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Abstract

De la Torre, Yeyati, and Schmukler present a framework to analyze financial globalization. They argue that financial globalization needs to take into account the relation between money (particularly in its role as store of value), asset and factor price flexibility, and contractual and regulatory institutions. Countries that have the “blessed trinity” (international currency, flexible exchange rate regime, and sound contractual and regulatory environment) can integrate successfully into the world financial markets. But developing countries normally display the “unblessed trinity” (weak currency, fear of floating, and weak institutional framework).

The authors define and discuss two alternative avenues (a “dollar trinity” and a “peso trinity”) for developing countries to safely embrace international financial integration while the blessed trinity remains beyond reach.
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1. Introduction

Financial globalization, that is, the integration of countries into international financial markets, is not a new phenomenon. However, it is taking new forms and posing complex problems to emerging economies. This paper proposes a new framework to understand the challenges that emerging countries face as they integrate with the international financial system, as well as to discuss the realistic options open to them as they strive to control the risks of financial globalization while capturing its benefits.

Historically, the gold standard period (1880-1914) saw a major wave of financial globalization, as cross-border capital flows surged, incorporating countries in the center and the periphery into a worldwide network of finance and investment. With the advent of the First World War, global growth halted and international financial integration was disrupted, with minimal capital movement between 1914 and 1945. A slow reconstruction of the world financial system took place during the Bretton Woods era (1945-1971), although domestic financial markets remained heavily regulated and controls were typically imposed on capital flows. It was not until the 1970s that the world witnessed the beginning of a new wave of international financial integration, reflecting the dismantling of capital controls, de-regulation in domestic financial systems, and revolutionary technological innovations (not just in information and telecommunications but also in financial product engineering). Emerging markets joined this new wave of financial globalization with vigor starting in the latter part of the 1980s.

Obstfeld and Taylor (2002) argue that the different historical phases of financial globalization can be understood in terms of the “impossible trinity.” According to this proposition a country can consistently pursue only two out of the three policy objectives: free capital mobility, a fixed (or highly stable) nominal exchange rate, and an autonomous monetary policy. Obstfeld and Taylor explain that international capital mobility has, thus, prevailed in periods of political support either for subordinating monetary policy to exchange rate stability (as in the gold standard), or for giving up exchange rate stability so as to enable monetary policy to pursue domestic objectives (as in the post-Bretton Woods era). In contrast, when countries attempted simultaneously to target their exchange rates and use monetary policy in pursuit of domestic objectives (e.g., to combat the slowdown of economic activity in the interwar period), they had to impose controls to curtail capital movements.

Obstfeld and Taylor characterize well the post-Bretton Woods process of financial globalization for developed countries. But emerging economies have lived a different reality. While they have tried a wide range of exchange rate arrangements—soft pegs, hard-peggs, crawls, bands, and flexible exchange rate systems—more recently, particularly since 1995, the share of emerging countries declaring themselves as having flexible exchange rates has been on the rise (Larrain and Velasco 2001). However, even countries that have opted for flexible arrangements in principle display “fear of floating” in practice and are thus unable to fully profit from an autonomous monetary policy. Moreover, emerging markets that have

---

1 See, for example, Calvo and Reinhart (2002), Hausmann et al. (2001), and Levy Yeyati and Sturzenegger (2001).

2 See, for example, Frankel, Schmukler, and Servén (2002).

Although financial globalization alone cannot explain the frequency and severity of financial crises, its post-Bretton Woods incarnation has been associated with a rising incidence of twin (currency and banking) crises, and even triple (currency, banking, and debt) crises such as the recent ones in Ecuador and Argentina. The current wave of financial globalization has been, especially for the periphery, punctuated by painful episodes of capital flow reversals or “sudden stops.” The integration of the domestic financial systems from middle-income countries into the world’s financial markets has not led to the materialization of such promised benefits as a truly counter-cyclical monetary policy, consumption smoothing, deepening and diversification of their domestic financial markets, noticeable reduction in the cost of capital, and significant availability of long-duration financial contracts denominated in the domestic currency. In all, it is hard to escape the conclusion that the post-Bretton Woods wave of financial globalization has not worked as advertised for the periphery.

In this paper we argue that the successes and failures of financial globalization can be linked to the nature of interactions between three elements: (1) money, particularly in its role as store of value; (2) nominal (asset and factor price) flexibility; and (3) the quality of contractual and regulatory institutions. We introduce the notion of the “blessed trinity” (international currency, flexible exchange rate, and sound institutions), as a benchmark that defines conditions under which countries can integrate successfully into the world financial markets. In contrast, developing countries generally exhibit what we call the “unblessed trinity” (weak currency, fear of floating, and weak institutions), which sets them up for nasty experiences as they integrate into international financial markets. Inasmuch as it is difficult for these countries to reach the blessed trinity, we argue that, realistically, their option is to adopt either a “dollar trinity” or a “peso trinity,” the achievement of which is enough of a complex challenge.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 examines the elements of the blessed trinity and how they interact to empower financial globalization. Section 3 analyzes the unblessed trinity and how it handicaps the ability of emerging countries to integrate successfully into the international financial system. Section 4 explores the dollar trinity and the peso trinity, and the pitfalls and options on the road to building them. Section 5 concludes.

