its base. Finally, at the peak of the triangle, the destination’s quality makes it highly competitive. The challenge then is to remain on top. To climb the quality pyramid, destinations must put the fundamentals in place, replicate their successes, and manage for sustainable outcomes.

Many primary tourism assets in SSA are expensive to access, poorly managed, and deteriorating. Furthermore, numerous tour operators consider service standards to be particularly low in SSA, resulting in a disappointing visitor perception of value for money. Long-haul visitors are generally happy to pay park entrance fees if they are confident the fees are being used for park maintenance but they expect the quality of the experience to match the cost. Even in well-known destinations in SSA, public reinvestment in tourism attractions is often insufficient to maintain and improve attraction quality. Other tourism assets in SSA are deteriorating or lie dormant due to lack of access, operational and management know-how, signage, marketing, or funding. Ethiopia has seven UNESCO World Heritage Sites, four national parks, and distinctive historic products based on ancient Axumite civilizations but it is still underperforming relative to other areas of East Africa (Mitchell and Coles 2009). Cameroon and Gabon have significant natural tourism resources but have failed to exploit them due to the lack of access and involvement of local communities, and insufficient funding.

The World Bank Africa Region Tourism Strategy states that many SSA destinations fail to deliver high quality service because tourism ministries in SSA lack the know-how and
leadership to develop and implement policies and effective plans; tourism associations fail to address the training needs of their members; and a disconnect exists between tourism schools and the skills needed by tourism businesses. If mature SSA destinations want to command and maintain tourism appeal, greater attention needs to be paid to the quality–value equation. While high-end tourists are prepared to pay top dollar for the “trophy value” of an SSA vacation, the important middle section of the market needs to feel they are getting value for their money. Professional tourism services result in higher guest satisfaction and a broader mix of tourism products.

The Role of the Government and Institutional Coordination

A high-quality product can only flourish in a country where the tourism sector is well managed. This point was made in the World Bank’s Tourism Sector Study for Senegal (Crompton and Christie 2003):

Whether Senegal becomes a major tourism destination will depend on the quality of sector administration. Tourism is essentially a private sector activity but is highly dependent on public sector support. Tourism cuts across many sectors and there is often little communications between them. Among the ministries with functions and/or activities related to tourism, in addition to the Ministry of Tourism, are: those ministries responsible for finance, land, public works, transport, agriculture, labor, culture, national parks, immigration and customs, and commerce. To be successful, tourism requires coordination and complementarity of actions within Government and between the Government and the private sector, civil society in general and, in particular, with local communities that are specifically impacted by tourism, where NGOs can facilitate the process. Only a continuing dialogue between the public and private sectors can lead to successful tourism management. (p. 30)

The government’s primary role in tourism should be to develop the strategy for the sustainable growth of the sector, to formulate policy, to create the conditions for public investment, and to provide an enabling business environment to complement private sector activity. A policy that encourages private investment will be conducive to long-term private investment; a policy of command and control will likely stifle long-term investment. Governments play a key role in creating an attractive environment for investors and tourists; they build highways, repair bridges, upgrade airports, improve cultural heritage sites and pedestrian areas, and support events and festivals. They ensure hospitals provide good health care and that potable water is available. Governments also play a role in improving the investment climate over time if they create healthy financial markets, maintain transparent land transaction with a reliable land registry, recognize the importance of training and promote public and private training solutions, and provide appropriate incentives for investment.
Strong political support for tourism is often the starting point for destination development. Political support from the top is needed to remove policy bottlenecks, mobilize resources for infrastructure investments, and coordinate actions across ministries and with the respective local governments. For example, as noted in the case study on Egypt (see number 7 in Part 2), many regulations stood in the way of tourism development but the creation of the Tourism Development Authority (TDA) in 1991, as an agency of the Ministry of Tourism, was crucial to alleviating the problem. In the case of Cancún in Mexico (see case study 15), the government’s foresight in imagining the resort was fundamental to the destination’s success. In Mauritius (case study 14), with falling sugar prices and severe competition in textiles, the government set out to diversify its economy and generate export-led growth, focusing particularly on tourism. To address the distance from major markets, the government set up its own airline, Air Mauritius, and developed pooling arrangements with other major airlines.

The World Economic Forum’s Travel and Tourism Competitiveness Index (TTCI) contains a regulatory subindex that measures how governments prioritize tourism, how much they spend on tourism as a percentage of the total budget, how effective marketing and branding is, and how comprehensive monthly and annual tourism data collection is. The results of the data collected from six SSA and six non-SSA destinations are shown in table 2.1.

The total TCCI rankings for 139 countries show Mauritius, one of SSA’s brightest tourism stars, in first place in the world. The government not only prioritizes tourism but also ranks third in the world for travel and tourism expenditures and the combined public

### TABLE 2.1 TTCI INDEX RANKING FOR SELECTED COUNTRIES, 2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Countries</th>
<th>Government prioritization of tourism</th>
<th>Travel and tourism government expenditure</th>
<th>Effective-ness of marketing and branding</th>
<th>Comprehensiveness of annual tourism data</th>
<th>Timeliness of monthly/quarterly tourism data</th>
<th>Total TCCI index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cameroon</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costa Rica</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominican Republic</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mauritius</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morocco</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mozambique</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanzania</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zambia</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: WEF 2011.*

*Note: Scores are ranked. Lower numbers are higher performers.*
and private sectors rank eighth for the effectiveness of their marketing and branding. SSA destinations score particularly low in the areas of “comprehensiveness” and “timeliness” of tourism data, which handicaps efforts to manage the sector effectively. Even Mauritius ranks 44th in “comprehensiveness of annual tourism data.” Among the remaining SSA countries for this regulatory subindex, Kenya and Tanzania rank among SSA’s best tourism performers; Mozambique and Zambia are in a second category; and Cameroon is ranked as a country “Initiating Tourism”. With greater government support, all these countries should have a better-performing tourism sector. Overseas marketing representation is another indicator of the level of political support for tourism; it creates a distinct advantage in reaching international markets. Only 15 out of 47 SSA countries have dedicated overseas marketing offices.

Regularly scheduled consultations among tourism representatives enable participants to take joint responsibility for policy choices and to develop a common vision. Open communication and an environment of mutual respect and understanding are critical to effective public-private nonprofit sector partnerships in tourism. In East Asian countries, consultation has enhanced decision making, strengthened government, and generated greater consensus, transparency, and follow-through for market reforms. Various examples of institutional coordination and mechanisms for consultation among the plethora of actors in the tourism sector are evident throughout the world.

**Examples of Governments’ Success in Tourism Development**

Aware that a strategy for tourism was essential for the implementation of sound policies for the sector, the Senegalese Ministry of Tourism itself organized the “Journées nationales de concertation” in 2002. Participants represented a cross-section of society, including decision makers, tour operators, and members of Parliament, researchers and journalists. Most states in the Caribbean Community (CARICOM) have created statutory bodies that regularly bring together public and private sector representatives for specific tourism responsibilities, most often for promotion and marketing, but also for broader tourism management issues. Forming a multisector technical group can help tourism coordination, as can the creation of a working group to perform the analyses needed to inform decision making. The Antalya development project in Turkey (see case study 24 in Part 2) established two coordinating committees, one in Ankara, the other in Antalya, to ensure coordination between the central government, developers, local government, and community stakeholders.

Many of the policy and practice areas that apply to tourism are derived from other sectors; examples include labor laws, building codes, and commercial and other regulatory legislation. A multisector stakeholder coordination committee, such as the Private Sector Advisory Group (PSAG) set up in Ghana, can help mitigate this issue. The PSAG has been able to make proposals that no single group could have comfortably made. It has since expanded into a Business Roundtable and Private Sector Foundation with an ambitious agenda to improve the business environment. In Mauritius, for example, the government
strongly encouraged open dialogue with the private sector through the establishment of a Joint Economic Council (see case study 14 in Part 2). Similar initiatives exist in Cameroon, Côte d’Ivoire, Madagascar, Senegal, Uganda, and Zambia.

The case study of Morocco: Public Support for Private Action (see number 17 in Part 2) illustrates a successful capacity-building program for a critical government agency: the Department of Planning and Investment (Direction des Aménagements et des Investissements—DAI) of the Ministry of Tourism. As a result, the DAI initiated sustainable, integrated coastal resort developments through public-private partnerships. By the project’s closing, the DAI had prepared four large land development concessions on about 1,500 hectares that would create 30,000 new beds and 100,000 direct and indirect jobs. The final agreements for the concessions included clauses to protect environmentally sensitive areas, natural habitats and cultural properties within and near the future resorts, as well as setting environmental guidelines for resort management.

**The Role of the Private Sector**

The critical investments financed by the private sector are, traditionally, in accommodation and related tourism services. Although the capital that gives value to natural and cultural tourism assets stems from the private sector, tourism cannot operate successfully without considerable government support. As already noted, the government must provide supporting infrastructure, as well as appropriate policies to create an enabling environment for private investment.

Management may well be the most critical factor in the quality of a hotel and, therefore, in the price it can charge in the international tourism market. The responsibilities of a hotel manager are onerous and have become more complex as ICT increasingly plays a prominent role, and social and environmental issues determine the acceptability of the accommodation to local communities and to visiting environmentally-minded tourists. In SSA, many hotels have to provide their own infrastructure services, such as power, water filtration and treatment, solid waste removal, and sewage treatment and disposal, to compensate for the unreliability of public services. Such investments necessarily raise a hotel's capital and operating costs, raise prices for the tourists and adversely affect competitiveness.

The lack of availability of sufficiently long-term local financing for tourist accommodation and related services acts as a constraint to the growth of tourism in SSA. Local people, other than the elites, often cannot raise investment funds from personal sources. Two consequences from the lack of domestic capital markets can arise: in some countries with strong tourism assets, foreign private investment may dominate the hotel sector and, in others, tourist accommodation and services may be of low quality, making the destination uncompetitive in the international market. A notable exception is Mauritius, where most hotels, even the most luxurious, are locally owned because profits from sugar and textiles were reinvested in the hotel sector; currently, Mauritius has four hotels classified as “Leading Hotels of the World.”
Management contracts can provide technical assistance and knowledge transfer to local investors who are able to raise the capital for a hotel but who do not have the expertise to manage it. Such assistance can be particularly helpful to countries initiating tourism.

The private sector often establishes its own professional associations, for example, hotel owners or managers, restaurant owners, and transport owners associations. These can create useful knowledge exchanges and allow some centralization of functions, particularly in ICT. The Caribbean has a long history of support to its “small hotels”, enabling them to share databases, promotion and advertising, and even purchasing.

Although the private sector has a difficult enough job simply managing its own properties, it must establish partnerships with government to ensure the success of the sector. In some cases, the private sector will finance large infrastructure projects, such as an airport, in partnership with the government. Most frequently, the partnerships occur when the private sector participates actively in the coordination committees and mechanisms. In many countries, the public and private sectors join forces to promote and market the sector; the government allocates budgetary funds to create an overall image of the country and its tourism assets while the private sector promotes specific tourist accommodation and services.

The Role of Donors and the Special Case of Infrastructure

Tourism ministries are often underfunded and getting tourism started involves a significant commitment of resources. Tourism master plans, technical assistance for institutional strengthening and strategy formulation, training for hotels, restaurants, and tourism services, the provision of water and sewage treatment plants, improved health care and sanitation training, particularly in local communities serving tourism, upgraded transport access to tourism destinations, and a new or upgraded airport and/or port facilities are some of the investments needed to launch tourism or expand it to the next development stage. These investments benefit many other sectors but tourism provides the economic justification for the expenditures. In some cases, donor assistance may be the only source of funding.

In the case of Bali, for example (number 8 in Part 2), the government was instrumental in developing the first tourism master plan that made Bali into a top site for tourism. The master plan for Bali was commissioned in 1970 and compiled by a French consulting group, funded by the UNDP, and supervised by the World Bank. In the case of the Dominican Republic, a department of the Central Bank, using funds from the World Bank, implemented the first project (see the Puerto Plata case study). In Tunisia (case study 23), the government identified a number of sites for tourism development and the World Bank was then invited to support development of the infrastructure and site planning. An Italian consulting firm conducted the preparatory studies, funded by the UNDP and executed by the World Bank. In each case, an “anchor development” site was identified and given an initial stimulus and support phase that, when successfully launched, created investor confidence and stimulated the growth of the entire destination.
In the area of infrastructure, external assistance is requisite. Tourism is far more dependent on a range of infrastructure services (power, potable water, waste management, telecommunications, and all forms of transport and related services) than most economic activities. Furthermore, the absence of any one infrastructure service can seriously impede the marketability of the tourism product and can damage the resource base of the asset. As previously noted, many resort hotels and accommodation in isolated areas already provide basic infrastructure services for their guests within the hotel gates, adding to the capital and operating costs of tourist accommodation, and thus to the tourists’ cost of visiting.

Addressing Africa’s US$93 billion infrastructure deficit will require two concerted efforts:

- Promoting policy measures and institutional reforms by governments and public utilities to address numerous inefficiencies that together hemorrhage some US$17 billion of infrastructure resources annually
- Harnessing greater domestic and external public resources and, through the above policy and institutional reforms, providing an attractive business environment for private investment, including for public-private partnerships (World Bank 2010a).

In addition to its role as a direct investor, the World Bank will work to address the policy and institutional inefficiencies and will assist in improving the overall public finance framework, including infrastructure planning, project screening, and project execution. The Bank’s program in Africa will emphasize sustainable infrastructure by helping countries to develop clean energy strategies that improve both infrastructure and the environment. The World Bank is increasingly focusing on regional infrastructure projects, including transport corridors, larger power generation projects, cross-border transmission lines, fiber-optic backbone, and aviation and maritime transportation. The World Bank, with its clients worldwide, cannot provide SSA’s infrastructure needs alone. Other donors are urgently required to help modernize the continent’s infrastructure and connect its poorest regions into existing networks. Tourism can provide the stimulus and economic justification for part of that infrastructure investment.

Notes

2. Based on UNWTO Statistics. See case study 4 (Puerto Plata) for more information.
3. Based on UNWTO Statistics. See case study 8 (Bali) for more information.
4. Results of personal interviews at the Namibia Academy for Tourism and Hospitality (NATH) suggested young people can earn five times as much as a tour guide than as a farm laborer.
5. This section draws from “Tourism and Global Development” (IFC, World Bank, MIGA 2000).
6. In *The Competitive Advantage of Nations*, Porter (1990) introduces the concept of “National Diamond.” The competitiveness of a nation is modeled using four interrelated influences: factor conditions, demand conditions, related and supporting industries, and firm strategy and rivalry. Porter’s definition of competitiveness is “the ability of entrepreneurs (of a country) to design, produce and market goods and services, the price and nonprice characteristics of which form a more attractive package than that of Competitors.”

7. As Mark Twain noted: “All saints can work miracles, but few could manage a hotel.”
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The World Tourism Market

Tourism is a 3-billion-dollar-a-day business that creates 3.4 percent of the world’s jobs directly and 8.8 percent of all jobs when its combined direct, indirect, and induced impacts are calculated. Although the sector shrank by 4 percent in 2009 as a result of the economic crisis, it bounced back, demonstrating its resilience to external shocks. By 2020 there are expected to be 1.6 billion international tourists, up from 1 billion in 2010 (figure 3.1). The average annual growth rate of international tourism is 4.1 percent. Although mature, developed destinations in Europe and the United States are expected to grow only at 3 percent

Figure 3.1: International Tourist Arrivals by Region, (millions) 1950–2020

Source: UNWTO 2010.
per year to 2020; emerging destinations in East Asia and the Pacific and the Middle East are expected to grow at 6–7 percent.

Africa’s share of world arrivals, though still small, is growing. In 1995, Africa received just 3.6 percent of world tourist arrivals; by 2010, Africa’s market share had increased to 5.2 percent. Sub-Saharan Africa received 3.3 percent of world tourist arrivals (table 3.1). Longer-term forecasts provided by UNWTO predict the region will receive 77 million arrivals by 2020 (compared with just over 30 million in 2010), 50 million of which will be intra-regional visitors.

### TABLE 3.1 INTERNATIONAL TOURIST ARRIVALS BY WORLD REGION, 1990–2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>261.5</td>
<td>304.1</td>
<td>385.6</td>
<td>439.4</td>
<td>461.5</td>
<td>476.6</td>
<td>-4.9</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>50.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Americas</td>
<td>92.8</td>
<td>109.0</td>
<td>128.2</td>
<td>133.3</td>
<td>140.6</td>
<td>149.8</td>
<td>-4.9</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>15.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North America</td>
<td>71.7</td>
<td>80.7</td>
<td>91.5</td>
<td>89.9</td>
<td>92.2</td>
<td>98.2</td>
<td>-5.7</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rest of Americas</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>43.4</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>51.6</td>
<td>-3.4</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia and the Pacific</td>
<td>55.8</td>
<td>82.0</td>
<td>110.1</td>
<td>153.6</td>
<td>180.9</td>
<td>203.8</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Saharan Africa</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Africa</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle East</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>52.9</td>
<td>60.3</td>
<td>-4.3</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total World</td>
<td>435.0</td>
<td>528.0</td>
<td>675.0</td>
<td>798.0</td>
<td>882.0</td>
<td>940.0</td>
<td>-3.8</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: UNWTO 2011.

### TABLE 3.2 INTERNATIONAL TOURISM TO AFRICA, ARRIVALS AND RECEIPTS BY REGION, 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Total tourist arrivals</th>
<th>Total long hauls</th>
<th>Receipts (US$, million)</th>
<th>Average contribution to GDP (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Southern Africa</td>
<td>10,626,127</td>
<td>2,509,893</td>
<td>8,599</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Africa</td>
<td>11,905,651</td>
<td>3,944,858</td>
<td>6,332</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Africa</td>
<td>4,419,061</td>
<td>1,748,555</td>
<td>2,676</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Africa</td>
<td>1,075,408</td>
<td>654,168</td>
<td>631</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>28,026,247</td>
<td>8,857,474</td>
<td>18,238</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: World Bank 2012; UNWTO 2011.

**SSA Countries’ Share of the World Tourism Market**

Within SSA, tourism destination performance varies considerably by region and within regions. East and Southern Africa attract more tourists and contribute more to GDP than
West and Central Africa (figure 3.2). Within each region, certain countries predominate in attracting tourists: in East Africa, Zimbabwe receives 16 percent of international arrivals, Mozambique 15 percent, and Kenya 15 percent; in Southern Africa, South Africa is the leading destination, receiving 66 percent of all tourist arrivals to the region, compared to Namibia’s 9 percent; in West Africa, Senegal and Nigeria are the dominant destinations, together accounting for 78 percent of visitors to the region.

The primary international markets for SSA countries are France, the United Kingdom, the United States, Germany, and Portugal (figure 3.2). France was the top long-haul source market for Africa in 2009 and was particularly dominant in West Africa. The most popular SSA destinations for French tourists are Mauritius and Senegal. France is also the top market for Madagascar, Mali, and the Seychelles. The United Kingdom has long-standing links to Kenya, South Africa, and The Gambia; the United Kingdom is also the number one source market in Tanzania and Zambia. Emerging U.K. destinations are Cape Verde, Mozambique, Namibia, Uganda, and Zambia. The United States is the top source market for Ethiopia, Ghana, Rwanda, Uganda, and Zimbabwe, with South Africa receiving the most U.S. tourists. Emerging source markets for SSA include Australia, Brazil, China, Italy, Russia, and Spain.

**The Value of Tourism to SSA Economies**

The value of tourism to SSA economies varies widely. In 2011, tourism contributed 2.7 percent directly to the GDP of SSA countries, compared with 4.3 percent of GDP in Southeast Asia, 4.4 percent in the Caribbean, and 3.0 percent in the Middle East (WTTC 2013). However, average regional figures fail to show the high level of dependence some countries have on tourism. For example, in the Seychelles, tourism activities account for 44 percent of GDP and in Mauritius tourism contributes 16 percent to GDP.
On a subregional basis, tourism contributes most to East Africa’s GDP (5.5 percent), followed by Southern Africa (3.4 percent) and West Africa (2 percent), with tourism contributing just 1.7 percent to Central Africa’s GDP. Other benefits result from tourism. Among them, the sector:

- Accounts for an average of 6.4 percent of total exports across SSA
- Accounts for almost half of all service exports in SSA (49.7 percent), compared with 16.7 percent in the Caribbean, 5.6 percent in Southeast Asia, and 6.2 percent in the Middle East
- Generates approximately US$33.5 billion in direct tourism receipts for SSA;
- Southern Africa receives almost 40 percent of all the tourist receipts (US$13 billion per year), of which 91 percent go to South Africa and just 5 percent to Botswana and 2 percent to Namibia. Lesotho and Swaziland receive just 1 percent of Southern Africa receipts. In East Africa, Kenya, Mauritius and Tanzania receive over US$1 billion in tourist receipts. In West Africa, Ghana and Nigeria are the biggest tourism earners with accounting for 60 percent of all sub regional receipts.

**Figure 3.4:** Tourism and Gross Domestic Product in SSA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>GDP Contribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>East Africa</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Africa</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Africa</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Africa</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: UNWTO 2013

**Employment in Tourism**

More than 200 million people are underemployed in SSA and 10 million more seek jobs every year. There are an estimated 5.3 million direct tourism jobs across SSA.¹ Because travel and tourism touches all sectors of the economy, tourism’s total direct and indirect employment impact in SSA is 12.8 million jobs. By 2022, the WTTC forecasts 6.8 million direct jobs in tourism in SSA and more than 16 million people are expected to be employed directly and indirectly² through tourism, but to generate the potential new jobs that are forecast in tourism, investment in SSA needs to grow.

**Investment in Tourism**

Investment flows in tourism, and especially FDI, are difficult to identify. First, “tourism” is an aggregation of many activities and a comprehensive assessment may be difficult. Second,
in national accounts, “tourism” does not appear as a formal industry classification; its sub-
categories, such as hotels and transport, are found within “real estate,” or aggregated under
“transport, communications, and storage,” making it difficult to quantify the size of assets
and flow of investment. Third, transnational corporations can operate in tourism in non-
equity modes such as with management contracts or franchising, which do not appear in
FDI data, even though these activities may still have a similar impact as FDI, such as man-
gerarial control, technology and knowledge transfer, and access to markets. Finally, the col-
llection of tourism data has been poor in developing countries. Improved data collection
and classification systems are needed so that policy makers can design meaningful policies
to position tourism as an engine of growth.

Tourism is the one service sector in which African countries have a trade surplus. In
South Africa, for example, tourism-related sales abroad earn more foreign exchange than do
exports of gold. In Tanzania, export earnings from tourism exceed those of gold or agricul-
ture (UNCTAD 2007). Yet FDI in tourism is not only poorly studied and understood, it is
also controversial. Foreign ownership in tourism, it is argued, is widespread, preventing pro-
poor growth because of the leakages that occur and the lack of backward linkages from the
investment. UNCTAD finds that FDI in tourism is still rather low in developed and devel-
oping countries compared with the levels of FDI in other economic activities, including
other services industries. For example, the outward FDI in tourism from the United
Kingdom, the third largest tourism spending country, was US$34 billion in 2004, or 2.5 per-
cent of that country’s total outward FDI. For the United States, home to most of the world’s
largest tourism-related transnational corporations and the second largest source of outward
FDI flows in tourism, tourism’s share was just 1.5 per cent of total outward FDI stocks.

A survey UNCTAD launched in 2007 shows that, although at a low level, FDI in hotels
is concentrated in Latin America. SSA captures seven percent of the world’s foreign invest-
ment in hotels, based on UNCTAD’s survey of 300 hotel groups and 1,350 hotels. SSA
undoubtedly has the potential to attract higher levels of FDI for tourism. However, expe-
rience across the continent is mixed. UNCTAD’s recent case studies on FDI and tourism
in SSA show that the percentage of FDI for tourism can range between 0.2 percent and 36
percent. The WTTC 2011 data reveal that South Africa received by far the most foreign
direct investment (US$6.1 billion) in the SSA region. Ghana attracted the highest tourism
FDI in West Africa: US$270 million, amounting to 4 percent of total investment. In East
Africa, Kenya attracted US$404 million in tourism FDI and Uganda received US$165 mil-
lion in 2011. As indicated in UNCTAD’s East and Southern African country case studies,
FDI has become a significant source of investment capital in the tourism sector.

Ministries of tourism have an important role to play in creating a hospitable environ-
ment for tourism projects. Investors typically need a wide base of information about the
economy, tourism trends, tourism plans, and regulations. One area where SSA falls behind
is in communication and coordination between policy makers working on tourism, invest-
ment, competition, and trade issues. Better dialogue between these entities would help
address the situation. Several countries around the world have set up “One-Stop Tourism
Promotion Agencies” that consolidate the information typically required by an investor.
The contribution made by tourism in creating beneficial linkages to SMEs and domestic
enterprises depends on the size and breadth of the domestic economy and on the maturity of the tourism service sector (UNCTAD 2009).

Notes

2. Ibid.
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ESSENTIAL TOURISM SERVICES

Accommodation

Calculations based on UNWTO data for 40 of the 47 SSA\(^1\) countries suggest there are about 390,000 hotel rooms in SSA. Unbranded guesthouses and lodges dominate accommodation facilities in SSA. Just 10 percent (35,200) of rooms meet international standards. South Africa has about half the region’s stock of international standard accommodation. Other destinations with established hotel investment/development markets are Kenya, Mauritius, and the Seychelles. Mauritius has 104 hotels of over 80 rooms. Maturing hotel markets, according to research conducted by Ernst and Young (2010), are Nigeria, Senegal, Tanzania, and Zambia.

World Bank AFTFP research found vacations to SSA to be on average 20–30 percent more expensive than those to other regions, even when airfares were not included (Twining-Ward 2010). The differences were particularly noticeable in mid-range products.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>World region</th>
<th>Total hotel rooms</th>
<th>International hotel rooms</th>
<th>Average occupancy (%)</th>
<th>Year of most recent recorded data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>61,417</td>
<td>9,850</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanzania</td>
<td>31,365</td>
<td>1,588</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>24,354</td>
<td>2,284</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cameroon</td>
<td>24,803</td>
<td>673</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2006 and 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>24,410</td>
<td>902</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>2005 and 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malawi</td>
<td>20,871</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2004 and 2006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: World Bank 2012; UNWTO e-statistics by country.
High hotel costs are primarily due to high hotel development costs and the cost of debt financing. In Nigeria, hotel construction costs are upwards of US$400,000 per room for a mid-market hotel; in Ghana the cost is US$250,000 per room. Median hotel development costs elsewhere in the world are US$200,000 per room for a full-service hotel (Ernst and Young 2010).

The data on accommodation shows that to develop a hotel in SSA, 40-50 percent equity contributions may be needed in addition to collateral of 1.5 to 2 times the loan amount. International brands have considerable pull in obtaining financing but many parts of SSA are high risk such that interest rates are high.

The survey of hotel developers found that the main areas where SSA countries fall short in their investment climate compared to Asia and the Middle East markets were: a) risk management (political, economic, security), b) the image of the region from an investment perspective, c) airline service, and d) government policy (Ernst and Young 2010). The research shows that to attract high-quality investors, such as luxury safari operators, destinations need to provide stable land tenure, political stability, suitable infrastructure, an attractive business environment, and a commitment to tourism (World Bank 2009).

The occupancy rates and profitability of hotels in SSA show great disparities. For example, Kenya and Cameroon have almost the same number of rooms and yet Kenya reports 80-90 percent average occupancy while Cameroon’s average occupancy rate is 17 percent. Twenty-three international hotel corporations operate in SSA. Of these, Accor, Hilton, InterContinental, and Starwood are the largest. There are also numerous sub-brands. There are nine regional SSA brands, of which Laico, Protea, Serena, and Sun International are the largest.

The survey of SSA accommodation revealed that RevPar, a primary benchmark for the hotel industry based on average daily rates divided by occupancy, saw a downturn in 2008 and 2009 because of the economic crisis. Data from January to July 2010, however, showed a 6.1 percent increase in RevPar in Kenya, 5.5 percent in Mauritius and 39.3 percent in South Africa. The dramatic change in South Africa’s RevPar was due to the World Cup Soccer tournament in June and July 2010 (Ernst and Young 2010).

**Expansion in SSA Countries’ Hotel Supply**

Despite the impediments, the accommodation sector in SSA is rapidly expanding. Accor, InterContinental Hotel Group, Rezidor Hotel Group, which operates the Radisson Blu chain, and Starwood are among those looking to capitalize on the continent’s need to expand its underdeveloped hotel industry. Just in the last four years, Rezidor alone has added 33 hotels to its operations on the African continent. Among projects in the planning stage are a US$40 million upscale Radisson Blu hotel in the Mozambican capital of Maputo and a 120-room, US$42 million lodge in South Africa’s Kruger National Park, both expected to open in 2013 (Reuters Africa 2011).
Air Transport

Africa’s distance from source markets creates an acute need for higher quality and more competitive air access. Air and road connections are the most commonly mentioned constraints to the growth of tourism in SSA. The high cost, irregularity, and routing of airlines around SSA reduces the competitiveness of its destinations. Despite comprising 15 percent of the world’s population, the continent is served by only 4 percent of the world’s scheduled air service seats (SH&E 2010). Nevertheless, signs of positive developments in air transport in the region are emerging. Between 1998 and 2009, air service seat supply grew 6.5 percent per year and Cape Verde, Ethiopia, Mozambique, and Tanzania all experienced double-digit growth in air service seat supply.

Key hubs in SSA are Addis Ababa, Johannesburg, and Nairobi; Accra, Abuja, Dakar, Dar es Salaam, and Lagos are developing into regional hubs. Additionally, the Emirates’ hub in Dubai has become an increasingly important staging point for intra-Africa travel.

Long-haul connections are dominated by a small number of foreign carriers, such as Air France, British Airways, Brussels Airlines, Emirates, KLM, SWISS, and Virgin. Airline routings are strongly connected to former colonial interests and to countries with a

Figure 4.1: Top 75 Routes by Daily Seat Capacity, August, 2010

Source: OAG August 2010
common language. British Airways and Virgin dominate routes to Ghana, Kenya, Nigeria, and South Africa. Air France dominates routes to Madagascar, Mali, Mauritania, and Senegal. Non-SSA airlines play a disproportionate role in air traffic to and from the region because of their frequency of service and operational stability. Many former African national carriers, such as those of Ghana and Zambia, are gone, although a few still operate in cooperation with international carriers (including Kenya Airways with KLM).

South African Airways dominates in the Southern African market. East Africa’s most successful airlines are Ethiopian Airlines and Kenya Airways. They serve both intra-African and intercontinental flights with successful hub and spoke operations. Combined, they carried almost 6 million passengers in 2009 and generated revenues of US$2 billion (SH&E 2010). High population density and higher GDP levels have led to particularly strong growth in West Africa’s seat capacity over the last few years, as seen by the arrival of African Sky and Arik Air. The purpose of transnational partnerships is to fly underserved routes while achieving efficiencies of scale. Mauritius, for example, credits some of its tourism success to pooling agreements with major carriers such as British Airways and Air France.

The tour operator benchmarking study (2010) that compared the price of tours to SSA with similar tours to other parts of the world found that charter tours were 20–30 percent more expensive to SSA than to comparable destinations in other parts of the world. Flights were found to be almost 50 percent more expensive to SSA even where shorter distances were involved. The study established that an average round-trip flight to Madagascar from New York cost US$2,975; a flight to China from New York cost US$1,173. A flight to Malawi cost US$2,290, whereas a flight to Indonesia cost US$1,644 and a flight to India cost US$984. SSA safari tours were found to be 38 percent more expensive than safaris to other destinations, such as Borneo, Galapagos, or India. Cultural tours were 34 percent more expensive in SSA than in destinations such as Egypt and Indonesia. The AFTFP benchmarking study quotes tiger watching in India for 10 days with Cox and Kings UK at US$3,703; a similar trip to Namibia for ten days cost US$5,039. The primary reasons for the higher price are the elevated flight costs and more expensive accommodation (Twining-Ward 2010). Table 4.2 provides additional comparisons of the costs to tourists of visiting SSA versus other countries.
Charter Service

Charters have also provided some relief from the high fares on scheduled airlines. Permission for charters in certain countries, however, is given only after arduous negotiation. In Zambia, for example, each flight must be approved, making planning difficult. Beach clubs and resorts have long used charter services with back-to-back planeloads servicing single properties. Charters serve Italian tourists visiting Zanzibar and British tourists visiting The Gambia. Some countries are currently using them as substitutes for scheduled carriers. São Tomé and Príncipe has successfully chartered Euro Atlantic Airways to fly a Boeing 757 once weekly between Lisbon and São Tomé and Príncipe, and between São Tomé and Príncipe and Luanda. This arrangement allows São Tomé and Príncipe to maintain its crucial business, tourism, and visiting friends and family (VFR) link to Portugal, as well as its increasingly important link to Angola, without the associated start-up and fixed costs of owning a national airline. Some charter airlines, such as Corsair, evolved to provide scheduled service. Where an “open sky” environment exists, such as in Kenya or Maldives (see case studies 11 and 13, respectively), charters can be a useful solution, especially if there is high seasonality or an infrequent need for service.

Internal Air Transport

Capacity between regions in Africa is still limited. Less than 15 percent of intra-Africa seat capacity is devoted to flights between regions, and most African carriers do not fly daily due to a combination of regulations and the lack of proper aircraft size. The high growth of passenger demand, albeit from a small base, has put upward pressure on prices. Average one-way fares in Africa were found to be twice as expensive as those in Latin America and four times as expensive as domestic flights in the United States (OAG in SH&E 2010). The cheapest nonstop flight offered from Bamako to Nairobi on Kenya Airways was found to be over twice as expensive as the cheapest flight between Tokyo and Bangkok on Delta. The route structure pattern in Africa is focused on existing, proven traffic and does not
facilitate new connectivity or stimulate SSA aviation. Air service, particularly in West and Central Africa, is expensive and provides infrequent and irregular schedules, often with multistop itineraries.

Factors that contribute to the higher fares include higher airport taxes and charges, inefficiencies due to the small market size, the ineffective management of airlines and airports, and the power of a monopoly or duopoly to set artificially high rates (SH&E 2010). The seasonal nature of tourism in some areas further exacerbates the cost and routing issues.

As an example of private sector initiative, air access for luxury resorts in Kenya and Tanzania has become a value-added component. Wilderness Safaris, the luxury tour operator, set up Wilderness Air (previously Sefofane Air Charters) to overcome the challenge of poor roads and long distances, allowing them to expand their product range. At the other price extreme, low-cost “no frills” carriers provide efficient local and intraregional services in SSA. South African Airways launched its own brand of low-cost carrier, Mango, which competes with Kulula in the South African market. Low-cost airline Fly Dubai, which flies to Sudan, Egypt, and Djibouti, has announced it will fly to Addis Ababa, providing service for the many expatriate Ethiopian workers in Dubai and enabling those living in Dubai to visit Ethiopia. Other airlines, including Kenya Airways’ arm Jambo Jet and EasyJet’s FastJet, also offer service to Ethiopia.

**Security**

Security is another concern. The International Air Transport Association (IATA) reports that the airline accident rate in Africa (1:471,000) is twice that in the rest of the world (Brogden 2009). The analysis of the African airline industry found that 16 national African airlines are subject to a partial or total ban on flying in Europe (and often North America) due to poor safety and security records (SH&E 2010). Yet there are also notable success stories regarding security. In Cape Verde, Sal Airport has achieved Category 1 classification by the U.S. government, which permits direct flights from the United States. Because one delayed plane can eliminate a tour operator’s profits, the excellent reputation of Cape Verde’s aviation authority has made the country an attractive destination for tour operators.

**Recommendations for Air Transport Improvement**

As noted in the preceding section on the air transport industry in SSA countries, the private sector has taken several initiatives to compensate for the overall deficiencies in the costs and routing constraints of internal and intraregional air travel. Aviation has been slower to liberalize than other sectors in SSA, such that the further liberalization of internal, intraregional, and international flights will improve the accessibility of the region for tour operations. The impact of airline liberalization can be significant. For example, when Garuda Indonesia airlines finally allowed foreign carriers to terminate flights in Bali, tourism grew sharply. Air access is a critical enabler for tourism and is crucial for island destinations.
The case studies on Cape Verde, Bali, Maldives, and Morocco clearly demonstrate the importance of liberalized airline policies. Cape Verde is a remarkable success story that clearly illustrates the need for and benefits of significant investment in aviation infrastructure. Policy reforms are important to increase flights and tourists but, without efficient airports, tourism cannot even get under way. In the last two decades, Cape Verde has invested in its airports with considerable success. Several have been modernized, runways have been improved, and passenger and freight terminals have been built. It now has four international airports, on Santiago, Sal, Boa Vista, and São Vicente. Direct flights are available to six major European cities and two cities in the United States. Cape Verde is served by TAP, the Portuguese airline, from Lisbon, and from Rome, Milan, and Bologna in Italy. Connections to the United Kingdom are via charters; TUifly and Thomson fly to Manchester and Birmingham. JetAirFly provides cheap flights to Cape Verde from Brussels. ArkeFly (the charter carrier of Dutch TUI) connects Cape Verde to the Netherlands. TAAG Angola Airlines connects Cape Verde with Angola and Brazil.

Connections to Paris and Madrid are offered by TACV (Cabo Verde Airlines), which was the first carrier to offer a direct flight to and from the United States (Boston Logan Airport) and to Brazil (Fortaleza). In 2010 the U.S. carrier Delta Airlines began twice weekly flights to Cape Verde from Atlanta, as a refueling stopover on the way to East and Southern Africa. Thus key to Cape Verde’s tourism success has been its policy reforms and important investments in air transport infrastructure, as well as high-level airline safety and open skies.

The gaps revealed in African air coverage relate mainly to intra-African connectivity, particularly strong in West and Central Africa. Key lessons can be learned from certain Indian, Latin American, and Southeast Asian and East Asian experiences. Policy options range from smaller focused efforts to a national commitment to support aviation and its related infrastructure. As noted by the SH&E consultants in their 2010 air transport study, in the short term, policy changes that address visa regimes and enhanced cooperation between governments in specific African regions, together with increased knowledge transfers and best practices, would strengthen the local airline industry. Although the consultants recommend airlines establish a transnational strategic partnership, which would be tasked with achieving overall gains for SSA aviation rather than for a single country or carrier, they recognize the political difficulties of such a venture. As an alternative, the consultants suggest such strategies as bilateral partnership agreements, pan-African code shares, and charters for essential service routes such as Lisbon to São Tomé and Príncipe. They also advocate establishing technical and financial cooperation agreements through various assistance programs and note that joint purchasing of equipment and services, for example, would benefit participating SSA airlines.

**Road Transport**

Internal air service is also important to compensate for the difficulties of road transport. In many destinations only seasonal access to some parts of the country is possible. Poor roads add to the cost of operating tours and increase operating costs because of vehicle and
mechanical damage and pollution (Spenceley 2010). Road quality and accessibility are a challenge for tour operators in The Gambia, Kenya, Senegal, and Zambia, among others.

Road Traffic Improvement

A survey conducted in 2007 in Kenya found traffic jams in Nairobi cost all drivers combined as much as US$746,000 a day through increased fuel consumption, mechanical damage, and pollution. Although improvements have been made, Nairobi’s traffic is still congested. By contrast, Namibia and South Africa are examples of destinations that have overcome this problem through consistent investment and infrastructure development. As a result, both destinations now attract large numbers of self-drive tourists (Twining-Ward 2010).

Tour Operators

The tour operation sector in SSA is thought to include some 2,500 to 3,000 ground operators providing direct employment for 35,000 to 40,000 people (Twining-Ward 2010).6 Thirty-one million tourists arrived in Sub-Saharan Africa in 2010; of these, about 16 percent (4.9 million) arranged their trip using a tour operator, suggesting that tour operators are responsible for between US$2 billion and US$3 billion in spending annually in SSA. Interviews with tour operators in the United Kingdom and the United States indicate that a higher proportion of travelers to SSA use tour operators than in other parts of the world because of the greater complexities of obtaining visas, booking accommodation and making tour arrangements.

Figure 4.3: Tourism Potential in SSA, 2009

Charter tours comprise 10-15 percent of the tour operation market and are predominantly used by Northern European visitors, middle-aged couples, and families. Visitors to SSA mostly favor custom tours, comprising an estimated 50-70 percent of the market. They are particularly popular with the U.S. market and with older, more experienced travelers. Group and overland tours appear to be popular with U.K. and French visitors and younger travelers. Group tours make up approximately 12-17 percent of the market.

Sources: Authors; World Bank 2011.
Tour operators were asked to identify the countries they thought had the greatest potential for tours over the next five years. The highest ranked countries were Botswana, Cape Verde, Namibia, South Africa and Tanzania. Destinations described as emerging were Benin, Ethiopia, Ghana, Madagascar, Malawi, Mali, Mozambique, Rwanda, Uganda, and Zambia.

Notes

1. Data from World Bank SSA Tourism Database, sourced from the most recent available UNWTO e-statistics.
3. Accor includes Sofitel, Novotel, Mercure, Ibis, Club Med, and Hotel Formule 1. Starwood includes Sheraton, Four Points, St. Regis, The Luxury Collection, and Le Méridien. InterContinental group includes InterContinental, Crowne Plaza, Holiday Inn, and Holiday Inn Express.
5. See Part 2 for these case studies.
6. Employment opportunities include jobs as drivers, guides, porters, mechanics, naturalists, reservation agents, accountants, and managers.
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TOURISTS AND TOURISM PRODUCTS IN SSA

Tourist Characteristics

Tourists to SSA can be divided into four main groups according to the purpose of their visit: leisure, business, visiting friends and relatives, or other purposes. Leisure tourists make up approximately 36 percent of the market. Business travelers comprise about 25 percent of international arrivals (Twining-Ward 2009). Data on tourists visiting friends and relatives is not collected by all countries but is likely to comprise about 20 percent of arrivals. The “other” category includes a number of important niches, such as sports tourism, visitors for medical treatment, and travelers to meetings or conventions.

Three main categories of leisure tourists can be defined.

• High-end tourists who book expensive once-in-a-lifetime trips to places such as Kenya, the Seychelles, South Africa, and Tanzania
• Niche tourists who arrange overland/cross-continent trips, and adventure, cultural heritage, diving, and bird watching tours
• Lower-end charter tourists who take holidays to beaches in The Gambia, Kenya, and Senegal.

According to the operators interviewed, SSA is bypassing a large portion of the middle-income market, despite the significant opportunities that exist to attract this income segment, because of the unfavorable perception of an SSA tour’s cost in relation to value.

Business tourism is another important and growing segment, one that is less seasonal than leisure tourism and more resilient to political change. Business tourists tend to stay longer and use high-end hotels and restaurants. Kenya, for example, has successfully
diversified its leisure tourism market by developing business tourism (see case study 11 in Part 2) and now ranks second behind South Africa for business meetings in a market worth US$24 million. The transport study consultants found that up to 55 percent of passengers on African airlines are traveling for business, compared to just 15 percent for tourism and 30 percent visiting family and friends (SH&E 2010).

**Figure 5.1: Typical Mix of Passengers on African Carriers**

Intraregional travel is also growing strongly. The UNWTO forecasts that 75 percent of all tourists to Africa will be intraregional African travelers by 2021 (UNWTO 2010). South Africa is the largest source market for intraregional travel. Short-haul travel patterns in SSA are closely related to trading partners, nearest neighbors, relative incomes, and ethnic similarities.

**Traditional Tourism Products**

Long-haul tourism thrives on new destinations, innovative products, and novel experiences. Recent market studies have found that “Generation Xers” (born between 1961 and 1980) are looking for unique experiences that lead to personal fulfillment. Gen-Xers are living longer than earlier generations, control 70-80 percent of the wealth, and value more active lifestyles that include long-haul travel (SNV 2009). SSA has a world-class inventory of tourism resources, including white and black sand beaches, coral reefs, mountains, deserts, wildlife, cultural heritage sites, and vibrant creative industry activities. Traditional opportunities are offered in safari, beach, business, and Diaspora tourism (figure 5.2).

**Safari Tourism**

The safari is the primary tourism product for East Africa and Southern Africa. The large diversity of destinations and the high value associated with “big five” game viewing give East and Southern Africa a competitive advantage over other areas of SSA and the rest of the world in the delivery of safari products.
Beach Tourism

Beaches are an important secondary product in East and West Africa and, to a lesser extent, in Southern Africa. However, visitor preferences are changing. With increased concern about exposure to the sun, fewer long-haul visitors wish to spend their holiday lying on the beach. Beach-focused destinations, such as the Seychelles, have had to work hard to diversify their tourism offerings.

Business Tourism

Business travel is a growth area for SSA. Unlike leisure travel, the flow of business travel depends on the dynamism of the economic activity in the destination. Business tourists on average tend to spend more daily than the other categories of tourists and are less seasonal than leisure tourists.

Diaspora Tourism

This market is predominantly comprised of middle-income, African American adults between the ages of 30 and 70, who wish to learn about their own cultural heritage by visiting the countries and regions of their ancestors. City tours, visits to historic sites, arts and
crafts shopping, and trips to slave trade memorials are components of Diaspora tourism. Once in the destination, travel is mostly by tour bus. In addition, intra-Africa Diaspora travel is also a growing market.

New and Emerging Products

Nature/Adventure Tourism

TUI Travel PLC, Adventure Brands quotes a 2009 U.K. government survey predicting that in the next few years adventure travel will increase by 70 percent, “activity” or “off-the-beaten-track” holidays will increase 100 percent, and adventure and eco-friendly discovery travel will increase 300 percent (TUI 2010). Africa’s varied terrain and remote locations make it an ideal location for many nature-based adventure sports. Dune-boarding in Namibia, nature tourism in Zambia (Hamilton and others 2007), camel expeditions in Mali, cultural heritage tours in Ethiopia, kayaking on the Zambezi River, and lemur tracking in Madagascar offer new and different adventure opportunities. Given the adventurous tendencies of Gen-Xers and Generation Y (born between 1981 and 2000), adventure travel is likely to increase with SSA countries catering to their demands.

Cultural Heritage Tourism

Cultural heritage tourism is one of the fastest-growing segments of the tourism industry worldwide. Forty percent of all international leisure tourism has a cultural component (Ebbe 2008). Given SSA’s rich traditions in music, art, and dance, cultural tourism presents a substantial opportunity for tourism growth. Already, Ghana has marketed itself as a heritage destination by making its slave trade monuments into tourist destinations; Mali and Senegal have promoted their music festivals; through its film festival, attended by people from all over the world, Burkina Faso has created a cultural product from a national passion.

Tourists are particularly attracted to UNESCO World Heritage Sites. UNESCO reports that Sub-Saharan Africa is severely underrepresented on the World Heritage list. Underrepresentation is not due to a lack of sites but to unexplored opportunities. A study conducted by the African World Heritage Fund in 2009 identified 160 undeveloped cultural heritage sites across the region. Of sites in SSA that have been incorporated into the World Heritage Listing, many are “in danger.” A press release in 2006 noted that, although SSA only had 8 percent of World Heritage sites (65 sites), the region had 43 percent of the World Heritage Sites listed as “in danger.” Five years later, 40 percent of “in danger” World Heritage Sites are still in SSA and, of these, five are in the Democratic Republic of the Congo. Developing cultural heritage opportunities in SSA will involve identifying
potential sites, establishing preservation plans, and ensuring that existing sites receive better management (Robinson and Picard 2006; World Bank 2001).

**Domestic Travel**

Growth in GDP per capita has led to the emergence of a new middle class of African consumers who have discretionary income to travel (McKinsey & Company 2010). Under the right conditions, the tourism sector can tap this wealth. Currently, domestic travel in South Africa is contributing to tourism growth; Kenya has already given priority to domestic travel and Ghana and Zimbabwe are starting to do the same.

**Intraregional Tourism**

Already more than 10 million people are traveling across national borders every year within SSA for business meetings and conferences, medical reasons, religious journeys, shopping, sports events, and visiting friends and relatives (Twining-Ward 2009). South Africa is the largest source market for intraregional leisure travel in SSA. Nigeria is a potential regional tourism powerhouse in West Africa and Kenya also shows potential as a large source market for intraregional travel.

