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### Abstract

This paper draws lessons from an original randomized experiment in Malawi. In order to understand why roads in relatively good condition in rural areas may not be used by buses, a minibus service was subsidized over a six-month period over a distance of 20 kilometers to serve five villages. Using randomly allocated prices for use of the bus, this experiment demonstrates that at very low prices, bus usage is high. Bus usage decreases rapidly with increased prices. However, based on the results on take-up and minibus provider surveys, the experiment demonstrates that at any price, low (with high usage) or high (with low usage), a bus service provider never breaks even on this road. This can contribute to explain why walking or cycling is so widespread on most rural roads in Sub-Saharan Africa. In terms of policy implications, this experiment explains that motorized services need to be subsidized; otherwise a road in good condition will most probably not lead to provision of service at an affordable price for the local population.

### Gender

#### Gender Connection

Gender Informed Analysis

#### Gender Outcomes

Gender disaggregated participation or voice in community

### IE Design

Randomized Control Trial

### Intervention

A meeting was called at each village. At the meeting, one member of each household had to select a ticket from a bucket. Each ticket contained a number to signify the price of one round trip on the project bus. The prices varied from 0 - 500 MK ($3.57). This was the price that the individual had to pay for a bus pass.

### Intervention Period

July-December 2009

### Sample population

542 households were listed in the 5 sample villages. 514 were successfully interviewed and eligible to participate.

### Comparison conditions

The study compared individuals who, by luck of a lottery, had to pay different fares for the bus.

### Unit of analysis

Household level

### Evaluation Period

July-December 2009

### Results

More than 60% of households used the bus at least once with an average of 2.85 rides. There is a sharp decrease in ridership among those required to pay a positive price, especially above 100 Kwacha. Results are similar amongst men and women.
### Primary study limitations

The experiment in this study is the first of its kind in Sub Saharan Africa. The experiment occurred in areas with low density it would be important to see the results of similar experiments in high density areas.
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