---

3 See, for example, Kaminsky and Reinhart (1999). Also, Bordo et al. (2001) show, for instance, that currency crises were more frequent during the Bretton Woods era (1945-1971), a period of low financial globalization, compared to the gold standard era (1880-1914), a time of high financial globalization.

4 Obstfeld (1998), Stulz (1999), and Mishkin (2001) discuss the benefits of financial globalization.
2. The Blessed Trinity

The international finance literature has argued for a long time that financial markets, both domestic and international, are far from perfect. Finance is subject to problems like incomplete markets, asymmetric information, noise trading, bubbles, herding, multiple equilibria, moral hazard, and contagion. Some of these problems are more prevalent at the domestic level (like incomplete markets), while others are more prevalent when a country integrates with the international financial system (like asymmetric information and moral hazard).

Despite these problems, a country can integrate successfully into the imperfect international financial markets to the extent that it exhibits the "blessed trinity," defined as the fundamental (mutually reinforcing) setup of: (1) international currency, (2) flexible exchange rate, and (3) sound contractual and regulatory environment (Figure 1). To these we turn next.

Figure 1. The Blessed Trinity

2.1. Definitions

An international currency is one that is accepted as a store of value both at home (so that it is used for domestic financial intermediation) and abroad (so that the country can issue local-currency denominated debt in international markets). This international stature is underpinned by credible macroeconomic policies and, in particular, by a sustainable fiscal process that ensures the solvency of the currency issuer.

A country has a flexible exchange rate if it allows its currency to float relatively freely against other currencies, without the need to hold large amounts of reserves of international currency ("life jackets") in an attempt to give credibility to the float. The effectiveness of the flexible exchange rate system is institutionally underpinned by a reputable central bank.

---

5 See, for example, Allen and Gale (2000a and 2000b), De Long et al. (1990), Obstfeld and Rogoff (1996).
7 See Calvo (2000a).
A sound contractual and regulatory environment is one that adequately minimizes principal-agent problems that are inherent to financial systems.\(^8\) It does so by ensuring contract enforcement (finance is contract-intensive) and reducing its costs, and by minimizing information asymmetries. Contract enforcement is underpinned by clear shareholder and creditor rights and reflects the operation of the rule of law backed by a trustworthy judiciary. A sound regulatory environment reduces incentives for undue risk taking and looting through, among other things, good accounting and information disclosure standards, risk-based capital requirements, and private sector monitoring complemented by a type of official monitoring that is both rigorous and conducted in a manner that fosters risk awareness. It also features a financial safety net that carefully controls risk shifting to the government (moral hazard) while limiting contagion risk and fostering financial deepening.

2.2. The Blessed Trinity Empowers Globalization

A country blessed with the trinity can integrate successfully into (imperfect) international financial markets because the components of the trinity interact in virtuous ways to control the risks of financial globalization while maximizing its benefits.

Being a credible store of value at home, the local currency becomes the axis around which domestic financial intermediation and contracting is effectively organized. This, complemented by sound regulatory and contractual institutions, gives rise to deep and liquid markets for long-duration, domestic-currency denominated financial assets (e.g., equity securities and long-term debt at fixed interest rates). As a result, currency and maturity mismatches can be minimized, which is key to reducing country risk and its volatility. In particular, households and firms with incomes in the non-tradable sector can easily contract liabilities denominated in the domestic currency (or, more precisely, denominated in terms of non-tradables) at a reasonable cost.\(^9\)

To the extent that the domestic currency is also a credible store of value abroad, the government and residents of a trinity-endowed country can issue debt denominated in the domestic currency in international markets. This simple but remarkable feat enables the domestic economy to deepen its financing sources as they access international capital markets, without incurring foreign exchange risk.

The combination of an international currency with a flexible exchange rate underscores two privileged policy capacities. The first is the central bank’s ability to conduct counter-cyclical monetary policy (i.e., to smooth out cyclical output and employment fluctuations to the extent that monetary policy has transitory real effects). Note that this capacity stems from both elements of the trinity and not, as often believed, from exchange rate flexibility alone. In a world of highly mobile capital, giving up a policy of exchange rate stability may not suffice to enable the simultaneous achievement of the two other sides of the Mundellian trinity, that is, complete financial openness and full monetary policy autonomy.

\(^8\) Ultimately, the soundness of the contractual and regulatory environment is directly linked to the quality and strength of government and of democratic institutions.

\(^9\) The fixed-rate 30-year domestic-currency denominated mortgage loan is indeed a wonderful creature of the blessed trinity, and the object of healthy envy of trinity-challenged countries.
(including a counter-cyclical capacity). In contrast, we argue that an international currency is a sufficient condition to achieve counter-cyclical monetary policy in the context of a flexible exchange rate. This point will become clearer later on in this paper, as we discussed the features of the “unblessed trinity.”