**Wellness, Health, and Retirement**

Opportunities for wellness, health, and retirement tourism in SSA are growing. South African hospitals are a draw for intraregional visitors, and Mauritius and the Seychelles leverage wellness opportunities in their marketing campaigns. Cape Verde has attracted second-home buyers.
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Given its strong and varied assets for tourism and the encouraging economic progress in the region, SSA could be on the verge of a breakthrough in tourism development. The tourism sector in the majority of SSA countries is not performing up to its potential. Nevertheless, eight countries have highly successful tourism sectors and ten others could be successful in the foreseeable future; another 15 are waiting in the wings. This suggests that opportunities exist to grow the tourism sector, while constraints to growth are simultaneously addressed. Because the market failures that constrain tourism also constrain the growth of other segments of the economy and because tourism has backward linkages to sectors like agriculture, construction, and light manufacturing, not to mention that it can have significant pro-poor benefits, such a strategy could have a truly transformative effect on the macroeconomics of SSA countries. To be truly transformative, the determining factor will be the scale of investment in tourism.

A Typology of SSA Countries by Level of Tourism Development

To better understand which SSA destinations are the highest performers and why, the Africa Finance and Private Sector Development (AFTFP) department of the World Bank developed a typology of SSA destinations, ranking the 47 SSA countries by level of tourism development. The methodology, as described in the Introduction to this report, entailed an analysis of the tourism sector’s current situation and future prospects and of its macroeconomic setting, using five key indicators. This typology can be seen as a diagnostic
categorization, identifying the current status of all SSA countries, in contrast to the strategic action categories that group recommendations for tourism improvement. The typology produced four distinct groups of countries in SSA:

- Pre-emerging
- Potential
- Emerging
- Consolidating.

The results are shown in table 6.1.

The characteristics of the four groups are:

- **Pre-emerging**. These 14 countries have not yet developed their tourism sectors. The 14 SSA countries classified as having “pre-emergent” tourism sectors represent countries where market failure is almost complete. They have little governance or security, have shown low interest in tourism, and have poor short- to medium-term tourism growth prospects. This group also includes three countries with little or no tourism data: Equatorial Guinea, Liberia, and Somalia.

- **Potential**. These 15 countries initiating tourism have shown some interest in tourism but lack adequate governance of the sector. They have some basic infrastructure for tourism but still face market failures pertaining to regulation, resources, and institutions, which also affect the macro economy.

- **Emerging**. These 10 countries are scaling up tourism. They have solid institutions, are prioritizing tourism, and are performing reasonably well in terms of quality and competitiveness. The market failures that are evident, for example the high costs of access to the destination, of financing, and of hotel construction, together with continuing difficulties in land access, are mostly related to government failures, although the small scale of tourism contributes to the high access costs.

- **Consolidating**. Eight countries are working on deepening and sustaining success. They have relatively mature tourism sectors, are committed to tourism, and have the highest economic and tourism performance in SSA. The management quality and capability of the private sector is reflected in the accolades that selected hotels and operators receive.2

Countries at the lower levels of tourism performance should note that success in tourism is not dependent on income level. As table 6.1 shows, two low-income countries are among the highest tourism performers in SSA and nine are in the “Emerging/Scaling up” category.

Tourism could potentially create millions of jobs, among other economic benefits, if it is developed successfully. Yet, so far, just eight of SSA’s 47 nations have achieved significant tourism success and employ 4 percent or more of their workforce in tourism. Ten other countries could achieve that same success in the foreseeable future, with 15 others lined up behind. Standing in the way of successful and sustainable tourism development in SSA are
a number of persistent constraints, discussed below. Presented with them are examples of how countries facing the same challenges have resolved these issues; where there are no good examples, the issue is followed by a recommendation.

**Common Constraints to Tourism Growth in SSA Countries**

With varying degrees of seriousness, a number of constraints are common to most SSA countries, regardless of their level of tourism development. These issues are among the macroeconomic market failures that also entwine tourism in many countries outside SSA. Those that have already been discussed in previous chapters of this report will be treated summarily in this section.

As noted in Chapter 2, the tourism sector has multiple complexities because it is not self-contained and is dependent on a range of international and national actors. Negative criticisms are frequently launched against tourism; not all are justified and the extent of some is exaggerated. Nevertheless, the sector requires careful and expert management to address the risks it can pose. As an export industry, a tourism destination competes against every other destination worldwide on price. Therefore, SSA’s tourism sectors must maintain competitiveness through:

- The quality of tourism assets
- High standards in visitor accommodation
- Efficiency and safety in transport to, from, and within the country
- The adequacy of a variety of infrastructure components
- The receptiveness of local populations to tourists
- The skills of the range of officials and employees with which tourists come in contact
- The safety and security the destinations offer visitors.
As noted in Chapter 4, the limited and costly access to SSA destinations from major tourism supplier markets and the infrequent, irregular and inadequate transportation within countries have major implications for the competitiveness of SSA countries with other destinations worldwide. As noted in Chapter 1, tourism is highly dependent on a range of infrastructure facilities. These are often lacking or inadequate in many SSA countries yet the absence of any one of the infrastructure components, for example, potable water, can seriously hamper tourism development or cause heavy capital and operating costs for the tourism product’s private sector managers.

Several entities are primarily responsible for the success of the sector, as discussed in Chapter 2. The government is an essential supporter that must throw its political support behind the sector, initiate the formulation of a strategy for it, and play the crucial coordinating role between the different public sector agencies involved and the relevant private profit and nonprofit entities, and local communities. The government must also address market failures that affect the tourism sector and create an enabling environment for private investment. Above all, it must provide political stability. On the other hand, without the private sector’s investment in accommodation, attractions, and tourism services and facilities, and without its knowledge transfer, no tourism sector could exist. In addition, local communities must be receptive to and benefit from the tourists who step into their communities. External donors can provide the critical capital and technical assistance needed to support the sector and help raise it from one development level to the next. Without any one of these active participants, the sector cannot grow to its full potential or, if in the early stages of tourism development, even begin to create tourism packages for visitors. The previous chapters have provided examples of how these major players have successfully participated in the development of tourism in a range of countries.

IHS Global Insights assigns a risk rating to every country based on a set of criteria for each of the following six categories: political, economic, legal, tax, operational, security. SSA countries were found to have a higher average risk than other countries (Ernst and Young 2010). Low levels of demand, weak domestic multipliers, and problematic business environments make it more expensive to operate tourism businesses in SSA than in other regions of the world. In addition to the constraints listed above, other barriers to the tourism trade in SSA exist. These barriers are discussed and followed by examples of how some countries have overcome them.

The Availability of Land for Tourism

Ensuring that public and private land is available for tourism development is essential but often involves conflicting political, socioeconomic, technical, legal, and institutional vested interests. The existence of common land rights and “common pool resources” complicates the issue (Hardin 1968). Serious opposition can come from local people with traditional rights to the land but no legal title. The questions of who owns land, who owns the resources on it, and how rights are transferred are central to business and tourism development. The land has to be available on a long-term basis, either through ownership or lease, and clear of legal and other claims.
A tourism master plan, generally undertaken by a foreign consulting firm and often funded by a donor, may be the most efficient method to identify prime tourism land for development. The results are more likely to be perceived as stemming from an objective and technical analysis than if they were the product of a government agency. Successful master plans identify high-value areas for tourism development, as well as areas that could be developed at successive stages in the future, and will zone other areas for alternative uses. Such a plan identifies areas that require environmental impact assessments (EIAs) and evaluates the country’s environmental management capacity.

The master plan assesses the quality of tourism assets and recommends how they might be improved. It should also analyze local construction costs, the availability of materials and of technical know-how, and the likely price levels at which accommodation could be constructed. It should evaluate the destination’s competitiveness versus similar destinations; and include a market survey of potential tourism supplier markets. The consultants typically suggest an appropriate institutional framework for the plan’s implementation and the country’s technical assistance requirements, and should suggest sources of financing, including potential donors. During the production of the master plan, with the assistance of the government, the consultants should convene stakeholders two or three times during the process to deliver their findings and encourage inputs. The objective of this participatory process is to give as many stakeholders as possible a sense of ownership of the eventual plan.

Frequently land will have to be purchased by the government or a statutory body for tourism development. In many countries, the developers are not allowed to purchase the land outright, in which case long-term leases of up to 99 years are the norm. More favorable conditions for developers will apply when countries are at the lower stages of tourism development. The government’s incentives for tourism development may range from investing in the basic infrastructure for a large development to merely providing external access roads and utilities to the outskirts of the project. In cases where the government has created the right conditions for development, it may simply invite bids from the private sector for the whole or specific aspects of the project.

Since nationwide, comprehensive land reform is, at best, a long-term endeavor for most developing countries, governments have often relied on shorter-term practical solutions to facilitate access to secured land for investors. These include, as discussed below, land agencies created to establish an enabling environment for those interested in land investment. In many countries, the state also has the right to expropriation or eminent domain. These rights can be used to relocate residents from tourist areas but are generally only exercised in extreme situations as they can create severe social and community impacts and are not compatible with sustainable tourism. Similarly, customary or religious land rights may not be codified but must be treated with respect and adequate compensation paid for any change in ownership, so that no stakeholders feel alienated from the tourism development.

**Examples of How Other Countries Resolved Land Issues**

No one land policy is right for every destination. Every situation is different and depends on land ownership laws and regulations. Three of the case studies in Part 2 provide
examples of how Egypt, Namibia, and South Africa resolved issues related to land availability for tourism. A summary of the Egyptian case and information about different approaches by other countries follows.

**Land access.** Access to land was the primary constraint to expansion of the tourism industry near the Red Sea and on the Sinai Peninsula in Egypt. During the 1990s, the evolution of Egypt’s institutional and regulatory framework for tourism gradually overcame opposition from the petroleum industry, the military, and the Ministries of the Interior, Agriculture, and Environment (Egyptian Environmental Affairs Agency). When the Ministry of Tourism and the Tourism Development Authority were finally able to establish sites for tourism development, private investment surged; the Red Sea hotels now include the Four Seasons, Hyatt Regency, Marriott, Le Méridien, and Ritz Carlton.

**Land agencies.** Uganda has a land information service. Some governments, such as Madagascar and Mozambique, prepare special tourism investment zones. Land banks, such as Tunisia’s Real Estate Development Agency (Agence Foncière Touristique), hold land for development. Urban development corporations have also developed land for tourism, as was the case in the early days of Jamaican tourism. These corporations generally are experienced in other sectors and apply the increased value of the developed land to finance the utilities for the project (China and India).

**Development zones.** As part of a plan to facilitate further foreign investment, the government of Cape Verde bought large tracts of uninhabited land on Sal and Boa Vista islands to create two types of dedicated tourism development areas: Integrated Tourism Development Areas (ZDTIs), which have special tourist value, and Zones for Conservation and Protection for Tourism (ZRPTs), areas of high biodiversity and tourism value. Establishing these zones allowed the government to earmark prime coastal sites for tourism development and environmental protection. The process was successful in attracting investors but disenfranchised the local landowners causing considerable discontent.

**Leasing.** One hundred of the Maldives’ many islands have been leased for tourism. The leasing model consists of auctioning uninhabited islands in a bidding process, with criteria published in advance and evaluated by independent and disinterested persons. Not only is the bidding process transparent and public but it also gives significant weight to such environmental considerations as the setback from the high water mark, height limits for buildings, and protection measures to prevent beach and coastal erosion. As an incentive for local participation, a consortium that includes local investors can secure longer leases than can be made available to foreign investors (Maldives Investment Climate Assessment 2005).

### Access to Finance

Access to finance can be a critical constraint to tourism growth. In many SSA countries, commercial banks are not equipped to fund large tourism projects or may elect not to do so because tourism projects tie up funds for long periods and are less attractive than short-term business loans. Smaller types of tourism establishments, such as restaurants or gift shops, may face similar challenges in accessing finance. Small businesses such as lodges that expand incrementally require heavy up-front funding and only reach stable cash flows after
a few years of operation. Inappropriate funding can imperil the financial stability of these ventures but, at the same time, local financial institutions tend to avoid such high-risk ventures. Resolving the issue of finance for hotels and tourism facilities, as well as for microfinance for small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), is vital for tourism.

**Examples of How Other Countries Resolved Access to Finance Issues**

In an effort to address this constraint, a number of countries have developed specialized hotel financing institutions that operate like mortgage lenders while others have relied on nonbank financial institutions, such as insurance companies or pension funds. Tax incremental financing, which involves using real estate taxes on future increases in real estate value, may also be used by urban development corporations to fund expansion (for examples see Peterson 2006).

In the case of the Dominican Republic (number 4 in Part 2), access to finance was a considerable constraint. The government had to mobilize separate funds from the World Bank and the Inter-American Development Bank. These funds were borrowed as lines of credit to the Central Bank and then channeled through commercial banks and blended with the commercial banks’ own funds to ensure their financial involvement in the subprojects.

**Taxes on Tourism Investments**

Many private investors in tourism complain that the government taxes them beyond their capacity to pay. When the tourism sector appears to be profitable and yet continues to require government expenditures on infrastructure, training, and promotion, for example, governments are often tempted to look for more tax revenues from entities in the tourism sector. As an example, SMEs in Zanzibar are unable to achieve economies of scale due to high taxes and complex tax structures that favor larger hotels. Taxes and levies constitute 12.8 percent of the total value chain for Meetings, Incentives, Conferences, Exhibitions (MICE) tourism in Nairobi, Kenya and are undermining private sector reinvestment in the tourism sector (World Bank 2010c).

**Examples of How Other Countries Handled the Imposition of New Hotel Taxes**

Industry concern about taxation of its activities is so great that the WTTC, as part of its “New Millennium Vision,” included taxation in its program to eliminate barriers to growth. The WTTC stated that travel and tourism “generates more tax revenue than any other industry” and, consequently, “WTTC has developed principles, tools, and a research capability to work with governments to evaluate intelligent taxation approaches” (WTTC n.d.). Similarly, the UNWTO Business Council sponsored a worldwide study on the
effects of taxation on the tourism industry, which is published as *Tourism Taxation: Striking a Fair Deal* (UNWTO 1998). The theme of the study is that taxes can either stimulate or stifle tourism growth. Clearly, a balance needs to be struck between the need for survival and profitability of private sector investments and for revenues for central and local governments to provide the sector’s social and physical infrastructure requirements and to preserve tourist assets.

Tax issues should be discussed in the coordinating mechanism that the government establishes with the private profit and nonprofit sector. Governments should also discuss any proposed tax increases on tourism entities in this forum before introducing them. When tourism operations are sufficiently profitable to pay such taxes, they are warranted. When they are not, they can be waived temporarily. There have been cases in the Caribbean where the hotel association has paid for independent auditors to audit the books of affected hotels and present a report to government proving that groups of hotels are not profitable enough to pay new taxes. This example also demonstrates the value to tourism entities of having their own associations that can speak on behalf of a subsector in one voice to government.

**Low Level of Tourism Skills**

SSA has a large pool of young labor and more than 10 million new job seekers every year (World Bank 2010b), but the average educational attainment is low and tourism employment mostly requires mid-level service sector skills. Having an educated, skilled labor force is at the core of tourism innovation and competitiveness (Zeng 2008). The SSA tour operator study identified service standards as a critical constraint to operations, particularly in high-cost destinations, such as Tanzania. The chief weaknesses are in business skills, understanding visitor needs and expectations, customer service, and online communications. Hotel companies noted that low education levels, lack of prior experience, cultural differences, and poor health meant employees were less productive in many SSA countries. Another factor in SSA is that an employee’s first language may not be English, French, Portuguese, or Spanish but usually a tribal language.

Tourism ministries in SSA frequently lack the know-how and leadership to implement effective training plans. In addition, disconnects often exist between tourism school curricula and the skills needed by tourism businesses. As a result, the level of service, even in developed SSA destinations, is often inadequate. As shown in table 6.2, training is needed in all areas and at all levels, from vocational to managerial. This is true for all tourism subsectors, including airlines, where the study shows that there is a lack of skilled professionals in the area of network planning, revenue management, maintenance, safety, aerospace purchasing, and quality control. The hotel study found two to three foreign workers are usually employed at each full-service hotel to achieve international standards of operation; more are needed for luxury hotels (Ernst and Young 2010). The informal sector can also benefit from training, such as for handicrafts, which can boost the incomes of local communities—particularly when hotel managers allow them to sell their crafts within the hotel grounds.
TABLE 6.2 TYPES OF SKILLS AND TRAINING NEEDED IN SSA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types course</th>
<th>Skills needed</th>
<th>Type of training</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vocational skills</td>
<td>Training for entry-level positions in the tourism industry. Skills needed include front desk management, reception, maintenance, housekeeping, food service, food preparation, and bartending.</td>
<td>Train-the-trainer courses that train people to become “vocational skills consultants;” Apprenticeship programs and day release</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public sector skills</td>
<td>Training for employees who work for public entities, parastatal entities, or nongovernmental organizations related to tourism, including wildlife reserves and national parks. Skills needed include destination planning and destination management.</td>
<td>Workshops and seminars that include monitoring and evaluation and are repeated on a regular basis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SME management skills</td>
<td>Training for owners and managers of private sector tourism enterprises that are small in scale. Skills needed include financial management, operations management, human resources management, sales and marketing management.</td>
<td>A series of courses that are short in nature and broad in scope, and are designed for owners and managers with a high school education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management or of executive skills</td>
<td>Training for upper- and middle-management employees in large corporations or medium-sized enterprises in hospitality and transport. Skills needed include hotel management, food and beverage management, hotel financial management, and human resources management.</td>
<td>In-house management training programs to develop a “culture of tourism”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Adapted from Spenceley and Rozga 2007.

Some tourism training institutes tend to focus on hotel management, when the critical skills gap is often at the operational level. The challenge for training schools is to ensure that tourism education is up to date, of a high standard, and in line with sector needs. Keeping up with the level of demand for tourism education is a challenge for some SSA countries. In Ethiopia, for example, only a fraction of students per year can be accommodated in the Catering and Tourism Training Institute despite more than 300 applicants (Spenceley and Rozga 2007). By contrast, in The Gambia, more than 800 students are enrolled in tourism or hospitality courses throughout the private and public sectors. However, a study suggests that the majority of graduates in The Gambia are not sufficiently well trained or prepared to work in hotels (Novelli and Burns 2009).

Examples of Successful Training Programs in SSA Countries

Well-trained and motivated SSA hotel employees perform well. The hotel study found that in SSA, for example, housekeepers clean on average 14 rooms in an eight-hour shift. This is not significantly different from European housekeepers who clean 18 to 22 rooms in eight hours (Ernst and Young 2010).

The Institute of Travel and Tourism of The Gambia (ITTOG) offers professional courses in all sectors of the tourism industry, with special emphasis on travel agencies, accommodation, tour operations, tour guiding, group operations, event management, and general tourism business management. Close links with the University of Amsterdam in the Netherlands and South Nottingham College in the United Kingdom have resulted in course improvements and visiting lecturers. The ITTOG is also supported by the Center for Responsible Tourism in the United Kingdom and, with its help, the ITTOG is able to promote the teaching of responsible tourism as a core activity. The ITTOG also hosts professional and college groups from developed countries who study issues related to
pro-poor and responsible tourism, using The Gambia as a case study. The two-way partnership is mutually beneficial.

Training is a public and private sector responsibility. Many hotel managers accept employees trained in formal hotel or tourism training programs but consider their training complete only when they have gone through the hotel’s own training program. Many hotels train their own staff without their having any formal training at all. Examples of private sector tourism training programs in SSA include Accor Academy and Sun International’s training center for its staff in South Africa. Accor Academy trains 135,000 students a year using video game modules. The innovative, job-specific content of their training has been found to enhance employee loyalty and pride. The Sun International program, summarized in table 6.3, supports continuous development from tertiary education through to job-specific training, foundation training for managers, elective training, and targeted development for promising potential employees.

### Labor Policy

Having proper labor policies in place from the start helps to protect workers and guest laborers from exploitation. Having too much labor protection can, however, stifle job creation. In Namibia, following independence, many new labor laws were enacted to

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 6.3 SUN INTERNATIONAL FORMAL AND INFORMAL LEARNING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>FORMAL LEARNING</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Learning Event</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Workshops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Scheduled course</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Conferences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Seminars</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>E-Learning and Distance Learning</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Virtual classrooms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• CD-Rom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Web courses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Structured web learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Workbooks and guides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Web Conferencing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Structured Work Experience</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Job rotations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Secondments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Job shadowing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Project management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Certification and Qualifications</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• SI certification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Professional certification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Academic qualifications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Structured Coaching and Team Effectiveness</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Structured mentoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Structured coaching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Team effectiveness activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• External coaching</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **INFORMAL LEARNING**                                    |
| **On-the-job and Experience Learning**                  |
| • Work within role                                      |
| • Exposure to other departments and roles               |
| • Special assignments, projects, etc                    |
| • Action learning                                       |
| **Knowledge Management**                                |
| • Best Practices                                        |
| • Reference and reading material                        |
| • Information repositories                              |
| • Access to internal experts                            |
| • Internal standards and documented processes           |

| **MENTORING AND COMMUNITIES OF PRACTICE**                |
| • Mentors, peers                                        |
| • Informal professional networks                        |
| • Common interest groups                                 |
| • Lunch talks                                           |

| **EXTERNAL EXPERIENCE**                                 |
| • Relationships with outside professionals              |
| • Speaking engagements                                  |
| • Professional memberships                             |
| • Executive directorships                              |

*Source: SI 2011.*
protect disadvantaged Namibians from exploitation. According to private sector interviewees, current and new employment regulations in Namibia make it harder, not easier, for businesses to employ more workers. Although the tourism sector has been successful in getting special treatment concerning work hours and vacation days in the past, dispute resolution is time-consuming and costly. The new Namibian Labor Act is likely to slow hiring and increase its cost. It will hinder companies’ ability to select suitable employees and will make it harder for companies to fire incompetent workers. In a fast-paced tourism industry, these measures will be a severe hindrance to Namibia’s ability to be internationally competitive.

**Comparisons of Tourism Jobs with Those in Other Sectors**

Not all jobs in tourism are good, although most tourism jobs compare favorably to work in sugar fields, mines, logging and some manufacturing, among other labor-intensive employment. Tourism activities normally provide greater opportunities for the employment of women than other sectors.

**Lack of Security and Safety, and High Crime**

Eighteen of the 47 SSA countries are classified as “Fragile States” on the FY11 rating list of the World Bank’s Country Policy and Institutional Assessment (CPIA). These states are diverse and include countries that face severe development challenges, such as conflict, recovery from conflict, political instability, weak institutional capacity, and/or poor governance, as well as some island economies. Their common characteristics include the inability to mobilize domestic resources, dependence on external resources, poor levels of human development, low population density, weak infrastructure, exports concentrated in a few products, and the high risk of conflict. Tourism development in fragile and post-conflict countries involves a unique set of challenges.

In the list of SSA countries in table 6.1, 12 of SSA’s fragile states are in the “pre-emerging” category, five (Angola, Burundi, Côte d’Ivoire, São Tomé and Príncipe, and Sierra Leone) are in the “Potential/Initiating” category, and Zimbabwe is in the “Emerging/Scaling up” category. Two states that are not classified as “Fragile”, Equatorial Guinea and Niger, are classified as “Pre-emerging”. When successful, as in The Gambia, tourism can be a tool for peace building, poverty alleviation, and infrastructure investment, as it improves the country’s image as an investment destination, among other benefits.

News of security concerns can result in immediate cessation of tourism activities in a country. Tour operators in the SSA tourism research survey noted reduced tours in Kenya, Madagascar, and Zimbabwe when internal troubles arose. High crime rates have the same effect on visitors as internal conflict. Particularly in the early days of tourism in the Caribbean, hotels were gated and placed behind high walls, keeping tourists inside. Many luxury hotels in SSA follow the same practice. Today, however, numerous tourists visit a country to experience its culture and different facets, such that they are moving away from the hotel and mix with local populations.
Examples of Countries that Have Overcome Tourism Losses Caused by Internal Conflict and Crime

Kenya is an example of a country that successfully combated negative images associated with its elections by significant spending on promotion and on stabilization measures. In Mali, insecurity in the north of the country has severely reduced tourist visitation and now the south of Mali has begun a strong marketing campaign to disassociate itself from the north’s troubles. Caribbean countries undertook intensive public education campaigns to instill in the local people a realization of the benefits that tourism brings to the islands and their role in maintaining a flow of tourists. As discussed in Chapter 2, hotel managers throughout the world have realized the importance of extending tourism benefits to the surrounding communities, from which they mostly draw their staff, in successful attempts to encourage local people think of tourists as the source of their improved way of life.

Public Health

The public health situation in a destination is critical to a tourist’s welfare. Many travelers will be put off from undertaking a journey when, prior to leaving home, they must endure injections and then remember to take preventive medicine regularly during travel. Several SSA countries have malaria in at least some regions of the country. Other more serious diseases are prevalent or outbreaks occur periodically in a few countries. High-class medical care may be available in the capital and other big cities but not in less travelled regions. Clearly, improvements in public health are of primary value to the local population but, without them, the numbers of foreign visitors will not expand and they will be less willing to explore more remote parts of the destination. As a first, essential step, the tourist sector can train its staff to meet high hygiene standards in accommodation and food establishments, and educate them about the benefits of improved hygiene. Tourism facilities can also encourage their staff to instruct their families and persuade members of the local community to introduce higher hygiene standards in their homes. The tourism sector can have a positive ripple effect in improving the basic health of its employees and their associates.

Visa Requirements

Streamlined visa and work permit processing is a crucial enabler for tourism. The World Bank’s Africa Region Tourism Database project researched the visa requirements for 47 countries in SSA. A clear correlation was found between ease of visa processing and tourism performance. Countries with more mature tourism sectors had removed visa requirements for their key source markets. In contrast, countries with complex visa requirements had less developed tourism sectors. Visas can be a particular constraint for small countries. If the visa is too expensive or too difficult to obtain, tour operators may opt to not include the country as part of a regional tour.
When visas are expensive and require sending passports for processing prior to travel, visitors are deterred. Independent travelers who may not have the time or access to an embassy or consulate to have their passports processed will be most adversely affected. Greater numbers of passengers can have a multiplier effect that compensates for loss of visa revenues. Moreover, as foreigners spend across the economy, the effect is much broader than a fee that simply makes a small contribution to the overall national budget.

Examples of Countries that Have Expedited the Visa Process

The aviation study discusses U.S./Republic of Korea travel to show how visa waiver programs can dramatically increase inbound arrivals (SH&E 2010). Following civil unrest in 2008, Kenya dropped its visa fees and increased its marketing budget. The result was good recovery in tourist arrival numbers. Madagascar implemented a similar policy in an effort to increase arrivals following political unrest and announced that tourists staying for less than 30 days can receive a free visa at the airport upon arrival. Mozambique’s recent tourism boom is also credited to changes in visa policies that allow visitors from the Southern African Development Community (SADC) countries to enter without a visa. As figure 6.1 shows, most visa-free countries are in Southern Africa, but visas can be purchased on arrival at the airport in most countries in East Africa.

Red Tape and Bureaucracy

Planning for and regulating tourism development is crucial to sustainability, but too much regulation and unpredictable behavior by government and others inhibits growth and ultimately makes tourism less sustainable. In Namibia, for example, more than 50 permits and certificates are required for lodging owners to register or extend the registration of their accommodation establishment (HAN 2010). This is expensive, time-consuming, and inhibits business growth.

Research across 2,000 businesses in South Africa by the Strategic Business Partnerships for Growth found that the costs of complying with regulations are up to three times higher.
### Table 6.4 Performance of SSA Tourism Sectors, 2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Total tourism receipts (US$, million) (UNWTO)</th>
<th>Direct contribution of tourism to GDP in 2011 (%) (WTTC 2013)</th>
<th>Direct travel and tourism employment in 2011 (WTTC 2013)</th>
<th>Direct travel and tourism employment in 2021 (WTTC 2013)</th>
<th>Year of receipt, and report data (most recent available)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Group 1: CONSOLIDATING</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KENYA</td>
<td>752</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>247,300</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TANZANIA</td>
<td>1,260</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>432,100</td>
<td>2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAPE VERDE</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>27,800</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHANA</td>
<td>919</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>114,400</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOTSWANA</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>28,500</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAURITIUS</td>
<td>1,454</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>69,000</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAMIBIA</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>20,900</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOUTH AFRICA</td>
<td>7,638</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>569,800</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal Consolatining</strong></td>
<td>13,270</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,509,800</td>
<td>1,856,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Group 2: EMERGING</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BURKINA FASO</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>57,900</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAMBIA, THE</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>44,100</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MALAWI</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>128,300</td>
<td>2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOZAMBIQUE</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>240,100</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RWANDA</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>54,200</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SENEGAL</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>125,200</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UGANDA</td>
<td>667</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>202,100</td>
<td>2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAMBIA</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>25,300</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIMBABWE</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>38,300</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEYCHELLES</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>11,000</td>
<td>2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal Emerging</strong></td>
<td>2,199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>926,500</td>
<td>1,145,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Group 3: POTENTIAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BENIN</td>
<td>209.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>41,200</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BURUNDI</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>36,000</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETHIOPIA</td>
<td>377.0</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1,080,100</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MADAGASCAR</td>
<td>308.0</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>193,700</td>
<td>2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MALI</td>
<td>221.0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>79,400</td>
<td>2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAURITANIA</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SÃO TOMÉ AND PRÍNCIPE</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2,700</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIERRA LEONE</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>33,400</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANGOLA</td>
<td>285.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>68,200</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAMEROON</td>
<td>177.0</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>95,300</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Subtotal Potential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CÔTE D’IVOIRE</td>
<td>118.2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>106,200</td>
<td>129,100</td>
<td>2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LESOTHO</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>19,100</td>
<td>26,500</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIGERIA</td>
<td>221.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>765,200</td>
<td>1,163,100</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWAZILAND</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>5,800</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GABON</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>4,600</td>
<td>9,200</td>
<td>2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal Potential</td>
<td>2,077.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,530,900</td>
<td>3,133,600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Group 4: PRE-EMERGING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CENTRAL AFRICAN REP.</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>16,800</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAD</td>
<td>63.6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>24,600</td>
<td>31,100</td>
<td>2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMOROS</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>4,900</td>
<td>6,600</td>
<td>2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONGO, DEM. REP.</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>109,600</td>
<td>131,400</td>
<td>2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eritrea</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GUINEA</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>35,400</td>
<td>40,800</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GUINEA-BISSAU</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIBERIA</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIGER</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>14,300</td>
<td>18,800</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOMALIA</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOGO</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>23,200</td>
<td>24,500</td>
<td>2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONGO, REP. OF</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>11,500</td>
<td>16,100</td>
<td>2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQUATORIAL GUINEA</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUDAN</td>
<td>331.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>121,500</td>
<td>179,100</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal Pre-Emerging</td>
<td>611.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>360,000</td>
<td>465,200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand TOTAL</td>
<td>17,526.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5,327,200</td>
<td>6,601,400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: World Bank 2012; UNWTO e-statistics; WTTC employment and forecasts.

Note: .. = not available. Indicators used to determine destination groups were: international arrivals relative to population (World Bank SSA Tourism Database); tourist receipts per long-haul tourist arrival (UNWTO data); forecasted growth in tourist arrivals 2010 to 2020 (WTTC data); Doing Business Rank for SSA (the World Bank’s Doing Business 2010 report); Tourism Competitiveness Index for tourism regulation, infrastructure, and tourism resources (World Economic Forum, TCI index).
in the tourism industry than for other businesses. The survey established that tourism firms of all sizes faced higher regulatory costs than firms in general, tax compliance was more burdensome, and municipal regulations were a far greater problem in this sector than for others. The reason for the higher costs was the considerable number of government departments and statutory bodies responsible for different aspects of industry regulation. A strong case for simplification and streamlining exists in this area.

Bribes and corruption also make doing business expensive and problematic in SSA. In the Democratic Republic of Congo, spontaneous “fees” can increase aircraft landing rights from US$1,000 to more than US$12,000 for some flights (SH&E 2010). The Central African Republic has a similar revenue generating system: it charges airlines a “development fee” that doubles the landing cost (SH&E 2010). In Kenya, 75 percent of businesses report having to make “informal payments” to get things done; an estimated 12 percent of all payments are “informal” (SBP 2006).

**Recommendation**

The two countries charging spontaneous fees, the Central African Republic and the Democratic Republic of Congo, are classified as “Fragile States” and in this report’s classification are “Pre-emerging” tourism destinations. Obviously, the correction of these irregularities might not be the first, and certainly would not be the only, recommendation made to their governments in the context of encouraging tourism. Kenya, Namibia, and South Africa, however, are among SSA’s 10 best performing tourism destinations. Issues of excessive and duplicative regulation and “informal payments” should be eliminated to help these tourism sectors to become more profitable and more efficient. If they are not addressed, there could be long-term negative consequences for the sector because of the effect on prices and the deterrent to private investment.

**Notes**

1. Indicators used to determine destination groups were international arrivals relative to population (World Bank SSA Tourism data); tourist receipts per long-haul tourist arrival (UNWTO data); forecasted growth in tourist arrivals 2010 to 2020 (WTTC data); Doing Business rank for SSA (World Bank and IMF Doing Business 2010); Tourism Competitiveness Index for tourism regulation, infrastructure, and tourism resources (World Economic Forum 2009).
2. The Leading Hotels of the World, for example, lists a number of vanguard hotels in SSA: 12 in South Africa, 4 in Mauritius, and 1 each in Botswana, Kenya, the Seychelles, Tanzania, Uganda, and Zimbabwe.
4. Examples include the UN World Tourism Organization and the EU, multinational donors such as the World Bank and the African Development Bank, and bilateral donors such as USAID, DFID (UK), and SNV (Netherlands).
5. Case studies numbers 7 on Egypt, 18 on Namibia, and 19 on South Africa.
6. The WTTC New Millennium Vision is available at http://fama2.us.es:8080/turismo/turismonet/turismo%20zonal/lejano%20oriente/ASIA%20PACIFIC%20TRAVEL%20AND%20TOURISM%20IN%20THE%20NEW%20MILLENIUM.PDF.
9. The Bank’s definition of Fragile States is based on the CPIA rating.
10. Australia pioneered the instant e-visa system several years ago. Travelers’ data was input by the airline at check-in such that by the time they arrived in Australia, the visa was ready.
11. Kenya’s arrivals dropped by 32 percent in 2008 and then bounced back up by 24 percent following the recovery policies in 2009.
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Governments contemplating initiating tourism or moving tourism from one development stage to the next need to make strategic decisions from the outset about three main issues:

- The scale of tourism development
- The sustainability of the sector
- The financing of tourism and economic rents.

These decisions should be made in consultation with all the stakeholders in tourism at the national and regional levels, even when tourism expansion is foreseen for a particular region of the country. The interdependence of the sector’s several branches requires that when a major change is contemplated, there be a countrywide assessment of its likely impacts on the resources and services available to the rest of the sector.

**The Scale of Tourism Development**

Before embarking on a program to initiate or scale-up tourism, governments must decide on the scale of the development. One of the most significant planning decisions is size: whether to build large resorts, boutique hotels, mid-sized projects, ecolodges, or some combination. The scale of the development will determine the extent of the transformation that the investment can achieve but, as noted below, if the development exceeds the absorptive capacity of the assets and resources available to manage the sector, negative consequences will ensue. Related issues are the income market that the destination should target. If several parts of the country are suitable for tourism development, planners will have to decide whether they wish to consolidate their efforts in one location or disperse...
tourism developments to several regions. Starting with an anchor project in one location was the option chosen by countries that are now very large, successful destinations, such as the Dominican Republic (see case study 4 in Part 2) and Mexico (case study 15). A government—or a public-private tourism authority or statutory body—will also have to decide whether tourism development should be treated as an immediate once-and-for-all activity or as a continuing program with investments scheduled over a series of five- to ten-year periods.

Political and equity considerations will shape decisions, as well as the quality and value of the national tourism assets. In general, foreign corporations own large-scale projects, depending on the amount of investment capital for tourism that is available in the destination; smaller resorts normally reflect area traditions; and medium-sized projects tend to capture mid-market consumers. Ideally, the planners will be able to diversify the tourism product by enhancing and promoting a combination of tourism assets: resort, mountain, national parks, wildlife, cultural, adventure, health and wellness, festivals, and MICE, as well as sports, such as fishing, sailing, and golf, among others. As already discussed, master plans conducted by independent consultants can be very helpful in providing guidance on the scale of tourism development and in suggesting planning techniques to manage the development sustainably. Some considerations about the scale of tourism follow.

**Critical Mass**

A certain quantity of accommodation is needed to create the critical mass to convince airlines to establish routes and tour operators to promote the destination. The first investors will need either incentives, such as infrastructure investment from government, or special concessions to risk their capital without this critical mass. A “first mover” may face the same risks when a country that has one or more established resort areas decides to expand its tourism potential by opening a new tourism area within the same country. Jamaica successfully developed a new resort area in Negril on the west coast. It is currently opening another in the southwest to take the pressure off Montego Bay and Ocho Rios and to diversify the tourism product and bring employment to the new areas.

**Large-scale Projects**

A review of the case studies in Part 2 makes clear that large projects have delivered substantial jobs and tax and foreign exchange revenues. They have also contributed to a positive national investment climate in tourism and other sectors. Projects like Aqaba in Jordan (case study number 10), Cancún in Mexico (number 15) and Dubai (number 6) are subject to criticism for being too big and too impersonal, but they created the critical mass that forged a brand image and created a profitable flow of tourists. Their large scale also made it easier to lower costs, generate economic returns, and address national weaknesses in training, promotion, and social inclusion. Mexico initiated two large-scale resort developments (Cancún and Zihuatanejo) almost simultaneously on the Caribbean and Pacific
coasts. In Cancún, developers opted for concentrated growth on a deserted island, originally as a hub for the Caribbean coast (the Mayan Riviera); today the intense development extends 100 kilometers south. Alternatively, in Zihuatanejo they opted for much more decentralized growth on the Pacific Coast. Each has been successful in its own way and attracts different market segments.

The World Bank has implemented more than 300 tourism projects in 86 countries since the 1960s (Hawkins and Mann 2007) and the number of projects continues to grow. World Bank interventions financed the takeoff of tourism in the Dominican Republic (World Bank 1974 and 1979; National Statistics Office 2010), Indonesia (Bali), Morocco, Tunisia, and Turkey. The intervening 20 years have shown that planned resorts (a) have economies of scale in construction, (b) provide the infrastructure to stimulate investment, (c) become magnets for tourism development, and (d) are models that can be replicated in other countries. On this last point, Puerto Plata (case study 4 in Part 2), initially a public investment program in the Dominican Republic, spawned several privately sponsored projects, such as Punta Cana. Notably, Playa Dorada in Puerto Plata was itself subsequently privatized.

**Small-scale Projects**

Smaller-scale projects can range from ecolodges in sensitive ecological areas to boutique hotels in resort areas, cities, or near a natural asset. As a result of their scale, they can be designed to be more compatible with the environment and the social fabric of a community than a large-scale resort. Individuals with a passion and vision often drive these smaller projects. They typically take a longer period of time to break even without the critical mass of rooms but, depending on the market they cater to, may have a deeper and more effective local value chain.

Nihiwatu Resort on Sumba Island in Indonesia, for example, took ten years to assemble its land package, but the community payback is now significant (see case study 9). Costa Rica has created certification programs for ecotourism lodges to guarantee their sustainability but is now building larger hotels on beach sites reserved for larger operations. Smaller projects may be financially successful over time and often have valuable community outreach programs, but they are difficult to scale up to generate significant economic returns for the country.

For tourism to benefit a community significantly, individual projects must adopt specific measures to incorporate the interests of local communities into the project’s design and implementation. The UNWTO’s Sustainable Tourism–Eliminating Poverty (ST-EP) initiative identifies seven key ways that tourism can help fight poverty and improve social and economic inclusion in developing countries:

- Employment of the poor in tourism enterprises
- Supply of goods and services to tourism enterprises by the poor
- Direct sales of goods and services to visitors by the poor (informal economy)
- Establishment and running of tourism enterprises by the poor
• Taxes on tourism income with proceeds benefiting the poor
• Voluntary giving by tourism enterprises and tourists to the poor (travelers’ philanthropy)
• Investment in infrastructure stimulated by tourism, which benefits the poor.

With the help of USAID, the tourism project in Pays Dogon, Mali includes a visitor center, and nature and cultural trails that benefit local communities and offer a philanthropic element. In Tanzania, the Mulala Cultural Tourism Enterprise in Arusha demonstrates how public and nonprofit sector partnerships can assist women in poor communities to develop small tourism projects around their lifestyle, farms, and village resources. In Kenya, mountain climbing has provided pro-poor employment and income-generation opportunities for tour guides.

Mount Kilimanjaro, a world heritage site, is the highest mountain in Africa at 5,895 meters (see case study 22). A network of tour operators, porters, and guides makes it one of the best-organized mountain hikes in Africa. The estimated income from Mount Kilimanjaro is about US$50 million per year, of which about US$13 million (28 percent) is pro-poor, comprising incomes for about 400 guides, 10,000 porters, and 500 cooks.

Clustering

Tourism is naturally a clustering activity as the tourism product is comprised of many different types of facilities and services. Porter (1998) explains that clusters often extend laterally to include governmental and other institutions. Clustered products also tend to have higher levels of innovation, productivity, and management. The Aqaba Special Economic Zone in Jordan is an example of clustered development (case study 10). Developing new growth poles, comprised of a cluster of businesses, can also assist with decentralizing tourism while achieving beneficial scale.

Excessive Expansion

Increasing tourist numbers can be counter-productive. Projects in Mauritius, the Seychelles and Zanzibar had ambitious targets for increased arrivals, often without considering the infrastructure needed to make expansion possible or the impact on its traditional tourists. Zanzibar currently has 9,000 beds and is considered relatively developed but has set a highly ambitious target of 25,000 beds by 2020. Mauritius has always had a significant share of the luxury tourism market but may not be able to retain its image as a luxury tourism destination with the large increases in hotel rooms that are planned. Large numbers of tourists are characteristic of low-cost destinations because they lead to congestion and management problems that require significant investment (larger airports, more buses, more hotels, waste management capacity, utilities, and so forth). A luxury market depends heavily on the sense that the asset is only available to the wealthy few—at least in the peak season. In Cape Verde, development has occurred so fast that regulations and monitoring
could not keep up, which has led to ecological and social stress. Large numbers of tourists spending smaller amounts of money have forced staff pay and room rates down in Egypt and Turkey. Alternatives to expanding numbers of tourists are to attempt to extend the tourist season (Spenceley 2010) by enhancing attractions and creating out-of-season festivals, sporting, and cultural events, and to increase tourist yield by maximizing opportunities for tourists to purchase locally produced goods and services.

**Monitoring**

To understand the impact of tourism and prevent serious damage to the product, particularly in a situation of rapid growth, governments must monitor tourism’s various impacts on tourists, the businesses of tourism, the local population, and the natural assets on which tourism is based. Monitoring must be carried out in coordination with the private sector, NGOs, and the local communities. Tourists’ overall reactions to the visit can be captured in Visitor Satisfaction Surveys with carefully targeted questions. Occupancy rates, prices and the profitability of accommodation provide clear insights into whether the size of the sector is appropriate to demand. Water quality, beach sand profiles, and coastline changes should be monitored regularly in resort destinations. Wildlife surveys and park biodiversity should be monitored to ensure the resources are not under stress. The views of the population at large and local communities in areas of tourism concentration need to be collected, even if only informally. Such monitoring, performed regularly, should reveal whether a tourism sector can expand or should remain at a size that preserves its asset base and continues to attract satisfied tourists at acceptable income levels for the destination. Effective monitoring can only be undertaken with current, accurate, and appropriate databases, measured against equally accurate benchmarks.

**The Sustainability of the Sector**

**The Four Pillars of Sustainability**

The four pillars of successful tourism development are financial, economic, social, and environmental sustainability. Without any one of these four conditions, the sector will falter.

**Financial Sustainability**

The success of any sector depends on the financial viability of investments and businesses. Investors and business owners must take on responsible ventures and integrate a clear path toward financial sustainability into their business, investment, or development plans from the beginning. In addition to responsible investors, the sustainability of the investments in accommodation and tourism services depends on the competence of the private sector
together with the creation of a supporting policy environment, the provision of infrastructure by government, and the acceptance of tourism by the local population. Investors in the tourism sector must carefully assess the risks to their bottom-line from all the constraints discussed in chapter 6. They must also assess the local investment climate, the degree of seasonality, and the competition from overseas markets and other already established or imminent competing investments locally.

Financial sustainability is particularly important in community-based tourism and eco-tourism projects in which environmental and cultural sustainability are considered essential to success. Community tourism ventures are frequently started as philanthropic projects to integrate communities into mainstream tourism but project implementers do not always have the business skills or knowledge needed to integrate financial sustainability planning into their endeavor. Many community tourism businesses fail due to careless financial planning that does not incorporate sufficient funds for the maintenance of business assets or consider cash flow challenges stemming from seasonality. Detailed financial sustainability plans, including demand and cash flow estimates, assist investors whether from the private sector or development programs to understand the true costs of developing a community tourism business and help the businesses plan for the future. Financial sustainability planning allows community members to adequately and sustainably benefit from tourism and should be integrated into any tourism investment regardless of the size and impact.

Financial sustainability needs to be institutionalized as a crucial element of tourism-sector development, whether for large-scale development or small-scale ecotourism ventures. By creating a culture that has entrenched ideas on financial sustainability, countries will benefit from a lower business failure rate, increased interest in investment and higher levels of economic sustainability.

**Economic Sustainability**

Tourism is a cross-sectoral activity in which visitors spend money directly in hotels and often disburse substantial funds outside the hotel. Estimates of such ex-hotel expenditures vary according to the type of hotel and local circumstances but can range from half to nearly double expenditures in the hotel. These direct expenditures, which are induced as a result of the investment in accommodation, give tourist operations their relatively high economic returns.

According to the WTTC, tourism’s main comparative advantage over other sectors is that visitor expenditures also have a “flow-through” or catalytic effect across the economy in terms of production and employment creation. Even during the building stage, tourism creates local jobs in the construction sector. If the country is sufficiently developed, the investment can generate demand locally for furniture and furnishings, and even for capital equipment. Tourism also generates a demand for transport, telecommunications and financial services. Through the consumption of local products in tourist accommodation and through visitor expenditures outside that accommodation, tourism can act as a catalyst for the development of small businesses in the production and service sectors, increase the demand for handicrafts, and generate linkages to agriculture, fisheries, food processing,
and light manufacturing, such as the garment industry. Tourism can also create links to the informal sector. Notably, tourism can provide an economic base for a region whose only development options are its cultural and natural resources, whether coastal, mountain, or wildlife.

Each new production or service activity that is either started or expanded to meet actual or potential tourism demand will require new investment. But where capacity is underutilized or people are underemployed, tourism can generate new sources of income without significant new investments. Furthermore, the range of products and services that can be developed for tourism demand makes tourism a catalyst for entrepreneurial activity.

The lack of a comprehensive economic overview of the tourism sector has resulted in a limited understanding of its role. The WTTC has long promoted the development of credible economic assessments of the size, significance, and net contribution of tourism activity through the use of Tourism Satellite Accounts. Since 1993, the UNWTO has actively pursued the same objective within the UN System of National Accounts. The objective is to measure the true economic impact of tourism in each country to inform the policy decisions of governments and the investment decisions of private industry.

Sound government policies will help to extract the maximum economic benefits from tourism. As the discussion of economic rents later in this chapter suggests, sources of financing may be available to governments to help them maintain a healthy and productive sector.

**Social Sustainability**

Some societies experience a sense of alienation from tourism, in particular when the visitors from other countries seem so different from themselves and physical and economic barriers prevent the local people from participating in the benefits of tourism. Hotels are often criticized for being foreign-owned and not employing locals at the higher managerial levels, although this is less and less the case. In Mauritius, for example, the industry has predominantly been locally or regionally owned and managed from the outset; local investors continue to diversify out of other sectors, principally sugar and manufacturing, into tourism. The perception of foreign-dominated hotel management is increasingly disappearing. Indeed, few hotels are sufficiently profitable to pay expatriate salaries. In Mauritius, at least one five-star hotel, part of a large local chain, is 100 percent locally owned and managed; no foreigner comprises its staff. In Côte d’Ivoire, nationals and citizens dominate tourism services, the latter being Ivorians of foreign extraction who have elected to live in Côte d’Ivoire. Insufficient research has been conducted on this issue in Africa but, in the Caribbean, where the same concern is occasionally still voiced, ownership and management is predominantly local or regional for all ranges of accommodation and services.

A real issue in tourism throughout developing countries is how to extend the sector’s benefits to the poor and local communities. Donors and NGOs have initiated many community-based projects aiming to establish linkages to traditional tourism. Some of the failures can be attributed to not associating the private sector in these initiatives at an early
stage. Private sector involvement at the design stage ensures that local goods and services will be purchased and avoids unfulfilled expectations. Hotel managers or owners, in such varied destinations as the Eastern Cape in South Africa and Fiji, have consciously involved the local community in their activities, occasionally with outside technical assistance. Links to the local communities can be established by employing local people and sourcing goods and services from the community, supporting the establishment of small-scale or microenterprises to supply hotel needs, and upgrading training and skills for specific activities, such as guiding. Interdependence between the local community and tourist accommodation generally improves relations between the two and the benefits are mutual. Other initiatives are designed to empower local people to host tourists in their communities and thus give value to natural or cultural assets owned by the local community. Hosting may include reception facilities for daytime visits or overnight accommodation for tourists.

Sustainability considerations have graduated from being a minor additional component of resort development to being a central aspect of corporate risk management. Corporate social responsibility (CSR) is seen as a mechanism for managing sustainability risks, in particular by gaining the support of the local community for the business that is conducted on its doorstep. CSR programs include corporate giving, travelers’ philanthropy, and community initiatives, such as those undertaken by Nihiwatu Resort, Indonesia (see case study 9 in Part 2). Over 500 guests at Nihiwatu have contributed to funding local projects, with guest and organization donations amounting to almost US$400,000 annually. The resort has helped over 20,000 people living in 147 villages in a 110-square-kilometer area near West Sumba with agriculture projects, health projects, schools, and wells. In 2010, Nihiwatu was awarded the top prize at the Virgin Responsible Travel Awards, as well as the award for impacting poverty most effectively. The combined resources of Nihiwatu and the Sumba Foundation have resulted in a powerful mechanism for delivering local water, electricity, and health care services.

Pro-Poor tourism helps extend the benefits of tourism to local communities. The Overseas Development Institute (ODI) in the United Kingdom, the International Finance Corporation (IFC) and the World Bank, and the Netherlands Development Organization (SNV) developed the pro-poor value chain analysis used for tourism. VCA is a useful technique for tracking pro-poor impacts in the tourism supply chain. World Bank VCA in Kenya, Mali, Mozambique, Tanzania, and Zanzibar has allowed agencies and stakeholders to understand better how the poor can benefit from tourism and how to remove obstacles to their participation. The pro-poor VCA objective is to identify interventions that harness markets and create larger-scale impacts than can be achieved through the pro-poor tool of localized community development (Mitchell and Ashley 2009). “Pro-poor income” is the wages and profits earned by poor households across the entire value chain. By “following the dollar,” the focus is on key points along the chain where interventions could expand income opportunities for the poor with the commercial service sector. The aim is to support market-based interventions by analyzing how poor target groups currently engage, how their positions can be upgraded, and how changes in value chain performance would affect them. Table 7.1 outlines the steps in the pro-poor VCA.
Although often referred to as a “smokeless” industry, the dependence of tourism on natural resources makes any negative impacts more conspicuous. Water pollution, ecological disruption, land degradation, and congestion typify negative environmental externalities associated with poorly planned tourism. Often, however, pollution and degradation from external sources negatively impact the sustainability of tourism’s own investments. Tourism can only be sustainable if the natural assets on which it is based are protected from degradation. This is particularly true in Africa, variously marketed as a nature, wildlife, resort, and cultural heritage destination. Consequently, a well-managed tourism sector will protect its natural resource base in new developments and mitigate negative impacts on the environment from previous developments and from external sources. When carefully managed, tourism can become a tool for environmental protection and for financing conservation. Such projects as the Great Limpopo Transfrontier Park in Mozambique demonstrate the power of tourism to address a broad range of sustainability issues. The park forms part of a transfrontier conservation area where ecosystems are protected, the welfare of local

### TABLE 7.1 STEPS INVOLVED IN VALUE CHAIN DIAGNOSIS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Step</th>
<th>What to do?</th>
<th>Why?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phase 1: Diagnosis</td>
<td>Step 1</td>
<td>Preparation</td>
<td>To define the destination, type of potential target group, and assessment team/partners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Step 2</td>
<td>Map the big picture: enterprises and other actors in the tourism sector, links between them, demand and supply data, and the pertinent context</td>
<td>To organize a chaotic reality, understand the overall system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Step 3</td>
<td>Map where the poor do and do not participate</td>
<td>To avoid erroneous assumptions about poor actors. To take account of the less visible suppliers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Step 4</td>
<td>Conduct fieldwork interviews in each node of the chain, with tourists and service providers, including current and potential poor participants</td>
<td>To provide data and insights for Steps 5 to 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Step 5</td>
<td>Track revenue flows and pro-poor income. Estimate how expenditure flows through the chain and how much accrues to the poor. Consider their returns and factors that enable or inhibit earnings</td>
<td>To follow the dollar through the chain down to the poor, and assess how returns can be increased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase 2: Scope and prioritization of opportunities</td>
<td>Step 6</td>
<td>Identify where in the tourism value chain to seek change: which node or nodes?</td>
<td>To select areas ripe for change, drawing on Steps 1 to 5. To ensure Steps 6 to 8 are focused on priority areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Step 7</td>
<td>Analyze blockages, options, and partners in the nodes selected, to generate a long list of possible interventions</td>
<td>To think laterally and rationally in generating the range of possible interventions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Step 8</td>
<td>Prioritize interventions on the basis of their impact and feasibility</td>
<td>To generate an intervention shortlist, comprising interventions most likely to deliver impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase 3: Feasibility and planning</td>
<td>Step 9</td>
<td>Intervention feasibility and planning</td>
<td>To package selected interventions for funding and implementation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Mitchell and Ashley 2009.

*Note:* These steps are iterative and cannot be entirely sequential, for instance some initial thinking from Step 6 (where to focus) will help concentrate on resources within Step 5.
communities is enhanced, and the natural and cultural assets are conserved and converted into renewable tourism resources.

The task of managing tourism’s assets sustainably will generally exceed a ministry of tourism’s technical and manpower resources. Therefore, the government needs to establish regulatory measures and control systems to ensure that the governmental department supervising environmental aspects of new projects is well equipped to monitor and advise those working in the tourism and other sectors. Because environmental regulations can delay or even derail a poorly designed project, the agency supervising environmental regulations should have a prominent position in government. In Tanzania, for example, responsibility for the environment is assigned to the Vice-President’s office, in the Environmental Division. The National Environmental Management Council is responsible for all aspects of environmental policy and planning.

Many countries have already produced environmental development plans that can serve as models for other nations, although they must be adapted to local circumstances. In SSA, South Africa and Tanzania, among others, have published environmental plans. The essential environmental areas that any country must address for tourism include the following:

- Environmental Impact Assessments (EIAs) must be provided for any investment in the tourism sector. Although difficult to quantify, many environmental impacts are measurable. Trained government officials in the country’s environmental department should evaluate these plans. If the EIA does not satisfy the reviewer, he or she must have the authority to request that certain aspects of the EIA be redone.
- Coastal Zone Management (CZM) helps define and zone coastlines to restrict development in sensitive areas. The conflicting interests of tourism, fishing, and boating should be resolved in an integrated CZM plan. Accommodation and other construction on the coast should be subject to setback regulations that also take into account sea level rise and that conform to a land-use plan for the coastal area. Building densities should be controlled through physical planning and zoning regulations. Building codes should ensure the safety of all construction throughout the country. Codes of conduct should be drawn up after a consultation process that involves government and stakeholders for the diving sector, to protect reefs. Similar codes of conduct can be drawn up for all other major users of the coastal zone. Explicit regulations about the disposal of sewage and solid waste must be drawn up and widely publicized with penalties for their nonobservance. Similar regulations can be applied to preserve the banks of lakes and rivers. Tourism development plans for high-value areas should be drafted.
- Countries should designate areas of high biodiversity, scarcity, and beauty as national parks, with conservation regulations applying to their use. Wildlife can also be protected through well-regulated national parks.
- Cultural heritage sites should be identified and preserved. Local communities should be involved in their protection and should obtain economic benefits from their use by tourists.
The financial and technical costs of regulation, preservation, and monitoring can be high for governments. Multilateral and regional agencies, as well as bilateral agencies, NGOs, and foundations, can provide both financial and technical assistance to SSA governments to assist them in managing their environments.

To enhance the country’s image and contribute to preserving the natural resource base, accommodation units can apply for “green” accreditation that signifies the successful pursuit of sound environmental management systems. The International Organization for Standardization (ISO) grants the coveted ISO 14,001 award. Green Globe, which was initially launched by the WTTC and is now an independent nonprofit organization, provides a framework to assess an organization’s environmental performance, through which it can monitor improvements and achieve certification. Another certification program, the Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) of the U.S. Green Building Council, emphasizes the use of recycled and recyclable building materials as well as energy and water conservation. As international concern for the environment intensifies, tourists increasingly seek hotels with “green” accreditation. A growing number of “green” tour operators in developed countries are establishing business relations with hotel and lodge managers that have adopted “green practices”; prime among them are travel group TUI and British Airways Holidays.

Accommodation is considered green if it conserves water and energy, disposes of all waste without polluting, and has recycling and utility monitoring programs, among other requirements. As a result of a “green” accreditation pilot project supported by USAID in Jamaica, hotels reported higher profitability, enhanced guest relations, improved ties to local communities, and the sense that they were contributing to preserving the island’s beauty. Costa Rica has made a concerted effort to implement ecotourism certification effectively after much study and support from organizations such as the Rainforest Alliance. The Costa Rica Tourism Board administers the certificate of sustainable tourism, one of the few certification programs provided free. Well over 200 hotels and tour operators have been certified and the program now also certifies rental car firms. The Maldives has identified development standards and zoning regulations for its many islands and the Seychelles recently launched its own sustainable tourism label.

Climate change particularly affects the tourism sector through a rise in sea level, threatening islands and coastal areas. Climate change is likely to increase the cost of tourism in the future. The United States already charges airlines US$16.50 for each international passenger who lands in or departs from its territory; the United Kingdom charges passengers on the basis of the distance they have flown.\(^1\) Airline transport contributes about 5 percent worldwide to carbon emissions (Wong, Christie, and Al Rowais 2009). While a few planes have now been converted to fly on biofuel and cooking oil, other public transport and equipment that use energy, such as air conditioning, still produce high levels of carbon emissions. To counter the industry’s contribution to climate change, many tourism operators already offer a carbon calculator and suggest offsetting programs. Meanwhile, Maldives and Costa Rica are competing to be the first “carbon neutral” destinations. Without a doubt, low carbon or climate-friendly policies must form part of tourism strategies moving forward.
The Financing of Tourism and Economic Rents

The government has an important role to play in creating an enabling environment for private sector investment in the tourism sector, maintaining a good credit standing and abiding by its obligations to attract funding from donors. Other sources of funds for the sector, discussed later in this chapter, include economic rents that require the government to make strategic decisions about ensuring they benefit the public good and not individual, vested interests.

As demonstrated by destinations across Africa and globally, the sources of funding for a viable tourism sector include:

- The government’s budgetary resources and its capital budget for promotion and marketing, tourism training, the regulation of health, crime prevention, the creation of standards and regulations, and monitoring for needed infrastructure
- The private sector’s investments in accommodation and tourism services, worldwide promotion and marketing, and infrastructure where the government has failed to provide it
- Local communities and the NGOs that represent them, contributing land and labor in partnerships with the private sector, donors or NGOs to add value to their land
- Donors, who can assist governments, the private sector, and local communities with a myriad of supporting services for the sector.

Public-Private Partnerships

Public-private partnerships (PPPs) have long been used to help finance hotel developments in Africa but have received particular focus in recent years. Partnerships can be used for dialogue as well as for investment. They are entered into for facilities, infrastructure and, increasingly, for national park development. Consultation enables participants to take joint responsibility for policy choices. In Jordan’s Aqaba Special Economic Zone, for example, the government is responsible for packaging land, external infrastructure, regulations in the tourism zone, and municipal and local services (see case study 10 in Part 2). The private sector focuses on property development, internal infrastructure, and the provision of shared services for enterprises. The government outsources activities including planning, infrastructure development, the build-out of real estate, and property management. The private sector can play the role of master developer, owner, builder, or tenant. The mix of sectors makes for a dynamic environment of mutual support between projects and generates activity that is more “lively” than tourism alone.

Concessions and Joint Ventures

Community-based joint venture partnerships provide an alternative land use arrangement. The communities typically provide the land and the private sector brings business acumen
and capital. These partnerships have been particularly successful in South Africa and Namibia. Wilderness Safaris was the first operator to invest time and resources in negotiated joint venture agreements with local communities in South Africa and Namibia (see case study 19). A land claim settlement allowed the local community to take ownership in Namibia and Wilderness Safaris became the lessee. The rental income is used for education and to supply electricity (Spenceley 2010).

At a national level, the conservancy program in Namibia, enacted in 1996, uses land tenure and responsibility for wildlife as a mechanism for financial and economic growth. It has led to the sustainable use of wildlife resources, stable land tenure by rural Namibians, and improved livelihoods. It has also provided the basis for communities to develop tourism enterprises, either through joint ventures or as community-based operations. Following registration of the first four conservancies, annual income has grown from US$87,000 in 1998 to US$5.7 million in 2008. More than US$19 million has been invested in communal conservancies by the private sector since 1998. There are now 31 formal joint venture lodges, mostly owned by the private sector, and another 15 are in negotiation (Spenceley 2010).

In addition, as discussed in the following section, other sources of financing from tourism and from tourists are most likely to be available.

**Economic Rents**

Economic rent is defined as a profit above normal market rates of return obtained from an asset that is in fixed supply and scarce. In the tourism context, an economic rent is created by the value added to constructed structures by natural assets. Mauritius with its luxury resort tourism provides a dramatic case study. The coastal zone, including the beaches, lagoon waters, and the reefs, creates the added value that has enabled hotels to sell their product to an unusually large slice of the highly competitive international luxury market. Consequently, without the coastal assets, the number of tourists visiting Mauritius would be greatly reduced, as would their expenditures. The with- and without-coastal assets scenario underlines for Mauritius and other countries with significant natural assets such as national parks and game reserves the negative economic consequences of a significant deterioration of these natural resources.

The public good is served when the economic rents are used to ensure the sustainability of the natural asset. Sustainability has many definitions but the simplest is to maintain

**BOX 7.1**

**Economic Rent**

Economic rent is defined as a profit above normal market rates of return obtained from an asset that is in fixed supply and scarce. The public good is served when economic rents are used to ensure the sustainability of the natural asset. There are numerous ways to monetize and capture part of the value added by natural and cultural assets for the public good, including through user fees, taxes and auctions.
the asset’s value over the long term. Particularly in the case of national parks and game reserves, sustainability requires that the livelihoods of local communities also be protected and enhanced, since they are critical to the preservation of the asset.

There are numerous ways to monetize and capture part of the value added by natural and cultural assets for the public good. Where access to natural parks or marine assets can be controlled, user fees are normally payable. Where enjoyment of the assets is more diffuse, an arrival and departure tax on tourists is efficient but its purpose should always be explained to visitors. Where hotels are the main beneficiaries of the value added, a tax on their profits may be appropriate. In the case of finite beachfront land, auctions for tourist accommodation construction may be more efficient than the more common leases.

Many tourists visiting Africa pay among the world’s highest prices for use of the built and natural facilities that the continent offers. Will they pay more to help preserve the tourism assets, assuming the incremental charge goes to the state as rents rather than to the hotels as profit? Market research suggests that the costs of a holiday, even to luxury resorts and for safaris, is not completely price elastic, due to the intense competition from other destinations globally for the tiny slice of the market that is luxury tourism. Moreover, new entrants to tourism markets appear continuously, searching for the same profits that drive investors in existing destinations. Therefore, imposing a blanket tax on tourists that would increase the cost of their visit may not be the best strategy. If one is applied, however, tourists should be made aware of its purpose; given the greater environmental, social and cultural consciousness of travelers today, many will pay it willingly. Most governments resort to a mix of taxes on both tourists and hotels, and user fees on tourists, but changes in the tax system should be carefully evaluated for their possible impact on the demand for tourism.

**Tourist User Fees**

The most equitable and direct way of taxing tourists for the use of a country’s natural resources is to introduce a user fee for each of the natural assets they enjoy. A payment could be charged for beach and lagoon use, diving and snorkeling on the reefs, visits to marine reserves, big game viewing, and visits to national parks and to cultural assets. In these cases only those who use the resources would be charged. Increasingly conscious about the causes and the impacts of environmental degradation, more governments are earmarking user fees for the protection and maintenance of natural and cultural assets.

**Willingness to Pay**

A study undertaken by the Harvard Institute for International Development in Belize in 1995 determined that there was considerable “willingness to pay” for the preservation of the Belize Barrier Reef by tourists, over and above the standard costs of their holiday. The majority of the tourists interviewed attached two conditions to their willingness to pay: the funds should not enter into general government revenues and should be earmarked in a special fund exclusively used for the preservation of the Belize Barrier Reef and for no
other purpose. Other willingness-to-pay studies, as well as those that establish the “existence value” of an asset such as one conducted for cultural assets in Morocco by the World Bank, confirm that visitors are willing to make special payments to preserve areas of natural beauty and important cultural heritage assets.

**Reef Use**

The Bonaire Marine Park in the Netherlands Antilles provided an early example of the application of user fees to a marine asset. Most hotels use diving enterprises that are not part of the hotel proper, so guests expect to have to pay for the service. A visit to reefs on a day’s diving expedition could include a user fee that is additional to the costs of the dive and that is allocated for reef conservation purposes. If the purpose of the user fee is explained to foreign divers, most, as marine environment enthusiasts, will pay willingly.

The dive entities are normally responsible for the collection of the fees and for their transfer to the government. The transaction costs and accounting issues for the divers should be no more complex than keeping track of value added taxes. Most serious dive operations have an established structure behind them—a one-person operation is not to be recommended in diving. If commercial divers know that the user fee is being used to improve the quality of reefs, most will willingly collect the fee as it is in their interest to do so.

**Beach Fees**

Beach fees in the developed world are used as a tool to control beach access. They may not be advisable, however, in a context where they are perceived as a way to preserve the best beaches for foreign visitors, excluding the local people who traditionally have had free access to the beach. In this case, a two-tier user fee system that provides lower-cost access to locals could be introduced. Only those tourists staying in accommodation that does not have beach frontage would use public beaches, where the higher user fee would be applied. The transaction costs entailed in establishing a system of user fees for public beaches must be offset against revenues. The poor state of public beaches in many countries reduces their recreational value and, consequently, the user fee price that can be charged. The justification for a beach user fee would be the value-added improvements to the selected beaches that the charge would make possible, for example greater cleanliness, more amenities, and the provision of security guards, public toilets, and waste and recycling bins. The choice facing both the foreign tourist and the local individual would be to use the upgraded beach or another that is free but less optimal, providing less of a consumer surplus.

**Environmental Taxes**

Many examples of environmental taxes exist. The government of Belize found that an increase in the airport departure tax was an effective system for revenue collection for
environmental conservation, given that its purpose was explained to tourists. In Mauritius and increasingly in other countries, hotels now pay an environmental tax as a charge on profits. The funds are allocated for specific environmental purposes and are jointly managed by the hotel and the state. To some extent, resort hotels already pay a levy for the use of the beach, in the form of the costs of beach protection and reengineering as well as their daily management. In any assessment of economic rents due by hotels, the costs of beach maintenance should be considered as an offset.

Another option is to add an environmental tax to the hotel bill, clearly differentiating it from the VAT charge. In hotels in U.S. national parks, an environmental charge of 1 percent of the room rate is added to the hotel bill and noted as “a voluntary environmental contribution for the upkeep of the National Park.” Tourists have the option of asking for it to be removed but seldom do, having experienced the benefits the parks provide.

### Auctions and Leases

Beachfront land for tourism is a finite asset. Beaches everywhere are at best a fragile resource and at worst a diminishing resource. Therefore, although investors and users should pay a premium for their use and protection, often they do not. In some countries, land prices for beachfront properties for individual use, particularly when sold or leased to local elites, include only a slight premium over other land prices. Government efforts to increase both the price of beachfront land and taxes on these properties have been relatively unsuccessful. As a consequence, the hotel investors and operators, local elites, and tourists are principally those who enjoy the value added by coastal assets at the expense of the local community.

Given the scarcity of beachfront land, an auction system for prequalified buyers of newly available beach land may be a device to ensure that economic rents for the land are captured. At an auction, prequalified bidders propose a price and the highest bid wins, whereas, in a lease system, competitive bidding takes place but bids are ranked not by maximum amount but by the quality of the buyer’s offer at the highest bid. Unfortunately, leases have too often been allocated without the transparency that competitive bidding should offer. While the government must establish a floor price for the lease value, a system of competitive bidding or auctions would avoid the problem of establishing the price for the lease in advance of knowing the bidders’ willingness to pay.

### National Parks and Protected Areas

Special considerations apply to national parks and protected areas in which local communities live. When the parks are managed by a government entity, entrance, concession, and other fees are generally established by a central agency either for each park system or for individual parks. The principal objective of this type of ecotourism is to maintain healthy ecosystems and ensure the conservation of biodiversity. The fees must therefore cover revenues for park management and protection. Local communities that depend on the parks
for food and materials for shelter can be trained to become park custodians and guides, earning incomes while participating in the park’s management. Consequently, park user fees must be priced to address these objectives. Transparency regarding the collection and uses of the fees must be assured. Fees to be distributed according to an agreed share should be distributed to local community representatives promptly under an approved schedule. Only when communities perceive clear benefits from the parks do they contribute to their preservation.

Despite Africa’s amazing wildlife resources, very few parks have yet succeeded in recovering sufficient “rents” to pay for operating costs without budgetary support. Kenya and Tanzania have daily park fees of US$40 to US$75 per person. The Rwanda Wildlife Authority charges visitors up to US$750 per half day to track gorillas. Most other parks, however, charge less than US$10 for access. Thus the question of how to maximize positive returns from tourism while protecting national parks and providing equitable returns to the community remains and is central to sustainable tourism development in Africa. In Kenya, a tiered pricing system is utilized. Lower prices are charged for Kenyan citizens and residents to encourage domestic tourists to visit their own cultural and environment sites of interest.

Because user fees are seldom sufficient to finance the multiple sustainability needs of parks and protected areas and to contribute to the well-being of the surrounding communities, other financial strategies must be devised to raise additional revenues. Typically, these consist of concessions for minimally invasive businesses, opportunities for tourists to donate to the park’s upkeep or to the local community, and tour operator charges through parks’ annual operating license fees.

The Case Studies

The case studies in this publication illustrate good practice and lessons learned from experience in tourism as a source of growth and poverty alleviation in developing and emerging countries around the world. Some of them also reveal certain failures. The cases range from an overview of Cancún (case study 15), a very large-scale project with over 200 hotels, to small ecolodges, such as Nihiwatu in Sumba, Indonesia (case study 9), which has 14 units. Case activity dates from the 1970s to the mid-2000s. The earliest cases have had plenty of time to demonstrate their success or failure and provide a dynamic view of tourism growth over time. It is interesting to note that many of the cases cited took much longer than initially expected to reach their “cruising” speed. In a couple, infrastructure works were practically complete before a single hotel was built (Bali and the Dominican Republic, case studies 8 and 4). The newer cases reflect more recent policies and trends, such as corporate social responsibility, voluntourism, or charitable tourism. The cases were chosen to illustrate a particular challenge or success and present the effects of certain planning decisions. For example, as land acquisition is a frequently encountered problem in tourism, several of the cases address land acquisition. Similarly, challenges such as managing growth, access, environmental protection, social inclusion, and charitable support for local communities also find a place in the case studies. They thus illustrate issues and strategic decisions...
related to the scale of the tourism development, the sustainability of the projects and the financing of tourism.

The geographic scope of the case studies includes both African and non-African destinations. Eleven of the 24 cases are from African countries, mostly in the deepening and sustaining tourism stage of development. These cases provide regional examples of tourism planning and development and highlight key challenges and constraints as well as successes in tourism development in Africa. However, since tourism is still an emerging industry in Sub-Saharan Africa, the study also includes cases from outside of the region. These cases are particularly important for countries in the latter two phases of tourism development: scaling up tourism and deepening and sustaining tourism. The countries with advanced tourism potential need to look beyond their regional borders for examples and lessons from destinations with more experience in tourism development. The cases also provide an opportunity for destinations outside of Africa to learn from the experiences in the region. Cases from Asia, for example, provide lessons on the long term impact of tourism since many destinations there have a more established tourism sector. In Latin American and the Caribbean, countries and destinations have experience balancing both large and small scale tourism development and provide lessons on community involvement and donor/government relationships. Middle East and North Africa destinations highlight key challenges for large scale infrastructure development and the role of government in the success or failure of projects.

Key areas considered in the case studies are outlined in Table 7.2 and summarized below. For more information on the main lessons in the cases studies, see Part II.

• **Access to Land:** Access to land is a recurring challenge. In private land markets there must be transparency and low levels of bureaucracy. In countries where the government owns all land, they must develop a negotiated process where owners are given a fair price for their land, are offered an equivalent plot elsewhere, or are invited to invest in the project. The cases illustrate a number of different models for land allocation for tourism development that have varying levels of success. These include leasing options, public-private partnerships and government selected tourism development plots. Additionally, the cases cover several models for developing tourism on community land. Models for community engagement can be complex to structure and negotiate but they bring real value to many rural communities.

• **Infrastructure Development:** The development of tourism and the welfare of communities depend upon appropriate infrastructure creation. Trunk infrastructure is usually provided by the state or public utilities while on-site infrastructure is usually charged to the project and the end user pays for connections. Cases show alternative scenarios where investors financed and constructed major infrastructure. Throughout the cases, a critical element for all infrastructure development is cost recovery. Tourism investors often complain that they cross-subsidize local communities through the charges they pay for infrastructure services, whether through tariffs or taxation. Yet infrastructure is one of the most potent incentives a government can offer investors. A balance must be found between cost recovery required for sustainability and incentives.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Access to Land</th>
<th>Infrastructure Development</th>
<th>Ecotourism Projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cape Verde</td>
<td>Dominican Republic, Puerto Plata</td>
<td>Costa Rica, Lapa Rios</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominican Republic, Puerto Plata</td>
<td>Egypt, Sharm el Sheikh</td>
<td>Dominica, Jungle Bay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egypt, Sharm el Sheikh</td>
<td>Indonesia, Bali</td>
<td>Indonesia, Nihiwatu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia, Nihiwatu</td>
<td>Jordan, Aqaba</td>
<td>South Africa, Wilderness Safaris &amp;Beyond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maldives</td>
<td>Republic of Korea, Kyongiu</td>
<td>Rwanda, Sabyinya Silverback Lodge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morocco, Bay of Agadir</td>
<td>Maldives</td>
<td>Tanzania, Mt. Kilimanjaro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Namibia, Wilderness travel</td>
<td>Mexico, Cancun</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa, Wilderness Safaris &amp;Beyond</td>
<td>Tunisia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunisia</td>
<td>Turkey, South Antalya</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey, South Antalya</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Missing Middle/Certifications</th>
<th>Training &amp; Labor Development</th>
<th>Local Sourcing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cape Verde</td>
<td>Costa Rica, Lapa Rios</td>
<td>Dominican Republic, Expansion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominican Republic, Expansion</td>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>Kenya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dubai</td>
<td>Maldives</td>
<td>Tanzania, Mt. Kilimanjaro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia, Bali</td>
<td>Singapore, Sentosa</td>
<td>Tunisia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maldives</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunisia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Managing Growth and Scale</th>
<th>Air Access</th>
<th>Economic Returns</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cape Verde</td>
<td>Costa Rica, Lapa Rios</td>
<td>Cape Verde</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costa Rica, Lapa Rios</td>
<td>Indonesia, Bali</td>
<td>Dominica, Jungle Bay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominica, Jungle Bay</td>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>Indonesia, Nihiwatu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominican Republic, Future Expansion</td>
<td>Maldives</td>
<td>South Africa, Wilderness Safaris &amp;Beyond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia, Bali</td>
<td>Mauritius</td>
<td>Rwanda, Sabyinya Silverback Lodge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>Morocco, Bay of Agadir</td>
<td>Tanzania, Mt. Kilimanjaro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mauritius</td>
<td>Morocco, Costal Cities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore, Sentosa</td>
<td>Tusinia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanzania, Mt. Kilimanjaro</td>
<td>South Africa, Wilderness Safaris &amp;Beyond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunisia</td>
<td>Tunisia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey, South Antalya</td>
<td>Turkey, South Antalya</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Management</th>
<th>Public Institutions &amp; Regulations</th>
<th>Investment Promotion &amp; Financing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Costa Rica, Lapa Rios</td>
<td>Cape Verde</td>
<td>Dominica, Jungle Bay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia, Bali</td>
<td>Dominica, Jungle Bay</td>
<td>Dominican Republic, Expansion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia, Nihiwatu</td>
<td>Dubai</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maldives</td>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico, Cancun</td>
<td>Indonesia, Bali</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa, Wilderness Safaris &amp;Beyond</td>
<td>Jordan, Aqaba</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanzania, Mt. Kilimanjaro</td>
<td>Republic of Korea</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mauritis</td>
<td>Mauritius</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico, Cancun</td>
<td>Morocco, Coastal Cities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanzania, Mt. Kilimanjaro</td>
<td>Namibia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa, Wilderness Safaris &amp;Beyond</td>
<td>Morocco, Coastal Cities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunisia</td>
<td>Tunisia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey, South Antalya</td>
<td>Turkey, South Antalya</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Social Inclusion</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Costa Rica, Lapa Rios</td>
<td>Cape Verde</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominica, Jungle Bay</td>
<td>Dominica, Jungle Bay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia, Bali</td>
<td>Dubai</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia, Nihiwatu</td>
<td>Egypt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maldives</td>
<td>Indonesia, Bali</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico, Cancun</td>
<td>Jordan, Aqaba</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Namibia</td>
<td>Republic of Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa, Wilderness Safaris &amp;Beyond</td>
<td>Mauritius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rwanda</td>
<td>Morocco, Coastal Cities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanzania, Mt. Kilimanjaro</td>
<td>Namibia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey, South Antalya</td>
<td>Morocco, Coastal Cities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa, Wilderness Safaris &amp;Beyond</td>
<td>Namibia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunisia</td>
<td>Morocco, Coastal Cities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 7.2 CASE STUDIES BY KEY AREAS CONSIDERED</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Access to Land</td>
<td>Infrastructure Development</td>
<td>Ecotourism Projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cape Verde</td>
<td>Dominican Republic, Puerto Plata</td>
<td>Costa Rica, Lapa Rios</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominican Republic, Puerto Plata</td>
<td>Egypt, Sharm el Sheikh</td>
<td>Dominica, Jungle Bay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egypt, Sharm el Sheikh</td>
<td>Indonesia, Bali</td>
<td>Indonesia, Nihiwatu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia, Nihiwatu</td>
<td>Jordan, Aqaba</td>
<td>South Africa, Wilderness Safaris &amp;Beyond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maldives</td>
<td>Republic of Korea, Kyongiu</td>
<td>Rwanda, Sabyinya Silverback Lodge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morocco, Bay of Agadir</td>
<td>Maldives</td>
<td>Tanzania, Mt. Kilimanjaro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Namibia, Wilderness travel</td>
<td>Mexico, Cancun</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa, Wilderness Safaris &amp;Beyond</td>
<td>Tunisia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunisia</td>
<td>Turkey, South Antalya</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey, South Antalya</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing Middle/Certifications</td>
<td>Training &amp; Labor Development</td>
<td>Local Sourcing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cape Verde</td>
<td>Costa Rica, Lapa Rios</td>
<td>Dominican Republic, Expansion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominican Republic, Expansion</td>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>Kenya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dubai</td>
<td>Maldives</td>
<td>Tanzania, Mt. Kilimanjaro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia, Bali</td>
<td>Singapore, Sentosa</td>
<td>Tunisia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maldives</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunisia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managing Growth and Scale</td>
<td>Air Access</td>
<td>Economic Returns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cape Verde</td>
<td>Costa Rica, Lapa Rios</td>
<td>Cape Verde</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costa Rica, Lapa Rios</td>
<td>Indonesia, Bali</td>
<td>Dominica, Jungle Bay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominica, Jungle Bay</td>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>Indonesia, Nihiwatu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominican Republic, Future Expansion</td>
<td>Maldives</td>
<td>South Africa, Wilderness Safaris &amp;Beyond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia, Bali</td>
<td>Mauritius</td>
<td>Rwanda, Sabyinya Silverback Lodge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>Morocco, Bay of Agadir</td>
<td>Tanzania, Mt. Kilimanjaro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mauritius</td>
<td>Morocco, Costal Cities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore, Sentosa</td>
<td>Tusinia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanzania, Mt. Kilimanjaro</td>
<td>South Africa, Wilderness Safaris &amp;Beyond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunisia</td>
<td>Tunisia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey, South Antalya</td>
<td>Turkey, South Antalya</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Management</td>
<td>Public Institutions &amp; Regulations</td>
<td>Investment Promotion &amp; Financing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costa Rica, Lapa Rios</td>
<td>Cape Verde</td>
<td>Dominica, Jungle Bay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia, Bali</td>
<td>Dominica, Jungle Bay</td>
<td>Dominican Republic, Expansion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia, Nihiwatu</td>
<td>Dubai</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maldives</td>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico, Cancun</td>
<td>Indonesia, Bali</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa, Wilderness Safaris &amp;Beyond</td>
<td>Jordan, Aqaba</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanzania, Mt. Kilimanjaro</td>
<td>Republic of Korea, Kyongiu</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mauritius</td>
<td>Mauritius</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico, Cancun</td>
<td>Morocco, Coastal Cities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanzania, Mt. Kilimanjaro</td>
<td>Namibia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa, Wilderness Safaris &amp;Beyond</td>
<td>Morocco, Coastal Cities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunisia</td>
<td>Tunisia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey, South Antalya</td>
<td>Turkey, South Antalya</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Inclusion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costa Rica, Lapa Rios</td>
<td>Cape Verde</td>
<td>Dominica, Jungle Bay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominica, Jungle Bay</td>
<td>Dominica, Jungle Bay</td>
<td>Dominican Republic, Expansion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia, Bali</td>
<td>Dubai</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia, Nihiwatu</td>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maldives</td>
<td>Indonesia, Bali</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico, Cancun</td>
<td>Jordan, Aqaba</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Namibia</td>
<td>Republic of Korea, Kyongiu</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa, Wilderness Safaris &amp;Beyond</td>
<td>Mauritius</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rwanda</td>
<td>Morocco, Coastal Cities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanzania, Mt. Kilimanjaro</td>
<td>Namibia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey, South Antalya</td>
<td>Morocco, Coastal Cities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa, Wilderness Safaris &amp;Beyond</td>
<td>Tunisia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunisia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey, South Antalya</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The cases also cover other emerging models for infrastructure development including recovering costs through ground leases and public funding for anchor projects.

- **Ecotourism Projects**: Ecotourism projects tend to be smaller with a core objective often to conserve nature and extend benefits to communities. This creates benefits for communities through livelihood development and social inclusion while public agencies value the impact they have on environmental protection. Often these projects are more complicated to develop and need variety of stakeholders to reach consensus. The cases demonstrate the commitment, time and complexity of ecotourism projects as well as the community and environmental benefits.

- **Missing Middle**: In a comparison of the large and small projects, the large projects are often perceived as international in scope by way of foreign ownership, corporate, impersonal, and “artificial”, whereas the smaller resort projects are viewed as locally owned, intimate, and authentic. Valid elements comprise both these observations, but both types are needed. There seems to be a “missing middle” of more modest-sized projects that still capture the scale needed to be sustainable and are large enough to retain a more individualistic atmosphere. A few cases provide examples of how to develop this missing middle through certification and regulations.

- **Training and Labor Development**: The level of service in tourism establishments is an important part of overall product quality. Tourism training, often overlooked in tourism project work, requires basic education and vocational training and developing tourism investors, owners and managers. Training can be delivered by the private or public sector but should focus on creating careers, not just jobs. More attention needs to be paid to training to cover national curricula in the sector; the regulation of hospitality training facilities; the strengthening of partnerships with the private sector on training; and pairing and exchange programs to allow new technology and know-how into the hospitality sector. Cases provide examples of training policy and funding, educational institution development and local communities organizing for better working conditions and training opportunities.

- **Local Sourcing**: An attractive aspect of tourism is the opportunity it offers to enhance linkages that add value and create jobs. Although in the past linkages to local production and supplies were overlooked, entrepreneurs are now making and effort to procure goods and services locally. A potential problem in such procurement is a possible spike in prices, shutting local consumers out of the market. Operators must ensure that the supply of locally procured goods is sustainable and remains affordable. Cases illustrate destinations that have either struggled with or overcome these challenges.

- **Managing Growth and Scale**: Managing growth and scale is central. A frequently confronted problem is too much growth, where growth itself threatens the quality of the destination. As that growth explodes, product development and marketing strategies are needed to disperse growth to different areas. Additionally, tourism that relies on community land or attractions must be properly integrated. Cases of successful community involvement rely on ongoing consultations with communities so that growth decisions are made according to local conditions. Growth must also consider the physical conditions of the destination through analyzing carrying capacity.
Although these models are useful, projects often overrun their estimates and better models for demand forecasting are required. These tactics to control growth, such as limiting the rooms available or halting new construction in reaction to low occupancy rates, require solid analysis, research, and sound judgment, which are often in scarce supply. Ultimately, criteria for the successful management of tourism growth must be set and monitoring indicators identified (Bosselman, Peterson and McCarthy 1999). Many of the cases outline differing models for growth management which are dependent on national and destination level goals.

- **Air Access**: Air access is critical for tourism and was included as a key area of study in a number of the cases’ project preparation. Destinations that have partnered with the private sector to develop air infrastructure and liberalize air transport have realized growth in arrivals and tourism. However, for some of the other cases in this report, air access appears to have been left to chance or to plans cobbled together well after the rest of the resort was under construction. Cases illustrate the importance of public support for air access liberalization and the attitude of civil aviation authorities.

- **Economic Returns**: The cases review the differences between economic returns in large scale and small scale tourism development projects and address key issues of assessing indirect and induced impacts. Large projects provide substantial numbers of jobs, tax and foreign exchange revenues, and have contributed to a positive national investment climate. The smaller projects may be financially successful and often have valuable outreach community programs but they may not be economically successful since it is difficult to develop them sufficiently to generate solid economic returns for the country. The economic analysis of tourism projects is evolving quickly, particularly as advances in natural resource economics are made (World Bank 2006; World Bank 2011).

- **Environmental Management**: Despite past challenges linking environmental protection with tourism, destinations are now recognizing that tourism and the environment require a sound planning framework and are mutually reinforcing. On a global scale, the key environmental issues in tourism include climate change, environmental management of wildlife and plant diversity, conservation of land resources and the management of coastal zones. Cases reflect planning decisions to mitigate climate change, the use of environmental impact assessments and environmental management plans to diminish negative impacts of tourism and the development of environmental certification programs. Overall, the cases provide options for achieving environmental objectives including physical planning and regulation, incentives, infrastructure, subsidies, and direct management by nongovernmental organizations (NGOs).

- **Public Institutions and Regulation**: The public sector is essential in order to stimulate development, whether through policy or investment. Sound institutions are required to promote needed public investment, create the policy framework, and establish regulations. But tourism institutions are frequently weak and appear to be a drag on private investment rather than a catalyst for it—often because there may be no real commitment to tourism as a force in economic development, especially where it is a new sector. Cases reflect destinations where public support created a broad based working group or agency that had the technical skill and decision making power to cut through bureaucracy and move development forward.
• **Investment Promotion and Financing**: Although not always the case, investment promotion agencies exist in most countries. However, tourism is not always a priority area leaving tourism investors with limited access to finance. The cases illustrate a range of financing options from projects with sole investors (both public and private) to projects that had to mobilize separate lines of credit from several multi-lateral institutions. The cases also touch upon the limited access to appropriate loans including long-term credit, smaller loans for SMEs or large loans for hotel investment. Finally, the cases offer lessons on investment promotion incentives including enhancing the risk/reward relationship; direct financial assistance; financing mechanisms, such as bonds or special purpose taxes; indirect assistance (for example zoning); and fiscal measures, such as tax holidays.

• **Social Inclusion**: Tourism brings together visitors and locals in a variety of situations. As tourists become more interested in personal stories and authentic experiences, greater contact with local communities is occurring. The smaller projects covered in the cases illustrate community engagement through consultations, ownership, employment and/or development funds. The large projects have also contributed immensely to communities through infrastructure development, economic improvement and job creations. However, the cases also highlight certain failures such as a lack of housing and transport for communities employed in tourism developments.

**Notes**

1. The carbon-trading scheme was introduced in 2005 and now covers large polluters, such as power and cement companies; airlines are slated to be included in the scheme, which is raising concern and fear of retaliation. The program forces companies to pay for permits for each ton of carbon dioxide they emit above a certain level or cap, but certain governments have opposed the system. See for example http://www.travelmole.com/stories/1148281.php.
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This chapter outlines particular recommendations appropriate for countries at each stage of tourism development. The four different stages follow the typology outlined in this report: pre-emerging, potential/initiating tourism, emerging/scaling up tourism, and consolidating/deepening and sustaining success. The one stage of development for which no recommendations can be made is the pre-emergent phase, discussed below.

Pre-Emerging Countries

In most cases, the 14 pre-emerging countries listed are either war-torn, suffering civil strife, or have recently emerged from these situations but have not yet reached stability. Little can be done by external agencies until responsible democratic governments are empowered to establish stable political regimes and ensure the safety and security of local populations. Such essential requirements must be in place before the country can offer visitors access to its tourism assets, no matter how appealing they may be. There can be no recommendations for the growth of the tourism sector until these countries emerge from the current difficulties that constrain the development not just of tourism but of the macroeconomy as a whole.
Recommendations throughout this report focused on:

- Embracing these strategies consistently is fundamental to all tourism development, which is economic productive, environmentally sensitive, and protective of cultural heritage.
- Encouraging tourism managers to focus on the value of their product to be competitive in the international market.
- Gaining the essential and strong political support for tourism at the highest governmental level, and encouraging government to take the lead in creating effective institutions and coordinating mechanisms to maintain a dialogue with all stakeholders.
- Ensuring the private sector’s vital role and the need for government to create an enabling environment for investments and provide supporting infrastructure for those investments.
- Recognizing donors and their capacity to assist the tourism sector in many vital areas, such as infrastructure, training, and pro-poor tourism.
- Providing investors with needed information and establishing “one-stop” shops.
- Acknowledging the critical role of air transport and the necessity to liberalize air policies.
- Improving poor connectivity within countries and regions, and road and internal air access to the region, whether through private sector entrepreneurship, government investments, or a combination.
- Resolving the current constraints on tourism and considering other countries’ illustrations of solutions to the issues of land availability, investor access to finance, taxes on tourism investments, low levels of tourism skills, lack of security, safety and high crime, public health, visa requirements, and red tape and bureaucracy.
- Assessing the scale of development that is appropriate to the country’s assets and management resources and determining where and when development will take place.
- Noting the four pillars of sustainability for tourism: financial, economic, social and environmental, all of which are essential for sustained tourism growth.
- Realizing the potential to finance tourism by appropriating to government the economic rents that tourism generates.

Development Stage Recommendations

Specific recommendations for countries at the remaining three stages of tourism development follow. The case studies in Part 2 of this report illustrate various ways in which countries have overcome constraints and established themselves either in niche markets or as major players in the international tourism market.

An elaboration of some of these recommendations follows for countries at the different development stages.
Initiating Tourism

As in the case of land, improving transport policies and infrastructure in countries at the beginning of their tourism journey requires a practical approach focused on the tourism asset with the highest potential. Countries such as the Dominican Republic, Indonesia, Mexico, and Turkey focused scarce resources on the locations and market segments with highest growth potential. In each location this effective strategy removed certain critical constraints pertaining to infrastructure, security, and skills, and from the outset attracted world-class investors, who played a critical role in launching the destination. Targeting areas of high potential also enables destinations to focus promotion activities on one or two iconic attractions, pilot key and delicate policy reforms for land and air transport, and create appropriate institutions with coordinating mechanisms in a contained setting. The success of these first developments, which had public sector and donor support, encouraged new investors to finance projects in other areas and carried these countries to the next stage of development. Salient issues for countries initiating tourism are discussed in the case studies of Dominica, Indonesia, the Republic of Korea, Namibia, Rwanda, South Africa, and Tanzania in Part 2.

The strategy recommendations to initiate tourism are:

**Institutional Foundations**

- Build and sustain commitment to tourism from leadership in the country
- Focus scarce resources on the tourism segments and locations of highest potential following a fact-based evaluation of assets, discussion of alternatives, and consideration of potential impacts and constraints
- Put in place institutional mechanisms for implementing the strategy, engaging selected local governments, other ministries, the private sector, donors, and local communities
- Put in place independent monitoring to help ensure transparency, sustainability, and accountability

**Infrastructure Foundations**

- Enhance access infrastructure, utilities, and sanitation in the selected locations

**Policy Foundations**

- Streamline visa and work permit processing for the key tourism segments
- Review and improve land policies in the selected locations including registration, tenure, and land use to enable the utilization of property as collateral
- Review transport policies; consider open skies policy in selected locations
- Review labor policies in selected locations
- Address critical health and security concerns in selected locations
- Support strategic first movers
Scaling Up Tourism

Once political support exists, airline access is secure, and land is available for development, investment and destination promotion becomes critical for attracting investors. Destination promotion involves marketing campaigns, source-market awareness building, and positive image enhancement. Investor promotion involves providing information that will build confidence and streamline the investment process. Some countries have opted for a one-stop shop where investors can find a centralized source of information and guidance for investing in a country. Mauritius has become a world leader in this area.

Professional investment conferences are held around the world, at which investors, lenders, insurance companies, real estate agencies, and financial institutions meet, network, and discuss topics of interest. In Tanzania, for example, an investment promotion forum and an outreach program organized by the Multilateral Investment Guarantee Agency (MIGA), a member of the World Bank Group, in 2002 resulted in over US$100 million in investment in the following two years. The main components were an investment forum and a follow-up investor outreach program.

Investors can be attracted by direct financial assistance, such as bonds or special-purpose taxes, indirect assistance, such as zoning, and fiscal measures, such as tax breaks. Although these may not be necessary for viable projects, tourism is replete with incentives and investors are not shy to seek them.

The strategy recommendations to scale up tourism are:

**Destination Planning and Incremental Infrastructure**

- Scale up and replicate success in other high potential zones
- Undertake thorough economic, environmental, and social analysis of each project/destination area prior to development using tools such as EIA, SIA

**Investment and Destination Promotion**

- Provide information and support such as destination research and incentive programs, and support to anchor investments
- Promote the destination and products; consider new markets and products; study the branding of competing destinations
- Engage in ongoing investor promotion and follow-up such as aftercare

**Access to Land and Finance**

- Generalize policy reforms
- Provide SME and microfinance support with a focus on women and young people in tourism development
- Promote and facilitate public-private partnerships
Adaptive Growth Management

- Decentralize responsibilities and build the capacity of local governments
- Monitor destination areas for signs of stress through ongoing consultation

Maintaining and Deepening Success

As growth increases, strategies are needed to disperse tourists to different areas and, where possible, to distribute arrivals more evenly during the calendar year. Tanzania’s northern circuit is overloaded and the country is trying to create new areas for tourism growth in the south, in the Pemba and Mafia Islands, the Selous Reserve, and Zanzibar. The Dominican Republic has defined a range of future development areas, as noted in the case study in Part 2. Five major developments are located at the extremities of the country, far from the capital. Clearly, sun and sand destinations may be easier to replicate than those involving wildlife, cultural, or historic sites. Turkey has been successful in replicating the South Antalya Project model in various other destinations around the country.