The second is the ability of the blessed country to rapidly adjust the real exchange rate (i.e., the relative price of tradables to non-tradables) to a more depreciated equilibrium level, without unnecessary output losses and without significant balance sheet disruptions. As wages and goods prices are typically slow to adjust downwardly, flexibility in the nominal exchange rate permits a fast depreciation of the real exchange rate towards a new equilibrium, with the associated expenditure switching effects sparing the country from the unnecessary pain of having to adjust only through aggregate expenditure reduction and the resulting unemployment and deflation.\(^{10}\) Expenditure switching effects also imply that, for a blessed country that is temporarily suffering from excess capacity and unemployment, a real exchange rate depreciation will typically lead to an expansion in economic activity.

Moreover, as mentioned earlier, in a trinity-endowed country, households and firms whose income is derived from the non-tradable sector can easily borrow in the domestic currency. As a result, a real exchange rate adjustment through a nominal depreciation of the currency has favorable balance sheet effects as it reduces the real value of their outstanding local-currency debts. These salutary balance sheet (stock) effects reinforce the above-mentioned positive (flow) effects of a real exchange rate depreciation on economic activity. At the same time, tradable-sector firms can avoid currency mismatches by hedging their domestic-currency liabilities in well-functioning spot and derivatives markets (whose presence is a consequence of the international nature of the domestic currency) or by borrowing in the foreign currency (i.e., denoting their debts in terms of tradables).\(^{11}\)

Given the international acceptance of the domestic currency, the central bank can provide last resort assistance to its banking sector by borrowing both domestically or abroad, thus fending off unnecessary contagious runs while avoiding the recourse to the inflationary tax. This ultimately validates the type of financial stability that is a precondition for the local currency to be attractive internationally.

The combination of a reputable central bank with savings that are invested in local-currency assets curbs the risk that governments would succumb to time inconsistency (i.e., the incentive to inflate away its liabilities after it has issued them), as the benefits of defaulting on their debts through inflation are overshadowed by short- and long-run economic and political costs if the value of the savings of their influential constituencies (e.g., pension fund beneficiaries) are eroded through surprise inflation. The trinity thus protects savers, who respond by sticking to the local currency as a store of value.

\(^{10}\) As Friedman (1953) keenly noted in his classic defense of flexible exchange rates, adjusting the nominal exchange rate upward is superior to adjusting nominal wages and prices downward for analogous reasons that the automatic move to daylight savings time is easier than coordinating the will of a large number of people to begin all habitual activities one hour earlier.

\(^{11}\) Recall that the payments for international trade and financial contracts are typically settled in hard, international currencies.
Few countries in the world (United States, Germany followed by the European Union, England, Japan until recently) are blessed with the trinity and can thus embrace financial globalization in a relative safe manner and reap its benefits, in spite of the highly deficient international financial architecture.

3. The Unblessed Trinity

At the other extreme, dramatically far from the blessed trinity, are typically open (to trade and finance) and unstable emerging economies that exhibit the “unblessed trinity,” defined as the perverse interaction of: (1) weak currency, (2) limited exchange rate flexibility (“fear of floating”), and (3) weak contractual and regulatory environment (Figure 2). For unblessed countries, integrating into international financial markets is a perilous affair.

![Figure 2. The Unblessed Trinity](image)

3.1. Definitions

An unblessed emerging economy has a weak currency, that is, a currency that is not accepted either internationally or domestically as a reliable store of value. Even after years of low inflation, domestic investors in the unblessed country shy away from local-currency-denominated financial assets (financial dollarization). As a result, non-tradables producers end up with debts denominated in tradables—a most troublesome version of “liability dollarization.” On the other hand, when domestic dollar intermediation is restricted, a country with a weak currency displays pronounced short-termism in financial contracts that re-price very frequently (e.g., deposits with interest rates that adjust daily in line with the overnight rate). In sum, a weak currency leads to financial fragility since, as markets for long-duration, domestic-currency financial assets are virtually non-existent, currency or maturity mismatches in the domestic financial system are inevitable.

12 On the pervasiveness of financial dollarization in emerging economies, see Baliño et al. (1999) and Ize and Levy Yeyati (2001). Note that, without loss of generality, we use the dollar to denote any internationally accepted currency.

13 The coexistence of maturity and currency mismatches, perhaps the main manifestation of the weak currency problem, relates to Eichengreen and Haussman’s (1999) “original sin,” i.e., the inability to issue long-term debt in the local currency. The origin of the weak currency problem relates to various factors, including the existence of incomplete markets, and the combination of weak institutions and moral hazard (namely, the temptation to reduce fiscal obligations through inflation).
A country with the unblessed trinity has fear of floating. Even those declaring to have a flexible exchange rate systematically reveal a preference for exchange rate stability, heavily relying on interest rate policy and foreign exchange intervention to limit fluctuations in the exchange rate. Calvo and Reinhart (2001) discuss the factors underlying this preference. In financially dollarized economies where non-dollar earners are indebted in dollar, a discrete depreciation may generate corporate and household bankruptcies and, consequently, bank failures, with serious fiscal consequences. This is aggravated by the fact that the central bank’s capacity to fulfill the role of lender of last resort without inflationary costs is limited to its capacity to be a borrower of last resort, itself curtailed by the procyclicality of international capital markets vis-à-vis weak currency countries. On the other hand, depreciations tend to be avoided also because of their adverse impact on inflation due to the propensity for dollar indexation in inflation-prone, financially dollarized economies. In addition, as argued by Calvo and Reinhart (2002), policymakers may prefer exchange rate to interest rate stability since by doing so they provide the economy with a readily verifiable (hence, more credible) nominal anchor.