The viability of resorts is threatened if growth is not managed. The Costa Rican case study in Part 2 (number 1) best illustrates the successful management of higher tourism numbers by escalating already high standards and by focusing on its brand image of nature conservation, complemented by the introduction of “green” certification for hoteliers and service providers. Increasing visitor arrivals in the nonpeak seasons can best be achieved by pricing incentives, diversifying the tourism product, and scheduling special events, such as film or music festivals, in the off-season. The benefits of nonpeak tourism accrue to the providers of accommodation and their employees, who might otherwise be released or work part time, and to the many beneficiaries of tourists’ considerable discretionary spending.

The lesson for tourism managers is that tourism development is always a work in progress. Every destination, whether a single destination within a country or the entire country, has to remain constantly competitive in the world tourism market.

The strategy recommendations to maintain and deepen success are:

Diversification, Decentralization, and High Value Added

- Explore options for new markets such as cultural heritage, intraregional travel, MICE
- Add value to existing products and support product innovation to improve economic multipliers and length of tourist season

World-class Tourism Competencies

- Develop customer awareness and a culture of tourism, for example, through customer service courses
• Provide technical/vocational and professional capacity building
• Support entrepreneurship with programs to encourage SME expansion

**Social Inclusiveness**

• Build tourism awareness courses into community outreach activities and school curricula
• Enhance community linkages by promoting the local sourcing of goods where possible
• Recognize and support informal tourism services and supply chains

**Environmental Management**

• Develop standards to encourage sustainable tourism operations
• Develop policies to anticipate and mitigate the impacts of climate change; mainstream carbon-friendly technologies
• Develop and use sustainable tourism indicators to monitor destination performance
PART 2

CASE STUDIES
Learning From Experience

Tourism has been used as a tool for economic development throughout the world. The following case studies in this report offer an opportunity to learn from previous experience in Africa as well as experiences of other counties globally. The cases illustrate good practice and lessons learned from experience in tourism as a source of growth and poverty alleviation in developing and emerging countries around the world. Some of them also reveal certain failures. Ultimately, the cases are a tool for Sub-Saharan African countries to use to explore and learn from the experiences of tourism development in Africa and other significant destinations. The cases date from the 1970s to the mid-2000s and were chosen to illustrate a particular challenge or success and the effects of certain planning decisions. The earlier cases provide an extended timeframe demonstrating their success or failure. Additionally, they offer perspective on how destination grow and change over time and how initial decisions impact this potential growth. The newer cases reflect more recent policies and trends, such as corporate social responsibility and voluntourism, or charitable tourism. Together the cases studies help countries envisage a dynamic vision for the future of tourism in Sub-Saharan Africa.

The cases present key challenges faced by both Sub-Saharan African and global destinations. For example, as land acquisition is a frequently encountered problem in tourism, several of the cases address land acquisition. Similarly, challenges such as managing growth, access, environmental protection, social inclusion, and charitable support for local communities also find a place in the case studies. They thus highlight issues and strategic decisions related to the scale of the tourism development, the sustainability of the projects and the financing of tourism.

The 24 case studies are listed in table II.1 which includes key salient features of each case. The geographic location of each study is shown in figure II.1. The cases include: (a) large projects based on broad-scale land development (such as Turkey’s South Antalya) or citywide programs (such as Cancún or Dubai), (b) specialized cases that focus on specific activities (for example conservancies in Namibia, mountaineering in Kenya), and (c) smaller cases that are typically individual islands, resorts or activities (such as Nihiwatu, Indonesia, or Jungle Bay, Dominica) with interesting stories to tell. While the cases come from a wide range of destinations, each case was selected to illustrate a specific lesson learned that can be applied to tourism development and planning in Sub-Saharan Africa as well as in other global destinations.

Each case was carefully chosen to be included in this study in order to highlight the different stages of tourism planning, development and expansion. The Sub-Saharan Africa case studies illustrate the successes and challenges of existing destinations and projects in the region. These cases focus on destinations that are in the deepening and sustaining tourism stage and are particularly useful for Sub-Saharan Africa destinations in the first two phases of tourism development: initiating tourism and scaling up tourism. However, tourism in Sub-Saharan Africa is a relatively young industry for many countries and broadening the geographic scope of the cases outside of the region provides a comprehensive set of examples from which Sub-Saharan Africa destinations in all stages of development can learn.
For example, tourism development in many destinations across Asia is far more advanced than much of Sub-Saharan Africa. An examination of Asian destinations illustrates the impact of tourism planning and development over an extended period of time. Further, cases from Latin America and the Caribbean illustrate a region with experience in both large and small scale tourism development projects. Latin American and Caribbean cases such as Lapa Rios and Jungle Bay demonstrate the importance of community involvement and environmental protection. As a leader in this field, tourism developments from Latin America and the Caribbean can provide widely applicable lessons on livelihood development and environmental protection through tourism. On the other hand, cases such as Cancun and the Dominican Republic offer examples of how government and multi-lateral institutions can work together for long term tourism development. The Middle East and North Africa case studies included also offer both successful and failed examples of large scale infrastructure development providing both caution and guidance for countries focused on large scale development. Together the wide range of case studies provide examples and lessons learned that can be applied throughout Sub-Saharan Africa by countries at all three stages of tourism development as well as other destination throughout the world. These cases are particularly important for countries in the deepening and sustaining tourism stage. As the leaders of Sub-Saharan Africa tourism, these countries need to look beyond their regional borders to create a vision for tourism development that will lead them to a stronger and more dynamic tourism sector.

The cases are organized into five stages as follows:

- **Introduction.** The opening section summarizes the case succinctly with its results. A box precedes the introduction summarizing the key lessons.
- **Tourism data.** This section uses standard data where available, including international arrivals, foreign exchange receipts, contribution to gross national product (GNP) and employment. Additional information may also be included.
- **Sector history and background.** This section varies considerably between cases in order to provide relevant context.
- **The case description.** The objectives and scope are presented along with actual experience.
- **Results.** This section summarizes conclusions and lessons from the cases.
### Table II.1: Case Study Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Project name</th>
<th>Project category</th>
<th>Salient features of tourism development</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Costa Rica      | Lapa Rios             | Ecotourism                         | - Environmental conservation  
                         - Tourism certification, including ethics code                                                    |
| Cape Verde      |                       | Transformation in a small country | - Market-oriented policies and democratic processes  
                         - Quality air transport infrastructure, supportive air policies  
                         - Scale, form, and type compatible with available resources                                           |
| Dominica        | Jungle Bay            | Island ecolodge                    | - Ecotourism integration into world tourism institutions (timesharing)                                 |
| Dominican Republic | Puerto Plata       | Coastal estate resort              | - Political support for tourism  
                         - Transition from public to private investment  
                         - Model widely replicated in country  
                         - Sanitation requirements                                                                     |
| Dominican Republic | Future sector growth | Economic and policy analysis       | - Future dispersion of growth in the country  
                         - Carrying capacity and diversification of product line                                             |
| Dubai           | Nontraditional economic growth | National transformation | - New source of growth in face of depleting oil reserves  
                         - How to launch a new sector  
                         - Investment and promotion                                                                       |
| Egypt           | Sharm el Sheikh       | Coastal development                | - Product diversification  
                         - Land acquisition  
                         - Institutional rationalization                                                                  |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Project name</th>
<th>Project category</th>
<th>Salient features of tourism development</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Indonesia        | Bali                  | Island, large resort                                  | - Protection of cultural heritage  
- Institutional framework  
- Airline access and infrastructure development |
| Indonesia        | Nihiwatu              | Island ecolodge                                       | - Community partnerships  
- Social inclusion and charitable donations |
| Jordan           | Aqaba                 | Multisector resort and industrial development         | - New coastal resort development  
- Integration of multisector investment in economic zone |
| Kenya            | Nairobi               | Convention, incentive, meetings and exhibitions        | - Business versus leisure travel, diversification of product line  
- Convention facility investment  
- Targeted marketing |
| Republic of Korea| Kyongju               | Historic city                                         | - Poor coordination and access  
- Market timing |
| Maldives         | Island resorts        | Multi-island resort development                       | - Transparent evaluation of resort bids  
- Planning framework and environmental controls  
- Business environment  
- Airline access |
| Mauritius        | National tourism      | Policy framework                                      | - Export and investment promotion  
- Training  
- Control of supply  
- Airline access |
| Mexico           | Cancún                | City resort of 240 hotels on formerly deserted island | - Location and scale  
- Role of public sector developer, FONATUR  
- All-inclusive tourism |
| Morocco          | Bay of Agadir         | Rebuilding of city following earthquake                | - Mixed use resort development, residential, commercial and hotel  
- Business environment, open skies, political support  
- Project stopped for political difficulties |
| Morocco          | Coastal cities tourism| Preparing city beach sited for private tender          | - Strengthening ministry’s role as tourism planner  
- Regulation and promotion of private investment  
- Parallel measures in support of resort development |
| Namibia          | Wilderness travel     | Public-private partnerships                           | - Concessioning community land to private sector  
- Improved wildlife management through conservancies |
| South Africa     | Wilderness Safaris &Beyond | Public-private partnerships                       | - Land management  
- Concessioning community land to private sector |
| Rwanda           | Sabyinyo Silverback Lodge | Protection of mountain gorillas                  | - Community partnerships with private sector  
- Biodiversity protection |
| Singapore        | Sentosa Island        | Day-trip playground and island resort development     | - Building a resort to appeal to residents and international visitors  
- Importance of training |
| Tanzania         | Mt. Kilimanjaro       | Mountaineering packages                               | - Poverty reduction analysis  
- Sanitation and management  
- Trade unions for porters, guides and cooks |
| Tunisia          | Infrastructure in six zones | Coastal city resort development                      | - Integrated national development  
- Institutional framework, including land bank |
| Turkey           | South Antalya         | Large-scale resort                                    | - Model widely replicated throughout the country  
- Staff housing problems |

Source: Authors.
Key areas to consider in the cases studies include:

**Land**

One challenge that seems to appear in most countries is the issue of access to land. Where a private land market is functional, the challenge is to increase transparency and reduce the bureaucracy surrounding land transactions. In many countries, however, land is owned by the government which only it can lease or sell. In others, communities often sell their land with vested rights unwittingly to investors, thus losing their principal source of income. In the past, governments often resorted to expropriating land but this practice is giving way to negotiated processes where owners are given a fair price for their land, are offered an equivalent plot elsewhere, or are invited to invest in the project. Using land as security for borrowing on the property is a key goal.

To address these issues, governments often try to select the land to be developed for tourism. Most of the large cases in this report preferred this method even if it was the only option open to them (the case in the Dominican Republic, Morocco, and Turkey—case studies 4, 16, and 24, respectively). The government then reviewed the status of the land pertaining to any obligations or encumbrances on it and subsequently rectified them under a legal process before the land was made available for development.

An investor ideally wants to own land outright but several of the cases show that leasing options are a good alternative. Egypt, for example, assembled land in Sharm el Sheik over a 10-year period (see case study 7), with the Tourism Development Authority (TDA) purchasing it from other public agencies. It was an arduous process, one government agency was negotiating with another. TDA made land available to investors for a 25-year lease, renewable once. Other countries opt for longer leases. Maldives extends leases for up to 50 years, depending on the framework of the partnership. At the other end of the spectrum, however, it took Nihiwatu resort 10 years to gain full access to the land it required, negotiating principally with the communities on Sumba (see case study 9).

More generally, all the leases involve public and private partnerships. A variety of options allow the private sector to partner with government on large projects: (a) at the land development stage, (b) at the infrastructure development stage, and (c) at the build-out phase for tourism facilities. In the first case, the government leases land to a developer or brings it to a partnership as equity; in the second, the government contracts with the private sector for infrastructure, construction, and/or operation, under various privatization models; and in the third, the private sector comes in at the stage where hotels and facilities are being built. The specific terms depend on market conditions and how active a role the government wishes to play, as well as investor responses to that role.

Many of the earlier programs in tourism fell under the first two options. More recently, a model similar to the public sector case on Morocco (number 17) is being used. Under this model, the government prepares the site plan and model contractual documents. It then puts the projects out for tender. This model (along with the special economic zone model described below) appeals to governments because it lets them design the basic regulations on land use and zoning but leaves sufficient flexibility for the private sector to
respond to market conditions and the opportunity to generate profits. Another type of project involving tourism is that of the special economic zone, dedicated to tourism or to multi-industry. This type has been used in Asia for many years. Such models close to Africa are Aqaba (case study 10) and Dubai (case study 6).

A number of public sector developers have been instrumental in developing tourism. Tunisia is one case (number 23 in this report) where its Agence Foncière Touristique (AFT), or Land Bank, has been effective in both purchasing plots for future development and implementing development programs. Another is Mexico, as illustrated in the Cancún case (number 15). FONATUR (Mexico’s National Trust Fund for Tourism Development) has been a partner in five major resorts. The first two were Cancún and Zihuatanejo (Ixtapa), each successful in its own way. Cancún opted for concentrated growth on the island, originally as a hub for the Caribbean coast (the Riviera Maya) but now includes intense development extending 100 kilometers south. Zihuatanejo opted for a much more decentralized growth (40 hotels against over 200 in Cancún) on the Pacific coast and some say at a more human scale. Each has its supporters, and these examples serve to underline the different market niches that complement each other.

A final model, illustrated by the Namibia and South Africa cases (numbers 18 and 19 in this report, respectively), is that of a conservancy. It is well suited for projects, often small, in which communities own the rights to land. Community land is valued as an asset that can provide long-term income for owners. The goals are to make sure the local owners have a stake in sustainable development. These concessions or conservancies can be designed in many ways. In effect, publicly owned landholders (that is, the state directly or an agency of the state, such as national parks) lease land to a community usually for a modest fee (for 50 years or more). The community sets up a community trust or conservancy (30–50 years) that invests in retail tourism assets, such as restaurants or lodges. The retail facilities are leased to private operators (15–25 years). The model recognizes the interests of each group. They can be complex to structure and negotiate but they bring real value to many rural communities and families in Africa. In addition, they are sustainable and transparent.

Criticisms of land development projects include that they are planned without room to grow “organically.” They lack “animation” or “soul.” This critique seems to disappear over time, however, and it cannot be said of such projects as Cancún, Punta Cana, or Bali. In many of these resorts, most of the hotels have all-inclusive service, meaning that the projects are enclaves, estates, or in some way cut off from the local community (with various on-site, often local, entertainment). All-inclusive properties have found favor in many market niches, from families to couples. A common feature of these large resorts is that they realize economies of scale and are readily marketed as brands. In some, particularly in the Dominican Republic or Playa del Carmen (close to Cancún), boutique hotels are beginning to appear, adding variety to the product offering.

**Infrastructure**

Infrastructure is almost as important as land for tourism. The development of tourism and the welfare of communities depend upon it. Trunk infrastructure is usually provided by the
state, or public utilities; the Turkey model (case 24) highlights these relationships. There, for example, the state provided most of the infrastructure in Kemer that then became a municipality with its own rights and responsibilities, to manage the town effectively and locally, taking over the management of certain facilities, such as sanitation. On-site infrastructure is usually charged to the project and the end user pays for connections.

Variations to this model of course exist, where, for example, the investor is responsible for all trunk infrastructure. In a project in the Dominican Republic at Punta Cana, investors financed and constructed the airport and water supply facilities; a private power company provided generation and transmission costs. Some elements of infrastructure tend not to be provided, including solid waste and sanitation requirements (these were cancelled in the Dominican Republic Puerto Plata project (case study 4), for example), an unacceptable practice in today’s world. The Maldives case (number 13) shows that investors can support the costs of infrastructure and the resort can still be profitable. The key is to use modern, appropriate technology, such as photovoltaic or wind power, desalinization, small sanitation plants, and roads that are not necessarily black-topped. Effluent from sanitation plants can be used for watering gardens.

A critical element for all infrastructure is cost recovery. Tourism investors often complain that they cross-subsidize local communities through the charges they pay for infrastructure services, whether through tariffs or taxation. Practice varies around the world, but power costs are typically recovered through tariffs or user charges, and sanitation is recovered indirectly through the tax system. Most utility charges are subject to considerable analysis, with lifeline tariffs for residents’ minimum consumption and higher tariffs for greater use. Yet infrastructure is one of the most potent incentives a government can offer investors. A balance must be found between cost recovery required for sustainability and incentives. Other emerging models are based on urban development corporations that recover costs through ground leases. In countries with taxation on improved land (tax on rental value), opportunities for cost recovery exist (Peterson 2006).

Lastly, infrastructure projects often include funding for anchor projects/first movers. The Turkey project (number 24 in this report) included a small hotel and marina, both of which were concessioned out to the private sector after a short period of direct management by the project.

Ecotourism Projects

The smaller projects in the portfolio of cases (Nihiwatu, number 9; Jungle Bay, number 3; Lapa Rios, number 1) are all leaders in the field of ecotourism. The properties are valued by guests for their uniqueness (and often remoteness); by public agencies, for their impact on environmental protection; and by communities for their impact on the social fabric of the area. Their core objective is often to conserve nature and extend benefits to communities. Individuals with a vision and passion often drive these smaller projects. They usually encounter tough conditions at the beginning. As mentioned, Nihiwatu took 10 years to assemble its land package. They must persevere, sorting out various basic challenges before the tourism project itself can emerge, addressing issues like education and social
services for local populations. Even at the operational stage, they continue to fund local projects. A number of projects from among the case studies (Nihiwatu, Jungle Bay, and, to a certain extent, Maldives) have developed charitable arms that seek to fund community projects from their own resources. They find that their guests are also willing to contribute to a substantial degree.

**Missing Middle**

In a comparison of the large and small projects, the large projects are often perceived as international in scope by way of foreign ownership, corporate, impersonal, and “artificial”, whereas the smaller resort projects are viewed as locally owned, intimate, and authentic. Valid elements comprise both these observations, but both types are needed. There seems to be a “missing middle” of more modest-sized projects that still capture the scale needed to be sustainable and are large enough to retain a more individualistic atmosphere. Costa Rica is perhaps a country in which there is a clear focus on certification programs for eco-tourism lodges (see case study number 1), although the country is now building larger hotels on beach sites reserved for larger operations.

**Training**

The level of service in tourism establishments is an important part of overall product quality. Attention to service is particularly important in Asia, where it has become an art. In the case of Singapore (number 21), Sentosa has a local branch of the country’s tourism training school (Temasek Polytechnik College). In Maldives (number 13), regulations allow the country to import up to 50 percent of staff from South Asia. In Tanzania (number 22), workers have organized to ensure good working conditions—and the opportunity for training. The country has a multisector training institution with many fields of study, including tourism, offering a two-year associate degree with courses in culinary and catering management, leisure and resort management, and hospitality and tourism management, housed in the business school. It also recently opened a culinary academy. More generally, tourism training is fundamental for sector development although it is often overlooked in tourism project work. Two principal elements generate good service: (a) providing basic education and vocational training, and (b) developing tourism investors, owners and managers. In the case of Singapore, fees cover 20 percent of the costs of training with the state paying the balance. Alternatively, the private sector and government can cooperate to fund training, with direct inputs from operators on what skills and services are required. The focus should be on creating careers, not just jobs—people need to be reassured that long-term employment is an option and that the industry offers an opportunity for personal growth through a deepening of knowledge in specialized fields or by advancing to positions of more responsibility. More attention needs to be paid to training to cover national curricula in the sector; the regulation of hospitality training facilities; the
strengthening of partnerships with the private sector on training; and pairing and exchange programs to allow new technology and know-how into the hospitality sector.

**Outsourcing**

An attractive aspect of tourism is the opportunity it offers to enhance linkages that add value and create jobs. In the past, governments put little emphasis on local production and supplies to serve the tourism industry. Today, along with entrepreneurs moved by self-interest and corporate responsibility, they are making an effort to procure goods and services locally. These include consumables, such as dairy products, fish, meats, fruits, and vegetables, and nonconsumable items, such as furniture and handicrafts. A potential problem in such procurement is a possible spike in prices, shutting local consumers out of the market. Fish, which in many countries is a staple food for the local population, has become scarce and very expensive in Tunisia and Senegal, for example. So operators must ensure that the supply of locally procured goods is sustainable and remains affordable. A partner with the International Finance Corporation (IFC), the Orient Express Monasterio Hotel in Cusco, Peru, \(^1\) reached agreement with a local fish farmer to provide a regular supply of trout to the hotel based on an analysis of the whole production cycle to ensure its stability and quality. In contrast, a World Bank linkages paper\(^2\) noted that most hotel furniture in Tanzania was imported from China and that no trade link existed between local tourism enterprises and the local furniture industry. Such a link would help to supply hotels with local furniture, adding value and extending tourism’s impacts. From the case studies, the Dominican Republic is the only island in the Caribbean that produces locally over 90 percent of supplies needed for its tourism, giving it a competitive advantage over other islands and a better established industry.

**Managing Growth and Scale**

Managing growth and scale is central. Growth often appears to be unmanaged, occurring irregularly depending on economic and global conditions. Boom and bust conditions are not infrequent. The Mediterranean coastlines of Spain and Italy are good examples of growth that has exploded to the point where the resorts’ viability is threatened. Sentosa in Singapore has struggled with managing its size as several investors have departed (see case study 21). However, a frequently confronted problem is too much growth, where growth itself threatens the quality of the resort. As that growth explodes, strategies are needed to disperse growth to different areas. Tanzania’s northern circuit is overloaded such that the country is trying to create new areas for tourism growth in the south, in the Selous Reserve, Zanzibar, Pemba, and Mafia Islands. The Dominican Republic has defined a range of future development areas, as noted in case study number 5 in this report. Turkey has been successful in replicating the South Antalya Project model in various other destinations around the country (see case study 24). FONATUR has five major developments
located at the extremities of the country, far from the capital. Undoubtedly, sun and sand destinations are easier to replicate than those involving wildlife, cultural, or historic sites.

Yet criteria for the successful management of tourism growth must be set and monitoring indicators identified (Bosselman, Peterson and McCarthy 1999). An area that requires initial focus is the marrying of tourism activity with communities. If tourism is not fully integrated into the local economy, it could easily fail. In most of the cases highlighted in this report, consultation with communities, often called a “community character analysis,” was a part of the process. Lapa Rios, Jungle Bay, and Nihiwatu, to name just three of the case studies (numbers 1, 3, and 9, respectively), all maintain ongoing consultation with their local communities. These consultations can inform decisions on tourism growth even as their content varies according to local conditions. In some, the emphasis is on the expected impacts of tourism, whereas in more advanced contexts it is on local communities’ expectations from tourism and how much they wish to grow.

Another fundamental element is that certain physical conditions must be present for tourism to take place, such as access to clean drinking water and available land for construction without harming the environment. Models to analyze carrying capacity have grown out of wildlife analysis and recreational land use, such as forests and parks, but are still evolving; projects frequently overrun their estimated carrying capacities and design criteria. Better models for demand forecasting are required, as are indicators of growth, such as raw data on supply and occupancy data that measure the level of use. Countries attempt to control growth by limiting the number of rooms available (Bermuda); others rely on occupancy indicators with no new construction as long as occupancies fall below a defined level (Tanzania, Mauritius). These tactics also require solid analysis, research, and sound judgment, which are often in scarce supply.

**Air Access**

Air access is critical for tourism and was included as a key area of study in a number of cases’ project preparation. It was well studied in the Puerto Plata, Dominican Republic project (number 4 in this report) that included an airport. That airport is now approved for U.S. carriers, served by American Airlines and US Airways, with direct flights for both narrow—and wide-body planes from Canada, Europe and the United States. Overall, the Dominican Republic now has six international airports, most justified by tourism. In Costa Rica, the regional airport at Liberia was planned to handle growing tourist travel to the north of the country and to bypass San José. In Bali, although an airport existed, it was closed to direct international traffic; only when the airport was classified as international did traffic spike and tourism grow (see case study 8). Maldives welcomes all carriers, whereas the Seychelles relies primarily on the national carrier, Air Seychelles, although other airlines now fly there. Another island in the Indian Ocean, Mauritius has a national carrier with extensive pooling arrangements with carriers like British Airways and Air France (see case study 14). In Morocco an interesting option was followed: in parallel to launching resort development, the government announced plans to liberalize Royal Air Maroc, the national carrier, and thus facilitate flexible air policy for tourism (case studies
For many of the other cases in this report, air access appears to have been left to chance or to plans cobbled together well after the rest of the resort was under construction. In general, a number of options can be considered: scheduled service, charter service (which can be transformed into scheduled service), and low-cost carriers, although at present few cities in Africa outside Johannesburg have markets to support these carriers. Charters are highly dependent on the attitude of civil aviation authorities, which tend to be quite protectionist (in certain cases, each flight has to be approved, making forward planning difficult).

**Economic Returns**

The larger tourism projects have delivered economic returns, albeit quite modest in some cases and barely above the rates governments seek to stimulate new sources of growth (10-12 percent). However, they generate solid cash flows for investors/managers and government as a result of their generous depreciation allowances. The 10-12 percent rates represent returns for direct inputs; if indirect and induced impacts are included, the rates may be substantially higher. However, it is difficult to generate credible indirect and induced estimates so, in their absence, it seems better to rely on direct impacts only. Clearly, the large projects have delivered a substantial number of jobs and tax and foreign exchange revenues, and they have contributed, more intangibly, to a positive national investment climate (for example in the Dominican Republic). The smaller projects may be financially successful and often have valuable outreach community programs but they may not be economic since it is difficult to develop them sufficiently to generate solid economic returns for the country. The economic analysis of tourism projects is evolving quickly, particularly as advances in natural resource economics are made (World Bank 2006; World Bank 2011). Whereas many environmental impacts are measurable, some are difficult to quantify and remain as qualitative inputs.

**Environmental Management**

The environment and tourism have long been linked; tourism has been the junior partner, often no more than a source of revenue to offset the cost of environmental mitigation plans. More recently, however, tourism and the environment have come to be recognized as requiring a sound planning framework and as being mutually reinforcing. The Bali project (case study 8 in this report), for instance, included a major nursery producing plants suitable for the project area based on research into indigenous varieties; no exotic vegetation was allowed.

The biggest issue related to climate change is global warming, a critical challenge involving rising seas and carbon-trading programs being put in place. The Maldives resorts project (case study 13) is the case most immediately affected by climate change, as the islands are little more than one or two meters above sea level. Rising seas are putting many islands and coastal cities, including ports, around the world at risk. Regarding emissions
trading, the United States already charges airlines US$16.50 for each international passenger that lands in or departs from its territory, the United Kingdom charges passengers on the basis of the distance they have flown. Australia introduced a carbon tax on July 1, 2012. Although no agreement has been reached on climate change internationally, the Copenhagen process continues to seek progress toward an international treaty. Airlines contribute about 5 percent to carbon emissions; other public transport and equipment that use energy, such as air conditioners, also produce high levels of carbon. Tourism is sure to be affected by any Copenhagen deal, although not as a direct negotiator in the process. Whether the green lobby or global warming skeptics gain the upper hand, the costs for tourism are likely to increase. Car rental companies and airlines are already offering the option of payment to offset excess carbon generated by aircraft and cars.

Tourism has a role to play in the environmental management of wildlife and plant diversity, the conservation of land resources, and the management of coastal zones. Environmental impacts can either be positive or negative. The positive aspects include environmental protection, the conservation of historic and archeological sites, the enhancement of the environment, and sound infrastructure design. The negative facets include water, air, noise, and landscape pollution; excess waste disposal; ecological disruption; and environmental hazards. Today, most projects require an environmental impact assessment (EIA) and an environmental management plan (EMP) to mitigate negative impacts.

The tools used to achieve environmental objectives are physical planning and regulation, incentives, infrastructure, subsidies, and direct management by nongovernmental organizations (NGOs), such as Conservation International or the World Wildlife Fund. In the Costa Rica case (number 1 in this report), Lapa Rios is a conservancy of almost 1,000 acres that tries to demonstrate sound environmental practice for the greater Corcovado National Park and Osa Peninsula. More broadly, Costa Rica has set the world standard for certification of ecotourism. In Bali, great care was taken to identify zoning and development standards as keys to environmental protection, in terms of building setbacks, and access to the beach, for example (see case study 8). Similarly, Maldives has identified comparable development standards and zoning rules, including one resort per island with a 40 percent coverage maximum, and buildings no higher than trees and set back from the high-water mark (see case study 13). TUI, the largest tourism conglomerate in the world, also has an advanced in-house system to measure its targets for green tourism and awards for excellence.

Public Institutions and Regulation

The cases presented in this report make one thing clear: in a sector often considered as “private sector driven,” public intervention to stimulate development, whether on policy or investment, can be onerous but is essential. In certain cases, some “public investment” may in fact be financed by the private sector but the state typically plays a role in investment as well as in policy areas.
Sound institutions are required to promote needed public investment, create the policy framework, and establish regulations. But tourism institutions are frequently weak and appear to be a drag on private investment rather than a catalyst for it—often because there may be no real commitment to tourism as a force in economic development, especially where it is a new sector. Ministries of tourism are commonly the poor cousins of more powerful ministries such as finance and public works, are underfunded, and lack trained personnel. One priority is to communicate to government the full extent and value of tourism to the economy, which can change attitudes significantly. As tourism cuts across all sectors and various ministries, such as public works that may not understand tourism’s role, a second priority is to ensure the industry is not ignored during the development of work programs. A group that can cut through bureaucracy is needed. Ideally, such a technical group should establish a working group to carry out the analysis needed to make informed decisions. Then decisions must be taken, and be seen to be taken, or problems referred back for more discussion. An important weakness in tourism administrations is their inability to enforce key regulations and their tendency to fuss over details. This could be one of the reasons for the boom and bust mentality that pervades the sector. The Tunisia case (number 23 in this report) illustrates a range of agencies, each with its own functions involved in tourism development: a ministry for policy and regulation; a statutory body (ONTT) for marketing and public project development; and a land bank (AFT) for superstructure construction.

**Investment Promotion and Financing**

Many of the large projects cited in the cases reported that investors did not rush to snap up options for project sites. Investment promotion was not even included in project design. Today, investment promotion agencies exist in most countries (for example Mauritius’ MEDIA). Investment promotion is now a professional business with a number of regular investment promotion conferences worldwide.

Two of the case studies had ready access to finance, making execution easier: Jungle Bay (Dominica, case study number 3 in this report), whose promoter had been a Wall Street executive, was able to put a full program together. In Dubai (number 6), the access to finance was not an issue. In a number of other cases, however, access to finance was quite limited. The Dominican Republic (case studies 4 and 5) had to mobilize separate projects from the World Bank and the Inter-American Development Bank to provide lines of credit to the Central Bank, which channeled the funds through commercial banks.

Availability of hotel credit is also often overlooked. Project preparation should include a review of the financial system to evaluate whether long-term credit is likely to be available. In Africa commercial banks fund some tourism projects but long-term credit is not their specialty; more typically, pension funds or insurance companies fund these projects. Of course, in the larger projects investors often bring in their partner banks and investors who provide credit (often off-shore). Smaller loans may command stronger demand and better returns. Local financial institutions, including commercial banks and specialized institutions, often provide funding for the smaller type of tourism establishment, such as
restaurants or gift shops. Such funding through SMEs or microfinance institutions is vital for tourism.

Lastly, as part of investment promotion, incentives are often offered. These include enhancing the risk/reward relationship; direct financial assistance; financing mechanisms, such as bonds or special purpose taxes; indirect assistance (for example zoning); and fiscal measures, such as tax holidays.

In the vacuum created by the lack of access to appropriate finance, investors resort to short-term borrowing, which can spell disaster for projects that require heavy up-front funding and only reach stable cash flows after a few years of operation. This is particularly true for small businesses that often expand incrementally.

**Social Inclusion**

When people of different cultural backgrounds, language, religion, values, customs, lifestyle, behavior, dress, and attitudes toward time meet, some strain is likely. Tourists and locals typically meet in a variety of environments. Emmanuel de Kadt (1979) mentioned three interfaces: purchasing goods and services, experiencing things side by side, and exchanging information and ideas. More recently, as tourists become more interested in personal stories and experiences, greater contact with local communities is occurring, as are volunteer activities. The case studies demonstrate an acute sense of social inclusion and concern for poverty alleviating policy. The smaller projects are intimately concerned with social conditions, much more so than the larger projects. The cases show that small projects reach out to local communities (Lapa Rios, Jungle Bay, Nihiwatu), attempting to employ locals over outsiders. In some cases, local residents are part owners in projects, contributing community land in projects that try to protect the community’s assets (Sabyinyo Silverback Lodge, see case study 20). Some of the cases cited also have a fund or foundation for local projects that benefits the communities in a variety of ways. The large projects, like Cancún for example, have also contributed immensely to the community (see case study 15). The state of Quintana Roo had about 40,000 residents when tourism started. Cancún alone now has 500,000 inhabitants. The state has a total population of 750,000, due in large measure to tourism. Its citizens enjoy a higher standard of living than the national average. In Turkey, Kemer was established as a new community of 30,000 people, created with a modern infrastructure system and good social services (see case study 24). The infrastructure built under the projects, which would not have been a priority for the country in the absence of the projected tourism growth, also serves local populations.

One area where policy has failed the local communities is in the area of housing. In the South Antalya project in Turkey, only limited housing for employees was provided, such that workers had difficulty finding accommodation within a reasonable distance at a reasonable cost. Resorts need to provide transport to outlying areas. They should consider small urban areas, with access to housing and the availability of urban services that would also be useful in the promotion of small and medium enterprises (SMEs). It should be possible to propose collective housing schemes such as cooperatives and condominiums.
Notes

1. For example, in Lapa Rios, a couple from Minnesota, John and Karen Lewis; in Jungle Bay, Sam Raphael, a Dominican returned from the Diaspora; and in Nihiwatu, Claude and Petra Graves.
3. Amit Sharma, consultant, contributed a paper (Linkages study, unpublished) as input for a diagnostic trade paper in which several sectors are examined, including furniture.
4. The key ideas in this section originate from this work.
5. Or the negative impact of too much visitation, such as visitor impact studies.
6. U.S. carriers cannot serve an airport if the US FAA does not approve it.
7. One critical area is to measure the “rents” generated by the natural resources that tourism often relies on, such as national parks and reserves, which seem to be unevenly divided between stakeholders. Another way of looking at this is to suggest that, although tourism is often used as a way of offsetting the costs of environmental conservation, little evidence shows that is happening effectively. The costs of maintaining protected areas still weigh heavily on national budgets and revenues from tourism do not seem likely to cover these costs completely.
8. Breakwaters, retaining walls, and polders surround the capital city of Malé.
9. The carbon-trading scheme was introduced in 2005 and now covers large polluters such as power and cement companies; airlines are slated to be included in the scheme, but certain governments have opposed the system. The program forces companies to pay for permits for each ton of carbon dioxide they emit above a certain level or cap. See, for example, http://www.travelmole.com/stories/1148281.php.
10. EIAs are becoming more rigorous and provide for strict control and enforcement of the use of natural resources. Increasingly, safeguards on critical issues such as fiduciary responsibility, gender, expropriation, the employment of children, and transmigration are included in a project analysis.
11. See Sustainabledevelopment@tuitravel.com or www.tuitravelplc.com.
12. A number of countries have specialized hotel financing institutions that operate like mortgage lenders; others rely on commercial banks or nonbank financial institutions such as insurance companies or pension funds.
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Introduction

Costa Rica has long had a reputation as a stable democratic country in a part of the world where stability has been lacking. Contemporary tourism began there in the 1980s with sustainable ecotourism, based on the country’s amazing biodiversity, scenic beaches, tropical rainforest, volcanoes, and exotic wildlife (850 species of birds, 200 species of mammals). Its sustainability depends critically on a responsible tourism sector and visitors who respect the environment and local culture. Costa Rica has been a leader in adopting standards of sustainability through certification programs (such as Green Globe, Blue Flag beaches, and its own Certification for Sustainable Tourism) as a way of managing the sector’s growth.
Tourism Data

Tourism has grown rapidly. It took off when the government bought the Papagayo Peninsula one parcel at a time (1970–80) for large-scale tourism. In 1986, Costa Rica received 260,000 tourists and, by 2009, 2.3 million, growing eightfold in less than 25 years. Receipts have grown even faster, 14 times in less than 25 years. This implies that tourist expenditure per person has doubled in the same period. It also suggests that tourism and conservation can go hand in hand. Tourism (directly and indirectly) contributes about 12.8 percent to Costa Rica’s GNP and has a tourism workforce of 250,000 people (population 4.6 million).

Sector Background and History

Since the beginning, Costa Rica has been recognized for its dedication to small-scale development and to conservation. However, it now also has large-scale tourism with high-rise hotels and about a dozen five-star resorts (including the big international chains, such as Allegro, Barceló, Four Seasons, JW Marriott and Riu). Most guests arrive through a new airport at Liberia in the interior with ground transfers to the Pacific beaches. The country also has “residential tourism” or retirement living that grew rapidly but has stagnated since the recession in the United States. It has also gained a dubious reputation for claiming to be green without actually being so. Approximately half of Costa Rica’s tourism can be categorized as small-scale ecotourism,3 which is laudable. This situation is perfectly reasonable if well managed. Although small lodges cannot be readily “scaled up” or multiplied to create an economic critical mass, hotels can, and together they can lead to an economic and productive critical mass. However, the focus on ecotourism may be weakened if large-scale tourism increases its influence in an unsustainable manner. For example, since 2002, Costa Rica has been fighting its reputation as a sex tourism destination, which is not compatible with sustainability, particularly when it involves the commercial sexual exploitation of children. On the other hand, evidence shows that large hotels are now taking corporate social responsibility, the protection of children and the country’s image seriously and they are developing these angles as part of their ongoing business strategies. The real problem is to avoid the danger of “commoditizing” biodiversity. Not only should tourism enterprises be certified but certification should be extended to guides, service providers, artisans/hawkers, and others engaged in helping tourists access natural resources.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arrivals (thousands)</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>792</td>
<td>1,088</td>
<td>1,959</td>
<td>2,289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross receipts (US$, millions)</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>718</td>
<td>1,229</td>
<td>1,810</td>
<td>1,985</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: UNWTO.
The Certification Program

The Costa Rica Tourism Board (Instituto Costarricense de Turismo, ICT) administers the Certificate of Sustainable Tourism (CST). It categorizes and certifies tourism companies according to how they approach sustainability in terms of their impact on the natural, cultural, and social resources of the country. The ICT defines sustainable tourism: “as the balanced interaction of three basic factors within the tourism industry: (a) proper stewardship of natural and cultural resources, (b) improvement of local communities’ quality of life, and (c) economic success, that can contribute to national development.” ICT uses a broader concept than simply ecotourism; it uses the idea of sustainable tourism, which includes respect for human rights and particularly the rights of children. The program is a set of performance-based standards that creates guidelines for sustainable tourism and measures “the degree to which they comply with a sustainable model of natural, cultural and social resource management.” The process is free and voluntary and open to hotels, lodges and cabins, as well as to tour operators and travel agencies. The enterprise submits an application it received from ICT. A site visit and evaluation by ICT’s National Accreditation Commission follow and the property is awarded one of five levels (or “leaves”) for sustainability. The total number of approved organizations is shown in table 9.2.

### TABLE 9.2 HOTELS WITH CERTIFICATION AT DIFFERENT LEVELS, JUNE, 2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Leaves</th>
<th>Hotels</th>
<th>Tour operators</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Five leaves</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four leaves</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three leaves</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two leaves</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One leaf</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>224</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Costa Rica Tourism Board.

Results

What’s in it for the actors? The Lapa Rios project (box 9.1), among many others, attests to the value of a sustainability ranking and maintains that visitors buy into the concept. Studies show a willingness to pay for the experience. In fact, many visitors come to see how the system works. Numerous other countries have expressed interest in the system and those of Central America all intend to adopt it. On the other hand, the process of certification is time-consuming and costly in terms of staff resources and maintenance of the program (especially if one considers moving from one leaf to five).

Efforts have been afoot to reach agreement on global criteria for sustainable tourism for a number of years. The tempo increased after the World Conservation Congress in October 2008. The Global Sustainabile Tourism Council (GSTC), a global membership council created in 2009, emerged as the leading proponent for certification. GSTC offers
a common understanding of sustainable tourism and helps enterprises voluntarily adopt universal sustainable tourism principles and criteria.\textsuperscript{7} GSTC began by tentatively identified 37 such criteria, based on what is needed to protect and sustain the world’s natural and cultural resources while ensuring tourism supports local communities and contributes to the alleviation of poverty.

Support for certification can be sought from member organizations, such as Sustainable Travel International\textsuperscript{8} and the Rainforest Alliance,\textsuperscript{9} which offer training and the verification of standards.

Costa Rica has built a respected ecotourism industry that helps protect its environment and opens up opportunities for local communities, whatever the size of the operation. It provides lessons for both emerging and maturing destinations.

\textbf{Notes}

1. The data include cruise ship passengers, which in 2009 accounted for 366,000 visitors. The Center for Responsible Travel reckons that cruise passengers spend US$55 per night compared to terrestrial tourists who spend US$1,000 per trip. See http://www.responsibletravel.org.
3. The jewel in the crown is the Oro Peninsula but Costa Rica also has protected 24 national parks and reserves.
4. ICT has had the support of the International Union for Conservation of Nature and several academic institutions in the region. It also works closely with NGOs, such as Rainforest Alliance.
6. As of 2008, all applicants must also be signatories to the code of conduct against the sexual exploitation of children and adolescents. See http://www.thecode.org.
7. The initial criteria was organized around four main themes: effective sustainability planning; maximizing social and economic benefits for the local community; enhancing cultural heritage; and reducing negative impacts to the environment. See http://www.sustainabletourismcriteria.org.

\textbf{BOX 9.1}

\textbf{Lapa Rios}

Set in a private nature reserve spread over 1,000 acres of Central America’s last remaining lowland tropical rain forest in Costa Rica, Lapa Rios Ecolodge overlooks the pristine point where the Golfo Dulce meets the wild Pacific Ocean, a destination matching an idea of paradise. The 930-acre Lapa Rios Reserve helps buffer the Osa Peninsula’s Corcovado National Park and serves as a wildlife corridor. Lapa Rios Ecolodge is more than just a beautiful rain forest hotel or an ecoresort near the beach. Lapa Rios is a model ecotourism project: it has attained Costa Rica’s highest sustainable tourism certification, the Certificate of Sustainable Tourism (CST), represented by five “leaves”.

\textit{Source: Lapa Rios.}
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2. CAPE VERDE
TRANSFORMATION THROUGH TOURISM

Introduction

In the 1990s, Cape Verde received almost 70,000 tourists. It seemed unlikely that its tourism sector could drive an economy that was growing at a rate of over 5 percent at that time. And yet, Cape Verde is the only country in Africa that has graduated from low-income status recently. It changed from a little-known, small island country into a mass tourism destination, a complex transition that takes time. Tourism growth that occurs too quickly inevitably leads to cutting corners. This had led to a challenge for Cape Verde’s authorities, who must now retrace their steps, fill in the gaps, and deepen the connectedness and inclusiveness of tourism.

Tourism Data

Tourist arrivals increased from 67,042 in 1999 to 285,141 in 2008, an average annual growth rate of 14 percent. Tourist receipts have grown twice as fast, at a staggering 28 percent per year, resulting in high revenues per tourist. Tourist receipts reached US$432 million in 2008, comprising 72 percent of all service exports and 25 percent of gross domestic product (GDP). The tourism sector directly and indirectly employed an estimated 13 percent of the workforce (25,000 people). Arrivals in 2009 reached 287,000 tourists but receipts fell to US$355 million as a result of the global recession. Total tourism receipts (direct, indirect and induced) are equivalent to a 44.5 percent share in GDP.
Sector Background and History
Cape Verde is a small island country with big international ambitions. To end its reliance on overseas aid and remittances, Cape Verde decided to trade on its most ubiquitous commodities: sun, sand, and sea. Attracted by a stable economy, generous incentives, and white sand, foreign investment in tourism has boomed. In less than two decades, Cape Verde has overcome significant environmental and geographic barriers and transformed its economy. Tourism has been a key driver of growth.

Largely as a result of its extraordinary growth in tourism, Cape Verde has achieved an average 6.5 percent GDP annual growth rate over the last decade. It is only the second country in Africa to have graduated from low-income status and the only one in Africa to have negotiated a special partnership agreement with the EU. A considerable achievement, the EU agreement of November 2007 is likely to lead to enhanced cooperation between Cape Verde and the EU in trade and in such programs as the European Regional Development Fund.

Cape Verde has also made considerable progress in terms of social development. The country now ranks fifth in Sub-Saharan Africa on the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) Human Development Index. It has been labeled a “fast achiever” in recognition of the progress it has made toward the Millennium Development Goals, particularly in education. Yet many social challenges remain. Development has been uneven; significant rural and urban poverty still exists and women continue to be underrepresented in employment and in positions of leadership.

Results
The strong growth of tourism in Cape Verde is a result of putting the preconditions and enablers for tourism’s growth in place. For example, Cape Verde has succeeded in establishing itself as a “safe haven” for investment. There have been no military coups, no terrorist attacks, and no currency devaluations. Cape Verde has no malaria and few infectious diseases. Additionally, it has an airport classified as Category I by the U.S. government. The peaceful, multiparty democracy has survived changes in leadership and its government is widely regarded as one of the most democratic in Sub-Saharan Africa. Cape Verde has also gained international credibility for its sound macroeconomic policies: a stable currency pegged to the euro, low corruption, simplified taxes, and a reformed banking sector.

In addition, realizing that tourism was one of its few economic options, Cape Verde aggressively pursued tourism investment. It is one of the few countries in the region to do so. The government put together a generous collection of incentives and purchased large tracts of land on the islands of Sal and Boa Vista. Tempted by fine beaches, good weather, easy air connections, a generous package of investment incentives, and prime coastal sites packaged for sale, European investors have lined up to cash in on tourism and real estate opportunities in Cape Verde.

Nevertheless, there is more to sustainable tourism than foreign investment. To sustain growth over the long term also requires a professional private sector, high-quality suppliers, and a large number of “destination services.” These include utilities, a skilled labor
force, food and materials, garbage collection, sanitation, environmental conservation, and transportation. Seeking growth in foreign investment, Cape Verde overlooked the importance of these services, leaving gaps in the sequencing of development. As a result, the sector is not well integrated with the rest of the economy and is not doing as well as it could in terms of poverty alleviation.

Challenges

Coastal zones are extremely vulnerable to adverse environmental impacts. Tourism development opportunities will be limited if the necessary steps are not taken to conserve this valuable ecosystem. Many of the developments that have taken place to date are too close to the water and are not in tune with the scarcity of water, energy, and human resources.

Employment is the primary social benefit of tourism development in Cape Verde. Unfortunately, the skill level of the workforce has not kept pace with tourism sector needs. Given the significant unemployment level in Cape Verde, the challenge now is to close the gaps between workforce skills and industry needs.

Tourism in Cape Verde is geographically concentrated. Seventy-five percent of tourist rooms are on just two islands: Sal and Boa Vista. These islands together are home to less than 10 percent of the population. The success of tourism in reducing poverty depends on the ability of Cape Verde to increase the inclusiveness of development, spread the benefits of tourism to a wider area, and create a fiscal environment that does not disadvantage local entrepreneurs.

Tourism development also puts considerable stress on public infrastructure. In Cape Verde, the infrastructure was already overextended prior to tourism development, and the rapid growth of hotels has made it difficult for municipalities to catch up. The situation has been made worse by revenues lost due to overgenerous tax incentives. If the deterioration in public services goes unchecked, the tourist experience will suffer, crime (already a growing concern) may worsen, and tourism will certainly not reach its potential as an agent for development.

In addition, leakage from the Cape Verde economy is a considerable problem. Almost 80 percent of all food is imported, and 40 percent of GDP is spent on imported goods and services. Mitchell (2008) estimates that 55 percent of tourist expenditure is used to buy imported goods for tourists. Although Cape Verde has limited capacity to produce agricultural products, opportunities for linkages between tourism, fishing, agriculture, and other businesses have not been developed well.

Lessons

• Market-oriented policies, democratic processes, and investment incentives are a beacon for foreign direct investment, but care should be taken that incentives do not disadvantage local operators.
• High-quality airports, good aircraft maintenance facilities, and supportive government policies are crucial to tourism growth, but domestic transport improvement is also needed for tourism to be sustainable.
• To fully benefit from tourism, the labor market must be properly prepared for tourism opportunities.
• To avoid overstraining local services, investment in public infrastructure is needed before and during the development of hotels; developers should be expected to pay the full price of resources.
• Opportunities for promoting and encouraging domestic investors and small-scale enterprises should not be overlooked in the desire to attract foreign investors.
• Tourism may initially be driven by foreign investment, but its usefulness as a development tool depends on the degree to which it is embedded in the national economy.
• To be sustainable, tourism development needs to be of a scale, form, and type that are compatible with the resources of the destination environment.
• Diversifying tourism products and markets is beneficial for both tourists and tourism destinations.
• Too great a focus on arrival numbers can result in a deterioration in the quality of the tourist experience. Alternative monitoring strategies, such as sustainable tourism indicators, are a better indicator of the ability of the destination to sustain tourism.

Note
1. This case study is drawn from the Executive Summary of Twining-Ward (2010).
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3. DOMINICA
THE WAY FORWARD FOR THE CARIBBEAN AND BEYOND?
JUNGLE BAY

Introduction

Dominica’s claim to tourism fame is largely due to its homegrown ecotourism. The country was a trail blazer in the development of ecotourism, integrating it into the global industry. The leading example is Jungle Bay Resort and Spa, a small resort in the coastal forest, set on 55 acres (25 hectares) along Dominica’s southeast coast. Opened to travelers in 2005, Jungle Bay demonstrates what ecotourism is likely to become. It strives for environmental and socially responsible tourism with a high level of luxury and is wired into the world’s travel market. Ecotourism is a relatively new concept in the Caribbean (although resorts now like to promote “green” tourism) and Dominica has been branded as the first Green Globe country. Jungle Bay has succeeded in operating a resort that respects both environmental and social concerns. It is becoming a model for the Caribbean and perhaps beyond.

Tourism Data

Dominica now hosts about 80,000 tourists a year, slightly more than its population of 71,000. Tourist arrivals are spread fairly evenly throughout the year, as even during the wettest period, from July to November, the sun shines a great deal. Tourism contributes 2.2

Key Lessons

- The Jungle Bay resort development process took five years and was successful due to the close linkages with the community, and the availability of sufficient capital for its business plan.
- Sustainability is achieved through a balance of backward linkages to the community, strong marketing, and constant product innovation (for example timeshare development).
- Jungle Bay’s NGO, South East Tourism Development Committee, provides long-term training, mentorship, and small loans to local enterprises to help them integrate into the tourism industry.
- Jungle Bay's success can be attributed to in-depth community involvement and a long-term commitment to improving the community through training and projects.
percent to the island’s GDP. This is expected to grow over the next 10 years. The sector currently employs about 9,000 people, directly and indirectly.

**Sector Background and History**

Dominica, in the Eastern Caribbean, between Guadeloupe and Martinique, is the largest of the Windward Islands. It is about 30 miles long and 15 miles wide, extending 289 square miles, with 91 miles of coastline. Of the 71,000 residents, 30,000 Caribs (the original inhabitants of the islands) live in community owned reserves. Dominica is noted for its...
extensive rain forest, diving, and mountainous terrain that offers different options for Caribbean vacations from the usual model. It has the only UNESCO World Heritage Site in the Eastern Caribbean, the Morne Trois Pitons National Park. Dominica has 365 rivers and streams, waterfalls, hot sulfur springs, a “boiling” lake, and four freshwater lakes, two of which are situated more than 2,500 feet in the highlands. The island’s altitude and rainfall have given rise to a wide variety of vegetation. Native flora includes over 1,000 species of flowering plant (including 74 species of orchid and 200 ferns). To date, 172 bird species have been recorded, including two endemic parrot species. Among its long list of awards, Dominica is proud to be the first country to be benchmarked as a Green Globe 21 Eco Destination.\(^1\) The island has few beaches but its coral is relatively close to the shore and easily accessible.\(^2\)

The Jungle Bay Resort Case

The Jungle Bay Resort and Spa broke ground in 2000, just as Dominica’s agricultural crisis struck due to the loss of market share in the fiercely competitive banana market. The resulting unemployment made it easy to hire workers. Most of the resort’s employees, including those who work construction and in operations, come from three villages. The resort worked closely with the village councils of the three communities and finally opened in 2005. Management and staff give 10 percent of total tips to fund local projects, ranging from school rehabilitation to care for the elderly. The resort now employs close to 60 people.

Jungle Bay has 35 wooden cottages elevated on wooden stilts, nestled beneath jungle and accessed through a network of footpaths and stone staircases. It was built from discarded rocks and reclaimed timber. The main building features two large yoga studios, a gift shop, a recreation complex, a business center, and conference facilities. A large open air restaurant overlooks the volcanic stone swimming pool. The resort offers a mix of jungle adventure, and spa and wellness treatments.

Jungle Bay initially faced a number of challenges: it was on a previously unknown rain forest island with above average rainfall, it had few beaches on its inhospitable (but beautiful) coastline with often heavy seas, and it had no direct flights from major destinations. It was able to mobilize enough capital (US$7 million) to ensure that its business plan was executed efficiently, not intermittently as money became available. Importantly, Jungle Bay’s model advocated operating a luxury hotel with minimal disturbance to the natural environment (see box 9.2). It functions as a tool to further the economic and social welfare of the local population through backward linkages to the population and forward

Image 1: The rooms in Jungle Bay resort use traditional architecture and cooling processes to reduce energy consumption but still provide luxury.
linkages to the worldwide travel industry. Few ecoresorts are able to do this effectively; this advantage ensures a positive, long-term impact on local communities, a fact that is not lost upon the resort’s promoters.

Since Jungle Bay opened in 2005, it has sought to develop backward linkages to industry. Thus, small farmers and fishermen from Dominica’s southeast have gained a new market for their fresh organic produce, and handicraft producers and heritage dancers have a venue for their trade. Sixty villagers have been trained to host visitors as tour guides, spa attendants, cooks, room attendants, maintenance people, and managers.

Jungle Bay also created a local NGO, the “South East Tourism Development Committee” (SETDC), to help residents of the area prepare for the advantages and opportunities that tourism offers. Over the past 10 years, SETDC has developed a network of natural sites and trails, trained farmers, small entrepreneurs, and tour guides, and promoted environmental concerns and the overall welfare of the rural committee. At the same time, farmers are given incentives to increase their farms’ productivity. To date, Jungle Bay, its staff and clients have contributed about US$405,000 to the charity. In January 2006, Jungle Bay Resort and Spa launched the Southeast Entrepreneur Loan Fund (SELF), which allows 10 to 15 local entrepreneurs to borrow up to US$32,400 for business activities, such as organic produce, seafood, local arts and crafts production, and guides for local tours and hiking that can contribute to Jungle Bay’s operations.

**Results**

Jungle Bay’s “Eco-Village” vacation program is the Caribbean’s first vacation ownership club (timeshare) targeting eco-adventure travelers. It represents an effort to integrate into the world tourism industry. The program allows investors to share investment costs and maintenance, and enjoy a vacation hideaway with luxury accommodation and breakfast in a Jungle Bay cottage for one week a year, over 30 years. A unique feature of Jungle Bay’s “Eco-Village” program is that it operates on a floating week basis so clients are not locked into a specific week of the year and can be “banked” for two-week stays every other year. The investment can also be transferred or resold. Jungle Bay is affiliated with Interval International, a vacation ownership membership organization with a pool of 2,700 affiliated properties in 80 countries.

Jungle Bay is always looking for better environmental solutions. It is currently investigating wind power, which could reduce its dependence on fossil fuels and gas. Its cabins are built on stilts to minimize impact on the ground below. Ninety-five percent of the food served in the restaurant is local and organically grown, and food waste is composted. All furnishings in the resort are built from locally produced renewable timber. All water on the resort is from spring-fed streams on the property and reusable water bottles are distributed to guests.
Jungle Bay has won multiple awards for excellence in ecotourism, for example by the *Los Angeles Times* (United States), *The Guardian* (United Kingdom), and *The Daily Telegraph* (United Kingdom), as noted on its website.

**Notes**

1. Dominica has reserves of subterranean thermal power.
2. Climate and temperatures vary depending upon the season or altitude. Average daytime temperatures range from 75-85°F. Rainfall in the interior can be as high as 300 inches per year; the wettest months are July to November and the driest are February to May. See [http://www.caribbeantravelweb.com/dominica/about_dominica.htm](http://www.caribbeantravelweb.com/dominica/about_dominica.htm).
3. Jungle Bay’s promoter, Sam Raphael, a Dominican trained in finance in the US Virgin Islands and Wall Street, had access to funding through his contacts.
4. Jungle Bay has financed two demonstration greenhouses and encourages farmers to generate additional income, for example by opening up their farms to visitors to explain their organic methods.
5. Over half of the handicrafts sold in the Caribbean are made in Asia.
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4. THE DOMINICAN REPUBLIC
DEFYING THE ODDS PUERTO PLATA

Key Lessons

- Transforming the tourism industry in the Dominican Republic required strong and consistent political support over an extended period of time.
- The public sector invested in large-scale, anchor projects in Puerto Plata, which the private sector then replicated in other zones.
- Centralize coordination of all project activities through INFRATUR during the first project facilitated its success despite delays and challenges.
- A lack of financial systems and knowledge by the government led to delays in project implementation.
- The desire to develop infrastructure led to the development of infrastructure by both the public and, later, the private sectors.
- Employee housing and sanitation systems were abandoned during the first project and have impacted sustainability in some cases. Sanitation is now being addressed.
- The all-inclusive resort model was supported by Spanish hotel chains and tour operators looking for a high-volume alternative in the Caribbean. Although, all-inclusive resorts procure supplies and labor locally, they inhibit the interactions with communities and restrict the flow of tourists to external, local businesses.

Introduction

In 1972, no more than 1,600 hotel rooms existed in the Dominican Republic, compared to 9,000 in Puerto Rico and 6,600 in Jamaica at the time, and the country had only one international airport. Now, it has over 66,000 hotel rooms and six international airports. The Dominican Republic currently hosts more tourists than any other destination in the Caribbean and tourism is an integral part of the economy, having overtaken sugar as the most important export.

Tourism Data

From the time the two tourism projects outlined in this case study were conceived in 1971 until they were completed in 1989, arrivals in the Dominican Republic rose from 137,000 in 1971 to 1.4 million in 1989; Puerto Plata alone hosted 360,000 tourists in 1989. In terms of expenditure, in 1979 tourism receipts amounted to US$124 million, growing to
US$0.9 billion by 1989. Hotel capacity rose to 18,500 in 1989, overtaking the Bahamas at that time with 14,800 rooms, and Jamaica, with 13,000 rooms. The project was designed for 1,900 rooms although at its completion it provided 3,292 rooms. This occurred despite the fact that Playa Grande remained undeveloped, with only one hotel. Tourism has further added to the national budget: a per capita arrival tax of US$10 collected at the point of entry and a departure tax (originally US$10 but raised to US$20) generate a buoyant stream of revenue for government. A value added tax is also levied for tourism at 8 percent. Overall, according to the UNWTO, the Dominican Republic received 4.5 million international arrivals in 2009; total international tourist receipts amounted to US$4.1 billion. According to the WTTC, tourism’s contribution to GDP was 7.9 percent. Total direct and indirect employment in the sector is equivalent to 679,000 jobs.

Sector History and Background

In the early 1970s, contrary to advice he received from a Spanish technical assistance team to promote other sites (notably the capital, Santo Domingo), President Joaquin Balaguer chose Puerto Plata as the first publicly supported site for tourism in the Dominican Republic. His idea was to support the regions rather than the capital.
The Puerto Plata region is strategic for trade in produce from the country’s fertile Cibao valley. It is a thriving community with a preserved colonial urban core and is the location of one of the earliest forts in the Caribbean, the Fortaleza de San Felipe. At that time, it was a market town with a protected port that had little or no tourism. The area chosen for development included the city and two potential resort areas along the northern coast’s beaches, Playa Dorada (2 kilometers from Puerto Plata) and Playa Grande (80 kilometers to the east). The countryside is diverse, with mountains in the background, extensive sugar plantations, sandy Caribbean beaches, and clear waters along the Atlantic coast, protected by reefs and fringed by palm trees. The World Bank partnered with the government to fund the proposed development as two separate projects.

Case History

The Puerto Plata project (World Bank 1974) was designed by Shankland Cox and funded by the UNDP, with the World Bank as executing agency and ultimately as lender (1977). A department of the Central Bank, the Tourism Infrastructure Department (INFRATUR) supervised the project. INFRATUR was modeled after a similar organization in Mexico called FONATUR, a fund under the Ministry of Finance that was largely responsible for launching tourism in Mexico. As implementing agency, the Central Bank was a powerful, well-managed organization. (Later, when tourism was well launched, it withdrew from its role of promoting tourism.) For the Puerto Plata project, INFRATUR supervised land acquisition and the execution of the works, and marketed serviced plots to investors.

The project’s objective was to provide infrastructure for an integrated resort at an international destination in the north of the Dominican Republic. Project components included land acquisition in Playa Dorada and Playa Grande, and land use plans to create critical mass to support 1,900 hotel rooms and 375 apartments (see box 9.3). Investment costs and a financial plan are outlined in table 9.3. Serviced land was to be sold or leased to hotel investors to recover investment costs and the completed infrastructure handed over either to a local authority, municipality, or appropriate utility. The utilities provided technical standards and approved the project works but procurement and construction were managed by INFRATUR. The fact that all works were managed by a single agency greatly facilitated project coordination. The private sector association ASONAHORES was kept apprised of progress.

BOX 9.3

Puerto Plata Project Components
- Site works and network infrastructure
- Solid waste disposal facilities
- Two golf courses
- Riding stables
- Commercial center
- Low-cost housing in Playa Dorada
- Separate water supply and sewerage for the town of Rio San Juan (near Playa Grande)
- Puerto Plata airport, including an access road, terminal building and navigational equipment
- Hotel training facilities
- Technical assistance for project implementation
### TABLE 9.3 COSTS OF PUERTO PLATA TOURISM PROJECT I

US$, thousands

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Appraisal Local costs</th>
<th>Foreign exchange</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Actual Local costs</th>
<th>Foreign exchange</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Playa Dorada</td>
<td>5,355</td>
<td>6,447</td>
<td>11,802</td>
<td>16,368</td>
<td>4,136</td>
<td>20,504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Playa Grande</td>
<td>3,255</td>
<td>2,110</td>
<td>5,365</td>
<td>3,326</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>3,607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airport</td>
<td>1,665</td>
<td>3,016</td>
<td>4,681</td>
<td>6,223</td>
<td>3,603</td>
<td>9,826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hotel school</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>466</td>
<td>925</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>1,115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment promotion</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>533</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional services</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>466</td>
<td>925</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>1,115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project administration</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical assistance</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>1,075</td>
<td>1,600</td>
<td>1,392</td>
<td>1,223</td>
<td>2,615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingencies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical</td>
<td>930</td>
<td>1,571</td>
<td>2,501</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price</td>
<td>3,055</td>
<td>5,816</td>
<td>8,871</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land acquisition</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>7,528</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>7,528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>15,167</td>
<td>20,826</td>
<td>35,993</td>
<td>38,017</td>
<td>11,515</td>
<td>49,532</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: — = not available.

Map 9.3: Puerto Plata Region, Dominican Republic
After completion, INFRATUR kept an office in Puerto Plata to ensure the provision of certain services in the resort (landscaping and maintenance, street lighting, upkeep of communal space, and administration). Finally, rather than being retained by the government, these services were sold to an association of hotel companies operating in Playa Dorada (Playa Grande, on the other hand, remained the property of INFRATUR until recently, when it was sold to a private developer). Map 9.3 shows the project area and land use. The project faced a number of physical, contractual, and import delays, not unusual for complex tourism development that must adapt to unforeseeable changes on site, but survived to become a lasting destination, benefiting from direct flights (over 50 scheduled and charter carriers) from Europe, North America, and Latin America. Because of the nonperformance of several contractors, construction periods and costs doubled those estimated initially. Another major concern was that, by 1983, six years after the project was launched, in spite of incentives (Law 153 of 1971), only 300 of the planned 1,900 rooms had been constructed. Naturally, large-scale resort complexes typically require long lead times, a phenomenon noted in several projects of the time, so funding for an initial anchor hotel is useful to overcome the hesitation of prospective first movers. Adding weight to the project, a hotel school was opened in 1983, which eventually was transferred to the Catholic University in Puerto Plata. It continues to provide a range of training courses for the industry. A number of other adjustments were made. Despite Playa Grande’s 240 hectares, superior beach and upscale Robert Trent Jones golf course, it attracted only one hotel, such that most project funding was diverted to Playa Dorada. Employee housing requirements proved difficult to estimate and that component was dropped. The contract for sewerage at Rio San Juan was judged too costly and was also subsequently dropped. These amendments had significant environmental and social repercussions, as explained below.

**BOX 9.4**

**Agencies Responsible for Managing Puerto Plata Infrastructure**

- **Airport:** Comisión Administrativa Aeropuerturaria (CAA)
- **Electricity:** Corporación Dominica de Electricidad (CDE)
- **Roads:** Secretaría de Estado de Obras Publicas (SEOPA)
- **Solid waste:** Municipalities
- **Telecommunications:** Compañía Dominica de Teléfonos (CDT)
- **Water and sanitation:** Instituto Nacional de Aguas Potables y Alcantarillado (INAPA)

In the final planning stages, the Dominican Republic Central Bank itself considered building some hotels (to be managed or leased by professionals and ultimately sold) and making loans to investors. In the end, a sufficient number of private investors contributed and the Central Bank only invested in the first hotel (a Jack Tar Hotel).
Project II
Slow progress in hotel investment was due in part to the absence of long-term mortgage finance in the Dominican Republic. To address this constraint, a line of credit was considered and granted for a second tourism project (World Bank 1979). This project included an Apex line of credit to INFRATUR to be on-lent through 12 prequalified commercial banks to finance the debt portion of hotel projects, improvements in Puerto Plata harbor to receive cruise ships, support for handicrafts, and the strengthening of sector policies and administration. Ninety-six percent of funds under the line of credit were disbursed for hotel investments in Playa Dorada. Costs are shown in table 9.4.

A number of problems also arose pertaining to this project, as well as a number of changes. The commitment of funds was delayed for three years as the government was unfamiliar with both Apex lines of credit and hotel lending terms (see box 9.5). The economic situation was deteriorating and shortages of key products, such as cement, existed. In addition, with rising inflation, the subloans, whose interest was capped at 12 percent, became highly concessionary, as commercial rates rose to 24 percent and the Central Bank became reluctant to on-lend. Although initial interest was lukewarm, reflecting the lack of experience in planned tourism development, several investors came forward.

### Table 9.4 Costs of Puerto Plata Tourism Project II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Original forecast</th>
<th>Revised forecast</th>
<th>Actual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Line of credit</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>20.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handicraft center</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical assistance</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban works</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>21.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


a. The Bank approved a reallocation of funds.

### Box 9.5

**Hotel Finance**
- INFRATUR’s role was to manage World Bank funds.
- A review of commercial banks and their eligibility to make and service loans was conducted: 12 were prequalified.
- Commercial banks were required to blend their own resources with World Bank funds to ensure their financial implication.
- Loans were denominated in U.S. dollars.
- Lending terms and processes for credit approval – on-lending margins and rates for borrowers – were determined.


- The ceiling of 12 percent interest was augmented by up-front closing costs of 3-4 percent, to enhance returns for the banks.
- Financial conditions for subloans and model feasibility studies were established.
- Credit procedures were approved and implementation was supervised.
- Subloan identification was processed.
- Contract negotiations and conditions were defined.
- Technical supervision took place.
Results

As already noted, the two Puerto Plata projects underwent substantial changes. The political and economic environment changed every four years following the presidential election. As most of the investors in Playa Dorada were not hotel management experts but wealthy landowners and industrialists, they were novices who made mistakes along the way, especially by slashing prices and contracting with unstable operators when business turned down. The projects could have taken much longer to develop had there not already been interest on the part of Spanish hotel groups to invest in the Caribbean, especially in Cuba and Mexico (Barceló, Mélia, Occidental and Riu, among others.).

In the 1990s, room rates barely covered salaries and food requirements, and there was no spare cash for rehabilitation or promotion, much less for profits. It was then that a new resort, Punta Cana, materialized and threatened the very survival of Puerto Plata. Occupancy rates slipped below 60 percent in the mid-1990s but have recovered in spite of an increase in capacity.

Critically for Puerto Plata, tour operators supported the project, believing it provided a new market niche for the Caribbean, one of high volume rather than the traditional high-end, high-priced tourism in the region. Moreover, due to knock-on effects from Playa Dorada, the north coast market from Puerto Plata, via Sosua, to Cabarete and Samana peninsula strengthened, helping to expand tourism in the region. In addition, the Dominican Republic invested heavily in infrastructure and roads in the 1990s, such that access to many tourist areas is now much better. Early on the hotels were not all-inclusive so restaurants and bars flourished in Puerto Plata. When hotels switched to the all-inclusive operating model as a result of the economic downturn, local restaurants suffered and some closed, transforming the resorts into enclaves. The move to all-inclusive resorts is a project legacy that has both advantages and disadvantages. They procure a great many supplies locally and many of their employees come from local towns and villages. It remains a strong market force, popular with middle-income families, and explains how Playa Dorada was able to build a market from zero and weather the stringent economic conditions of the mid-1990s. Now, however, individual travel is growing rapidly again and boutique hotels are emerging in Sosua and other northern coast locations.

By 1991, there were 14 hotels in Playa Dorada, four owned by Spanish interests and 10 by Dominican companies. The handicrafts center was closed, as it failed to achieve popularity after six years of experimentation. The balance of funding was used to upgrade the port park and Puerto Plata’s Export Processing Zone (EPZ). The government estimated that 40,000 jobs were created by the first project alone, 12,000 of them direct. In Puerto Plata itself, 8,800 were created. With completion of the second project, these figures increased by at least 50 percent, with about 4,300 jobs in hotels alone.

Notwithstanding the move to an all-inclusive model, the projects had a profound impact on the economy of Puerto Plata, where tourist service outlets line the streets (car and equipment rentals, food establishments, and so forth.). Thirty percent of workers are women and 97 percent are Dominican. The combined economic rate of return on the two projects ex post is estimated to be 12 percent, compared to an ex ante evaluation of 17.5 percent. This comparatively low rate of return is explained by the slow build-up of hotels...
and cost increases. However, the estimation is based on direct inputs only—it includes neither indirect nor induced effects. With direct/indirect activities, the internal rate of return exceeds 20 percent.

Despite delays, cost overruns, and institutional difficulties, the combined results of the two projects were considered “a substantial and important success” by the World Bank’s independent audit. By 1999, 10 years after the projects were completed, international arrivals on the Dominican Republic reached 2.7 million, and by 2009 had reached just under 4 million. By 1999, expenditures reached US$2.8 billion and by 2009 US$4.05 billion. Average daily expenditure is about US$100 per tourist and the length of stay between 9 and 10 days. By 1999, overall hotel capacity had grown to about 50,000 rooms and by 2009 to 67,197 (with about 17,000 in the Puerto Plata zone, almost 30 percent of the country’s total). The average national hotel occupancy rate reached 66.9 percent in 1999 and is at about that level today (it increased to over 70 percent in 2000 and 2003 but fell back during the recent recession and slowdown in international travel). Occupancies in Puerto Plata hotels tend to be several points lower than the national average.

More recently, another major development, which put the Dominican Republic in its premier position in the Caribbean today, was launched. Punta Cana is a major resort complex of over 40 hotels and several real estate developments in Altagracia Province in the east of the country. It drew heavily on the experience of Puerto Plata. It began with two purchases of land in the Bavaro and Punta Cana areas, the former by Barceló Hotels (Mallorca, Spain) and the latter by investors who had participated in Playa Dorada, as well as well-known personalities like Julio Iglesias and Oscar de la Renta (the latter had originally been associated with Casa de Campo). In this case, the government and private owners sold land to the investing companies on a negotiated basis. These investors built the trunk and network infrastructure, including a private international airport, brought in water by aqueduct, constructed sewerage and sanitation systems, and built a private power plant (serving the tourism and local communities and connecting with the national power distributor, CDE). They also promoted hotel investment and attracted numerous companies, many of them Spanish with similar operations already in Cuba and Mexico,11 that constructed over 40 hotels for a total of 23,000 rooms in the province. A new real estate company, Cap Cana,12 is anchored by a Jack Niklaus golf resort.

The projects also had important spin-off effects: there are now over 16,000 rooms along the north coast in Cofresí Beach, Sosua, and Cabarete, as well as Playa Dorada. Sosua, a small town by a protected bay with a beautiful curved beach, has developed up-market hotels. Cabarete, a rough and tumble development built on kite surfing and surfing, has also expanded rapidly. In spite of tourism expansion, the project areas still have vast fields of sugar cane and their essential character has been maintained. They have served as a model for replication in other areas and for responsible expansion.

Lessons and Conclusions
As the completion report points out: “In reality, it can be safely assumed that little or none of the tourism development at Puerto Plata would have occurred in the absence of the infrastructure investments, hotel credit, and demonstration effects of the two projects.” The
The World Bank judged the project to be sustainable based on evidence that the sector was expanding from a minor industry to a growth sector in 20 years and on evidence of its continuing growth, in terms of budgetary impact, foreign exchange earnings, and employment creation. Despite the problems encountered during construction, the Puerto Plata projects created the base for the Dominican Republic’s tourism industry and secured its place as an integral part of the economy. But there is no place for complacency. Tastes change and new competition is always on the horizon. Customers are looking for activities and experiences that cut across demographic groups. Sun and sand are commodities, traded irrespective of location, often at rock bottom prices. The Dominican Republic has the elements to support change, unlike many Caribbean islands. It has valuable natural resource and cultural assets for tourism and a diversified economy. The rich agricultural area of Cibao supplies the tourism resorts nationwide, and the country has a well-trained workforce. The country embraces entrepreneurship and a willingness to test new ideas.

The lessons from the cases are summarized in the following points:

- None of the tourism development at Puerto Plata would have occurred in the absence of the infrastructure investments, hotel credit, and demonstration effects of the two projects. In many ways, the projects helped launch a new industry.
- The government stepped in to help launch a new industry but stood aside when it was apparent that the private sector could take the lead. While the government promoted the Puerto Plata project, it was finally fully privatized with the purchase of INFRATUR’s management unit by the local association of hotel owners. It now resembles the Punta Cana resort as a private, independent resort facility. The government still has an important role in formulating policy and setting strategic direction to lead the sector to the next level.
- The projects had the effect of improving the Dominican Republic’s image as a foreign direct investment (FDI) destination, as well as its overall investment climate, beyond tourism.
- ASONAHORES and the Ministry have adopted a code of ethics.
- It is unfortunate that the sanitation and employee housing components were dropped from the projects as they are both critical services for an environmentally sound tourism sector. For sanitation, the government is now implementing a World Bank funded program to connect Sosua and Cabarete to the sewerage system and provide an ocean outfall. Regarding employee housing, the opportunity to create an urban environment and urban services close to the main resort areas was missed. However, several hotel companies are currently working on solutions to this problem. It might be possible to build cooperatives of low-cost condominiums for Dominican families working in resort areas.
- The all-inclusive operating model is a subject of debate everywhere. The service inside these resorts is of high quality but tourists experience an enclave environment that prevents practically any informal contact with the local people; it is almost impossible to gain access to them. The idea of mine host, “open to the public,” is lost here and even beaches are the private domain of the resorts. The all-inclusive formula has put Dominican resorts in a fiercely competitive market that exerts downward pressure on
prices. One or two groups (Victoria hotels in Playa Dorada and Starz hotels in Sosua) are establishing boutique hotels that attract a much higher room rate. Moreover, Casa de Campo (La Romana) and hotels in real estate developments have always relied on an individual clientele. Cap Cana appears to be relying on an individual market as well.

• The region and the country are now establishing a brand image beyond the particular benefits of single resort hotels that should distinguish between the country’s different regions. The government and ASONAHORES are cooperating on this through the Tourism Promotion Council.

Playa Dorada remains a sound model for planning and replication in other areas. As tourism continues to grow despite certain problems, the government should ensure a planning framework with clear regulations to ensure that carrying capacities are not overstretched.

Notes

1. World Bank mission findings (September 2005).
2. Figures based on World Tourism Organization (UNWTO) and Asociación de Hoteles y Turismo de la República Dominicana (ASONAHORES) data.
3. ITBIS (Impuesto a las Transferencias de Bienes Industrializados y Servicios) is a value added tax on the transfer of industrialized goods and services.
4. Departamento para el Desarrollo de la Infraestructura Turística.
5. The land was acquired through negotiation not expropriation.
7. Jack Tar Hotels was a Texas company, now defunct. The hotel was sold to Allegro Hotels, a Dominican company, and subsequently to Spain’s Occidental Hotels, one of four the company owns in the Playa Dorada complex.
8. In addition, closing costs of 3-4 percent were also charged to offset low interest rates.
9. In a study of eight all-inclusive resorts in the Caribbean in 2004, GTZ (CSR beyond charity, 2006) found that the average wage bill was US$1.7 million per establishment (the resorts ranged from 100 to 300 rooms) and the procurement of local goods and services averaged US$1.6 million per year. Three-star hotels create jobs for one employee per room, and five-star hotels, 1.5 to 2 employees per room; in addition, each direct job created 3-5 indirect jobs. Over 96 percent of employees were nationals of the country. In the Dominican Republic, it found that, compared to the basic national salary of US$110 per month, a hotel employee earned a basic wage of US$180, plus benefits (social security plus a mandated 10 percent tipping policy), which raised the total to US$182; with meals and transport, the total reached US$282. What’s more, employees were permanent, had a contract, had social security coverage, and received 30 days paid vacation annually. Employees and suppliers seem to win with all-inclusive resorts but taxi drivers and local businesses suffer.
10. ASONAHORES estimates that the hotel sector employs about 0.8 employees per room.
11. Only Mexico, Spain and Venezuela had diplomatic relations with Cuba at the time.
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5. THE DOMINICAN REPUBLIC
THE WAY FORWARD
FUTURE SECTOR GROWTH

Introduction

The Dominican Republic has solidly launched tourism with Puerto Plata, Punta Cana, Cap Cana, La Romana, and Boca Chica. The latter two, smaller projects were conceived in parallel to the Puerto Plata development: (a) the exclusive La Romana resort (*Casa de Campo*) on the southeastern shore, promoted by the Gulf and Western Corporation, with major interests in sugar on the south coast, and (b) Boca Chica, near the capital.1 *Casa de Campo* has grown into a resort and real estate development, drawing on its famous Teeth of the Dog golf course designed by Pete Dye. The town of La Romana has also grown beyond recognition. Boca Chica has been developed somewhat, but Caribbean storms have undermined its sand base, which has led to the deterioration of its beaches. Puerto Plata has also grown, to encompass Sosua, Cofresi Beach, and Cabarete along the coastal road. As it looks ahead, the Dominican Republic is already a mature tourist destination.

Tourism Data

According to the UNWTO,2 the Dominican Republic received 4.5 million international arrivals in 2009. Total international tourist receipts amounted to US$4.1 billion. According to the WTTC,3 tourism’s contribution to GDP was 7.9 percent in 2011. Total direct and indirect employment in the sector is equivalent to 679,000 jobs.

Key Lessons

• The Dominican Republic has developed a mature tourism industry but must balance a desire to expand tourism with limited capacity in existing tourism zones.
• Expanding tourism in new zones will involve more effort and investment but investors have been quick to respond due to the country’s proven track record in tourism development.
• Without INFRATUR, the Department of Tourism must improve its capacity to enable the private sector for new development.
• The Dominican Republic must decide between growing the existing high-volume/low-value market or initiating a low-volume/high-value market.
Sector History and Background

As mentioned in the previous case study, in the early 1970s President Joaquin Balaguer chose Puerto Plata as the first publicly supported site for tourism in the Dominican Republic. The region is diverse, with mountains in the background, extensive sugar plantations, sandy Caribbean beaches, and clear waters along the Atlantic coast, protected by reefs and fringed by palm trees. The site proved perfect for the development of tourism. The World Bank partnered with the government to fund the proposed development as two separate projects.

Case and Program

Tourism in the Dominican Republic is at a crossroads. Under consideration is whether the country should limit further expansion and concentrate on improving current capacity, or launch new zones. Too much development in the existing zones could threaten already overloaded infrastructure systems although some gaps could still be filled if managed conservatively. But if it moves too fast, tourism could outstrip the government’s ability to provide a comprehensive regulatory framework.

In reality, the Dominican Republic envisions ambitious plans for expansion. Strong leadership will be needed to navigate the next phase of development. The overall program, shown on map 9.4, is as follows:

- Amber Coast (Puerto Plata and Sosua)
- Santo Domingo to La Romana
- Constanza and Jarabacoa
- San Cristobal, Palenque, Peravia, and Azua de Compostela
- Macao-Bavaro
- The south, including Barahona, Bahoruca, Independencia, and Pedernales
- The northwest, including Montecristi, Dajabon, Santiago Rodriguez, and Valverde
- Samana peninsula
- Nagua and Cabrera

The country could easily double the size of its already large tourism market. But in addition to the country’s physical carrying capacity, the population’s desire to accommodate more tourism should be considered. Signs of stress already exist. The average daily expenditure of tourists has stagnated at about US$100 per day for the last few years and hotel occupancies have fallen.

So the question remains whether the Dominican Republic should continue the high volume, low mark-up strategy that has served it well, or explore other options. Those options include securing a better return on existing assets (via higher expenditures and lengthening the season, for example); diversifying the product offering by bringing in the country’s many historical, cultural, and natural attractions; promoting several parallel markets (different expenditure profiles); or concentrating on niche markets (adventure,
ecotourism, birding, for example) or on regional markets to capitalize on the assets of neighboring countries and islands. Central to that discussion are the country's overall economic, poverty reduction, social inclusion, and environmental concerns.

Results

One clear strategy would be to connect the various regions and promote more open tourism not dependent on the all-inclusive market. For years, travel in the Dominican Republic was hampered by bad roads that have now been considerably improved. The north coast is connected by a four-lane road from Puerto Plata to Rio San Juan and beyond. Nevertheless, travel to Samaná is still difficult. A new highway from La Romana to Punta Cana cuts travel time from Santo Domingo by almost half. Indeed, the road network has been expanded considerably, such that most resorts are connected to modern two-lane roads or highways.
Assuming the Department of Tourism can improve its planning capacity and enable the private sector to bid on new projects, there is every reason to believe that the Dominican Republic can increase its tourism, broadening its range of products and capturing new market segments.

Notes

1. Boca Chica, located around a public beach 30 km from Santo Domingo, received support from the Inter-American Development Bank (the loan was subsequently cancelled). The Bank later helped facilitate hotel credit once the climate for investment had improved.
2. See UNWTO Tourism Factbook.
3. See WTTC Research.
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Introduction

Dubai has modernized rapidly. It began as an arid desert with light trading activity around the Creek, a small sea inlet that formed a natural harbor. It was remote, undeveloped, and unremarkable in the 1950s and 1960s. In 2010 Dubai boasted 560 hotels, a leading national airline with a worldwide network, and a position as a tourism and trade hub that extends from Egypt to India and South Africa. It has some of the most productive hotels in the world, with occupancy rates in the range of 80 percent, and is a sought-after location for most of the world’s best known hotel brands. Dubai is also a distribution and transport hub between East and West and is popular with Western Europeans as a vacation destination. It is a remarkable success story.

Tourism Data

Already in 1993, Dubai had 167 hotels with 9,383 rooms; by 2010, it had an estimated 560 hotels and 67,369 rooms. The growth of
that capacity is shown in table 9.6. Another two-dozen hotels are under construction. Dubai hosted 4.7 million guests in 2002, and almost doubled that number by 2010 to 8.6 million. The Arab market has been stable at about 1.9 million visitors since 2002, as shown in table 9.5. Europe is the second most important market, rising from 1.2 million in 2002 to 2.2 million guests in 2009, and Asia is third, with 1.6 million in 2009.

**Sector Background and History**

Modern Dubai grew around the Creek, which was dredged in the 1950s to enlarge its capacity. This excavation helped develop trade, real estate, and tourism by opening up trading and transit facilities. In the 1960s oil was discovered, and in the early 1970s the United Arab Emirates were formed. Led by the Emir’s family, Dubai seized the opportunity offered by oil money to develop infrastructure, including the largest man-made port and distribution center in the world and an airport that could handle planes of any size. Mindful that oil is a finite resource, the city leaders sought ways to secure the future by investing in nontraditional sources of growth, including tourism. Dubai took these efforts seriously and hired the best talent it could find to reach its goal. It was a high-risk, high pay-off strategy that turned profitable. The recent world recession put a brake on growth in the city, but only for a short while as the other Emirates stepped in to help Dubai restructure its debt.

The Department of Tourism and Commerce Marketing has been effective and indeed the entire government has backed growth from tourism. Dubai truly sees tourism as a planned and integrated sector that extends from the port to the two Palms, and to the quality of hotels and restaurants and all services associated with tourism. That image is promoted aggressively through a selection of the world’s highest profile celebrities, such as Colin Montgomerie, Andre Agassi, Tiger Woods, and, more recently, Rory McIlroy, the golf champion from Northern Ireland. Although success is inspired by the Emir and the royal family, who themselves have huge investments in Dubai, the rulers recognize the importance of the private sector and promote the strict enforcement of contractual engagements. The country maintains a standard classification for hotels and furnished apartments, which extends to shops, restaurants, transport and all first-contact points for tourists.

**Results**

Dubai has witnessed spectacular real estate expansion, having constructed the tallest building in the world and offshore, man-made sites, such as the Palm Jumeirah and the Palm Jebel Ali, built on reclaimed land in the ocean. Exclusive, high-end residential areas attract an affluent market. The Burj Al Arab, a self-styled seven-star hotel, and the Jumeirah Beach Hotel, have rapidly become world landmarks, both for their architecture and for their quality of service. The parent chain is further diversifying by acquiring properties around the world, such as London’s Dorchester and New York’s Essex House. Subletting houses and apartments is also popular. Dubai set about planning for tourism in a highly
Tourism is based on shopping, whether in the traditional markets (souks) near the docks (where traders from the Indian Ocean unload their wares), in modern malls, or at the airport, reputed to be the best such facility in the world. But Dubai also has superb facilities for beach tourism, water sports, golf, equestrian activities, desert tours, and other entertainment—in one of the hottest, most arid countries in the world. Temperatures and the humidity are frequently very high. The weather is best from December to March, during the peak tourist season, with average temperatures amounting to 24º C (75º F) during the day; the hottest period is from June to September, when temperatures are over 40ºC (104º F). It is also famous for its indoor ski center and water parks.

For the most part, there are no impediments to visiting Dubai, although visa requirements could be simplified. Travelers from many countries require a visa but many are obtainable at the airport on arrival for up to 90 days; citizens of a few countries (for example the United Kingdom) do not require a visa. Dubai has a dress code that is consistent with the requirements of a conservative nation but, if the rules are respected, they do not represent a hindrance to tourism.

No country is more dependent on guest workers than Dubai, but they live in poor conditions, work in very difficult circumstances, pay high fees to obtain jobs, and have no prospect of becoming citizens. Eighty-five percent of Dubai’s population of 1.9 million people is made up of guest workers from India and Pakistan principally. Conditions are slowly getting better as the government works to improve the situation in response to the poor image the policies cast on the country.

Dubai’s success has been to create new sectors funded by depleting oil assets, but the seriousness and dedication with which the city addressed its future growth problem is what stands out—the government believed in it, when many did not. The city has shown what is possible with vision, clear thinking, and hard work.
**Notes**

1. The seven Emirates are Abu Dhabi, Ajman, Dubai, Fujairah Ras al-Khaimah, Sharjah, and Umm al-Quwain. Abu Dhabi is the capital and Dubai is the most populated.
2. Dubai International airport has flights from more than 120 carriers and 260 destinations. Emirates Airlines itself flies to over 100 destinations.
5. The female population is 0.4 million and the male is 1.5 million.

**Reference**

7. EGYPT
FROM TIME IMMENORIAL
SHARM EL SHEIKH

Introduction

For as long as people have travelled, the Arab Republic of Egypt has been a destination for tourists. Yet its manifestation as a powerhouse of modern tourism is quite recent. Since the 1980s, Egypt has emerged as a fully mature, volume destination with 15 million tourist arrivals annually and foreign exchange revenues of US$12.5 billion equivalent. The main element that led to its surge in growth was the recognition that the government’s role was to promote private investment in tourism rather than to acquire those investments, as might have been expected from a one-party state. In parallel, an effort to resolve the many bureaucratic issues surrounding access to land regulation was critical. The creation of the Tourism Development Authority (TDA) in 1991 as an agency of the Ministry of Tourism was a determining factor, thereby producing the “champion” the sector had long been lacking.

Egypt is at the core of the “Arab Spring”; its people overthrew the regime that had ruled for over 30 years. 1 Although this augurs well for the country, the revolution halted tourism early in 2011. Nevertheless, the overall prospects for tourism in the country remain strong.

Tourism Data

In 1970, Egypt attracted fewer than 400,000 tourists but, by 1980, the number had reached 1 million. From 1990 to 2005, visitor arrivals grew from 2.9 million to 8.6 million and by

Key Lessons

- Diversification from its traditional cultural and historical tourism to Red Sea tourism led Egypt to emerge as a leader in world tourism.
- The creation and empowerment of the Tourism Development Authority as a one-stop shop for investors encouraged investment by well-known investors.
- Political support for the development of a tourism master plan resolved many issues surrounding access to land and increased investment.
- Prompt responses to security threats helped to stabilize tourism in the country.
2010 total international arrivals amounted to just under 15 million. By 2010, tourist expenditures reached US$12.5 billion. Tourism contributes 9.1 percent to Egypt’s GDP and is the country’s largest foreign exchange earner, ahead of worker remittances and petroleum products. According to the WTTC, total direct and indirect employment in the sector is equivalent to 3.35 million jobs.

Sector Background and History

Egypt has an impressive array of tourism resources. Its archaeological sites date from the 27th century BC and include unparalleled temples and the tombs of the Pharaonic dynasties, scattered along the Nile River from Aswan/Abu Simbel, to Luxor, Karnak, and Cairo. The region has been important in the Arab world as a cultural, religious and political center for centuries; Alexandria was a central city in the Roman and Christian eras. The Library of Alexandria and the Cairo Museum are renowned worldwide and Egypt is known as a destination of “cultural and historic” significance for the Western and Arab cultures. Until very recently, the Sinai and Red Sea areas were of little interest as tourist attractions. Yet the opening to the Red Sea and its marine ecology led to Egypt’s emergence as a leader in world tourism. It is now a multiproduct destination with sun and sand, culture, and history that competes effectively with many other destinations in the Mediterranean, including Italy, Morocco, Spain, and Turkey. It is now experimenting with desert tourism, linking oases with the great trade routes and many of Egypt’s smaller destinations. Its Nile River cruises (Cairo to Luxor) still hold a fascination for many tourists, especially first-time visitors to Egypt.

Although Egypt has been the victim of a number of terrorist attacks, notably on the country’s cruise ships on the River Nile, it responded promptly to these attacks.

Until the 1980s, when the motivation for travel to Egypt was principally focused on its history under the Pharaohs, the Ministry of Culture’s Supreme Council of Antiquities was the dominant agency. It is still very powerful. At the same time, realizing that the country’s northern coastline had no particular competitive advantage over other countries in the Mediterranean basin, the Ministry of Tourism gradually supported the development of beach tourism, specifically on the Red Sea. The largest community is Sharm el Sheikh, a city of 35,000 that has become a well-known center for international conferences and beach tourism. The choice of the Red Sea was a game changer because, by 1988, tourism had become the country’s leading source of foreign exchange earnings, with about a quarter coming from the Red Sea.

Many partners have supported Egypt in its quest to develop its tourism over the years. The World Bank has funded both urban and tourism projects. The latter included infrastructure improvement in Luxor and Abu Simbel, as well as support for the Cairo Museum. The U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID) supported certain sectors. In particular, its work on the Red Sea helped orient Egypt’s choice to diversify in tourism. These and others agencies helped the government decide to focus on private investment promotion (rather than on public funding for hotels and other tourism establishments) and to complement the cultural offering with a beach tourism component. The World Bank,
along with UNDP and the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) helped the government prepare a Red Sea Regional Framework Plan to maintain the goals of biodiversity protection and pollution prevention in the 1990s.

**Results and Challenges**

Of the issues Egypt faced to diversify its tourism near the Red Sea and on the Sinai Peninsula, access to land was the key concern that required urgent resolution. For the government to support private development, access to land under favorable conditions for investors within the context of a master plan was critical. From the 1970s to the 1990s, the evolution of Egypt’s institutional and regulatory framework for tourism lurched toward a solution that allowed a catalytic approach to tourism growth. While many changes to the legal and regulatory framework were made, there was also inertia in the system, an unwillingness to change, and, above all, interests that fought jealously to maintain traditional rights, such as those of the petroleum industry, the military, the Ministries of the Interior and of Agriculture, and the Egyptian Environmental Affairs Agency. Finally, the Ministry of Tourism and the Tourism Development Authority (TDA) was able to establish sites for tourism development, cleared of impediments and easements. The TDA is in effect a one-stop shop for tourism development, and it has developed capacity in evaluating investment proposals and assisting investors to prepare their projects for approval. It is also a focal point to help investors obtain the approvals they need to move their projects forward. As a result of these investment promotion services, private investment surged with well-known names such as Hyatt Regency, Accor, Marriott, Le Méridien, Four Seasons, and Ritz Carlton, which became active players in Egypt’s tourism growth.

As noted, Egypt has had a number of terrorism attacks but has responded to them responsibly, well aware that they affect not only Egyptians but also visitors. These events have not dissuaded the government from its goal of attracting and retaining cultural visitors.

Egypt has gained a new appreciation for tourism, and it remains respected in the world of cultural travel. But addressing the land issue on the Red Sea forced the country to also address broader institutional questions. Over time, its agencies have become more responsive to market requirements such that, with the possibility of greater democracy on the horizon, Egypt is well placed to position itself as a competitive destination offering an even wider variety of tourism products than in the past.

**Notes**

1. Following 18 days of popular protests, President Hosni Mubarak stepped down on February 11, 2011. The Supreme Council of the Armed Forces assumed the president’s responsibilities and vowed to oversee a peaceful transition process leading to free and fair presidential elections. As the Egyptian people grapple with their newfound freedom, the broader economic and social impact of these events, both in Egypt and across...
the region, will continue to unfold over an undetermined period of time. Prior to
these events, the global economic slowdown had impacted Egypt’s real GDP growth,
fiscal balances, inflation, and poverty levels. Real GDP grew 5.3 percent in fiscal 2010,
up from 4.7 percent in fiscal 2009 (but still below the 7 percent average of fiscal 2006–
08). Signs of robust activity in such sectors as construction, tourism, and communica-
tion existed, yet the recovery was too slow to significantly affect the increasing
unemployment rate. See the World Bank, Arab Republic of Egypt Data at http://data.
worldbank.org/country/egypt-arab-republic.

2. These data are assembled from data published by the UNWTO and the WTTC, as
well as sources such as press releases and speeches by the Minister of Tourism.

3. The Red Sea is unique. It is connected to the Mediterranean Sea by the man-made
Suez Canal and by the Bab el-Mandeb Strait to the Indian Ocean, making it the most
saline body of water connected to the world’s oceans. It has an abundance of coral, sea
grass communities, and extensive wetlands and mangrove forests (250 different coral
reefs and 1,000 species of fish). It is remarkable that all this occurs in a zone far north
of where it occurs naturally in other parts of the world. Critically for tourism, the land
around the Red Sea has remained rural and largely undeveloped although it is now
threatened by oil prospecting as well as by the risk of an over-growth in tourism.

all have shores on the Red Sea. The Red Sea is 1,930 kilometers long and covers
270,000 square kilometers. Mount Sinai, at 2,285 meters, is its highest peak. The cli-
mate is equatorial with temperatures between 35º and 41º C. Water temperatures range
between 18º and 21º C in winter, and 21º and 26.5º in summer. Underwater visibility
is more than 30 meters and diving is possible down to 45 meters.