The third component of the unblessed trinity is the presence of weak institutions that yield a deficient contractual and regulatory environment. The unblessed country rates poorly in terms of rule of law and its judiciary is unreliable and plagued by corruption. Fiscal, monetary, and regulatory institutions are weak, reflecting deeper weaknesses in overall governance and democratic institutions. Central banks are subject to political maneuvering. Accounting and disclosure practices are sub-standard, and shareholder rights and creditor rights are ill defined and poorly enforced. As a result, principal-agent and information asymmetry problems are severe, fostering excessive risk taking and looting in the financial system, thus compounding the vulnerabilities that stem from the poor performance of its currency as a store of value.

3.2. The Unblessed Trinity Handicaps Globalization

The above elements conspire to intensify risks as the unblessed country integrates into international financial markets. This is due to a web of vicious interactions and feedback loops between the elements of the unblessed trinity that result in fragile macro-financial conditions and in a financial system highly vulnerable to shocks and self-fulfilling attacks.

Due to fear of floating, international reserves in unblessed countries provide a “double guarantee,” for bank deposits and for the currency. This, as Dooley (2000) shows, heightens the country’s vulnerability to speculative attacks—that is, a crisis caused by a devastating run engineered at a time where there are no perceptible changes in fundamentals, by agents that, to avoid capital losses, anticipate the inevitable.

---

14 This feeds back on the weakness of the currency, exacerbating the fear to float, the counter-cyclicality of monetary policy, and the perceived need for “life jackets.” See Calvo (2000b).
15 Emerging countries may also want to avoid large appreciations. That is, fear of floating in times of buoyant capital inflows can reflect concerns with loosing international competitiveness (Dutch-disease-type problems).
16 See, for example, Mishkin (2001) and Akerlof and Romer (1993).
17 See also Aghion et al. (2001) and Burnside et al. (2001).
Unblessed countries are typically (and increasingly) affected by financial (deposit and loan) dollarization, to some extent a by-product of the interaction of financial globalization with unblessed trinities. Financial globalization, by giving access to a large pool of foreign funds, only intensifies the dilemma between high-cost short-duration peso debts (with the associated interest rate risk) and more affordable dollar debts (with the associated currency risk). In turn, weak institutions distort the process of risk pricing (for example, by creating the perception of implicit public guarantees against the event risk associated with a sharp depreciation of the currency), inducing a preference for the first source of funds, and, as stressed by McKinnon and Pill (1997), over-borrowing in the foreign currency.

In this context, a sharp depreciation can not only unleash inflation, but also erode the solvency of debtors in the non-tradable sector harming the banking system (and the fiscal accounts to the extent of the existing safety nets) in the process, and giving rise to a negative feedback between real depreciation and insolvency that undermines the stability properties of the real exchange rate and outweighs any expansionary effect of a real depreciation on economic activity.

It is precisely the adverse real impact of a nominal devaluation, rooted in the weakness of the local currency that underlies the well-known procyclicality of capital markets faced by developing countries. Indeed, as the capacity to pay of the country as a whole is positively correlated with the exchange rate, it is compelled to play the “credibility game” and raise interest rates during times of economic recession to convince investors not to flee the currency. Access to international capital markets, inasmuch as they increase the flows to and from the domestic economy, only accentuates the procyclical nature of monetary policy in an unblessed country.

In sum, the unblessed trinity is associated with a severe problem of missing markets—for the country’s currency, for long-term domestic-currency denominated finance, for hedges, and for insurance products, among others. As a result, financial globalization tends to magnify the deficiencies in both domestic policies and institutions and the international markets themselves, leading to a higher incidence of multiple equilibria problems, sudden stops, and self-fulfilling runs.

While some emerging countries may be able to eventually achieve the blessed trinity in the long run, for most of them the blessed trinity appears to be an impossible dream. In the next sections we argue that developing countries are, however, not without options, for

---

18 Băleanu et al. (1999) find that, by 1995, foreign-currency deposits exceeded on average 45 percent of M2 in 18 countries, and were on average over 16 percent of M2 in other 34 countries. Honohan and Shi (2002) find that deposit dollarization has continued to increase since then in most countries that allow dollar deposits.

19 In addition, credit and asset bubbles exacerbate information asymmetry problems and plants the seeds for subsequent crises. See Gavin and Hausmann (1996).

20 Ecuador’s 1999 crisis illustrates the dire consequences of floating with liability dollarization and a weak fiscal position. The crisis deepened dramatically as devaluation and debtor insolvency were caught in a feedback loop, leading the real exchange rate to spiral away (De la Torre, García-Salitos, and Mascaró 2002).

21 Evidence on this is presented in Hausmann et al. (2001).
they can work on either one of two more realistic solutions: the “dollar trinity” and the “peso
trinity.”

4. Two Trinities for the Unblessed

Unblessed countries may be tempted to conclude that they should isolate themselves
from financial globalization. But that is certainly not a desirable option, and possibly also
not a feasible one. A country completely isolated from international capital and financial
services is likely to be poorer and with poorer growth prospects than even unblessed
countries attempting to integrate, partly because the latter would be under constant external
pressure to improve their domestic policies and institutions. Moreover, given the speed of
financial innovation, it is doubtful that capital controls can successfully keep domestic
residents locked away from international financial markets. Finally, it would be self-
defeating to systematically scare away foreign direct investment and financing.

The problem for an emerging economy, thus, is not whether but how to integrate into
world financial markets. Part of the answer has been implicitly given in the previous
sections: by striving to establish the blessed trinity or at least mimicking its main features, or
by taking actions whose effects compensate for the missing components. Depending on
which of them is deemed more relevant two alternatives appear feasible. Both focus on the
exchange rate.

Economists are divided into advocates of flexible exchange rate regimes and
advocates of hard pegs or outright dollarization. The discussion below adds to the debate by
squarely putting exchange rate issues in the context of financial globalization. From that
point of view, we argue that there are two viable trinities for the unblessed: the “dollar
trinity” and the “peso trinity.” Although still distant from the blessed trinity, these options
constitute a major improvement over the unblessed trinity in facilitating a successful
financial globalization for emerging markets.

The dollar trinity focuses on the weak currency side of triangle. Its premise is that,
since the local currency is not to fulfill the role of store of value in the near future, formal
(unilateral) dollarization is adopted as a means to establish an unquestioned store of value to
foster on-shore financial intermediation. In this context, the challenge lies in recomposing
the other two sides of the trinity—i.e., gaining adequate nominal flexibility and building
sound institutions.

The peso trinity focuses on the fear of floating side of triangle. Its premise is that fear
can and should be overcome, mainly through inflation targeting. The challenge then shifts
towards recomposing the other two sides of the triangle—i.e., strengthening institutions and
establishing the domestic currency as the store of value to underpin a sustainable deepening
of financial markets.

We set high standards for these two unblessed trinities. For instance, Ecuador is
formally dollarized but is far from qualifying for the dollar trinity. In contrast, a well
functioning example of a dollar trinity in our view would be Hong Kong. Similarly,
Argentina is currently formally pesified but very far from meeting the standards for the peso trinity. Well-functioning examples of the peso trinity would be Australia and Chile.  

4.1. The Peso Trinity

Optimal currency area (OCA) considerations are crucial to identify unblessed countries that should naturally strive along the path towards the peso trinity. Thus, the peso trinity is a goal that better suits a trinity-challenged country that has a relatively large non-tradable sector, that trades with countries in different currency zones, and that is subject to significant idiosyncratic real shocks—i.e., shocks that are uncorrelated to those in countries with which it trades. The advisability of pursuing the peso trinity would be clearly reinforced where these OCA conditions coexist with a low level of financial dollarization.

The above conditions would enhance the capacity of inflation targeting to boost the role of the domestic currency as a store of value around which markets for longer-duration financial contracts can be organized. Also, the greater variability of the exchange rate associated with inflation targeting would reduce incentives for financial dollarization. As a result, inflation targeting would more effectively help the country absorb shocks (including sudden stops) by facilitating the adjustment of its real exchange rate to the post-shock equilibria.

But building these virtuous capacities is likely to be much more difficult than militant partisans of exchange rate flexibility care to accept, even if the mentioned OCA and low financial dollarization conditions are obtained. A key reason was mentioned earlier. The unblessed country might have avoided financial dollarization mainly because its government systematically fostered, for instance, (i) the emergence of peso financial contracts of extremely short duration, and (ii) the transfer of currency mismatches from the private sector to the public sector. The government's balance sheet would thus concentrate significant unhedged currency and interest rate risks. If so, fear of floating would not be that easy to overcome through inflation targeting. More importantly, the enhancement of the role of the domestic currency as store of value to underpin long-term peso finance would necessarily call for a significant fiscal effort. In effect, the government would need to generate higher primary surpluses to afford the costs of changing the composition of its debt in favor of longer-term fixed-interest-rate peso obligations, and in order to generate a reliable yield curve for peso interest rates.

Things get more complicated (but not completely hopeless) if significant financial dollarization is part of the initial conditions of the unblessed country pursuing the peso trinity. Inflation targeting would then face much tighter constraints since financially dollarized economies with an inflation target cannot afford wide exchange rate fluctuations.

---

22 Note that these two trinities are related but do not fully overlap with those proposed by the bipolar view (fully floating or super fixed exchange rates). See Fischer (2001) and references therein.
23 See Mundell (1961).
24 Ize and Levy Yeyati (2001) show that financial dollarization depends on the volatility of inflation relative to that of the real exchange rate (i.e., the volatility of real returns in peso financial assets relative to those in dollar assets).
due to their deleterious effect on inflation. And the scope for generating substantial financial intermediation in the local currency is likely to be modest. In effect, skepticism on the feasibility of a voluntary reversal of financial dollarization in emerging markets appears justified given the dearth of real life examples (despite progress towards macroeconomic stability) and the fact that financial dollarization has been generally rising pari passu with financial globalization. Nonetheless, it is increasingly recognized that inflation targeting could still operate, albeit in a highly constrained manner, in a country with significant financial dollarization, as long as real dollarization (i.e., the indexation of domestic prices to a foreign currency) is low and the local currency is used for transactions.