5. Land for tourism is leased for 25 years, renewable (but under a new contract).

6. The Minister of Tourism chairs the TDA; the Board is made up of public officials from
related ministries. It consults widely with the Egyptian Federation of Touristic
Chambers, which has been quite active in proposing reforms that have become public
policy. Broadly, the TDA has the mandate to execute national tourism strategies, includ-
ing prioritizing areas for development and management and deciding on the disposi-
tion of public lands for tourism development, as well as preparing infrastructure projects
and promoting private investment. It can also borrow internationally and locally.
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8. INDONESIA
PROTECTING CULTURAL HERITAGE THROUGH INTERNATIONAL TOURISM
BALI

Key Lessons

- Donor and external experts supported the development and implementation of a tourism master plan commissioned by the government.
- The master plan laid out a holistic development strategy that included investment promotion, infrastructure development, and the opening of a training institution.
- The master plan provided detailed regulations to protect the island’s unique social and cultural assets and its environment.
- The Bali Tourism Development Corporation and the Bali Tourism Development Board provided strong public leadership and made coordinating investments and enforcing regulations easier.
- Successful resort development often needs to establish the first hotel as an anchor project and to include an aggressive investment promotion program.
- Modern and upgraded airports and liberalized air access policies drastically increased the flow of arrivals to Bali.
- Increasing internal migration and new tourism developments are overextending infrastructure and threatening the sustainability established through the first Nusa Dua project.

Introduction

Bali is a small island in the Indonesian archipelago with a big name as a world-class resort. It has a population of about 3 million, over 90 percent of whom are Hindu, in the heart of the largest Muslim country in the world. Tourism in Bali goes back to the 1930s when it had a couple of renowned hotels, but modern tourism did not begin until the late 1950s or early 1960s when a few young adventurers sought out new surfing destinations in the northern part of the island and in Kuta in the south, close to the capital. Today surfing tourists still go to the beach every day in droves on motor bikes with boards perched upright like the sails of a flotilla of sloops. In 1969, as Bali began to attract more tourists, the government promoted large-scale tourism with a five-year plan. Nusa Dua, a large, secluded complex with numerous hotels that attracts international visitors from around the world, grew out of this plan. Bali accounts for no more than 1 percent of Indonesia’s territory, yet it attracts over 30 percent of Indonesia’s tourism. The island has become a giant among the world’s large resorts, predominantly managing to preserve its traditional Hindu culture while adapting to its evolving economy.
### Tourism Data

According to the UNWTO, Indonesia had 6.3 million international arrivals in 2009. Total international tourist receipts were US$6.7 billion. According to the WTTC, Indonesia’s tourism contribution to GDP was 9.1 percent. Total direct and indirect employment in the sector is equivalent to 8.8 million jobs.

In 1973, 95,000 tourist arrivals were registered in Bali, at a time when the three major destinations in Asia, Singapore, Bangkok, and Hong Kong, together generated about 1 million tourists. By 1978, however, arrivals had tripled to 278,000 in Bali, and by 1991 they reached half a million. In 2000, there were 1.4 million arrivals and by 2010 they totaled 1.96 million. The number of foreign passenger arrivals grew at an overall rate of 8 percent from 1990 to 2008, well above the international rate of about 5 percent. The surge in tourism survived terrorist bombings in 2002 and 2005, twice in Kuta, and once in Jimbaran, both of which cut into Bali’s growth. Although tiny, Bali accounts for one-third of Indonesian tourism. It benefits as a regional market, including Japan and Australasia, while the number of tourists from China is increasing. It also appeals to small segments of the European and North American population. About two-thirds of visitors travel to Bali for pleasure whereas one-third travels on business and to conferences and incentive markets.

### Sector Background and History

Bali has a dazzling array of tourism resources, including its sea and sand, and myriad water sports. Its handicrafts serve religious and secular purposes; statues and masks portray the island’s Hindu deities and wooden sculptures and pottery are handmade. Bali is also famous for its silks and batik textiles. Ubud is the cultural heartland of Bali and the center of its arts. Set in an area of ravines and terraced hillsides, it houses many of the island’s leading artists and shrines. The hinterland includes inland lakes, terraced mountainsides and agriculture that relies on bovine rather than mechanized power. Produce includes exotic fruits, spices, and fresh vegetables. Bali’s culture permeates the entire island and draws tourists.

The Indonesia government’s initial five-year national master plan (1969) identified six main areas for the development of tourism in the country. Bali was identified as a top site, as part of a grouping that also included Java and Lombok. The master plan for Bali, commissioned in 1970, was carried out by the French consulting group, Société Centrale pour l’Equipement Touristique d’Outre-Mer. It called for extensive investment to restore the temples and ruins and to protect the fine arts and handicrafts. Pacific Consultants, a Japanese firm, carried out the feasibility study funded by the UNDP and supervised by the World Bank. The chosen site was south of Denpasar, the capital, and close to the airport. Called Nusa Dua, or the second island, it gave its name to the project. The project took a long time to develop but survived the implementation phase to become a sustainable destination within an extraordinary culture.
The Bali Tourism Development Project was launched in 1972. The site for the development of a major resort complex was chosen after exhaustive analysis. Criteria included the existence of nontourism economic activities, the physical conditions of the site, and its proximity to access points. Two suitable locations emerged with Bukit Peninsula on Nusa Dua chosen over the other setting located to the northwest of the island and remote from any airport. Proximity to the international airport and to Denpasar (as well as to Kuta and Sanur) gave Nusa Dua the advantage. In addition, the land on the site was unproductive scrubland with few alternative uses and inhabitants.

The objectives of the project were to promote international tourism in Bali while increasing foreign exchange earnings, creating employment, improving income generation, and supporting regional development. The tourism plan was designed to protect the island’s unique social and cultural life, as well as its physical environment.

The project consisted of infrastructure and facilities for a self-contained Nusa Dua tourism estate (310 hectares) capable of supporting a minimum of 2,500 rooms; a hotel training school; an access road to the estate, road improvements for 11 streets in central Bali and a
TABLE 9.7 BALI: NUSA DUA PROJECT COSTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>US$ millions</th>
<th>Appraisal</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Change (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tourism estate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water &amp; Sewage</td>
<td>7.55</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td></td>
<td>-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amenity core</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td></td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hotel Training</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td></td>
<td>334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td></td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>9.49</td>
<td>12.05</td>
<td></td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>21.51</td>
<td>27.12</td>
<td></td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road and bridges</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nusa Dua access</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denpasar by-pass</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>9.15</td>
<td></td>
<td>303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other roads</td>
<td>8.16</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>12.74</td>
<td>9.90</td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other components</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telecoms</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td></td>
<td>-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilot farm</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td></td>
<td>-82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTDB TA</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td></td>
<td>-31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td></td>
<td>-81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand total</td>
<td>36.10</td>
<td>37.33</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: — = Not available.

Denpasar by-pass road; a 10-hectare demonstration farm and nursery; and technical assistance for implementation. Project costs before and after construction are shown in table 9.7.

Development guidelines for the project stated that similar activities should be grouped in clusters (for example hotels), with a centrally located tourist service center (and commercial area), and hotel training school. Conditions also stated that all hotels were to face the sea with construction to be phased over a 10-year period. To the extent possible, all infrastructure was to be buried underground or integrated into the landscaping. A network of paths and access routes (including to the beaches) was to be accessible to tourists and to the Balinese public. The estate also used specific zoning rules to achieve low rise, low-density buildings in harmony with Bali’s traditional architectural standards. The regulations sought to protect the environment and to ensure against overcrowding. They include:

- Setbacks from the high water mark of 30 meters
- Maximum building height of 15 meters
- Room density not to exceed 8 rooms per hectare
- A distance between buildings of at least 30 meters
- A project manager who exercises control on all exterior signage.

The government recognized Nusa Dua as a path-breaking, integrated resort project whose success would determine the future of tourism on Bali. A public agency operating under private law, the Bali Tourism Development Corporation (BTDC), was set up in 1973 as the principal agency to create and manage the tourism estate at Nusa Dua. It still manages investment promotion and landscaping at the site. The approach made coordination easier, cost control more effective and it advocated strong policies to protect the local culture and environment, to enforce quality standards and to ensure a coherent design. At about the same time, the government set up the Bali Tourism Development Board (BTDB),
staffed with senior government officials and chaired by the Governor, to supervise the implementation of urban and tourism plans on the rest of Bali, including zoning, land use plans, and building regulations.

In the private sector, the Bali Hotel Association was the primary professional association although several other groups ran their own associations, for example the restaurant association. In addition a private group, the Hindu Dharma Parishad, monitored religious practices. Along similar lines, but with both public and private participation, the Consultative and Promotional Council for Cultural Affairs was charged with preserving artistic standards. Citizens also played an active role in the daily life of the community and were represented by traditional village groups, which the Indonesian government wisely kept after independence (the Banjar and Desa Adat system). This structure continues today.

**Results**

The increase in tourism may have outstripped the government’s capacity to manage growth. Part of the initial concept included the idea of considering Nusa Dua as a hub-and-spoke development, with tourists staying in Nusa Dua and fanning out for excursions. This idea has since been overtaken by events, with construction all over the island. In fact, the government supported the development of new resorts, although the results have not been successful. Private sector pressure is taking over expansion, as is the case in many other countries. Nusa Dua’s principles must be reestablished in terms of development guidelines and land use standards or excessive growth will destroy the very assets that made Bali such a desirable destination. In effect, the government was unable to regulate growth and the gradual sale of land for tourism development. The government did stop expansion of hotel capacity in the early 1990s but shortly thereafter relented. One consequence is that infrastructure systems, particularly sanitation and solid waste management, are overextended, and proposed new hotels and resorts will result in increasing environmental degradation and possibly submerge Bali’s traditional culture.

Table 9.8 shows the buildup of hotel capacity projected during the appraisal phase and at actual realization. The reasons for the delays were mostly economic in nature, although there were project delays, and the investment promotion effort seems to have faded early on. A clear lesson to be drawn for future projects is the need to establish the first hotel as an anchor within the project and to include an aggressive investment promotion program.

### Table 9.8 Bali: Hotel Capacity, 1978–89

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Appraisal projections</th>
<th>Actual Build-Up</th>
<th>Projections at project completion (May 1984)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1978</td>
<td>800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1979</td>
<td>1,300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>1,700</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1982</td>
<td>2,300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1983</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1984</td>
<td>450</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985</td>
<td>850</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1986</td>
<td>1,700</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1987</td>
<td>1,975</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1988</td>
<td>2,252</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source:* World Bank 1985 and author’s calculations.
as an explicit component of development. The anchor hotel provides a focal point for the resort that can subsequently be leased or sold.

When the project started in 1973, there were approximately 1,000 hotel rooms in Bali. As infrastructure was built in Nusa Dua itself, 1,700 rooms were to be built by the private sector by 1980. But with the economic downturn, investors were slow to come forward and it was 1983 before the first new hotel was opened, which was sponsored by state-owned airlines, Garuda. Although welcome, it underlined the difficulty to attract private investors to a new resort. Subsequently, however, private investment picked up dramatically and, by 1989, there were approximately 3,000 rooms available. By the turn of the century, there were 104 hotels and 17,000 rooms in Bali. Hotel capacity there finally reached 19,522 classified rooms in 142 properties in 2009.

Air Transport
The airport at Denpasar, built in 1969, was upgraded to accommodate jet airliners in the early 1970s. By 1972, Bali was receiving 73 flights per week, 42 purely domestic and only six directly from overseas. But there was a binding constraint: foreign scheduled flights to Bali could not use Bali as their final destination in Indonesia, although charter flights were not bound by this restriction. At the same time the government was open to the possibility of joint ventures or pooling arrangements between Garuda, the national airline, and foreign carriers. These measures were intended to protect Garuda but were a real hindrance to the destination’s development. Finally, the government conceded and declared Denpasar an international entry point, allowing foreign air carriers to terminate flights there. The effect on tourism was immediate and positive; it grew dramatically. Indeed, as in so many of the other cases, the lack of access had been a major bottleneck to development.

Culture
Over many years, the Hindu religion found its way from Orissa in India down through Malaysia and Indonesia to the small island of Bali. The Balinese are fiercely proud of their traditions, culture and distinctive architecture. The island has a Balinese architectural code known as Asta Kosala Kosali that protects its traditional style. The culture survives largely because it has the capacity to evolve and change. The most familiar sight is women with offerings to the gods on their heads. And every hotel has a sanctuary supervised by Balinese over which the hotel managers have no influence. The Monkey Dance, formerly a secular Ramayana performance, has gradually taken on religious meaning. Supervision by traditional Hindu Dharma Parishad and the Banjar and Desa Adat village management groups were effective in protecting religious ethics and standards. However, greater interaction with non-Balinese has weakened their influence. Dance and Balinese Gamelan music are central aspects of the culture, accompanied by ubiquitous masks that are instantly recognizable. Unlike dance in the west, many rituals take place in both secular and religious sites, including in the inner sancta of temples, in their middle or outer courtyards, and even
in locations away from the temple. Thus tourists and residents often find themselves in close proximity.

Change is in sight. An increasing number of Indonesians are attracted to Bali and there are signs of stress. The government sensibly allowed the island to return to the traditional forms of Banjar and Desa Adat management, which helped resolve cultural problems between the Balinese themselves, but the arrival of other Indonesians has caused the system to break down such that problems between the groups have been rife. Moreover, the Balinese have limited influence in the national government.

Nevertheless the inhabitants of Bali have accepted new forms and styles of art introduced by foreigners. Although certain ancient dances and such crafts as tortoiseshell work, bone and horn carvings, and terracotta figures are dying out, new art objects and designs are being adopted, such as batik from Java, furniture styles, woodcarvings, and masks.

**Notes**

1. See UNWTO Tourism Factbook.
2. The United States lifted its travel warning in 2008 but Australia, which suffered great loss of human life in the bombings, still retains a danger warning of level 4 on a scale of 8.
3. Telecommunications were implemented by the National Telecoms Corporation (Permutel) of the Ministry of Communications and roads by the Ministry of Public Works and Power.
4. At the time of the project’s development, today’s international hotel investment conferences attended by hotel companies, investors, real estate, and financing intermediaries did not exist, which made connecting with principals a much more arduous task.
5. The Pacific Asia Tourism Association conference provided incentive to build several new hotels that gave Bali the critical mass needed to start promoting the island intensively. An additional three hotels with about 500 rooms were also completed in 1974.
6. Much of the investment in hotels was Balinese or Indonesian. Many investors appointed managers or concessionaires who marketed them under international brand names, such as Sol Méia, Sheraton, Club Méditerranée, Hilton, and Conrad.
7. Added to these figures are an additional 19,682 in nonclassified establishments, representing 1,323 properties, such as bed & breakfasts, and small lodges.

**References**


9. INDONESIA
NIHIWATU ON SUMBA

Key Lessons

- Strong leadership was needed to launch a profitable business that is attractive to clients and also supports environmentally and socially sound policies.
- The project took 10 years to launch due to the investors’ desire to acquire land with full community consent.
- Development of the local community was set as a goal from the start of the project.
- Guests receive a high-quality tourism experience and are willing to donate to community development.
- Donations are channeled through the Sumba Foundation, a charitable foundation with a community plan that helps them effectively implement projects with guest donations.

Introduction

Small ecolodges often thrive on the passion and drive of their founders, and Nihiwatu is no exception. Nonetheless, the economic impact of ecolodges may be limited, and they cannot increase in size to any significant extent. If, however, they reach out to the local community and forge linkages of mutual advantage, they can pack much greater economic punch, pay more attention to conserving the environment, and enhance community welfare. Nihiwatu, on the island of Sumba in eastern Indonesia, is among the recent tropical resorts around the world that have embraced their responsibility as good corporate citizens and given back to local communities, while running a responsible tourism operation.

Tourism Data

According to the UNWTO\textsuperscript{1}, Indonesia received 6.3 million international arrivals in 2009 and total international tourist receipts amounted to US$6.7 billion. As per the WTTC, Indonesia’s tourism contribution to GDP was 9.1 percent. Total direct and indirect employment in the sector is equivalent to 8.8 million jobs.

Sector Background and History

The sponsors of Nihiwatu set out to find a site from which to launch a profitable business attractive to their clients, while supporting environmentally and socially sound policies that
help conserve the regional biodiversity and improve the lives of the local people. It took the sponsors 10 years to acquire full rights to access the land on which to build the 14-unit, high-end resort. Local tradesmen built the entire project using local materials where possible. The result is stunning in a resort that offers activities such as world-class fishing, surfing, and diving, not to mention spectacular scenery and a vivid local culture. They have also succeeded in supporting the community through efforts “to ease the oppressive impacts of poverty for our neighbors,” via ideas that are passed on to guests at the resort. The investors sought “to provide at least the basic needs everyone deserves to have, like clean water, functioning health facilities, and opportunities for education.”

The island of Sumba, in the Indonesian archipelago (about three hours east of Bali by air), is a remote island known for certain of its ancestral traditions and culture that are of great interest to visitors. The traditional Marapu religion still dictates (secular) daily life on the island although somewhat fewer than half of the 600,000 inhabitants still practice it. In recent years, however, many citizens have converted to one of the five faiths recognized in Indonesia (Islam, Catholicism, Protestantism, Hinduism, and Buddhism). Of Sumba’s many rituals, the most spectacular are the Pasola ceremonies. These are martial art events in which horsemen charge at each other with spears on a large playing field. Serious injuries are common and there are occasional deaths. The ceremonies are performed to set the right conditions for rice harvests as the local tradition asserts that blood on the ground is necessary to fertilize the land.
The Case

It used to take two and half days to reach Sumba and Nihiwatu resort from Bali when it first opened. Its motto was: “Nihiwatu is far from everywhere, that’s the beauty of it!” Honeymooners and surfers are attracted to the site, but it also caters to families and has multiple recreational activities. It can now be reached by air from Bali twice a week via a charter flight (Sumba used to be served by Indonesian domestic carriers, but many went out of business due to increased fuel prices). Nihiwatu maintains an office in Bali.

Despite many difficulties, Nihiwatu is successful and is known as one of the remotest sites in the world. Its owners have mastered the logistics of getting there, and guests are seldom disappointed.

Remarkably, the Sumba Foundation and Nihiwatu have also been successful in supporting the local community. Over 500 guests at Nihiwatu have contributed funds for local projects with donations amounting to roughly US$400,000 annually. The resort has helped “over 20,000 people living in 147 villages” in a 110-square-kilometer area of West Sumba, by:

- Introducing nontraditional agriculture products and training health care workers
- Reducing malaria by 85 percent
- Building five new clinics treating 18,000 people
- Supporting 13 primary schools with water/toilets, tables and chairs, library books, and supplies
- Supplying clean water via 40 wells and 141 water stations
- Bringing in specialists who have contributed life-changing surgery to over 200 patients (eye, burn, and cleft palate surgeries)
- Promoting an anti-malnutrition project that has helped hundreds of children.

In the early years of the project in the 1990s, Nihiwatu started working with its neighbors in nearby villages and found that the resort’s guests were also willing to support its efforts. But the scale of need was overwhelming, even for modest improvements in poverty and overall health. Over time the owners wrote a community plan to provide drinking water and health care. With support from Nihiwatu’s clients, this led to the establishment in 2001 of the Sumba Foundation, dedicated to alleviating poverty on the island. About 90 percent of donations go directly to beneficiaries. The policy is to provide humanitarian aid through village-based projects and the underlying concept is to help the Sumbanese help themselves. The result is a small but powerful operation that has improved the lives of local communities and families in ways that were unimaginable a few years ago.

Results

In 2010, Nihiwatu was awarded the top prize at the Responsible Tourism Awards, as well as the award for impacting poverty most effectively. The judges stated that they “were impressed by the unquestionable scale of change achieved by this comparatively small
resort. Importantly, Nihiwatu has been able to leverage the income from what is a very luxurious tourism experience to alleviate poverty among the Sumbanese, and they have done so without compromising the comfort of that experience.”5 One of the judges, Justin Francis said: “This year, I have been heartened by the depth of commitment and connection our winners have shown to the local communities in their destinations. Their successes and pioneering spirits are remarkable and the very real and authentic experiences they have created, set responsible tourism apart. They also remind us that holidays can be both luxurious for visitor and enhancing for local people—helping build schools, water pumps, clinics, and conserving cultural and natural heritage.”

The combined resources of Nihiwatu and the Sumba Foundation have resulted in a powerful mechanism for delivering local utility and health care services. It is a model that can be usefully implemented elsewhere.

Notes

1. See UNWTO Tourism Factbook.
3. Since 1965, under a policy enacted by President Suharto, to qualify for a public sector job, Indonesians must belong to one of the five recognized religions. Of Sumba’s 600,000 inhabitants, 65 percent are registered Christians. The rest practice Marapu—an unusual mix of faiths in the world’s largest Muslim country. Sumbanese Christians respect the authority of the traditional Marapu leaders in matters related to traditional culture.
4. See also Turtle Island, Fiji, for a similar operation. Many safari lodges in Africa also provide outreach to local communities.
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10. JORDAN
THE INTEGRATION OF TOURISM, TRANSIT, AND INDUSTRY AQABA

Key Lessons

• Integrated development has been key for generating interest in the zone and for synergy between the sectors toward the goal of economic and community development.
• Leadership through the Aqaba Development Corporation and the Jordan Tourism Promotion Board and services provided by a one-stop shop encouraged investment.
• Clear roles exist for both the government and the private sector with room for partnerships, creating a dynamic investment environment.
• Jordan used integrated development to create beach tourism on the Red Sea, diversifying its overall tourism offerings.

Introduction

Aqaba is at the heart of the Middle East, at the head of the Gulf of Aqaba (see map 9.7), where Israel, Saudi Arabia, and Jordan meet. Through the Red Sea, the Gulf gives access to the world’s great ocean trading routes. As Aqaba is Jordan’s only access to the sea, all its trade passes through Aqaba, as does much trade to/from Iraq. It has built a reputation as a diving and beach resort, transportation hub, and special economic zone, which mutually support each other, despite a tumultuous political environment. This cooperation has been achieved through integrated tourism and industrial activity in the Aqaba Special Economic Zone that has attracted billions of dollars in FDI in industry, transit, and tourism. The goal was to attract US$6 billion by 2020. It had already attracted over US$7 billion by 2006 and the population had doubled to 100,000. Integrated development has been key for generating interest in the zone and for synergy between the sectors toward a goal of economic and community development.

Tourism Data

According to the UNWTO, Jordan received 7 million international arrivals in 2009 and total international tourist receipts were US$3.4 billion. According to the WTTC, Jordan’s tourism contribution to GDP was 20 percent. Total direct and indirect employment in the sector is equivalent to 332,000 jobs for a population of 5 million.
Sector Background and History

Jordan has a successful tourism industry, based on its location in the heart of an area steeped in history and successive cultures. Additionally, it has generally pleasant weather, with temperatures ranging from a reasonable 20°C (68°F) in winter (November to March) to 30°C (86°F) and higher in summer. In addition to its 7 million international arrivals in 2009, it has a large middle-income domestic population that takes vacations, mostly northerners visiting the southern part of the country. Jordan's museums are popular destinations, attracting over 3 million visitors a year (Department of Statistics, Jordan). The country had 204 hotels in 2009, with 15,000 rooms and 30,000 beds. As mentioned, tourism contributes about 20 percent to GDP and is expected to maintain that share over the next 10 years. In addition, employment in tourism is expected to grow to about 400,000 jobs over the next 10 years. Aqaba, in the regional administrative city or the south of Jordan, has 54 hotels with over 3,800 rooms that constitute over 25 percent of national capacity. Visitors to Aqaba also visit Wadi Rum and Petra, two of Jordan's best cultural attractions.

Jordan has partnered with the World Bank on several tourism-related projects. The first, completed in 1983, focused on enhancing Jordan's cultural offerings in Jerash, Petra, and Wadi Rum; a second project deepened the reforms set up under the first. Two other Bank-supported activities were environmental: the Dana Wildlands Project, Phase I, and the Gulf of Aqaba Environmental Action Plan.

The Aqaba Special Economic Zone (ASEZA) was set up in 2001, with 375 square kilometers and 27 kilometers of coast bordering Egypt, Saudi Arabia, and Israel. It was part of Jordan’s economic decentralization program. It has an international container terminal, an open skies airport and outstanding tourism assets on the Red Sea. ASEZA has
autonomous powers, including on land use and building regulations, as well as environmental and health control, and it offers economic incentives. As noted in its vision statement (box 9.6), it explicitly seeks to integrate tourism and industry.

This is a powerful message for other countries that is often forgotten as tourism struggles to integrate into national economies. A sister organization, the Aqaba Development Corporation (ADC), is responsible for industrial and tourism promotion, working in cooperation with the Jordan Tourism Promotion Board. Together, they provide a one-stop shop for investment and aftercare. Although the government owns shares in ASEZA and ADC, they operate separately under private law and lease many activities to the private sector, including consultants. Jordan has received large amounts of foreign investment in Aqaba and donors support the program via training, planning, and policy reform.

The ASEZA model is based on a partnership in which the government is responsible for packaging land, external infrastructure, regulation in the tourism zone, as well as municipal and local services. The private sector focuses on property development, internal infrastructure, the provision of shared services for enterprises, and the outsourcing of activities on behalf of the government, including planning, infrastructure development, the build-out of real estate, and property management. The private sector can play the role of master developer, owner/builder, or tenant. The mix of sectors makes for a dynamic environment of mutual support between projects of a very different nature and generates activity that is more “lively” than tourism alone.

**Results**

Aqaba is one example of a type of project that blends activities around trade and transport of goods and people. Other examples include Dubai and Abu Dhabi that in many ways can be seen as similar ventures on a grander scale. The overall goal is to promote regional growth and job creation through diversification. The common threads include the focus on a sound transport base, with economies of scale in the provision of all transport infrastructure; environmental conservation within the zone as well as around it; the mitigation of harmful effects (for example from the export of phosphates); and community development.

Initial problems in setting up the project related to financing, physical planning, and regulations. Sovereignty issues in dealing with multiple parties also had to be overcome, and it took a long time to educate the people on the ASEZA’s law and regulations. With
the resolution of these problems, the zone is now operating well as an industrial area and Aqaba is competitive with the Eilat and Egyptian resorts on the Red Sea.

**Notes**

1. See UNWTO Tourism Factbook.
7. Some of the land was acquired in a trade with Saudi Arabia.
8. Including no customs duties, 100 percent foreign ownership, and flexible labor policies (up to 70 percent is foreign labor). It applies a 7 percent sales tax, 5 percent corporate tax, and a 6 percent tax on land purchases. Capital and profits are transferable.

**References**

Introduction

Kenya is renowned for its leisure product, but the country has adapted its marketing and promotion to strengthen another segment, business tourism, to recover from a dip in its leisure business. It serves as an example of how market segments can be diversified and yet compete with each other in international markets. This case underlines the importance of high-quality training for the meetings, incentives, conference, and exhibition (MICE) sectors. Kenya is considered the originator of wildlife safaris and is also noted for its white beaches. It is the perfect “bush-beach” destination. However, outbreaks of violence following the 2007 elections sent international arrivals tumbling by 40 percent to 1.2 million visitors, and trips to national parks dropped by 36 percent to 1.6 million visitors in 2008. These events served as a wake-up call. Kenya responded by engineering a dramatic turnaround led by the Minister of Tourism, who adopted an aggressive marketing campaign coupled with investments in conference and business facilities and the MICE environment. Conference tourism became the fastest growing segment in tourism, with a higher financial return than leisure tourism. A great deal of emphasis went into exploring new markets in China, India, the Netherlands, the Russian Federation, South Africa, Tanzania, the UAE, and Uganda, putting Nairobi’s airport to good use.

Tourism Data

According to the UNWTO,1 Kenya received 1.96 million international arrivals in 2009 and total international tourist receipts amounted to US$2.1 billion. Tourism currently
accounts for 13.2 percent of GNP (direct and indirect) in Kenya with MICE playing an enhanced role. Total direct and indirect employment in the sector is equivalent to 617,500 jobs.

Twelve percent of travelers visit Kenya on business. The country is now ranked fourth in Africa after South Africa, Egypt, and Morocco for business meetings. The government aims to triple the MICE segment by 2013 to US$73 million.

Nairobi hotels operate at full capacity in peak season and, even during the low season, occupancy reaches 85 percent. These figures reflect the high demand and the shortage of premium accommodation. In 2010, prices rose 31 percent over an 18-month period to an average of US$205. The high room and overhead charges reflect the investment made in service and staff.

**Sector History and Background**

A key feature of Kenya’s tourism program was the partnership between sector agencies and the private sector. The need for this partnership was recognized and organized to drive the MICE tourism sector in Nairobi. In 2008, Kenya passed a comprehensive law that introduced new policy and addressed a number of bureaucratic constraints, including the overwhelming 44 different legislative instruments used to regulate tourism; the overlapping functions in 15 public organizations that regulated the sector; and the 11 associations and civic organizations that represented the different markets. The legislation focused on product quality, accommodation capacity, infrastructure, marketing, safety and security, and travel advisories. In 2010, a Tourism Bill was proposed as a single piece of legislation to further refine the development, marketing, and regulation of sustainable tourism, including upgrades of the Kenyatta Conference Center and Utalii College, Kenya’s well-known hotel school.

**The Case**

Business and conference tourism are highly dependent on the investment climate and operating environment. As such Nairobi offers good opportunities for add-ons, such as safari trips over the weekend or day trips to Nairobi’s national park. In 2010, the price of a room in a five-star hotel ranged from US$165 to US$266 in Nairobi. For a five-day conference, the average daily expenditure is approximately US$180 or over US$900 a week. Overall expenditure in a typical hotel is shown in figure 9.1.

**Figure 9.1: Typical Overall Hotel Expenditure**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Room/OH</td>
<td>45.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food/Beverages</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laundry</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out-of-Pocket Misc</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxes/Levy</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Spenceley 2010.*
As shown in the figure, room sales account for over 45 percent, food and beverage for one-third, laundry for almost 3 percent and out-of-pocket expenses for 5.5 percent. Taxes and levies constitute 12.8 percent of the value chain in Nairobi, with value added tax topping the bill (at 57 percent of taxation), followed by a service charge (35.7 percent) and training levy (7.5 percent). Most are taxes on tourists that push the prices up and could eventually contribute to a softening of demand, especially as new hotels open. Hotels in Nairobi have policies for sourcing many supplies in the local market, of which a good proportion are locally produced. Over the last decade, the quality of food and services has improved remarkably. One of Nairobi’s hotels, the Tribe Hotel, was recently chosen as Condé Nast’s Top 100 for its blend of style, service, and technology.

Regarding the operating costs of hotel rooms, variable costs account for 37.3 percent of departmental sales (rooms, food and beverage, and other hotel departments) and the wage bill comprises 40.6 percent. In the food and beverage department, the main expenditures are the combined food and beverage cost of 30.2 percent of departmental sales and a wage bill of 57 percent. These wages are much higher than in many hotels in Africa, reflecting efforts to enhance the quality of service and retain staff.

**Results**

Investment in marketing and the promotion of MICE tourism since 2008 has clearly stimulated demand in Nairobi and catalyzed the refurbishment of hotel and conference facilities in the city. The shift from a purely leisure market rippled through the entire sector with very positive results. It has also provoked greater effort not only to train staff but also to retain them in Kenya through competitive salaries and career opportunities, as was intended by the 2008 legislation. The constraints include a shortage of premium conference facilities, a limited number of high-quality restaurants, unreliable communications and the high cost of electricity. A critical factor is corruption, with 75 percent of firms in Kenya reporting they have made informal payments to “get things done,” often paying as much as 12 percent of a contract’s value. Transparency International ranks Kenya as one of the world’s 10 most corrupt countries.

This case study’s key takeaways for other mature destinations are to avoid relying on one product line (although it may pay to focus on one product in the initial stage of a recovery), to diversify, and, particularly following a crisis, to invest in positive marketing and promotion to overcome the negative consequences of the crisis.

**Notes**

1. See UNWTO Tourism Factbook.
2. These included the Kenya Tourist Development Corporation (KTDC), the Kenya Tourist Board (KTB), Utalii College, Kenya Tourism Federation (KTF), the Hotel and Restaurant Authority of Kenya (HRA), the Kenya Investment Authority (KenInvest), the Kenya Association of Tour Operators (KATO) and Ecotourism Kenya.
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12. REPUBLIC OF KOREA
KYONGJU TOURISM

Key Lessons

- The government did not wait for the results of a national tourism plan to begin construction of the Kyongju project, assuming that it had every chance of succeeding.
- Making reliable market projections for new development on greenfield sites is challenging.
- A lack of market research and marketing resulted in lower-than-expected demand and led to the project’s failure.
- Large tourism projects are complex and require coordination among multiple agencies that were new and untested in Korea.

Introduction

In the early 1970s, the government of Korea sought new sources of growth and diversification and concluded that tourism offered the right prospects. Accordingly, it pressed ahead with the Kyongju project, judged to be a sure-starter, without waiting for the results of a national master plan that was under way. Unfortunately, the project proved premature and folded as the anticipated market failed to materialize. At that time, Korea hosted fewer than 40,000 foreign tourists nationally and Kyongju failed to create the tourism product that could attract more.

Tourism Data

In the 1970s, international tourism in Korea was negligible. Visitors averaged about 42,000 annually in the mid-1960s; approximately half were Americans, mainly on business or official duty. Of the remainder, most were expatriate Koreans visiting their families. The number of foreigners taking vacations in the country rose from 19,000 in 1966 to 210,000 by 1972, growing at an average annual rate of 50 percent during the period. The following two years saw a deepening of this trend. More than twice as many foreigners visited Korea on vacation in 1973 than in 1971, spurred by the doubling of the Japanese market to 80,000 visitors, the potential core market for Kyongju. Travel receipts increased from US$7.7 million in 1965 to US$31.2 million in 1971 or 6.5 percent of total receipts from invisibles. By the end of 1975, capacity in international hotels increased over 40 percent to 5,000 rooms, due to higher demand as well as to liberal investment incentives (five-year
income tax holidays, the exemption of customs duties on imported materials, and public provision of basic infrastructure).

According to the UNWTO, Korea received 7.8 million international arrivals in 2009. According to the WTTC, tourism’s contribution to GDP was 70.5 percent. Total direct and indirect employment in the sector is equivalent to 1,215,000 jobs.

**Sector Background and History**

Located north of Busan on Korea’s southeastern coast, Kyongju is the ancient capital of Korea and its preeminent cultural and historic center. Kyongju has unique archaeological monuments, including an observatory built in 634 A.D. that is the oldest of its kind in Asia; a 25-foot high golden Buddha dating back to the eighth century; and many other buildings and shrines of the Silla Dynasty (58 B.C. to 935 A.D.). Many of these cultural relics had fallen into decay but the government decided to focus on major restoration work to attract touristic and cultural interest. The project area is easily accessible by road and rail from Seoul and Busan, the two main entry points into Korea for foreign visitors, although they are several hours from Kyongju.

**The Case**

In 1971, the government asked the World Bank to assist it in assessing the potential for tourism in Korea. A sector survey mission visited the country and, on the basis of its findings, agreed with the Korean authorities that the Kyongju area offered excellent prospects for attracting greater numbers of foreign visitors. The World Bank was already actively involved in the evaluation and subsequent refinement of the master plan for the urban and touristic development of the area at that time. Access was mostly by air through Seoul and Busan airports, each about two hours away from Kyongju.

A comprehensive national tourism study was also under way within the Korean Presidency, supported by USAID, to provide the framework for the long-term development of tourism. The government’s preliminary review of opportunities for tourism development led to the conclusion, supported by the Bank, that prospects for a tourist resort at Kyongju were so promising that the proposed project could be implemented without awaiting the completion of the long-range study.

The Kyongju project was to upgrade urban and tourism facilities in Kyongju, Bomun Lake, and surrounding areas. It included:

- Construction of a dam and a small irrigation system
- Expansion of the water supply, sewerage, and solid waste disposal systems
- Supply of electrical and telecommunication facilities
- Realignment of 57 kilometers of access roads to historical monuments and to Bomun Lake, and construction of secondary roads within the resort
- Establishment of a hotel school for 250-300 students
• Improvements in nearby picturesque and traditional villages
• Initiation of a feasibility study for another potential tourist area, Cheju Island.

The total project cost was an estimated US$50 million, and a World Bank loan of US$25 million was made, representing the project’s foreign exchange cost. The economic rate of return on the investments was estimated at 16.5 percent.

The project was expected to be fully operational in 1984. By that time, it would provide direct employment for about 5,400 workers in hotels and 1,500 workers in other facilities. Indirect employment generated in construction, agriculture, handicrafts, transportation, and other services is difficult to compute but could have amounted to between 10,000 and 15,000 persons.

A site at Bomun Lake, some 6 kilometers from Kyongju, was selected for construction of the resort. The government acquired approximately 1,000 hectares of land. Its plan for development of the area envisaged the construction of 6,000 hotel rooms, condominiums, apartment buildings, youth hostels, and camping sites over a 20-year period. The first phase of the Bomun Lake resort consisted of several hotels with total capacity of 3,000 rooms and the provision of utilities, services, and recreational facilities. This phase was to be completed by 1982, corresponding to the proposed project. Its principal components were the construction of a ring road around an existing artificial lake; the street network; hotel sites parallel to the shore; sites for traditional restaurants; an amenity core, including shops, theaters, and such community facilities as a fire station and health clinic; and recreational facilities such as a sports ground, a marina, and a golf course.

**Results**

Ultimately, however, the project did not achieve its objectives and was abandoned. Infrastructure was not completed and hotels not built. The government and the Bank perhaps should have waited for the national tourism study to be completed, although the study would most likely not have offered reservations concerning the Kyongju project.

Kyongju highlights the difficulty of making market projections for greenfield sites. Although the restoration work has been remarkable and good recreational facilities are available in the area (for golf, swimming, and other sports), the information was not disseminated to attract markets. This case also highlights the complexity of tourism projects that require coordination among many agencies. In this case many of the agencies were new and untested. Also, the ground transportation required to reach Kyongju added several hours to the journey to Korea by air.

**Notes**

1. Note, however, that tourism eventually did grow and international arrivals reached 7.8 million in 2009.
2. See UNWTO Tourism Factbook.
3. See WTTC research.
4. In 1972, about 1.2 million Koreans and over 76,000 foreigners visited the city. Of the latter group, only half stayed overnight, reflecting the shortage of hotel rooms.
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13. MALDIVES
INCREMENTAL EXPANSION OF TOURISM AND ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT ISLAND RESORTS

Key Lessons

- Maldives has developed a superior planning framework and environmental controls: policy dictates one resort per island and strict environmental and design standards.
- To lessen the development burden, the government requires investors to establish infrastructure.
- The transparent and objective evaluation of bids to lease islands built confidence in the system.
- The government’s use of master plans balanced growth and quality of investments, putting in place many regulations to ensure incremental growth and environmental management.
- The country’s open policy pertaining to airline access has benefited the expansion of tourism. Nonetheless, air access poses constraints as planes cannot stay on the ground for long due to lack of space.
- Maldives has an impressive record of growth and rapid expansion of its lodging capacity, having achieved this success by managing its land effectively through the leasing of islands and by improving access to the island resorts.

Introduction

Tourism in Maldives has been a hard-won victory. The island nation is far from its markets in Europe, Asia, and North America; many of the islands are only two meters above sea level and thus subject to the ravages of climate change and rising seas; fresh drinking water is in short supply; the country is on the fringe of the two annual Indian Ocean monsoons (southwest and northeast); most goods used in the manufacture of tourism projects are imported; transportation between the islands is expensive and the airports require land reclamation and complex engineering; and about half of the staff in hotels is foreign (in the 1970s when tourism started, the country had no specialized expertise). Not the least of its problems is the political situation, which has been tense.1

Nonetheless, Maldives overcame these obstacles to build a world-class industry, often considered one of the most coveted destinations in the world. It started quietly with adventurers seeking out surfing and diving opportunities as well as new destinations in a fast growing industry (Maldives is now on the international surfing competition calendar).
Subsequently, traders in Maldives began investing in tourism, using their skills in trading to fashion resort investments. As interest in the country rose, the government decided on a policy of one resort per uninhabited island, with infrastructure provided by the investors. After initial difficulties pertaining to private investment in the first master plan, this decision led to the development of a well-organized sector, and an emphasis on sound environmental conditions (recommended in the master plan) resulted in “green” solutions that have helped strengthen the area’s image as custodian of the environment. With over 700 uninhabited islands and considerable room for expansion, the country is fostering a sound environmental image. A number of resorts have received “green” endorsements, including the Green Globe, ISO 14001 or a similar standard. Maldives has attracted many of the world’s top operators as well as built a homegrown industry. The country received 683,000 arrivals in 2008, more than double its national population.

Tourism Data

According to the UNWTO, in 2009 Maldives received 680,000 international arrivals, and total international tourist receipts amounted to US$12.9 billion. As per the WTTC, tourism’s contribution to GDP was 5.8 percent. Total direct and indirect employment in the sector is equivalent to 87,000 jobs. Tourism growth rates have been impressive, often well above regional or global growth rates, at about 10 percent since 1972. As a consequence of the world recession, growth slowed in 2007 but by 2008 Maldives had recovered and exceeded the number of visitors it received in 2005. The country attained 5 million bednights for the first time in 2004, although the number dropped for

Map 9.8: Maldives
a couple of years before rising again, reaching the current 5.5 million bednights.\textsuperscript{3} Tourism to Maldives was less affected by the economic recession than other countries and rebounded in 2009. In the first seven months of 2010, tourism further increased by 29 percent month on month compared to 2009. The three key markets are Germany, Italy, and the United Kingdom. The latter has traditionally been the largest market although, in 2009, it was overtaken by China, which became the largest market for Maldives, along with increases in arrivals from Russia, Japan, and Australasia. Typically, Maldives is a winter destination with a peak season from November through March/April. In recent years, however, the summer season, June to September, has also been promoted. In fact, since 2004, there has been little marked seasonality, with resorts busy year-round, possibly due to lower prices during the off-season.

\section*{Sector Background and History}

Maldives lies about 500 kilometers to the west/southwest of India and Sri Lanka. It has a unique geological formation of 1,190 coral islands grouped into 26 atolls (with 200 inhabited islands and approximately 100 islands with tourist resorts). The archipelago, 1,000 kilometers long and 100 wide, is located strategically along major sea lanes in the Indian Ocean. Taken together, the islands have a landmass of no more than 300 square kilometers. Its coral reefs host the densest mass of tropical fish in the world. The temperature ranges from 26°C to 31°C with humidity between 78 and 82 percent. Officially, the months of May to October are “sunny/rainy” and November to April are “sunny/dry.” However, even in the rainy season, there are long spells of sunshine.

The interaction between tourism and the environment is important both for conservation and for sustaining the quality of tourism. The overriding environmental issue is that of rising seas, a result of climate change, which expose the islands to flooding as most are no more than one or two meters above sea level. Considerable effort has been expended in Malé, for example, to protect its coastline, including significant land reclamation and the construction of substantial retaining walls. Maldives has 25 officially designated marine protected areas, with one combining marine and terrestrial biodiversity protection measures. Lagoons and reefs make up 21,300 square kilometers of Maldives and the reefs contain over two hundred coral species. Coral bleaching killed as much as 90 percent of the coral in 1998 (as a result of El Niño winds). The coral was showing signs of recovery when the tsunami hit in 2004, covering it with sand, which retards coral growth. However, the harsher forms of degradation due to coral and sand mining, dragnet fishing and poisoning, and dynamite fishing have been outlawed in Maldives and protection measures are in place for the resort islands.

Tourism in Maldives is the responsibility of the Ministry of Tourism and Civil Aviation, under Law 2/99. It is responsible for policy and the planning and implementation of certain functions, such as marketing, through the Maldives Tourism Promotion Board and the Government Tourism Information Office in Germany. The Ministry has acted astutely as tourism has grown and matured. It has relied on advice from two master tourism plans. The first tourism master plan (FTMP) covered the period 1983–1990 and set a ceiling of
12,000 beds. The plan was successful in that it “captured the entrepreneurial spirit of the Maldives private sector quite succinctly” and simultaneously channeled growth away from a laissez-faire model threatening tourism’s future. It also developed Malé as a hub at the center of the industry with growth poles to be selected where needed in the archipelago. The FTMP also recommended that the then Department of Tourism become a Ministry, which occurred in 1988. The second tourism master plan (STMP) covered the period 1995–2005. It recognized the preeminent role of the private sector in resort development and underscored the government’s role “to facilitate the business environment whereby the private sector can operate efficiently,” rather than providing infrastructure. Indeed, a key success factor is facilitating the private provision of infrastructure. This formula has worked remarkably well, with resorts providing their own infrastructure under guidelines from government. In summary, while the FTMP focused on growth, the STMP emphasized the quality of growth—its distribution, human resource development, the environment, institutions as well as marketing and promotion. The government has been particularly efficient in putting in place environmental design standards to protect the environment, as discussed below. The Ministry works closely with the Ministries of Planning and Finance and the Central Bank on tourism matters.

The services sector in Maldives has one of the highest ratios of international tourism receipts to GDP in the world. Until recently, the country had no corporate tax revenue from tourism and taxation was based on long-term resort leases negotiated on a per bed basis for each individual resort island. A value added tax on tourism of 3.1 percent introduced in January 2010 (the Tourist Goods and Services Tax, TGST) has been raised to 12%, and a Second Amendment to the Tourism Act seeks to replace the existing resort leases with land rents. In addition, a Business Profit Tax was signed into law in January 2011, after it failed to pass in parliament twice. By July 2013, the bednight tax of US$8 that each tourist pays will be phased out, replaced by the increased TGST, but tourists pay a one-time airport tax (or user charge) of US$12 (included in the airline ticket). The other major source of taxation is duty on all imports (except for initial construction, which is exempt as an incentive).

**Tourism Industry Case**

**Accommodation**

Maldives’ accommodation capacity has grown rapidly in recent years to a total of 10,485 rooms in 2009, about 21,000 beds. Malé has eight hotels and 28 guest inns and 113 vessels (used as lodging and for touring). Approximately 100 islands have resorts on them, with between 20 and 400 rooms; about 30 have 100 or more rooms. Occupancies have historically been high, peaking in 2004 at 83.9 percent. Following political unrest in 2005, occupancies dropped to 64.4 percent but have since risen to over 80 percent again. The average length of stay is about 8 days, reflecting a blend of one-, two- and three-week stays that are typical for Europeans in Maldives; Asians typically stay 3 to 5 days. The newer resorts increasingly are geared for individual clients at the upscale level and international chain
operators are entering the market with their own in-house marketing capability. Most lessee/owners are Maldivians and the industry remains largely in Maldivian hands, mostly multisector trading companies. Well-known international brands have established themselves recently (for example, Four Seasons, Hilton, One and Only). A survey carried out in 2005 on the investment climate (World Bank 2006) concluded that the lodging industry is predominantly owned by citizens, which confirms a trend seen in many countries where ownership is often local but management (or leasehold) is in the hands of foreigners.

**Excursions and Services**

Some resorts in Maldives offer their own activities for snorkeling, fishing, and speedboat excursions. They also tend to outsource certain services (for example, submarine reef trips). The larger hotels outsource these activities to their main tour operators by permitting them to offer excursions outlined in their brochures for presales and to main desks in resort lobbies for on-the-spot sales. In fact, these constitute a significant aspect of many resorts’ business.

**Travel Intermediaries**

Maldives has developed about 100 intermediaries over the past 30 years. Most of the world’s key tour operators and travel agents offer Maldives in their brochures. They have their own offices or agents locally providing ground handling and transfer services.

**Leasing of Islands**

While managing growth and avoiding a boom-and-bust environment, Maldives benefits from its land structure of hundreds of uninhabited islands that lends itself to incremental expansion. Generally, the government advertises that a few islands are being opened for tourism and invites bids to lease the island and develop a resort. The preconditions include rigorous environmental conditions.