Nonetheless, it is increasingly recognized that inflation targeting could still operate, albeit in a highly constrained manner, in a country with significant financial dollarization, as long as real dollarization (i.e., the indexation of domestic prices to a foreign currency) is low and the local currency is used for transactions.

The establishment of the domestic currency as store of value entails a much more complex challenge, which could be realistically achieved only after a long track record of successful inflation targeting, complemented along the way by "policy crutches" (see below) and by considerable institutional strengthening. Indeed, for smaller open economies already affected by high financial dollarization (even if they display low real dollarization) and mainly subject to symmetric real shocks, recomposing financial intermediation around the domestic currency appears to be a prospect of little realism.

Note that we are not saying that moving away from fear of floating towards greater exchange rate flexibility is not a net gain for an unblessed country (it is), but rather that such a move is not sufficient to achieve the peso trinity. This consideration points to a potentially important trade off, namely, that in some cases policy efforts wasted in an ultimately futile effort to achieve the peso trinity could be more efficiently employed in the pursuit of the dollar trinity, discussed in the next section.

At any rate, where the peso trinity remains a feasible goal, complementary "policy crutches" are likely to be needed, as noted by Goldstein’s (2002) well-articulated a proposal for "managed floating plus." He recommends accompanying inflation targeting with “aggressive measures to reduce currency mismatching.” Such measures would include prudential norms (e.g., provisions and capital rules) designed to discourage banks from taking on unhedged exposures to currency risk, either directly in their balance sheets or indirectly through the balance sheets of their unhedged debtors.

---

25 The problem is more general. As Chang and Velasco (2000) put it, “any scheme to control the rate of inflation at a short horizon must control, to some extent, the nominal exchange rate.”

26 Honohan and Shi (2002) find recent and important reversals in financial dollarization in a handful of Eastern European countries, including Estonia, Lithuania, and Poland. How much of these reversals are transitory and/or related to prospects of joining the European Union is, however, yet to be ascertained.

27 Ize and Parrado (2002) show that, by reducing the volatility of inflation relative to that of the real exchange rate, strict inflation targeting could dampen financial dollarization but could encourage wage/price dollarization. This is because strict inflation targeting implies a monetary policy that is unresponsive to output and other real shocks, whose volatility induces real dollarization by enhancing the benefits of indexing wages to the dollar. Ize and Parrado’s analysis leads to the conclusion that achieving reasonable exchange rate flexibility is within the reach of countries pursuing the peso trinity, assuming good macroeconomic policies and an inflation targeting framework that is not completely indifferent to output and employment fluctuations. This would be possible even where financial dollarization is high, because financial dollarization would in these cases tend not to coexist with significant wage dollarization.
In addition, the government should systematically strive (and be willing to pay the associated cost) to raise the share of long-duration peso debts in their liability portfolio and encourage the development of domestic bond markets. Allowing the indexation of financial contracts (for example, to the CPI) can stimulate long-term savings and financing in the local currency.\textsuperscript{28}

In sum, the road to the peso trinity is not easy and may not be part of the feasible set for some unblessed countries. While achieving a reasonable degree of exchange rate flexibility is an important step in that direction, the most difficult challenge, however, is to nurture the peso as a store of value. A strong record of consistent, credible macroeconomic policy (including temporary policy crutches) is crucial, and so is the continuous improvement in the quality of regulatory and contractual environment. The light at the end of the tunnel would be that of a functional peso trinity (Figure 3).

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{peso_trinity}
\caption{The Peso Trinity}
\end{figure}

4.2. The Dollar Trinity

Again, OCA-type considerations help identify unblessed countries that are naturally suited to pursue the dollar trinity. These are the smaller and highly open countries (i.e., with relatively small non-tradable sectors), whose trade is mainly with a country or countries in the same hard currency zone, and that are subject mainly to symmetric (rather than idiosyncratic) real shocks. The advisability of pursuing the dollar trinity would be reinforced if the country in question has a high degree of financial dollarization. However, this path towards the dollar trinity may also have to be pursued by emerging economies that already display inconsistencies between their trade and financial structures. That would be, for instance, the case of unblessed countries that were compelled to dollarize formally in the midst of a crisis, in order to avoid total financial disintermediation, even though their OCA-type features make pegging to the dollar highly inconvenient.\textsuperscript{29}

\textsuperscript{28} As Ize and Levy Yeyati (2001) show, CPI indexation of financial assets, properly implemented, tends to dominate dollar indexation, as witnessed in the case of Chile, where UF deposits were systematically preferred to dollar deposits, preventing financial dollarization.

\textsuperscript{29} Frankel (1999), following the dictum that "no one size fits all," notes that dollarization could be a desirable alternative for countries in which the currency could never be a store of value due to either a history of hyperinflation, the absence of strong institutions, or high exposure to nervous international investors.
Taking a step in the direction of the dollar trinity by formally dollarizing can correct in a single move the problems created by a weak currency, thereby automatically equipping the unblessed country with one, but only one, of the components of the blessed trinity. Formal dollarization can facilitate financial intermediation and deepening and foster the lengthening of maturities in financial contracts. By dampening capital flow volatility associated with perceived currency risk, dollarization can reduce the scope for multiple equilibria, thereby limiting the probability of self-fulfilling attacks, sudden stops, and financial crises.\textsuperscript{30}

While formal dollarization can sever the direct link between fiscal solvency and the currency as store of value (see below), it does not itself eliminate the indirect links between fiscal viability and the financial system. Not only does a bad fiscal policy raise country risk and adversely affect local debt markets but, to the extent that local banks hold claims on the government, increases the exposure of the banking system to sovereign default. Moreover, dollarization does not automatically lead to fiscal discipline, and fiscal indiscipline can feed back adversely into the dollarization arrangement itself. As the Argentine case illustrates, it is virtually impossible to prevent some variety of money printing when the resources to finance the fiscal deficit run out, contradicting the view of dollarization as an irreversible commitment mechanism.\textsuperscript{31}

There are other significant tradeoffs of dollarization that are typically downplayed by dollarization advocates. First, dollarization limits the capacity of the central bank to fulfill the role of lender of last resort. While a weak currency country can play this role at the expense of inflationary pressures, formal dollarization eliminates even this source of protection of the payments system. Second, dollarization means giving up the hope for counter-cyclical monetary policy, no matter how distant that possibility might have been for a trinity-challenged country (see sections above). Third, it imposes tight limits on the country's ability to adjust rapidly the real exchange rate in the face of adverse shocks (a particularly troublesome aspect for countries that do not meet the OCA criteria). As long as downward price rigidities exist, the adjustment would take place slowly overtime through unemployment and deflation, with high real interest rates that would depress the economy even further.\textsuperscript{32} When a protracted recession and deflation finally drive home the real value of income and wealth in terms of tradables, it might be too late and the country may face the danger of the same self-fulfilling attacks it sought to immunize itself against when it adopted formal dollarization. Finally, the reported value of debt would be understated (capacity to pay overstated) relative to the equilibrium value of non-tradable income, which would mask the need for, and delay the implementation of, timely adjustment in spending.

These pitfalls make it clear that, in addition to the continued implementation of sound fiscal policies and general institution building, the pursuit of the dollar trinity needs especial

\textsuperscript{30} Ecuador’s experience in 2000 illustrates that formal dollarization can arrest the erosion in fundamentals and put a floor to runaway financial turbulence, even where fiscal and government debt problems remain unsolved.

\textsuperscript{31} In Argentina, this took the form of issuance of small-denomination (provincial and federal) bonds accepted for tax payments, which by the end of the first quarter of 2002 amounted to 45% of the stock of currency in circulation. See de la Torre, Levy Yeyati, and Schmukler (2002).

\textsuperscript{32} Krugman (2001) argues that this has affected both Japan and Argentina.
complements to: (i) deal with dollarization-specific risks, (ii) maximize dollarization-specific benefits, and (iii) achieve an adequate degree of nominal flexibility. The importance of these complements is greater the less the country meets the OCA-type criteria.

Among the measures to deal with dollarization-specific risks, two are worth mentioning. First, there is the need to design prudential norms to address the risk of dollar lending to the non-tradable sector, considering that dollarization does not immunize a country from the balance sheet effects of a real exchange rate depreciation (Roubini 2001; Chang and Velasco 2000). As an overvalued real exchange rate understates the non-performance of loans to non-tradable producers, prudential norms are needed to anticipate and manage the materialization of loan quality deterioration in the event of a shock that requires a real exchange rate depreciation. These norms can take the form of tougher loan classification criteria, higher loan-loss provisioning rules, and possibly also a higher weight for the purposes of measuring capital requirements for loans to the non-tradable sector. This, however, eliminates debt deflation risks only partially, and at the expense of higher borrowing costs for non-tradables producers.

Second, there is the need to adequately protect the payment system in a dollarized economy, given the lack of a lender of last resort. As the Argentine crisis illustrates, general liquidity requirements (i.e., available to any and all deposits, on a first come first served basis), even if high, may not adequately protect the payments system from a run. It thus would appear that, under dollarization, such protection might require some form of a narrow-banking structure where there is full liquidity backing for transaction balances (demand deposits). Liquidity would be earmarked to these balances and thus able to preserve the functioning of the payment system (and avoid deposit freezes and interruptions of the payment system), even in the extreme scenario where banks are unable to honor withdrawals of time deposits. Such a structure is not without costs, as it entails the need for a strict separation between payments and investment institutions. Moreover, it does not eliminate financial vulnerabilities associated with the latter, which will certainly hamper the development of a credit market. In the end, the tradeoff between deepening those markets and limiting financial fragility may be unavoidable in the short-run.