The land lease process is political and a matter of hot debate in government and private circles. Nonetheless, the government has tried to make the process transparent and objective, which offers lessons that may be useful for their tourism projects. Several underlying conditions must exist before an island is offered for lease (see World Bank 2006). It must:

- Be uninhabited
- Demonstrate the carrying capacity to support a resort
- Be within reasonable distance from an international airport (by sea or air) to ensure manageable transfer times.

Some 100 islands have already been leased for tourism; several hundred more could conceivably be leased over a long period. In addition, the original leases, negotiated between 1975 and 1985, are coming to term and must be renegotiated.
The leasing procedure consists of auctioning uninhabited islands in a bidding process after the specifics are published in advance and evaluated by independent and disinterested persons. Standardized bids facilitate comparisons. In 2005, 200 proposals bidding on 11 island opportunities were evaluated (see World Bank 2006) on the following basis:

- Concept plan 20 percent
- Business plan 10 percent
- Human resources 10 percent
- Environment plan 10 percent
- Resort lease value 50 percent

The terms of the lease were standardized so bids would be more directly comparable. They now include:

- 25 years as the norm
- 35 years, if the investment is over US$10 million
- 50 years, if some shares are sold on the Maldives stock market
- No more than 25 years, if awarded to foreign investors.

In addition, the rules set standards for environmental impact management and infrastructure, including:

- An environmental impact assessment and mitigation plan
- Setbacks of at least 40 meters from the high water mark
- Ground coverage ratios of no more than 20 percent
- Building heights limited to treetop level
- Infrastructure that is environmentally conscious
- Close attention to beach and sand erosion/accretion.
Investors must provide the necessary infrastructure networks and services as part of the transaction, which explains the government’s modest outlay for tourism of only 1 percent of the national capital budget. The islands have introduced desalination (Malé’s water supply consists of desalinated water, as the water table is polluted), septic tanks (with soak pits and/or ocean outfalls) or mechanized small-scale treatment plants. Most resorts use generators; some are experimenting with renewable energy generation. It is explicitly prohibited to introduce exotic, nonindigenous plants, to dynamite fish and coral, and to mine sand. Investors are also impelled to protect marine environments (shorelines, beach planning, coastal vegetation).

This model has worked well, although it puts a premium on the resort lease payment, and appears to favor local investors. The TGST and substitution of resort rentals by land rents should help soften the intense bidding war that has raised resort leases to untenable levels as investors fight for access to the new island opportunities. These regulations should put land rents in line with other countries’, representing approximately 5-10 percent of total investment. Twenty-five years is a suitable time frame to generate a profitable investment and gives the country an exit if the lessee does not perform to Maldivian standards. In contrast, many countries use 99-year land leases, such as francophone West Africa’s bail amphithéotique. Nonetheless, the option of an additional 10 years at the end of the lease would be welcomed by the industry. One disincentive is a clause that requires the residual value to be determined at book, rather than market, value (present value of future earnings).

With the new land rent proposals, less focus will fall on the lease value and more attention will be paid to the technical aspects of the deals to achieve desirable policy outcomes, including infrastructure options to harness new technologies in water, sanitation and solid waste, and energy. Such improvements must be specified when the contract is negotiated or the government loses the opportunity for at least 25 years. Maldives is already a leader in such remote area technologies as reverse osmosis for water treatment, the treatment of sewage effluents for watering gardens, and the use of renewable energy. In addition, its septic tanks could eventually be replaced by mechanical sewage treatment via mini-plants, for example. The use of local materials for building or food supply could also be emphasized, including products for thatching, coir, agricultural, and horticultural produce. Additionally, the government could revise the model to emphasize job creation for Maldivians. At present, about 50 percent of personnel in the industry is foreign; that number could be reduced (and local unemployment addressed) by a proactive stance to increase the proportion of Maldivians, with a quid pro quo to address training and career development.

Only the lease’s extension to 99 years (and uniform application to all investors) would silence private sector complaints of bias, although this is unlikely to occur as the land lease is an important instrument of government policy. In the longer term, a process of more modest land rents could prove more efficient. A potential property tax might better reflect the value of the business. A new corporate tax was introduced in 2011, helping to reveal profitability based on actual results, not estimated projections designed to win bids without reflecting operating realities. Another option could be for the government to seek bids by resort category with land leases established by category. (The hotels in Maldives are
rated by tour operators such that a common understanding of the country’s hotel categories exists.)

Lastly, the survey on the investor climate indicated that a secondary market for the sale of leases is developing. The government may wish to reinforce the secondary market system to ensure sound regulation and conditions of sale by legislating in favor of a framework to manage the resale of resort property leases.

**Access**
The main entry point for tourists in Maldives is the Ibrahim Nasir International Airport on Hulhulé Island, a 10-minute ferry ride from Malé. The airport was privatized in 2010. It has a blacktop 3,200-meter runway, which can accept most planes, and a water runway handling seaplane transfers directly to resorts. The country opened a second international airport in Gan (with a 2,600-meter runway) at a converted military site. Approximately 80 percent of all leisure visitors arrive via tour operators on a package tour that includes a charter flight.

International and domestic access are simultaneously a bottleneck and a means of managing access throughout the country. Maldives has always had an open attitude to both charter and scheduled international airlines; over 30 airlines currently serve the country. The national carrier is Maldivian, which was formed in 2008 from Island Aviation. Before Gan airport was built, many potential island destinations were simply too far to be reached from Hulhulé in a reasonable amount of time and hence most resorts were located within a couple of hours of Malé. The transformation of Gan airport into an international civil airport (with an adjacent Shangri-La Hotel) has eased the pressure on Hulhulé and made the southern islands more accessible. Plans are also under consideration for a new airport in the northern section of the country that will open the northern islands to investment. Transfers between these airports are provided. Service to the islands is either by boat or by seaplane. By boat, the choice is between traditional dhonis, which are slow and unsuited for long trips but very typical of Maldives and add local color (the shipyards where they are built from palm wood are an interesting tourist attraction), and power boats, most of which are owned by the resorts, although some passengers find them unattractive and a most uncomfortable ride. In addition, two sea taxi services operate (Trans Maldivian and Maldives Air Taxi), with a total of 31 Twin Otter aircraft. Only clients with reservations can visit an island and the resort management confirms each reservation (or provides service on its own boat).

**Results**
Maldives has an impressive record of growth and rapid expansion of its lodging capacity, having achieved this success by managing its land effectively through the leasing of islands and by improving access to the island resorts.

In sum, Maldives has effectively promoted an economically productive tourism model. One successful ingredient has been its willingness to experiment and innovate. It
privatized its main airport and had no direct corporate income tax for an extended period of time. It also introduced land rents to defang the resort lease model and a value added tax to replace the bednight tax. The revised lease model, as well as improved access to the country, helped facilitate the expansion of tourism in a managed, incremental way. The country’s geography also aided the process.

Notes

1. The presidential elections in 2008 produced unrest, followed by demonstrations against the government’s austerity program. However, President Mohamed Nasheed was credited with trying to introduce reforms.
2. See UNWTO Tourism Factbook.
3. See UNWTO Tourism Factbook.
4. Although per capita income in Maldives is not as high as in the Seychelles (US$6,000), it clearly has achieved more through tourism than any of its South Asian neighbors in improving the welfare of its citizens. Maldivians have shown remarkable capacity to adapt since tourism began in the 1970s, perhaps reflecting their exposure to trade routes for millennia.
5. For properties of \( \leq 200,000 \) square feet, the rate will be US$1 million; for areas \( 200,000 < X \leq 400,000 \) square feet, the rate will be US$1.5 million; and for areas \( > 400,000 \) square feet, the rate will be US$2 million per annum. No estimates are available of the expected revenues from this new tax but revenues are projected to be somewhat lower than at present, but more predictable.
6. See UNWTO Tourism Factbook.
7. Excursions include arrival/departure from the airport (US$75–200 per trip); a day or half day trip to Malé for site seeing and shopping; fishing, snorkeling, scuba diving, surfing trips, picnics to uninhabited islands, island hopping tours, and other tours by water. Spa operations are also offered by concessions, affiliated companies and independent concessionaires (for example Clarins).
8. Tourism generates about 30 percent of total government revenues and land rents are equivalent to approximately 30 percent of tourism revenue. Land leases therefore generate roughly 9–10 percent of total government revenue, a significant figure. This is changing due to the increased TGST and the introduction of the new corporate tax system.
9. The tour operator is GMR–Malaysian airlines, who won over two other bidders, with a total package of US$511 million. It is to build a new passenger and VIP terminal (47,400 square feet), together with landside development and land reclamation. The government will receive an initial up-front fee of US$78 million and a fixed annual concession fee of US$1.5 million.
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14. MAURITIUS
A DETERMINED COMPETITOR
NATIONAL TOURISM

Key Lessons

- Mauritius targeted tourism for growth and exercised close control over its coastline to ensure environmental management.
- Strategic partnerships with European airlines were critical for success since Mauritius is far from source markets.
- Explicit emphasis has been placed on training and high-quality service with adequate government support and policies.
- Expansion is controlled by stopping new hotel construction when occupancy rates drop below a certain level.
- Leadership for investments and promotion is coordinated through the Mauritius Export Development & Investment Authority (MEDIA).

Introduction

The travel industry in Mauritius presents the image of a successful destination with the world’s best hotels catering to a high-income market. With a population of about 1 million, Mauritius received close to 1 million (930,456) international tourists in 2008, representing a total expenditure of US$1.4 billion. Tourism contributes 13 percent to GDP and Mauritius has a remarkable visitor repeat rate of 30 percent, achieved through a determined effort to promote high-quality investment. The island nation also controlled expansion of capacity to ensure a sound operating environment.

Tourism Data

According to the UNWTO, Mauritius received 904,000 international arrivals in 2009. Total international tourist receipts amounted to US$1.3 billion. According to the WTTC, tourism’s total contribution (direct and indirect) to GDP was 28.1 percent. Total direct and indirect employment in the sector is over 150,400 jobs.

Sector Background and History

Mauritius became a single-sector economy (based on sugar production) after independence in 1968. Few countries have set about economic diversification and export-led growth with as much drive and commitment as Mauritius. It began by making sugar
production into a large-scale industry rather than a cottage industry. Although the island had no sheep, it became a world leader in woolen knitwear, and is the world’s biggest exporter of canned corned beef, squeezing out Argentina. It started with Export Processing Zones (EPZ) in the mid-1980s (and perfected the idea of a one-stop shop for investors). The development of tourism followed, with the government exercising close control over the entire coastline and ensuring that new properties conformed to environmental standards. As a result, Mauritius is now a superior sun and sand destination with 90 percent of its hotels on tropical beaches. To avoid the pitfalls of overexpansion, the government declares moratoria on the construction of hotels when it judges that occupancies have dipped too low. According to the Mauritius Chamber of Commerce and Industry, the room occupancy rate was 68 percent in 2008 and 63 percent in 2010, with robust revenues. Mauritius has 104 large hotels of over 80 rooms and the bed and breakfast market is burgeoning. In support, local entrepreneurs have opened taxi and small bus companies, and handicraft and sports activity enterprises, and supply food for the hotels. Mauritius has a well-developed financial sector with incentives for qualifying operations in tourism, such that economic development has reached even the poorest areas of the island.

Results

Mauritius credits its growth in tourism to three key factors:

- As Mauritius is far from its main markets in Europe, it needs good air access to succeed (60 percent of its market comes from the United Kingdom, France, and South Africa). Although it created its own airline, Air Mauritius, it sought to partner with others as a core strategy. Through pooling agreements, it has sound relationships with major
carriers, such as British Airways and Air France, and actively discourages charter flights. It has thus turned its distance from originating markets to an advantage, using its remoteness as an indication of exclusivity.

- While embarking on export-led growth, the government promoted human rights—Mauritius has one of the best human rights records in Africa. It also boasts a fine hotel school. Many hotels are staffed entirely by Mauritian, including at the managerial level, although Mauritius is a net importer of labor. A passion for high-quality personal service is high on the government’s list of priorities and Mauritius markets quality as part of its brand. It provides incentives for entrepreneurs to release their staff for training. Students are encouraged to learn four languages in the general education system.

- Tourism in Mauritius relies heavily on partnerships between the public and private sectors, with the help of international strategic partners. Responsibility for tourism is entrusted to the Ministry of Tourism, Leisure, and External Communications, which works closely with a dynamic private sector, represented at the highest level by an apex organization, the Joint Economic Council. Private sector associations are active in policy dialogue and advocacy with government. MEDIA aids in every aspect of developing exports and attracting FDI.

Notes

1. See UNWTO Tourism Factbook.
2. See WTTC research.
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15. MEXICO
A RESORT CITY GROWS OUT OF THE SAND
CANCÚN

Key Lessons

- Cancún's success can be linked to a comprehensive master plan that included tourism and residential zones and the development of a world-class airport.
- The Ministry of Finance developed FONATUR to lead the Cancún project. FONATUR created the anchor project by assembling land, constructing the integrated resorts, and managing their development. The FONATUR model has been replicated throughout the world.
- Cancún reaches its target markets in North America by offering diverse accommodation, conference facilities, year-round activities, and other appropriate, market oriented products.
- Although Cancún had environmental regulations in place, rapid growth put pressure on the surrounding area, requiring strengthened management measures. Today environmental pressure still exists and measures need to be strengthened again.

Introduction

In the 1960s Cancún was deserted, with no permanent residents. Today it is one of the most visited resorts in the world, hosting over 10 million tourists every year. It is one of the top 10 destinations worldwide. The process to develop tourism in Cancún took 35 years. In that time, a new city with 143 hotels and 27,518 rooms has emerged. Its success, anchored in the original master plan, offers useful lessons in tourism development and serves as a model to emulate.

Tourism Data

Mexico welcomed 86.6 million international visitors in 2009. International tourism receipts were US$12.3 billion. The peak year was 2005 when the country received 103.1 million international visitors. According to the WTTC, tourism's contribution to GDP was 13 percent. Total direct and indirect employment in the sector is equivalent to 6.3 million jobs.
Arrivals fell yearly at the end of the past decade, as shown in Table 9.9. Since then, the world economic recession and increasing drug violence have cut into tourism. Total arrivals continued to decline through 2011 but overnight visitor numbers have slowly risen again in the past couple of years. Although in the long run Mexico’s tourism seems assured of a privileged place, maintaining that position will require deft management in the short term. Mexico also has a sizeable domestic market that included approximately 62 million overnight tourist stays in 2008. This is very important as it complements international travel and may provide a cushion against slowing international markets (many emerging markets have no domestic market). Most foreign travelers are from the United States and Canada (93 percent); Europe accounts for 5 percent. Until quite recently, North America accounted for 73 percent and Europe for 10 percent. This change can be

**Table 9.9 Mexico Tourism Data, 2005–09**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category/Year</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>International arrivals (000s)*</td>
<td>103,146</td>
<td>97,701</td>
<td>92,179</td>
<td>91,482</td>
<td>86,189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenditure by int’l tourists (US$, 000s)</td>
<td>12,801</td>
<td>13,329</td>
<td>13,988</td>
<td>14,847</td>
<td>12,309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trips by nationals in Mexico</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>55,996</td>
<td>61,142</td>
<td>62,020</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average daily expenditure (US$)a</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>124.6</td>
<td>139.4</td>
<td>145.3</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average length of stay (days)</td>
<td>9.93</td>
<td>9.93</td>
<td>9.94</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>9.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average occupancy (%)a</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>51.9</td>
<td>53.4</td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: UNWTO, unless otherwise noted.

Note: * = including overnight and same day visitors; — = Not available.


**Map 9.10: Cancún, Mexico**
explained by people vacationing for shorter periods of time and closer to home than prior to the economic recession.

According to UNWTO, total expenditure for international tourism in Mexico in 2009 was US$12,309 million, including US$1,034 million for international transport. Tourism expenditure in 2005 was close to the same level (US$12,801 million) but reached US$14,731 million, before falling back in 2009. The WTTC reckons that tourism (both directly and indirectly) contributes 13 percent to GDP and will retain this share over the next 10 years (rising to 13.4 percent). Total direct and indirect employment in the sector is 6.3 million Mexicans and is expected to increase to 7.4 million over the next 10 years.

Local data for Cancún is not readily available but it is generally estimated that it attracts about one-third of the country’s overall visitor volume. It does well in terms of occupancy and room rate. For example in 2008, Cancún’s average room rate was US$133.54 and its occupancy was 62.2 percent, compared to national averages of US$90.03 and 60.93 percent. However, Cancún’s occupancy rate was 78.7 percent in 2005 and it has slipped every year since then, although partial results show that the cumulative occupancy rate in 2011 reached 70.7 percent for the year.

**Sector Background and History**

Cancún is located on the Caribbean coast of the Yucatan Peninsula in the state of Quintana Roo (see map 9.10). In the 1960s, Quintana Roo had approximately 40,000 inhabitants, most of them in Chetumal (the southern part of the state) and on the islands of Cozumel and Isla Mujeres (in the north). Cancún had no permanent residents; visitors only came for short stays. Subsequently, divers passed along Cancún’s coastal road to take ferries to Isla Mujeres and Cozumel. Today Cancún has over 600,000 residents; the total along the 130-kilometer Caribbean coastline area is 750,000. The state is divided into eight “municipios,” of which, Benito Juárez (including Cancún) is the largest, with a growing population of 1.1 million. It is a young state (it was a “territory” until 1974), populated by immigrants. The state’s economy revolves around tourism (over 50 percent of economic activity) with every direct job in hotels generating 7.5 indirect jobs, according to the tourism office. There were already 653 hotels in Quintana Roo with 57,830 rooms in 2005. Cancún’s 143 hotels comprise 27,518 rooms. The other establishments are mostly small and spread along the Caribbean coastline, Cozumel and Isla.

Cancún also represents the northern extremity of what has come to be known as the Mayan Riviera, a stretch of about 130 kilometers of Caribbean coastline that is rapidly becoming a major tourism destination in its own right, focused on the town of Playa del Carmen. Neighboring Isla Mujeres and Cozumel complete one of the most extensive tourism regions in the world. Its juxtaposition to North America and its favorable climate (250 or more days of sun annually) give it a competitive advantage and access to a huge market. Cancún was originally considered a destination hub, housing the area’s hotels and key services. Visitors would lodge there and make excursions down the coast to ancient sites and beaches. Over time, the government declared the entire coast a series of development areas interspersed with areas of conservation. As a result, tourism has grown rapidly.
along the coast. This carries some risk as urbanization is deepening (such as in Playa del Carmen) and marine breeding grounds may become threatened.

Cancún covers an area of 12,700 hectares divided into three main zones: the tourist area covering 11 percent, the urban system of 22 percent and an area under preservation of 67 percent (including land and the Lagoon). It encompasses a vast array of top quality service infrastructure for water sports, diving in an unparalleled marine environment, sport fishing, and extreme sports. It has the second largest coral reef in the world, after the Great Barrier Reef in Australia. Its music is also well known in North America. It has 12 golf courses with extensive views of the Caribbean and Nichupte Lagoon. Cancún is close to such Mayan archeological sites as Tulum, Chichen Itza, Coba, and Uxmal.

The Original Case Program

The origins of Cancún’s tourism development can be traced to its original master plan, which included:

• A tourism zone (with no residential areas), a kind of tourism corridor (given the characteristics of the land itself) with hotels and shopping centers. The area was divided into three separate hotel zones. In addition, golf courses and marinas serve as activity cores for tourism.
• A residential zone for permanent residents, located in the northern part of the delineated area. It has residential and commercial areas, public buildings, schools, hospitals, and markets.
• An international airport on the Cancún-Tulum highway, on the mainland south of the city.

The infrastructure program consisted of components for 16 water wells over a 30-kilometer stretch, 100 kilometers of ditches connected to a sewer treatment plant, and electricity power lines from Tizimín, Yucatán, 150 kilometers away. Engineering for the hotel zone was particularly difficult, given its location and physical conditions.

Cancún’s construction caused environmental upheaval. A bridge was constructed at either end of the island, several hectares of mangrove were bulldozed, some land reclamation took place to increase various sections of land, and landfill and topsoil were trucked in in massive amounts. Inevitably, the lagoon suffered damage, sand dunes disappeared, and part of the rain forest surrounding Cancún was also damaged. This led to the reduction of animal and fish populations. Although Cancún had environmental regulations in place, it grew so rapidly with the construction of 120 hotels in 20 years that Quintana Roo had to strengthen its conservation measures. The latter did not, however, contain the expansion of tourism along the Caribbean coast. The number of environmental issues is quite large, complex, and difficult to assess locally, but the government maintains that it pays great attention to environmental conservation. Notwithstanding, the land was not productive before the tourism projects and the scant population embraced tourism. Tourism has transformed Quintana Roo and its communities forever. The government had envisaged
Cancún as part of Mexico’s long-term strategic plan—it has surpassed all expectations. As development proceeds, however, more attention must be paid to fundamental changes in the way environmental conservation is applied to the entire Caribbean coastline.

Investment continues apace. The beaches were recently restored after considerable storm damage. Puerto Cancún, a large marina and hotel complex promoted by FONATUR, is to be built north of the hotel zone. To the southwest, toward the airport, more hotels, golf courses, and a modern hospital are also scheduled for construction. In addition, major resort development is taking place along the 130-kilometer Cancún-Tulum tourism corridor.

The institutions involved in planning and carrying out construction work were critical to Cancún’s success. Curiously, the project fell under the responsibility of the local government of Isla Mujeres, a small, offshore island with only modest implementation capacity. Ultimately, the program was transferred to a larger governmental unit, the District (Municipio) of Benito Juárez. A new federal government agency was to implement the project. In 1974, the Fondo Nacional de Fomento al Turismo (FONATUR), a trust fund of the Ministry of Finance, was created to assemble the land, construct the integrated resorts and manage their development.9 In certain rare cases, FONATUR may also finance hotels, but it prefers to restrict itself to investment promotion and to sell/lease sites to investors and hotel operators. Once a development is well under way, the property can be handed over to a local government, such as a municipality, or FONATUR can remain on site to manage and maintain the estates created.

Cancún was FONATUR’s first integrated planned resort (IPR).10 FONATUR has become one of the largest and most experienced agencies in the world for implementing tourism projects. It provides financing under its federal mandate and is associated with other financing agencies. In the case of Cancún, the Inter-American Development Bank (IDB)11 partnered with FONATUR, providing loans in 1971 (US$73.1 million) and 1976 (US$49.5 million) for tourism development.

Cancún has a broad range of services. Cancún International Airport is approximately 10 miles southwest of the main tourist areas and has become one of the most modern airports in the Caribbean. The Cancún area offers a multitude of lodging options, with one-to five-star hotels, accommodation in bed and breakfasts, and rented villas. Sixty-three of Cancún’s hotels are fully all-inclusive and three-meal options are available at many others. Despite the high number of such hotels, the city offers a very broad range of restaurants for all tastes. Cancún is well equipped to handle conferences with a congress center12 that can accommodate 8,000 people, located within easy walking distance of nearly 4,700 hotel rooms. The city offers a large range of activities from fine dining to nightclubs and outdoor activities related to the sea and Mexico’s culture and history. High season in Cancún is from December to March, but it has become a year-round resort, despite being subject to severe storms from June to November. In late October 2005, it was hit hard by hurricane Wilma, the strongest hurricane ever experienced in the state of Quintana Roo. It struck 76 communities, home to 75 percent of the state’s population and most of its hotels. It impacted 800,000 people, 30 percent of hotels and more than 14,000 businesses. Estimates suggest the hurricane cost Yucatán’s tourism about US$7 million per day (IDB 2006).
Results

Many criteria explain Cancún’s success, not least of which is the government’s foresight in imagining a grand resort, indeed a world-class industry, as part of its long-term strategy for economic development. After analyzing the situation, it wasted no time in taking action. In this regard, FONATUR’s creation was an excellent initiative and it developed into a highly professional and respected organization. Its service, in many ways a one-stop shop, is very efficient.

Cancún has outshone Acapulco, a prized Mexican destination. None of the other IPRs has come close to reaching Cancún’s success. Ixtapa, established at about the same time, has a mere 5,000 rooms today, although it has been quite successful in its own way. Propinquity is a strong argument, especially when the targeted North America market is very large and wealthy. But the product has to be right to appeal to Americans, Canadians, and Mexicans. The Caribbean climate has added enormously to the project’s success. Few resorts in the world have sun and sand on the scale enjoyed by the Mayan Riviera. Cancún succeeded with a very broad product line that appeals to middle-income individuals. Moreover, it had substantial help from Spanish hotel groups skilled in offering all-inclusive services that previously held little appeal for Americans. In addition, the project emphasized friendly but high-quality service. Of interest for the future is that Quintana Roo has become a one-industry state and it must thus remain open to future trends and act with agility in response. Although the typically ideal weather can turn very bad and cause extensive damage, Cancún has become a year-round resort. The environmental lobby, greatly concerned about the carrying capacity of the coastal area and its ability to absorb more tourists, laments the loss of habitat for marine and terrestrial life. Mexico will need to address this issue to protect its precious natural and cultural resources, the loss of which could severely damage the country’s capacity to deliver the high-quality tourism products that have been responsible for Mexico’s enormous transformation. Cancún underscores Mexico’s capacity to develop resorts. It now has five and more will surely follow.

Notes

2. See WTTC research.
3. Eight people were killed in a bar on August 31, 2010 in Cancún. The incident, however, occurred in a neighborhood far from the tourism zone.
4. See UNWTO Tourism Factbook.
5. Mexico Quarterly Tourism Bulletin (2009). Cancún also scores higher than Mexico City and Punta Cana (in the Dominican Republic, a major competitor).
7. The temperature ranges from 20-30°C (68-86°F) from October to March and from 22-33°C (75-91°F) from April to September.
8. In addition, a combination of federal, state, and local guidelines for environmental protection can lead to a bureaucratic morass.
9. FONATUR’s mandate includes the hotels, tourist condominiums, restaurants, and other facilities related to tourism, such as golf courses, marinas, and integrated complexes.
10. It has created five such resorts in Mexico: Cancún, Ixtapa, Los Cabos, Loreto, and the Bays of Huatulco, and it is working on others in Sinaloa and Nayarit. The five main projects offer more than 245 hotels and 36,800 rooms. On a national scale, these resorts generate over 50 percent of Mexico’s revenue from tourism. They generate approximately US$2.78 billion annually in revenues and US$300 million in value added taxes. See http://www.fonatur.gob.mx/.
11. IDB loan ME0016 of September 29, 1971, Cancún Infrastructure, and loan number MEO039 of May 20, 1976, Tourism Cancún II. Washington, DC.
12. Cancún Center is situated at the heart of the hotel zone, only 20 minutes from Cancún’s International Airport. It has 75,350 square feet of meeting space (in 13 large rooms that can be broken down into 36 breakout rooms), as well as 77,900 square feet of exhibition space, on two levels. See http://cancun.travel/en/groups-and-conventions/convention-centers/.
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16. MOROCCO
A FAILED OR POSTPONED DREAM?
BAY OF AGADIR TOURISM PROJECT

Introduction

Agadir, the fast growing administrative center of southern Morocco, was the site of this World Bank-supported tourism project. A coastal town with fine beaches, Agadir was entirely destroyed by an earthquake on February 29, 1960, killing 15,000 of the 35,000 residents. In response, the Moroccan government created a specific agency to design and reconstruct a city for 50,000 inhabitants, helping its population to grow rapidly and reach the target population in 1969–70. The Agadir Metropolitan Area’s economic activity was based on fishing, agro-industry, ore processing, and tourism. The tourism facilities were built on the city’s beachfront. Nonexistent just a few years earlier in 1960, tourism boomed. By 1974, the hotels comprised 4,000 hotel beds and 120,000 visitors came to the city. As the rate of demand outpaced the expansion of accommodation capacity, Agadir experienced exceptionally high occupancy rates (75 percent bed occupancy in 1975) but a high volume of demand could not be satisfied. Considerable further growth was expected, due to strong Moroccan and foreign investor interest in the Agadir area. The future looked bright and Agadir had regained its position as the leading city in southern Morocco.

However, the situation deteriorated soon after the Bay of Agadir tourism project was approved. Bureaucratic interference hindered the processing of procurement, and the project soon fell behind schedule. A few years later, the government announced the closing of the project and further development ceased.

Key Lessons

- Support from the government and donors along with a feasibility study and an organization to handle project implementation set a solid foundation for the project.
- The commitment to tourism was not fully embraced and the Agadir project was closed for political reasons, although infrastructure construction was well advanced.
- The project was abandoned for 20 years resulting in a loss of US$21 million in investment and much more in potential tourism revenues.
Tourism Data

According to the UNWTO, Morocco received 8.7 million international arrivals in 2009. Total international tourist receipts amounted to US$8 billion. As per the WTTC, tourism’s contribution to GDP was 19.5 percent. Total direct and indirect employment in the sector is equivalent to 2 million jobs.

Sector History and Background

Morocco has excellent tourism resources that are within easy reach by car. Its resources include extensive beaches along the Atlantic and Mediterranean coasts; the architectural treasures of the Imperial cities of Fez, Rabat, Meknes, and Marrakesh; and the exotic atmosphere of its pre-Saharan oases within sight of the snow capped Atlas Mountains. The 1973-77 tourism plan called for the construction of three- and four-star hotels to appeal to middle-income Moroccan and international travelers. Under normal circumstances, the infrastructure for such projects would be carried out by the Ministry of Public Works. In this case, it was decided to create a specialized agency to take the lead in conducting the studies, preparing and launching the bids, and supervising the implementation of the works and investment promotion.

Supported by the World Bank, in 1973 the government selected the Agadir area as the recipient of financial support for tourism. The UNDP agreed to finance the feasibility studies, with the World Bank as executing agency. As a counterpart to the UNDP contribution and in conjunction with several public financial institutions, the government created the Société Nationale d’Aménagement de la Baie d’Agadir (SONABA), a public sector enterprise operating under private law, to prepare and implement tourism projects in the Agadir area.

The Case

The Bay of Agadir tourism project consisted of (a) infrastructure works and common facilities for a new section of Agadir known as the Unité d’Aménagement Touristique (UAT), on which 7,000 hotel beds and 2,600 housing units were to be constructed on 260 hectares, (b) regional infrastructure and facilities needed for the Greater Agadir Metropolitan Area as its tourism assets grew, and (c) related consultant services. The planning concept for the new tourism zone departed from the usual model of isolated hotel development. It called for the integration of hotels, housing, and commercial activities in a planned area. Although the housing program was designed mainly for upper- and middle-income groups, it was also designed to alleviate the shortage of housing in Agadir. SONABA acquired the land and prepared a land-use plan and zoning regulations. Enactment of the regulations was a condition of the loan.

Regional infrastructure and facilities included (a) completing Agadir’s ring road to allow heavy traffic from the south and east to reach the harbor without passing through the
city center and tourism zones, (b) upgrading a 56-kilometer stretch of road connecting several villages in Imouzzer valley, and (c) eliminating harbor pollution and the risk of beach contamination by replacing the water pumps used to unload the fish in Agadir’s port.

The proposed project also included a program of technical assistance and studies intended to help the government plan the future growth of the Greater Agadir Area and develop methods to protect the environment, increasingly threatened by the effects of urbanization and industry. The assistance program would also update and complete the master plan for the area. The plan specified regulations and guidelines for the preservation of traditional architecture in areas surrounding Agadir, thus improving rural families’ dwellings.

The development of Agadir’s new tourism zone was primarily to fall under the responsibility of SONABA, which was to implement all infrastructure and building works through contractors. SONABA was tasked with conducting the detailed planning studies, supervising the final engineering work, advertising requests for tenders, evaluating the bids, entering into contracts, and overseeing the work. Consultant services were to assist SONABA in preparing the final engineering design and supervising the works in the project zone. SONABA signed contracts for the design, construction, and operation of the water supply, electric power, and telecommunications components with the respective public utility companies. Coordination between SONABA and other government agencies was ensured through an ad hoc inter-ministerial commission at the national level and a local committee chaired by the governor of Agadir.

Once developed, the plots of land for housing, hotels, and shopping facilities were to be sold or leased by SONABA to private investors at market prices. Land revenues were expected to cover all investment costs and provide a satisfactory rate of return for SONABA. Transactions would be subject to regulations, giving SONABA a right of first refusal on plots sold but not developed, and imposing construction deadlines and standards to avoid improved land remaining vacant.

To guarantee completion of the project after the infrastructure investment was made, the government strove to ensure that sufficient hotel beds would be built to attain an acceptable rate of return on infrastructure outlays; a minimum of 4,200 beds (or 60 percent of the scheduled total) were to be in operation by 1988. On this basis, the estimated economic rate of return was 13 percent.

**Results**

When the project was summarily closed, much of the infrastructure had been completed and a few hotels and houses had already been built on the new site. No reason was given for the closure. It became clear, however, although it was never confirmed, that the explanation was related to the construction of a home for King Hassan II just south of the project area.

The project proved costly for Morocco. The benefits of US$21 million in project costs were postponed as infrastructure lay idle for almost 20 years, and the city was unable to respond to the growing demand for tourism in the country.
Notes

1. See UNWTO Tourism Factbook.
2. See WTTC research.
3. The project was resuscitated in 2000 and development is now under way (see second Morocco case study).
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17. MOROCCO
PUBLIC SUPPORT FOR PRIVATE
ACTION COASTAL TOURISM
DEVELOPMENT PROJECT

Key Lessons

- Morocco’s disciplined and regulatory framework fostered an environment in which private sector actors could invest while avoiding unfettered and chaotic growth.
- The liberalization of Air Maroc, the upgrading of the airport, and the opening of new charter routes demonstrated the government’s commitment to private sector involvement and spurred investment.
- Donor support built the capacity of the Direction des Aménagements et des Investissements (DAI) to initiate sustainable integrated coastal resort development under public-private partnerships.
- Large-scale private sector development can only succeed if the government is fully committed to the project and remains constant throughout implementation.

Introduction

Tourism industry projects are best run by the private sector. Yet in many countries, particularly after independence, few private operators with experience in the industry exist. In others, private sector enterprises closely associated with the government rely on privileged contacts to do business. It is now clear that the tourism sector involves a diverse set of activities that are difficult to manage, requiring the skills of various private operators. A sound policy framework and much public investment are also needed. The World Bank has worked with many countries to set up tourism, often from a distinctively public sector perspective.

However, a real challenge is how to involve the private sector in a project while avoiding the unfettered growth that often accompanies its involvement, especially in the early years before all regulations and procedures are firmly in place. A disciplined institutional and regulatory framework is key, one that fosters an environment in which the private sector can invest creatively and is a major force. This environment not only is relevant for hotel development but also relates to a broader framework that recognizes tourism as an integrated activity in both urban and rural areas.
Morocco provides an example of a process that balances public and private priorities effectively. In addition, integrated sites take longer to develop than simple hotels and involve high infrastructure costs that translate into high debt levels and capital requirements, greater risks, and management complexity. Morocco chose to focus on strengthening its ministry’s planning department and to deliver projects that the private sector could bid on. That nexus between economic regulation and private investment promotion is the basis for a modern tourism sector.

Throughout the past three decades, Morocco has embarked on a gradual but solid program of human development and political liberalization. Since the 1970s, gross national income per person increased fivefold from US$550 to US$2,730. The average life expectancy increased from 55 years to 73 in 2009. Primary school net enrolment increased from 52.4 percent in 1990/91 to 97.5 percent in 2009/10. Access to safe water is expanding rapidly with quasi-universal access to drinking water in urban areas (with 83 percent of the population connected to reliable network service). Furthermore, the Moroccan economy steadily recovered from the effects of the global crisis. The growth of nonagricultural GDP gained 5 percent over the four quarters of 2010 and inflation remained subdued at 0.9 percent. The country has also gained from a progressive diversification of its economy and
solid macroeconomic management. As a result, Morocco’s overall poverty rate decreased from 15.3 percent in 2000/01 to 9 percent in 2006/07.

Tourism Data

Morocco is a country experienced in tourism, having catered to sophisticated travelers for many years. Its tourism program consists of three main sectors: mountain tourism and hiking, cultural tourism, and coastal tourism. It has about 1,800 hotels (78,217 rooms) and a number of world-class chains. In 1999, Morocco received 2.4 million tourists. According to the UNWTO, by 2009 the number of foreign visitors to Morocco had reached 8.7 million, a 6 percent increase over the previous year, impressive in a year in which most countries saw their tourism decline. International tourism receipts totaled US$8 billion in 2009. According to the WTTC, tourism’s contribution to GDP was 19.5 percent for 2011 and is estimated to reach 21.4 percent in 10 years. Tourism also generates direct and indirect jobs, estimated at 2 million in 2011 (WTTC) and growing to 2.7 million in 10 years.

Sector Background and History

When the coastal cities tourism project was proposed, the regulatory, management, and financial structures that would be best suited for the integrated development of coastal sites in Morocco were not known. It was clear, however, that the traditional “project unit” model would only be marginally successful and would disappear when the project ended. In its place, it seemed important to build capacity within the ministry in an environment that would emphasize the positive and would not seek to solve all the problems at once. At the time, it was not clear whether the tourism sector had the institutional capacity to bring together all the stakeholders, including the relevant ministries, local and regional authorities, and planning agencies, and agreeing on priorities for promoting sustainable tourism development and attracting private capital for tourism development projects would be complex. Thus, the idea was to finance the initial process of defining and evaluating the institutional and organizational mechanisms best suited for the integrated and sustainable development of coastal sites.

The Case

The Morocco Sustainable Coastal Tourism Development Project (World Bank 2004), a World Bank–supported learning and innovation project, was under implementation in 2011 during the slump in international tourism that followed 9/11. Earlier, the May 2003 Casablanca terrorist attack and the war in Iraq had already increased the risk factor for both public and private investors. In addition, Morocco’s local currency rating was downgraded, reflecting the Kingdom’s large budget deficit. Thus, to attract competitive bids from international investors, the government started the liberalization of Royal Air Maroc and
planned airport upgrades in Casablanca and Essaouira, which would benefit the Mazagan and Mogador sites. It opened new charter routes and signed contracts with tour operators to promote Morocco aggressively in European markets. These measures demonstrated that the government was serious about promoting private sector involvement, reassuring investors interested in bidding on the coastal resorts development project.

The project’s development objective was to propose, test, and evaluate the institutional framework and contractual procedures needed to manage the public-private partnerships that are best suited for the integrated and sustainable development of coastal tourism in Morocco. When the project was under preparation in 1999, the tourism sector in Morocco was experiencing accelerated growth and was considered a critical sector for earning foreign exchange and for employment generation by the private sector. In fact, the first pillar of the national strategy was to develop integrated coastal resorts by granting land development concessions to private developers and investors. The project was designed to provide that support. The Department of Planning and Investment (DAI, Direction des Aménagements et des Investissements) of the Ministry of Tourism (MoT) stepped forward as the “champion” public agency for this effort.

The project included the preparation of indicative master plans that would become the basis for investment projects in three sites, Plage Blanche, Mazagan, and Mogador. In addition, comprehensive prefeasibility studies and standardized requests for proposals (RFPs) based on agreed frameworks were set up as part of the project appraisal. The project also had detailed information on (a) expected public sector participation in each operation, (b) the specific tourism product to be negotiated, based on Morocco’s competitive position and the government strategy, (c) sensitivity tests, and (d) the proposed contract and incentives to be offered. Technical assistance was also included to advise DAI on the management and supervision of the three new coastal tourism sites and four additional sites, two of which were under preparation with different financing. It included advice on consultant reports and investor proposals. In addition, the project included funding to advise the government on how best to organize itself to manage public-private partnerships in tourism.

The project supported the MoT in building its capacity to program, budget, and implement the tourism strategy with the support of all stakeholders, particularly the Ministry of Finance. To build government commitment and ownership and to mitigate risks, together with the DAI the project team carried out consultations with professionals in the tourism sector and reviews of the latest international experience. The project aimed at enabling innovation and learning by the MoT through highly visible and large-scale operations that could not have occurred without the project.

**Results**

The project achieved its objectives. DAI learned to initiate sustainable integrated coastal resort development under public-private partnerships. Three indicative development plans were financed under the project, and a total of five RFPs (two more than the three originally planned) were issued for competitive tendering, drawing the attention of multiple
bidders. Four concession agreements (conventions) with international consortia were signed. New procedures for public-private partnerships in coastal land development were established (although issues of land acquisition that were difficult to resolve remained). The participation and response rate from the consortia are the best indicators of the project’s outcome. For each site, a private site development and management company was established legally and each developer was required to raise equity financing. The government was charged with managing the implementation of these arrangements. The development works have advanced. The promotion and financing of hotel development is under way with private investors, indicating that the public-private partnership approach has been internalized. Before the project, the DAI investment budget had been only DH 4 million, with no investment budget for its regional offices. By the end of the project, DAI had an operating budget of DH 12 million and an investment budget of DH 17 million, a fourfold increase.

By project closing, DAI had prepared four large land development concessions on approximately 1,500 hectares, creating 30,000 new beds and 100,000 direct and indirect jobs. DAI fully prepared and launched the government’s action plan, the total investment cost of which amounted to DH 45 billion. Public-private partnerships have not yet been established for two remaining sites, Taghazout and Plage Blanche. Table 9.10 gives the breakdown of projected investments. The public share (not including land cost) is below 5 percent of the estimated investment cost.

**TABLE 9.10 MOROCCO: INVESTMENT IN PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Public Investment (off-site infrastructure)</th>
<th>Private Investment (on-site infrastructure, hotels and facilities)</th>
<th>Total Investment</th>
<th>Share of Public Investment in Total (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lixus</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>5,340</td>
<td>5,620</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mazagan</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>5,218</td>
<td>5,317</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mogador</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>4,615</td>
<td>4,765</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saidia</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>9,000</td>
<td>9,460</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>989</td>
<td>24,173</td>
<td>25,162</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Sustainable coastal tourism development project, ICR, December 2004*

The project made a major contribution to the environmental aspects of tourism development, as environmental considerations were introduced into feasibility studies, RFPs, and final agreements with the private sector. The final agreements included clauses to protect environmentally sensitive areas, natural habitats, and cultural properties within and near the future resorts and to set environmental guidelines for resort management.

Another component was technical assistance to evaluate prefeasibility studies and RFP packages and to conduct bidding and negotiations with resort developers. Two engineering firms provided assistance on infrastructure, environment, and land development. The firms evaluated the studies and plans, discussed them with the DAI team, communicated with the consultants, and incorporated comments in revised indicative plans. A financial
management advisor (banque d’affaires) worked under a separate contract on financial simulations and on the elaboration of a business plan for phased development. It assisted DAI in marketing, bid evaluation, and negotiation. DAI commissioned additional topographic studies, reconsidered the feasibility of some of the proposed development plans, defined the public sector contribution for each development program, and used robust criteria to evaluate technical and financial proposals.

During implementation, DAI evolved in both numbers and acquired skills. At the start of the project, DAI had 7 managers and 37 staff members. At the end, DAI had 12 managers and 46 staff members, some recruited from among consultants working on the project. DAI’s capacity, management, and reputation with the private sector improved considerably and internalized more effective management techniques, including improving its organizational structure; establishing effective working relationships with major government agencies and committees; streamlining bureaucratic procedures; and establishing model documents for terms of reference, RFPs, contracts, and simplified administrative communication, all of which improved its efficiency.

The government had earlier failures with projects and did not want to repeat the same mistakes. The project had a major impact on private sector involvement in land development projects for tourism by building capacity for project preparation with the private sector, providing inputs into the regulatory framework in cooperation with the private sector, and establishing institutional arrangements to maximize the provision of capital by the private sector and to ensure compliance with the terms of agreements.

The main tools for effective public-private partnerships piloted under the project were:

- Contract agreements with detailed technical and urban planning frameworks and guidelines
- Institutional arrangements for resort development and management upon completion
- Phasing works and using financial and technical performance indicators
- Remedies for public and private partners in case of noncompliance with agreements
- Appropriate monitoring and reporting procedures
- Transitional arrangements from construction to operation
- Social and environmental performance criteria for resort development and operation.

The establishment of the public-private partnerships with international and national private developers led to efforts to propose and test “public-public” partnerships between government agencies. The project seems to be sustainable. DAI staff mastered extensive managerial and technical skills, as well as technical skills on land development projects. By the end of the project, DAI was a visible and respected department and a sound partner for the private sector on future projects.

This type of project involving large-scale private sector development can only succeed if the government is fully committed to the project and remains constant throughout implementation. In this case, the government gave its full commitment to the project, and the continuity of leadership and effort in the lead public agency, MoT/DAI, was a key
factor that ensured its success. It is clear that DAI built credibility through a careful process of learning and reevaluating its own successes and mistakes.

Notes

1. See UNWTO Tourism Factbook.
2. See WTTC research.
3. At the preselection stage, 104 requests were received and, at the submission stage, 22 proposals were obtained.
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18. NAMIBIA
LAND CONSERVANCIES STIMULATE
THE PROTECTION OF WILDLIFE
WILDERNESS TRAVEL

Key Lessons

- Conservancies offer a stable, transparent platform for negotiating with the private sector and assist communities in successfully working with that sector.
- Conservancy is a useful tool to help local landowners increase their welfare, protect their land rights, and achieve conservation. It also creates a basis for tourism.
- Understanding the process, obtaining appropriate technical support, and allowing sufficient time for development are all essential elements to successful joint ventures.

Introduction

The conservancy program in Namibia, enacted in 1996, uses land tenure and responsibility for wildlife as a mechanism for financial and economic growth. It has led to the sustainable use of wildlife resources, stable land tenure by rural Namibians, and improved livelihoods. It has also provided the basis for communities to develop tourism enterprises, either through joint ventures or as community-based operations. More than US$20 million has been invested in communal conservancies by the private sector since 1998.

A conservancy is an area of land in which people acquire the rights and responsibilities for the consumptive and nonconsumptive use and management of wildlife and natural resources on behalf of the community. In particular, it makes it possible for communities to acquire common property rights to manage and use wildlife resources, which was not the case in traditional agro-pastoral and livestock-based livelihoods. It is incentive-based and gives people in communal lands the rights to manage and benefit from natural resources. The main issue is whether this formula can generate sustainable and viable revenues that outweigh the costs.

Tourism Data

According to the UNWTO, Namibia received just over 1 million international arrivals in 2009 and total international tourist receipts amounted to approximately US$500 million. In terms of conservancies, four were registered in 1998; income and benefits have grown from less than N$600,000 to N$41.9 million (US$5.7 million equivalent) in 2008. The
overall financial rates of return on the projects have ranged from 8 percent (the discount rate) to 19 percent, and financial rates to communities were judged to be considerably higher, reflecting the subsidies utilized. The economic rates of return range from 20-130 percent. Most of the revenues in the conservancies come from tourism; in 2008 the concessions generated US$330,000. There are now 31 formal joint venture lodges, mostly owned by the private sector. Four others are close to signing an agreement and 11 are in negotiation. Overall, they have created 789 full-time jobs and 250 seasonal positions. Results from the Entenda, Palmwag Conservancy, are shown in table 9.11.

**TABLE 9.11 IMPACT OF CONCESSIONING PROGRAM IN ENTENDA, PALMWAG CONSERVANCY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact of Concessioning Program in Entenda, Palmwag Conservancy</th>
<th>Before</th>
<th>After</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Existing tented camp, operating 12 years</td>
<td>20 year concession issued</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concession held by private operator</td>
<td>Partnership between conservancy and new investor to run the facilities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concession awarded to local conservancies in 2007</td>
<td>Capital raised for community ownership of a redeveloped camp</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Six staff employed</td>
<td>35 local staff employed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N$40,000 for the state</td>
<td>N$300,000 in income for the state</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No direct income for communities</td>
<td>N$600,000 for conservancies and ownership</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8% income from land and 10% income for use of infrastructure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Spenceley 2010.

**Sector Background and History**

Unlike many top–down processes, participation in the program has been strong and it has provided stable land tenure for local communities. Importantly, it provides a transparent platform for negotiating with the private sector. The program has also led to increases in wildlife populations. Early successes have led to the introduction of the program across the country.