One dollarization-specific benefit is that, in principle, formal dollarization protects banking intermediation from the vagaries of the fiscal process, including an event of government debt default, as long as banks are not significantly exposed to domestic government risk. The reason is that the function of the dollar as store of value does not depend directly on the solvency of the domestic government. This feature should be harnessed through prudential norms, for example by assigning a positive weight to banks’

---

33 The provisioning system could be designed with counter-cyclical features. That is, counter-cyclical provisions could be built during buoyant spending and credit times, accompanied by an appreciating real exchange rate, and then allowed to be converted into specific provisions in times of real exchange rate depreciation.

34 To be sure, high liquidity requirements do enhance the resiliency of a banking system—they cushion it vis-à-vis liquidity shocks and deter runs, reducing the scope for multiple equilibria.

35 Panama illustrates a case where a prolonged default in government debt did not affect the functioning of the banking system.
exposure to the government for the purposes of calculating capital requirements, or by introducing limits to such exposure.

Finally, there is a need to build adequate nominal flexibility along the path towards establishing the dollar trinity for two main reasons. First, to facilitate the adjustment in the real exchange rate towards a more depreciated equilibrium level. Second, to facilitate the adjustment of fiscal spending to income so as to ensure debt sustainability. Undoubtedly, reforms that enhance labor-market flexibility and strengthen fiscal institutions are central to this endeavor. However, the realities of political democracy may severely constrain the margin to reduce nominal fiscal expenditure, especially in the context of a recession. The same can be said regarding nominal wage reductions.

It is therefore important to recognize that, under circumstances of extreme stress, political limitations on nominal flexibility can confront a dollarized country with major pressures to “exit” dollarization. This raises a most relevant question for countries pursuing the dollar trinity, namely, whether an “exit” towards greater flexibility could be engineered in a way that does not obliterate financial intermediation. We consider this issue at large in another paper and conclude that dollarization is not inherently incompatible with “pesification at the margin.”

Pesification at the margin is defined as a process of partial de-dollarization achieved via the introduction of a new domestic currency for transaction purposes (while the dollar remains as the main currency for financial contracting). This could be done either by design or by an orderly consolidation of quasi-monies (such as the Argentine Lecop or patacón) that may emerge under severe budgetary financing constraints. The success of this process would of course require fiscal consolidation and the reconstitution of a credible central banking capacity for inflation targeting. But if successful, pesification at the margin would represent a major step forward towards establishing the dollar trinity; it would enhance nominal flexibility without undermining the gains in financial intermediation that might have been achieved on account of the use of the dollar as store of value, leaving open the option to develop an autonomous monetary policy under appropriate policies and upgraded institutions. In addition, the new domestic currency could eventually be adopted as a store of value to organize financial intermediation for the non-tradable sector, addressing the debt deflation risk mentioned earlier.

The need to build adequate flexibility is perhaps the main challenge in the road to the dollar trinity, particularly in the case of larger dollarized countries that do not meet OCA criteria. As long as political limitations to downward nominal flexibility in fiscal spending

---

See De la Torre, Levy Yeyati, and Schmukler (2002).

The logic of pesification at the margin is grounded theoretically and empirically in the distinction between money as a means of payment and money as a store of value. Indeed, the money used for payments transactions needs not be the same as the money used for savings and to organize financial intermediation. Ize and Parrado (2002) establish theoretical conditions under which financial dollarization can coexist with low or zero real dollarization. Empirically, this distinction is commonly observed—Bolivia, Costa Rica, Guatemala, Peru, and Uruguay are among the countries in Latin America where the domestic currency is used for transactions while the dollar is the currency of denomination for most financial contracts.
and wages cannot be ignored, we argue that pesification at the margin should be regarded as a key ingredient of the trinity (Figure 4).

Figure 4. The Dollar Trinity

5. Closing Remarks

The blessed trinity may be unreachable for many emerging markets but there are some blessings for the unblessed, as long as they undertake bold and consistent reforms. Countries cannot go wrong by strengthening their domestic institutions. But they would be better off by adopting either the dollar trinity or the peso trinity up front and undertaking the necessary institutional reforms that complements them. Intermediate solutions (e.g., soft pegs), or the pursuit of only one side of the trinity (e.g., outright dollarization or the floating of a weak currency, without much else), are likely to detract from the benefits of financial globalization, if not turn them negative.

The international financial community has an important role to play in enabling emerging countries achieve successful financial globalization. International financial organizations can help not only by speeding up the pace of reforms within emerging markets but also by pressing for improvements in the international financial architecture. Developing countries, as they globalize, have fewer policy instruments, and need to coordinate with other countries to maximize the benefits of globalization while limiting financial vulnerability. Improving the international financial architecture would thus disproportionately benefit trinity-challenged emerging economies. Unfortunately, trinity-endowed industrial countries, which have economic and geopolitical power to address the flaws in the international financial architecture, have little incentive to do so if not threatened by financial contagion. At the same time, the recent disappointing experiences of emerging economies may induce a backlash in the process of financial integration. In the end, this incentive problem shifts responsibility to multilateral agencies. The question is whether they are up to the challenge.

---

38 For example, developed countries have few incentives to transfer resources to a truly international lender of last resort if they believe that emerging countries are going to be the only ones using such lending facility.
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