The main difference between Namibian conservancies and others pertains to their main threat: the potential overuse of habitats and resources. The Namibians considered the critical factor in wildlife management to be the separation of agriculture and livestock. Therefore, an important project challenge was to unify land management to cover agriculture, livestock, and wildlife. This means that to conserve biodiversity, landowners must be given the right incentives for sustainable land use. Residents then have a vested interest in ensuring the sustainability of wildlife. The combination of economic incentives and proprietorship creates suitable conditions for sustainable wildlife use. This has been possible in Namibia because population densities are low and the land has little alternative uses.

**Results**

The conservancy process has successfully extended the coverage of protected areas in Namibia to 19 percent of the country, amounting to over 130,000 square kilometers
(equivalent to a country the size of Greece). In addition, in sharp contrast to many other countries, Namibia’s wildlife and its habitat are increasing substantially. Significant growth has been noted in springbok, oryx, and Hartmann’s mountain zebra, as well as increases in the numbers of large predators such as lion, spotted hyena, and cheetah. Namibia has the world’s largest population of black rhinoceros; under the program, the country has been able to translocate them out of parks and into communal conservancies. In addition, Namibia is moving wildlife from protected areas to farmland and contemplating breeding programs there, which will add to community income streams.

The 29 registered conservancies comprise 230,000 members, or one in eight Namibians. The Torra Conservancy’s partnership with Wilderness Safaris, an operator of wildlife lodges in seven countries, in the Damaraland Camp, provides a good example. It took two years to negotiate and set up a resident trust. Its management committee consists of two women and seven men. Meetings between the parties are reported to the broader membership and the accounts are reviewed four times per year. Seven men and 17 women were employed at Damaraland Camp in 2007. The Conservancy’s impact on local livelihoods includes small-stock farming, vegetable gardening, and wage labor, along with community jobs (such as receptionist) and subsistence hunting. More than 80 percent of the Conservancy’s members supported the relocation of black rhino to their conservancy because of their tourist value and for reasons related to employment in managing their welfare.

The concessions have also been effective from an investment perspective. Moreover, donor grants have been important in the process, enhancing community returns. The key lessons of this model are:

- The willingness of communities to work with the private sector proved essential.
- Small improvements in government capacity can unlock numerous opportunities.
- Obtaining appropriate technical advice is vital.
- Governments and communities need to understand how concessions work.
- Joint venture agreements take time to negotiate.

This case study shows that conservancy programs are worth the effort involved as they can improve human welfare while enhancing biodiversity.

**Note**

1. See UNWTO Tourism Factbook.

**References**


19. SOUTH AFRICA
WILDLIFE TOURISM AND
PRIVATE SECTOR OPERATORS &
BEYOND AND WILDERNESS SAFARIS

Key Lessons

- The safari lodge model has been shown to increase local employment, local procurement, capital investment, biodiversity conservation, and corporate social responsibility.
- The created public-private partnerships help the transition from international donor funding for conservation to an entirely domestic economy to support public protected areas.
- The long-term and mutually beneficial joint ventures provide a local stream of income for communities and preserves their land rights.

Introduction

Wilderness Safaris (WS) and &Beyond, two tour and lodge operators, have demonstrated that small luxury safari lodges can be scaled up to reach larger numbers of visitors in a broader geographic area in South Africa. They operate mainly in the southern cone of Africa, and their relatively large portfolios of projects can make significant returns across destinations and generate multiple repeat visits. In addition, they generate local employment, local procurement, capital investment, biodiversity conservation, and corporate social responsibility. Underpinning the model is an enabling environment with stable land tenure and infrastructure, medium- to long-term commitment, and, of course, access.

Tourism Data

According to the UNWTO,1 South Africa received 10.1 million international arrivals in 2009. Total international tourist receipts were US$8.68 billion. According to the WTTC,2 tourism’s total contribution (direct and indirect) to GDP was 9.3 percent. Total direct and indirect employment in the sector is equivalent to 1.3 million jobs.
**The Cases**

WS and &Beyond are two of the most significant and sustainable safari tourism operators/developers in Africa. Each has an impressive track record in:

- **The conservation of habitats and species**
- **Sensitive infrastructure development in ecologically important destinations**
- **The provision of local economic benefits to communities through employment, procurement, and joint venture operations.**

The two companies have a similar method to generating profits in a synergistic approach that requires conservation and community development simultaneously. Each has been in operation at least 20 years. WS is publicly listed, whereas &Beyond is privately held by two main shareholders. Each operates in seven different countries, although not in the same ones. WS intervenes over 2.8 million hectares of land, &Beyond over 400,000 hectares. Their goal is to build sustainable conservation economies, which they achieve through three main mechanisms: tourism, conservation, and the awareness that sustainable tourism can lead to thriving businesses by sharing what has been learned about the model’s integration of environmental, economic, and social dimensions. Destinations are evaluated on the basis of “four Cs”: Conservation, Commerce, Community, and Culture.

WS pioneered the idea of joint ventures with local communities and it intervenes in four areas: safari consulting, transfers and tourism, lodge and safari camp operations, and charitable activities. In contrast, &Beyond is a camp owner and operator but it has a joint venture at Phinda in South Africa. It works within its own network and with other groups. It also operates the &Beyond Foundation, its charitable arm that channels close to US$6 million a year into conservation and community empowerment.3

In particular, these companies are notable for their joint venture operations. WS’s program at Rocktail Beach Camp, South Africa, is used as an example here, as is &Beyond’s Phinda operation that began as a private venture and then set up as a joint venture.

The land at Rocktail Beach Camp is leased by the iSimangaliso Wetland Park Authority to a joint venture between WS and Small Business Development Company. The latter is also a joint venture, between WS and the KwaMpukane Community trust. WS provides technical expertise in business and financial management to the community trust. The arrangements are summarized in figure 9.2.
WS employs over 2,700 employees; 85 percent of staff come from local communities near its projects. For this group of staff there are an average of seven dependents per local employee and the average salary is R 1682 (US$221). Rocktail itself has 32 local employees out of 35. Hiring locally helps maintain the staff and their dependents above the poverty line of US$1/day.

For &Beyond, Phinda is an innovation. It became a joint venture with local communities in 2007. &Beyond leases areas of community land and negotiates explicit traversing agreements to operate game drives. The communities use their rental income for electrification and education projects. &Beyond employs 3,000 local staff in 46 lodges in six African countries and India, with 90 percent of employees from local communities.

**Results**

These projects are based on models already used by South African Parks. The idea behind them is to transition from international donor funding for conservation to an entirely domestic economy to support public protected areas: national government or park/conservation authorities lease operating rights to tourism companies. It also has the benefit of providing a local stream of income for local communities and preserves their land rights.

One of the strengths of the joint venture process is the long-term and mutually beneficial relationships built between the community and the private sector. Nevertheless, the transaction costs for the private sector are high, although these could be underwritten by grants or soft loans.

**Notes**

1. See UNWTO Tourism Factbook.
2. See WTTC research.
3. &Beyond Foundation emphasizes health care, education, income generation, the protection of community equity, and assistance to vulnerable children and orphans.
References


20. RWANDA
SECURING RETURNS TO COMMUNITY LAND AND PROTECTING GORILLAS
SABYINYO SILVERBACK LODGE

Key Lessons

- A subordinated equity loan is one effective means to minimize the financial risk of the community in which a tourism project is located.
- The land and physical assets are owned by the community but the lodge is managed by a well-known tourism operator to meet the needs of the high-end market.
- The community benefits from both the number of bednights and a percentage of after-tax profits which gives it immediate benefits and an incentive to grow the business.
- Funds accruing to the community are managed by a community trust and divided between community projects and household dividends.

Introduction

To mitigate threats to precious land and animals, conservationists and businesspeople have pioneered conservation enterprises that aim to help communities living near wildlife promote conservation and protect their economic interests, while generating revenue—making wildlife a valuable asset rather than a costly nuisance. Rwanda’s approach, a model project, is a public-private partnership that secures community land ownership, protects critical biodiversity, and enhances the welfare of local communities.

Tourism Data

According to the UNWTO, Rwanda received 699,000 international arrivals in 2009. Total international tourist receipts amounted to US$218 million. According to the WTTC, tourism’s total contribution (direct and indirect) to GDP was 7.6 percent. Total direct and indirect employment in the sector is equivalent to over 130,000 jobs.

Sector Background and History

Accommodation in the Virunga Mountains of Rwanda, home to endangered gorillas, has always been limited. But the Sabyinyo Silverback Lodge, which opened in 2006, provides both a luxury experience for guests and a model for community involvement in mountain gorilla conservation. The 16-bed lodge is a conservation enterprise on community land adjacent to Volcanoes National Park. At the project’s inception, the Africa Wildlife Foundation
brokered the deal for the lodge's development with the Kinigi community, which owns the land. To minimize the community's financial risk, the foundation helped structure a loan in which interest payments are triggered only by income and interest accrues only when the community realizes commensurate income. This is known as a subordinated equity loan.

The partnerships between the project's various parties are well structured. Musiara Ltd/Governors’ Camp, a well-known tourism operator, runs the lodge on behalf of the community, represented by the Sabyinyo Community Lodge Association. The association represents the 12 sectors of the four Rwandan districts that border Volcanoes National Park; it has 33 members who represent about 300,000 people. The community owns the land and the lodge’s immovable assets, and it is guaranteed new job opportunities. It is also guaranteed US$50 per bednight and 7.5 percent of after-tax profits. Funds accruing to the community are managed by a community trust with equal amounts allocated to community projects, microfinance for local enterprises, and household dividend payments.

Results

The Sabyinyo Silverback Lodge has yielded substantial conservation efforts. Its luxury accommodations have attracted more guests to the park, encouraged longer stays, provided communities with a marketable tourism product, and contributed to management funds for protected areas. The lodge also compensates local residents for their opportunity costs and is strongly linked to sound conservation and resource management strategies. It is thus an equitable way of capturing and distributing the rents produced from viewing the region’s gorillas, a highly valuable public good.

As part of the African Wildlife Foundation’s support for endangered gorillas, the foundation also participates in the Kinigi Cultural Center through the International Gorilla Conservation Program (IGCP). The center is run by the Sabyinyo Community Livelihoods Association and is designed to bring livelihood benefits and sustainable development to communities around the Sabyinyo Silverback Lodge. It offers visitors a glimpse of a Rwandan igikari, a local king’s compound. Trained in hospitality, tourism and general business management, the employees of the center are all locals. In addition to these activities, the center offers opportunities for local artisans to display their works.

Notes

1. See UNWTO Tourism Factbook.
2. See WTTC research.
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**21. SINGAPORE**

**PEACE AND TRANQUILITY**

**SENTOSA ISLAND**

**Key Lessons**

- The developers of Sentosa, a brownfield rehabilitation site, created the proper mix of products and attractions to draw target markets.
- The government established Sentosa Development Corporation that developed and continues to plan Sentosa’s expansion and is a partner in major developments. It has attracted multiple foreign as well as local brands.
- Sentosa has put a premium on training and establishing a culinary institute and tourism academy to build local capacity in tourism and to retain staff.

**Introduction**

In 1970, the government of Singapore decided to transform Sentosa from a military stronghold into a recreational area for Singaporeans and visitors. Its transformation has been slow with highs and lows as the government sought to create the right mix of assets and attractions. Today the destination attracts approximately 8 million day-trippers and vacationers per year. The popular island resort has attained admirable quality of service in its service industries by applying its well-known skills in human resource development.

**Tourism Data**

According to the UNWTO, Singapore received 10.1 million international arrivals in 2008. Total international tourist receipts amounted to US$10.5 billion. Close to 4 million visitors in 2008 travelled there for leisure and 3 million came on business. According to the WTTC, tourism’s total contribution (direct and indirect) to GDP was 10.9 percent. Total direct and indirect employment in the sector is equivalent to 267,800 jobs.

In fiscal 2009, Sentosa received 7.8 million visitors: 52 percent were foreigners and 48 percent were residents of Singapore. China (900,000 visitors) and Australia (830,000 visitors) are Singapore’s main markets, followed by the United Kingdom (500,000) and the United States (370,000). According to the Singapore Tourism Board, the average length of stay is about four days and the average trip expenditure about US$1,000 (2008). In Sentosa alone, over 150,000 people work in tourism-related jobs.
Sector Background and History

Sentosa, which means peace and tranquility in Malay, was formerly called Pulau Blakang Mati and Pulo Panjang. As these names did not resonate with foreign visitors, the authorities appealed to the public for a name, organizing a contest and ultimately choosing Sentosa, a word derived from Sanskrit. Despite its namesake, Sentosa’s history was not peaceful. It was used as a garrison by the British army (Fort Siloso, Fort Serapong, and others were built on the island) and became a prisoner of war camp during World War II for British troops captured in the war. In the 1960s after independence, Singapore decided to convert the island into a resort to promote nature and recreational activities. It is close to the center of Singapore, a financial and business hub, and a leading distribution center in a strategic location at the crossroads of Asia. It has a major world airline, Singapore Airways. Singapore is also a leader in the MICE market. Sentosa offers calm away from the bustle of the city.

Sentosa is a 5-square-kilometer island about a quarter of a mile from the southern coast of the main island. It is the fourth biggest island in Singapore. About 70 percent is covered with rain forest that contains a variety of flora and fauna. It has three beaches stretching for over 3 kilometers and an impressive array of activities, some of local origin, others imported, including Universal Studios, the Sentosa Luge and Skyride, the Singapore Cable Car, the Sky Tower, Butterfly Kingdom, the Sentosa Nature Discovery and the Sentosa Orchid Gardens. The island also has golf courses and casinos. The resort’s development has experienced ups and downs as developers sought to find the right balance between day-trippers and residential tourists, and the right mix of attractions to offer visitors. A number of activity zones have come and gone, such as Fantasy Island, Volcano Land, Mayan Civilization, and the well-known Musical Fountain, closed after 25 years of operation. The island has excellent transport with a funicular cable car, high frequency buses and trams. Sentosa has numerous hotels and establishments including a Shangri-La, Mövenpick, and Hard Rock Café, as well as other international and local brands.

The Sentosa Development Corporation became active in 1972. It was recently renamed the Sentosa Leisure Group. It is the guiding force behind Sentosa, partnering with many of the attractions and hotels on the island. Its board is comprised of private and public directors operating independently but subject to the will of the government. Its revenues come mainly from admission fees, land sales, the rental and hiring of facilities, as well as some interest and other income. In 2010, it had total sales of US$189 million and expenses of US$252 million before payment of a government subsidy. It has assets of about US$2.6 billion, of which 74 percent are in cash and 21 percent comprise fixed assets.

Results

While putting effort into achieving the right product mix at Sentosa, Singapore has also promoted high-level training in the service industries. Training is the responsibility of Temasek Polytechnic College, a public university renowned for its market-oriented and industry-relevant education. It has faculties in trade and professional fields and an operating budget of US$153 million. About 20 percent of its revenue comes from student fees
and fees for other courses; the balance is funded by the state. It offers two-year associate degree courses in culinary and catering management, leisure and resort management, and hospitality and tourism management, housed in the business school. In 2007 it opened the Temasek Culinary Academy, a US$4.6 million institute providing state-of-the-art facilities in the fields of food and beverage. It also operates an overseas student internship program. The Polytechnic receives students from all over Asia. The Tourism Academy @ Sentosa is a collaborative effort between the Sentosa Leisure Group and Temasek Polytechnic. Its high-quality training in tourism services attracts students from all over Asia.

Notes

1. See UNWTO Tourism Factbook.
2. See WTTC research.
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Image 6: Sentosa offers a wide range of activities and entertainment in one central location.

William Cho, Creative Commons
Introduction

This case highlights the distribution of pro-poor financial benefits in an iconic destination, Mount Kilimanjaro in Tanzania. The high level of visitation at the mountain offers the potential for important negative environmental impacts such that its protection requires adequate planning, infrastructure, and management. Mount Kilimanjaro supports approximately 400 guides, 10,000 porters, and 500 cooks. The 35,000 packages sold per year, starting at the base price of US$1,205, generate an estimated US$50 million in income. Of this, roughly US$13 million (28 percent) is pro-poor.

Tourism Data

According to the UNWTO, Tanzania’s received 765,000 international arrivals in 2008, and international tourist receipts totaled US$0.95 billion. According to the WTTC, tourism’s total contribution (direct and indirect) to GDP was 13 percent. Total direct and indirect employment in the sector is 1.1 million jobs.

Sector History and Background

Mount Kilimanjaro, a world heritage site, is an iconic feature of the Tanzanian landscape, with its trademark snow-capped peak. It is the highest mountain in Africa at 5,895 meters.
and a habitat for rare, endangered, and endemic plants and animals. The peak can be reached without ropes or technical equipment. A network of tour operators, porters, and guides makes it one of the best-organized treks in Africa. Visitor numbers have been increasing rapidly; between 30,000 and 40,000 individuals visit it annually, 98 percent of which are foreigners. Tourists tend to stay longer in Kilimanjaro National Park than in other areas to acclimatize themselves for the climb. It is the second highest income earner in Tanzania’s national parks, according to the Tanzania National Parks Authority (TANAPA).

In addition to the government of Tanzania, TANAPA, the United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization (UNESCO), the African Wildlife Foundation (AWF), and the Netherlands Development Organization are active supporters. Local organizations include three NGOs: the Kilimanjaro Porters’ Assistance Project (KPAP), the Kilimanjaro Environmental Conservation Management Trust Fund (KECMTF), and the Kilimanjaro Guides Association (KGA).

The Case

A tourist usually books a package to climb Mount Kilimanjaro as part of a longer trip. For the hike, a TANAPA guide must be hired separately. A typical climb lasts five days and an all-inclusive package costs US$1,205. In addition, tourists spend an additional US$171 in discretionary purchases, for a total package cost of US$1,376. The separate expenditures are shown in figure 9.4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Staff</th>
<th>Daily wage (US$)</th>
<th>Daily tip (US$)</th>
<th>Pay/trip (US$)</th>
<th>Trips/year</th>
<th>Staff annual income (US$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Guide</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>5.38</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1,830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porter</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>771</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Spenceley 2010*

Parks fees are by far the largest expense, followed by wages and tips, and then by tour operator margins. Guides receive an average annual income of US$1,830, whereas porters make US$842 and chefs US$771. These rates include a gratuity of 25–50 percent of wages, as shown in table 9.12. Many of these jobs are seasonal, although tour operators charging higher prices tend to employ their staff year-round. Compared to farm labor remunerated at US$2 per day, these wages are attractive.

The Kilimanjaro area is endowed with favorable weather conditions and fertile volcanic soil suitable for agriculture. Almost any crop grows, including coffee, bananas and other fruits, vegetables and cereals, and the land also supports dairy cattle. Tourism complements these livelihoods. However, working conditions for porters and others can be arduous and dangerous. Twenty guides and porters die on Mount Kilimanjaro every year from altitude sickness, hypothermia, and pneumonia. The KGA and KPAP, which have instituted
a form of self-regulation and represent their members in complaints to their employers, need to be strengthened. The Kilimanjaro region remains below the national average in GNP. On the other hand, the area has the highest school enrolment rate (100 percent) and adult literacy rate (85 percent) in the country.

**Results**

Problems in managing the park and its environment have arisen. The Kilimanjaro National Park General Management Plan (GMP) was developed using public involvement to ensure that the public’s interests, cultural traditions, and community surroundings are respected. Nonetheless, a number of key areas have experienced increased environmental degradation in the region. Trail erosion during wet periods and firewood collection were previously the most widely encountered negative impacts. The GMP no longer allows firewood collection on the mountain and all rubbish must be removed. Environmental problems have also emerged as a result of poor sewerage, in particular due to the use of pit latrines that infiltrate water systems. The GMP is currently making provisions for more permanent infrastructure. The Kilimanjaro National Park Authority (KINAPA) and TANAPA are both committed to ecotourism and improved infrastructure, but infrastructure enhancement has not kept up with rapidly rising revenues, including price increases. The GMP now has set limits for the number of entrances at each gate of the park (and on the peak).

The successes of Kilimanjaro include its capacity to generate revenue for the park and for the local people. Thousands of local people benefit from seasonal work, despite the fact that it is hard work performed in difficult conditions primarily by strong young men. Only a few women work on the mountain, with most working in agriculture. The regulatory framework is still weak and the government does not have the resources to improve conditions quickly. Many of the guides and porters are at the mercy of more powerful forces, so it is critical for the representative bodies to be strengthened to address the abuses against their membership. Conditions, however, are improving gradually. Engaging with and empowering these associations may well be the most important action to increase the pro-poor impacts of mountain climbing on Kilimanjaro.
Notes

1. See UNWTO Tourism Factbook.
2. See WTTC research.
3. These include, land degradation, inadequate water supply, pollution from wastes, habitat fragmentation, loss of biodiversity, deforestation, illegal grazing, and frequent forest fires.
4. However, it appears that mountain climbing fees are quite inelastic and increased tariffs have not kept the rising numbers of tourists in check.
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Introduction

In the 1960s, Tunisia put major effort into developing tourism as the European charter business grew. It welcomed most of the big European tour operators, who were pleased to have a destination within 2 to 3 hours or less of most European capitals. It also created a national carrier, Tunisair, which is still a major player in the Tunisian market. Tunisia shares many of the same assets as Algeria and Morocco: sun and sand, a distinctive culture, fine cuisine and good local wines, outstanding fresh fruit and vegetables, and hospitable people. Morocco has emerged as a tough competitor for Tunisia, whereas Algeria has not emphasized tourism. Tunisia also has a unique blue and white architectural style, and surprisingly pristine Roman remains from the ancient culture in Carthage that recall Tunisia’s position as the breadbasket of the Roman Empire. Consequently, Tunisia came to be one of the best-recognized destinations in the Mediterranean.

Although the recent freedom movement has disrupted tourism to Tunisia, the country is expected to recover as it regains stability, given its capacity and tourism infrastructure.
Tourism Data

In 1961, Tunisia had 46,110 foreign tourist arrivals; by 1971, the numbers had rocketed to 641,000. In 2010, Tunisia hosted close to 6.9 million tourists. Total tourist expenditures in 2005 totaled US$2.1 billion and by 2009 had reached US$3.5 billion. Its tourism offering comprises mainly three- and four-star accommodation, compared to Morocco’s higher ratio of five-star hotels and hence higher spending. In 1961, Tunisia had 71 hotels with a total of 4,000 beds; by 2009, it had 856 hotels, with 120,000 rooms and 240,000 beds. Today, tourism contributes about 17 percent to Tunisia’s GNP and the sector directly and indirectly employs 509,000 people.

Sector Background and History

Organization and planning were key to the growth of Tunisian tourism, as was the recognition that sustaining it would require the substantial commitment of resources and effort. Unlike other countries’ governments, the authorities did not profess interest in tourism and then fail to provide the support needed to launch it. In the 1960s, the government created a state-owned chain, the Tunisian Tourism Hotel Company, responsible for building four- and five-star hotels that were later privatized as the sector developed. The hotels were built on six sites selected for priority development by the government, including north Tunis, south Tunis, Hammamet and Nabeul, Sousse, Djerba, and Zarsis. Implementation efforts were concentrated in these six zones and the World Bank was invited to support development of the infrastructure and site planning for these locations. Italconsult, an Italian consulting firm, carried out preparatory studies, funded by the UNDP and executed by the World Bank. The World Bank also supported a hotel training project that included academic facilities, practice hotels, and curriculum development.
Tunisia was the first Arab country to invest heavily in upgrading the old cities, both as urban rehabilitation and as tourist markets for the country’s fine handicrafts. It focused on upgrading two neighborhoods in the Medina of Tunis. Tunisia also embarked on a cultural heritage project that held great interest for tourism. The IFC financed many hotels in Tunisia and supported the infrastructure development of financial institutions. The IFC also participated in what was considered a novel project at the time: Sousse Nord, a land development project with a golf course and marina in addition to hotels and real estate.

In addition, the government sponsored young Tunisians as “jeunes promoteurs.” The state provided higher education and technical training for promising students, mostly men, and generally in foreign institutions throughout Europe. Many young professionals started their career with such training, but it was the continued support and promotion of managerial and entrepreneurial success that made the difference. Suitable candidates were given equity shares in new businesses, allowing them to become shareholders and owners in tourism properties. The funds were paid back out of profits over time. A whole generation of entrepreneurs was formed in this way.

**Results**

Yet, already before the “Arab Spring,” Tunisia’s tourism sector was having difficulty. On first appearance, the industry was doing well: hotel capacity was expanding and revenues were increasing. However, the country continued to build luxury hotels when the market appeared to favor three-star accommodation. Tunisia also overbuilt in the late 1990s such that it was obliged to discount its rooms to ensure growing numbers of tourists. To address the pricing issue, the country redefined its product by offering activities away from the sun and sand and by promoting spas and thalassotherapy as well as desert tourism. Other areas to boost include incentive travel and sailing. The country also needs to diversify into cultural and adventure tourism and decrease its strong dependence on tour operators. Already in 2004, revenues per client were lower compared to competing countries in the Mediterranean, such that the sector needs to be relaunched.

The entities and practices that helped Tunisia grow its tourism sector are outlined in figure 9.5.

Tunisia’s institutional model consists of a small, core Ministry of Tourism whose role is to create the vision and policy for the industry’s development. The Office national du tourisme tunisien (ONTT) is responsible for training, classification, destination marketing, and planning. The land bank, Agence Foncière Touristique (AFT), is a state-owned tourism developer that assembles land packages of touristic interest. It holds land until market conditions are right for development. It then launches infrastructure projects and seeks investors for its improved sites. Its projects are prepared in close association with the private sector. AFT

### Table 9.13: Selected Mediterranean Countries: Comparative Tourist Expenditure per Bednight, 2004 (US$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Tunisia</th>
<th>Egypt</th>
<th>Morocco</th>
<th>Greece</th>
<th>Turkey</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>47</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>256</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Government of Tunisia 2004.*
interacts with all the agencies required to launch tourism developments (public works, utilities, finance).

However, most tourism initiatives have been top-down, which is not surprising given Tunisia’s difficult political environment. The state has subsidized the sector heavily with capital investment, interest subsidies, and tax exemptions. The private sector is not well organized and no sound public-private partnership exists. Little coordination or action is taken directly by the Hotel Federation. It waits for public initiative, is surprisingly silent, and does not influence decisions in the sector. As Tunisia progresses in its infant democracy, more direct action on the part of enterprises and professional associations and a vigorous debate on strategy are expected.

The current move to democracy has caused tourism growth to pause in the short term. However, over the mid- to long term, the sector looks set to be a driver of prosperity in Tunisia if it can introduce further reforms, promote public-private dialogue, restore the balance between supply and demand, and improve the quality of service and training. To achieve these aims, the industry will need to learn lessons from its own past: better research is required to make credible assumptions about the expansion of capacity and tourism’s target markets.

Notes

1. This report is based on the World Bank’s project files and in particular the appraisal report for the Tunisia Tourism Infrastructure Project (May 30, 1972) and the Project

---

Completion report for the same project (June 24, 1983). Reference was also made to the Tunisia Cultural Heritage Report (June 12, 2001).

2. Tunisia is one of an expanding number of countries that publishes up-to-the-minute tourism statistics. Arrivals in 2010 were 6.9 million and, in the first four months of 2011, arrivals reached 927,000, just about half that of prior years for the same four-month period, reflecting the political changes of the beginning of the year.
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**24. TURKEY’S TOURISM GROWTH**

**A GOVERNMENT’S SUCCESS? SOUTH ANTALYA**

---

**Key Lessons**

- The Antalya model is to acquire land, clear it of any liens, service it with infrastructure, and lease plots to tourism investors and operators for 49 years (renewable). The government has replicated the South Antalya model in several regions.

- The implementation of this complex and multidisciplinary tourism project was managed by the Ministry of Tourism and Culture that created a project directorate in Ankara and two Coordinating Committees.

- The government sought external support from the World Bank, UNDP, and the Turkish Tourism Bank, a public sector organization recruited as an engineering consultant for the project and to carry out several components not under the clear responsibility of other agencies.

- The master plan was not finalized and capital not acquired before the project began experiencing land acquisition challenges and project delays.

- The project produced an economic return barely above the discount rate (10 percent), stressing the importance of reinforcing linkages to add value and boost returns.

- Turkey, in its Tourism Strategy 2023, is dealing with rapid growth by slowing growth in coastal resorts, rehabilitating them, and focusing on sustainability. Simultaneously, focus will be placed on the more cultural aspects of tourism, of which Turkey is well endowed, and on new and diverse products.

---

**Introduction**

Turkey’s South Antalya Tourism Project is an example of a multisector tourism project carried out by the government, led by the Ministry of Culture and Tourism (MCT). A separate government agency was needed for each of the project’s components in order to realize the works and commence operations. Despite its complexity, the project was successfully completed. Carrying out additional projects of the same nature using the same model, Turkey saw its tourism grow to 26.3 million international visitors in 2008, with tourists spending an estimated US$25 billion. These numbers show great improvement over the 600,000 international visitors Turkey received when the project was under discussion in 1973. The country’s tourism strategy has proved beneficial and in 2009 Turkey was the eleventh largest destination in the world. As it moves forward during a time of recession, extra care will have to be exerted to manage growth in a disciplined way if the sector is to prosper sustainably.
Tourism Data

In 1973, when the project was under preparation, Turkey received just over half a million international visitors. At the turn of the century, Turkey received 8 million visitors and, by 2009, the number had reached 26.3 million visitors. The Antalya region accounts for about one-third of Turkey’s tourism (8.6 million visitors in 2008). According to the WTTC,⁴ if direct and indirect impacts are included, Turkey’s tourism contributed about 10 percent to GDP, forecast to slip to 8.8 percent in 10 years. In terms of employment, Turkey’s tourism sector already employs (directly and indirectly) 1.9 million people. This number is expected to grow to 2.2 million in 10 years.

Tourism Sector Background and History

Turkey stands out among Mediterranean countries for its impressive tourism resources. These include a pleasant climate in the coastal zone with long, dry summers and mild winters; a coastline of several hundred kilometers along the Mediterranean, Aegean, and Black seas, with vast stretches of unspoiled beaches, snow-capped mountain scenery (and skiing in winter), clear waters, and varied vegetation; and a wealth of historical and archaeological sites scattered throughout the country with the remains of some of the oldest civilizations: Hittite, Greek, Roman, Byzantine, Seljuk, and Ottoman. The photo shows the old port of Antalya.⁵

The South Antalya Tourism Infrastructure project⁶ is set among orange orchards and forests of conifers (within Olympos-Beydaglari National Park), which remained untouched by construction. The area can be reached by air, as well as by car and train. Antalya is an old city overlooking a natural harbor. When the commercial port was moved 20 kilometers away, the old port became a marina for fishing boats and yachts. With an ancient Greek amphitheater overlooking the harbor, Antalya is a fine setting for a tourism hub⁷ as well as...
a wealthy market town servicing the region’s many agricultural producers. Yet, the road southwest of Antalya is relatively new. Its construction required blasting a tunnel through the Tekerlektepe Mountains down to the sea. Before its completion, the coastal area southwest of Antalya had no electricity, water or sanitation, telecommunications, or modern highways.

The Antalya model is to acquire land, clear it of any liens, service it with infrastructure, and lease plots to tourism investors and operators for 49 years (renewable). It is a model that has worked for Turkey, whereas other countries seek more direct and early intervention from the private sector. As Turkey’s strategy for 2023 suggests, the development of mass tourism on the Aegean and Mediterranean has created a real danger of overdevelopment, for a limited product line. The new strategy aims to spread growth more broadly in Turkey, to address shortfalls in infrastructure in current areas through rehabilitation plans, and to focus increasingly on cultural and adventure tourism.

In 1973, as an initial building block, Turkish government officials and a World Bank team selected a 90-kilometer coastal strip southwest of Antalya for an initial tourism infrastructure project, included in a tourism master plan prepared for the whole Antalya region (extending from Antalya to Finike at a scale of 1/25,000). The project is entirely contained within the boundaries of the Olympos-Beydaglari National Park. At the time, five villages (Beldibe, Kiziltepe, Tekerleteke, Güneydeniz, and Tekirova) and three ancient cities (Phaselis, Olympos, and Idyros) existed within the project area. The five villages’ population was 10,000 but by 2000 had reached over 53,000. A government team, supported by four consultants funded by the UNDP, prepared a feasibility study for the project; the World Bank supervised the preparation of the study.

**The Case**

The project’s objectives were to provide the basis for a new resort with some 5,750 hotel rooms south of Antalya, while preserving the environment, promoting economic activities in an area of limited development, and augmenting the country’s foreign exchange earnings. The project’s appraisal estimated it would cost US$66 million and the World Bank agreed to finance the foreign exchange component estimated at US$21.9 million. Early delays occurred because the preliminary design had to be scaled up to its final version before tender documents could be prepared. Other impediments included delays in project decisions (for example pertaining to the completion of land acquisition). Major civil works were awarded under international competitive bidding for lots greater than US$500,000. Ultimately, most if not all the contracts were awarded to local firms. With regard to equipment contracts, domestic bidders were awarded a preferential margin of 15 percent of the cost, insurance, and freight (CIF) price of imports. Also under construction, but not part of the project, were an extension to Antalya airport to accommodate large jets and a sanitation project for Antalya that was completed in the 1990s.

The project’s complexity was soon recognized, as was the need for special measures to ensure the coordination and implementation of its many components. The lead agency was the Ministry of Tourism and Culture that created a project directorate in Ankara. In
addition, two Coordinating Committees were established (one in Ankara and the other in Antalya) to assist the project directorate. It was supported by the Turkish Tourism Bank, a public sector organization recruited as an engineering consultant for the project and to carry out several components not under the clear responsibility of other agencies. Table 9.14 gives some idea of the project’s complexity.

Turkey is currently a mature destination. Although little local data is available, the key drivers are: three-quarters of visitors are leisure travelers, including Turkish citizens abroad visiting friends and relatives, 10 percent are on business trips, and the balance comes to Turkey for other reasons. The main season extends for eight months. The off-peak season lasts from November to February, although a winter market for skiing exists in some regions, including in Antalya. Turkey’s main market is Europe (with 22 million visitors); the two leading generators are Germany (4.4 million) and Russia (2.8 million). Russia followed by other CIS countries has been the fastest growing source market over the last years. Russian arrivals increased by 56 percent during the first months of 2011 compared

### Table 9.14 South Antalya Resort Project: Agencies, Activities, Operations, and Maintenance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Executing agencies</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Operations and maintenance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ministry of Tourism and Culture</td>
<td>Master plan and site plans</td>
<td>Ministry of Resettlement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Land acquisition</td>
<td>Land Registry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Water supply, sewage and solid waste</td>
<td>Province</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kemer Infrastructure</td>
<td>Province/MCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Municipality building</td>
<td>Keper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tourism office</td>
<td>MCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Employee housing</td>
<td>Keper/Tourism Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourism Bank</td>
<td>Hotel training school</td>
<td>Tourism Bank/MCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anchor hotel</td>
<td>Private concession</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Main road artery and network roads</td>
<td>General Directorate of Highways</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kepez A.S., a private power company</td>
<td>Kepez Electric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Directorate of Railways, Harbors &amp; Airports</td>
<td>Kemer yacht marina</td>
<td>Private concession</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(150 slips and 150 on land storage units)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Hydraulic Agency</td>
<td>River works and irrigation</td>
<td>Forestry Ministry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ministry of Public Works</td>
<td>Health clinics</td>
<td>Ministry of Public Works</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post Telegraph and Telephone</td>
<td>Telecommunications</td>
<td>PTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Directorate of Ancient Monuments &amp; Museums</td>
<td>Phaselis works and interpretation center</td>
<td>Department of National Parks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ministry of Forestry</td>
<td>Forest roads and fire watch towers</td>
<td>Department of National Parks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Camping areas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private sector</td>
<td>65,500 beds</td>
<td>Investors/operators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Two golf courses</td>
<td>Investors/operators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Real estate development</td>
<td>Investors/operators</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Authors.*
to the same period in 2010 and Turkey is now the number one destination for Russians (Mintel 2010). Other Western European countries are also well represented: the United Kingdom for 2.1 million, the Netherlands 2.1 million, France 855,000, and Sweden and Denmark a total of 700,000. The total from the Americas is just short of 1 million visitors. In terms of bednights, some markets have stagnated, including Germany and Benelux. The length of stay has declined, reflecting economic conditions, as well as the effects of certain conditions such as severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) and terrorism.

Turkey’s hotel capacity has grown rapidly. Since the South Antalya project was launched, hotel capacity has grown as shown in table 9.15.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Establishments</th>
<th>Rooms</th>
<th>Beds</th>
<th>Occupancy 2007 (%)</th>
<th>Length of stay (days)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>21,109</td>
<td>39,929</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>2,600 (est.)</td>
<td>266,881</td>
<td>563,252</td>
<td>51.51</td>
<td>2.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: World Bank 1976 and 1986; UNWTO Tourism Factbook.
Note: — = Not applicable.

In 1973, Turkey had 367 hotels with 21,109 rooms and 39,929 beds. In the project region, an Italian vacation village (Valtur) existed, which later became a Club Méditerranée village, and in Antalya one modern hotel was in operation (Antalya Hotel, owned by Koç Holding). By 2008, Turkey had 266,881 rooms with 563,252 beds. Many establishments were vacation villages, rather than traditional hotels, offering packages that included food and beverages, the so-called “all-inclusives.” By 1990, the 7,500 rooms projected for the South Antalya project were in place. The hotel overlooking the Kemer Marina was built with public funds, as an anchor project. This approach is often used to kick-start investment, with the first mover opening the way for others to follow. The hotel was quickly leased to a private operator. Unlike certain other projects, investor demand for sites in the project area was high.

In 2008, the UNWTO estimated the national average occupancy rate to be 51.51 percent and the average length of stay 2.41 days. The length of stay at the Antalya resort is higher as most business is generated by tour operators using both scheduled and charter air service; their package trips are for either four or seven days, or two weeks. The average hotel room rate for Turkey was US$154.88 in late 2009. While much more data would be needed to estimate expenditures, a crude estimate of hotel room rates at 50–55 percent of total expenditure puts average daily spending by tourists in Turkey at roughly US$300–350 per day, which implies total expenditure of US$19.5–22.8 billion. On this basis, for the South Antalya region total, tourism expenditure would be in the order of US$ 6–7 billion, including VAT of 18 percent, or just under one-third of all tourism to Turkey.
Results

The project offered a number of lessons that influence whether it can be considered a success. These qualitative observations would require detailed research to substantiate but they seem intuitively valid. Many of the adjustments are due to changes in forecast outcomes—stressing the difficulty of forecasting in tourism especially when entering embryonic markets. However, forecasts are nothing more than best estimates and are made in the knowledge that outcomes can and will vary considerably. In the case of Turkey, the desire to invest was very strong, which helped the project gather momentum for successful completion, although many obstacles had to be overcome.

Institutional Model

The model of government with the MCT as the main developer is one that has caused problems elsewhere. Tourism is complex, as complex as urban development, entailing a broad range of skills most projects do not require, and provoking economic, social, and environmental impacts that require planning, finance, engineering, sociology, and economics skills, to mention a few. The process of trading one component for another is constant, as resources are limited, whereas the options and problems have no limit. Urban planning and tourism planning are the main sectors that require close consultation with local populations and multisectoral teams that understand the trade-offs. Despite these complexities, Turkey made the large South Antalya project work and employed the same model in other locations, including Dalaman, Kusadasi, and Kas.

Land

Most of the land for the project belonged to government agencies and it was expected that access to land would not delay the project. Unfortunately, this belief proved erroneous. Forty-one hectares belonged to private persons who successfully argued that proper procedures had not been followed in the acquisition process, which delayed implementation. In addition, the master plan was not finalized at the very beginning of the project. Such a plan is a prerequisite to land acquisition, especially under expropriation, as was used for a small part of the project area. A funding problem also existed such that, when funds eventually materialized, land values had increased and negotiated prices were invalidated. The process had to be restarted. A few cases actually had to be defended in the Supreme Court, a very time-consuming process that could have damaged the project’s reputation and credibility. The Land Registry Office was slow to handle expropriations. Additionally, since it had been decided that Kemer would become a municipality with a certain level of service, land values again had to be revalued. This experience shows that nothing is more important than resolving land issues before a project starts: it is always time-consuming to resolve liens on land and values can escalate rapidly with news of a new project. In a similar vein, good knowledge of the residents in a project area before the project is announced is very useful. Otherwise, residents seem to multiply rapidly before the project can get off the
The Bank required the acquisition of land as a condition of the loan, which slowed initial implementation but without which there would have been no project.

**Capital Costs**
The capital cost of the project at appraisal was US$65 million; by completion, the actual cost was US$43 million. Only minor alterations in the project components changed the costs marginally. Most of the components were awarded to Turkish firms and as there was domestic inflation and completion delays, Turkish lira costs were higher and dollar equivalents lower. At appraisal, in lira terms, the cost was estimated at TL990 million, whereas at completion it had reached TL11,022 million. But in dollar terms, more than US$20 million were saved and part of the Bank loan was cancelled.

**Hotel Projections**
The market projections for the project called for an occupancy rate of 75 percent. The rate attained at completion was about 60 percent, still above the national average. Domestic occupancy had been estimated at 20 percent but it quickly reached almost twice that number (37 percent). However, a critical mass was emerging, and the resort could accommodate large groups. By 1990, 10,350 hotel beds were in place, compared to the appraisal estimate of 11,500 beds (5,750 rooms). The accommodation options also proved quite different from appraisal estimates. At appraisal, 30 percent of beds were allocated to vacation villages, with 70 percent in hotels. In reality, the opposite occurred and vacation villages are still a strong component of the South Antalya accommodation mix. In addition, whereas the projections allowed for a large share of tourists from Western Europe, the key markets turned out to be Russia and the Middle East, as well as Turkish domestic visitors. In effect, the latter helped Antalya withstand the international crisis.

**Jobs Created**
The estimation at appraisal suggested the project would create about 12,500 jobs directly. By 1990, 7,300 jobs had been created, but these estimates do not include construction jobs or part-time seasonal employment (6–8 months), reducing the number of “equivalent” jobs. The different types of facilities may also have brought the number of jobs down. A study commissioned by TUI carried out by ODI, estimated the
average wage in a large vacation village (open for eight months of the year) to be €325 per month, compared to the minimum wage of €262. In addition, employees received accommodation, food, travel, and social security benefits.

Economic Returns
The economic return at appraisal was estimated at 17.2 percent and the ex post result was 10.5 percent, reflecting the SARS scare, delays in the execution of the project, and lower than expected tourist expenditures. With the devaluation of the lira, Turkey became a “cheap” destination for budget-minded travelers. Occupancies were also lower than forecast. This more than offset the savings in capital costs. The rate of return is conservative as it does not include the benefits to local residents of a modern infrastructure system and better public services, such as health clinics and public parks. On the other hand, hotel investment was strong and additional capacity helped strengthen the returns. In 1985, the government introduced a VAT, initially at 11 percent and increased to 18 percent, resulting in a flow of resources to the government. In terms of financial performance, the Project Completion Report (World Bank 1986) concluded that tariffs and cost recovery mechanisms for public utility services were appropriate and produced positive net present values at a discount rate of 12 percent. Land values increased rapidly and those who sold land generally had capital gains, although the benefits were not well distributed.

Kemer
Before the project, Kemer consisted of a couple of cottages at the crossroads of the main north/south road and the track leading to the beach, where the marina is now located. Today it is a municipality with over 20,000 residents. This growth was not expected at appraisal but Kemer’s attribution of full municipal functions added an administrative level that helped the project enormously. Moreover, it created a market for real estate that attracted foreign and Turkish investors; this in turn inflated land values. Many of the project’s components were in fact located there, including the one hotel built by the government, the marina, municipal building, tourist office, and hotel training school, as well as the network infrastructure. In spite of the fact that Kemer was built to service tourism, it became a center for tourism.

One issue in Kemer was employee housing. The entire employee complex intended for hotel staff was used for municipal and other administrative employees. While understandable, a typical problem in resorts is the availability of housing for staff; in its absence, hotels must provide housing or transportation for employees. This problem has not yet been resolved, although several options appear feasible: condominiums or cooperatives for staff (a model that works elsewhere in Turkey), traditional sites and services for lower-income families, the conversion and use of older hotel properties, and contractual savings plans for down-payments.
Beldibe and Gecekondu

Beldibe is the most northern of the five villages in the resort, closest to Antalya. It has primarily become a preferred location for holiday villas and includes residential housing for Turkish citizens and foreigners. During project implementation, before the infrastructure was finished, a typically Turkish phenomenon occurred, a rush to build houses in the project area. In Turkey, a person who constructs the walls of a building and succeeds in roofing it even without the proper permits is immune from sanctions. Building is typically completed at night (the term gecekondu in Turkish literally translates as “built by night”). A few gecekondu were begun and roofed. However, the plots were bulldozed. Had these few buildings been allowed to stand, a rash of illegal building throughout the project zone would have ensued. As the operation was conducted quickly, there was little public reaction.

Conclusion

The South Antalya project was clearly a success. The government gained early experience from it and, learning from the process, was able to replicate it in several regions. When the difficulties that arose during the initial stage of the enterprise were overcome (some quite time-consuming, such as land acquisition and the launch of tenders), there was great demand from investors for project sites and a vigorous market among tourists developed. The government achieved a broader tax base and collected as much as 18 percent VAT from tourists. The South Antalya area received high-quality public infrastructure and its first health services. Investors got secure access to serviced land and financing was made available through the Tourism Bank. The resort created thousands of jobs and now has a capacity for about 300,000 tourists and recreation opportunities for approximately 200,000. Importantly, the orange groves and forest-covered mountains remain unharmed, adding ambience to an already amazing landscape.

In a sense, Turkey has become a victim of its own success. Its Mediterranean and Aegean resorts (Antalya, Alanya, Bodrum, Dalaman, Datca, Kusadasi, Marmaris, and Side, to mention a few) have been so successful that they are threatened by too many tourists and too little infrastructure. Unsettled conditions in the Middle East have tempered that enthusiasm for the time being, but it is expected to increase as conditions improve. The situation recalls the coastal resorts of Spain and Italy, also threatened by overuse. In its Tourism Strategy to 2023, however, Turkey has incorporated the need to slow growth in coastal resorts, rehabilitate them, and focus on sustainability. Simultaneously, focus will be placed on the more cultural aspects of tourism, of which Turkey is well endowed, and on new product lines including adventure and therapeutic tourism, opening up its great potential yet further.

Notes

1. Then called the Ministry of Tourism and Information.
2. See UNWTO Tourism Factbook.
3. According to the World Bank’s Turkey—Investment Climate Assessment report, “Since late-2007, adverse changes in global conditions have taken their toll on Turkey’s
previously booming economy and business sector. Prior to the global economic crisis, Turkey’s economy had been thriving. Turkish GDP growth averaged nearly 7 percent per annum between 2002 and 2007. Since 2008, though, the external economic environment has deteriorated markedly. Turkey’s economy is expected to contract by 6 percent, with unemployment estimated to have increased to 14 percent in 2009. A survey carried out by the World Bank in the summer of 2009 shows that most enterprises experienced a sharp contraction in sales, with reported declines between 2008 and 2009 in the region of 40 percent.” p. ii.

4. See WTTC research.
7. It should also be noted that tourism grew to the East of Antalya around Alanya and Side. Antalya is a hub for both regions.
9. The Turkish Tourism Bank, specialized in hotel finance, has a number of supplementary functions: financing hotels and other tourism establishments, project development, technical consultancy, and managing fund transfers from the central government’s budget to local administrations.
10 Data from TurkStat.
12. This includes not only hotels and vacation villages but also bed and breakfasts, hostels, and other forms of lodging.
14. It is hard to reconcile data sources but these figures approximate the UNWTO data and may therefore be close to reality.
15. See the Overseas Development Institute (2011) report supported by the Travel Foundation and TUI UK & Ireland. It examined a large hotel and estimated the total value of the operation at €47 million; €21 million (44 percent) was associated with selling packages in the United Kingdom, the flights to the destination, rents to the hotel operator, and out-of-pocket expenses for the tourists. Of the €26 expenditure in Turkey, only 7 percent represents imports, reflecting Turkey’s maturity and the linkages in the country itself.
16. The project had envisaged up to 280 units for employees, a mix of 2-bedroom apartments and single-occupancy studios in clusters of 6–8 dwellings in two-story buildings (60–100 m2).
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