Implementation Status & Results
India
Rajasthan Rural Livelihoods Project (RRLP) (P102329)
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Status: ARCHIVED  
Archive Date: 24-Aug-2013

Country: India  
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Implementing Agency(ies):

Key Dates

Board Approval Date  11-Jan-2011  
Original Closing Date  31-Oct-2016  
Planned Mid Term Review Date  
Last Archived ISR Date  19-Feb-2013

Effectiveness Date  22-Jun-2011  
Revised Closing Date  31-Oct-2016  
Actual Mid Term Review Date

Project Development Objectives

Project Development Objective (from Project Appraisal Document)

To enhance economic opportunities and empowerment of the rural poor, with a focus on women and marginalized groups, in the 17 targeted districts of Rajasthan.

Has the Project Development Objective been changed since Board Approval of the Project?

☐ Yes  ☐ No

Component(s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component Name</th>
<th>Component Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Institution Building and Social Empowerment</td>
<td>51.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Investment Support</td>
<td>100.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skills Development and Employment Promotion</td>
<td>6.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate Change Adaptation</td>
<td>10.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Implementation Support</td>
<td>14.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall Ratings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Previous Rating</th>
<th>Current Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Progress towards achievement of PDO</td>
<td>Moderately Unsatisfactory</td>
<td>Moderately Unsatisfactory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Implementation Progress (IP)</td>
<td>Moderately Unsatisfactory</td>
<td>Moderately Unsatisfactory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Risk Rating</td>
<td></td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Implementation Status Overview

This is an Interim ISR. An Implementation Support Mission is planned from 19th to 30th August 2013.

Since the last mission, project implementation has improved and community groups are being formed, which will enable disbursement to improve. However there continues to be a number of gaps including delays in procurement of implementing partner agencies in the climate change and skill development components. Key focus areas for improvement that
were agreed upon include special monitoring of non-performing districts so as to speed up formation and funds disbursement to SHGs; putting in place a performance evaluation system for all senior staff to improve project implementation; streamlining of administrative rules and regulations so as to remove administrative bottlenecks for program staff; placement of EOIs and preparation of TORs for hiring partner implementing and support agencies; and appraisal and financing of existing SHGs and livelihoods federations.

### Locations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>First Administrative Division</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Planned</th>
<th>Actual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>Rajasthan</td>
<td>Udaipur</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>Rajasthan</td>
<td>Tonk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>Rajasthan</td>
<td>Sawai Madhopur</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>Rajasthan</td>
<td>Kota</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>Rajasthan</td>
<td>Jhalawar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>Rajasthan</td>
<td>Dungarpur</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>Rajasthan</td>
<td>Churu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>Rajasthan</td>
<td>Chittaurgarh</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>Rajasthan</td>
<td>Bundi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>Rajasthan</td>
<td>Bikaner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>Rajasthan</td>
<td>Bhilwara</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>Rajasthan</td>
<td>Banswara</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>Rajasthan</td>
<td>Dausa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>Rajasthan</td>
<td>Dhaulpur</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>Rajasthan</td>
<td>Karauli</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>Rajasthan</td>
<td>Baran</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>Rajasthan</td>
<td>Rajsamand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Results

**Project Development Objective Indicators**
### At least 70% of the 33,000 SHGs supported by the project are financially viable and institutionally sustainable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator Name</th>
<th>Core</th>
<th>Unit of Measure</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Current</th>
<th>End Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>At least 70% of the 33,000 SHGs supported by the project are financially viable and institutionally sustainable</td>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>20-Aug-2012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments:**
- In the baseline household survey, only 1.8% of all surveyed households participated in any SHGs. Moreover, there is no information about the groups themselves. Since the RRLP has initially focussed on establishing new groups, the baseline number is 0.
- The project is not currently supporting 33,000 SHGs. Approximately 420 SHGs have been formed (as of July 31, 2012) and about 178 of these have been registered in the MIS system. Early estimates indicate that about 60% are sustainable (as defined by pooling savings for at least 2 months).

### Increase in number of sources of household income reported in at least 70% of the targeted households.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator Name</th>
<th>Core</th>
<th>Unit of Measure</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Current</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increase in number of sources of household income reported in at least 70% of the targeted households.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments:**
- In the baseline survey, overall household income in the RRLP blocks was Rs. 12,094.
- Estimates will only be available after the mid-line survey of 2013.

### Intermediate Results Indicators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator Name</th>
<th>Core</th>
<th>Unit of Measure</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Current</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>At least 70% of grade A SHG members avail credit from inter-loaning within their groups</td>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments:**
- Since the RRLP has initially focussed on establishing new groups, the baseline number is 0.
- The groups formed thus far have not yet been graded.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator Name</th>
<th>Core</th>
<th>Unit of Measure</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Current</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>At least 80% of the grade A SHGs have accessed credit support from the CDO</td>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments:**
- The groups formed thus far have not yet been graded.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator Name</th>
<th>Core</th>
<th>Unit of Measure</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Current</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>At least 17,000 youth (including more than 50% women) from the project villages are placed in appropriate jobs as a result of project efforts</td>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments:**
- The RRLP is a new program and so no youth were trained in the baseline.
- 20 youth have been trained, and a mobilization effort is currently underway. Estimates of placement will be available by early 2013.
At least 3 pro-poor adaptation approaches identified and evaluated for scale up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>29-Jun-2011</td>
<td>Too early to comment since this component has yet to be implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>29-Jun-2011</td>
<td>Too early to comment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Project management has satisfactorily addressed statutory audit findings according to agreed RRLP business standards

Data on Financial Performance (as of 02-Aug-2013)

Financial Agreement(s) Key Dates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Ln/Cr/Tf</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Approval Date</th>
<th>Signing Date</th>
<th>Effectiveness Date</th>
<th>Original Closing Date</th>
<th>Revised Closing Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Disbursements (in Millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Ln/Cr/Tf</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Currency</th>
<th>Original</th>
<th>Revised</th>
<th>Cancelled</th>
<th>Disbursed</th>
<th>Undisbursed</th>
<th>% Disbursed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P102329</td>
<td>IDA-48590</td>
<td>Effective</td>
<td>XDR</td>
<td>106.70</td>
<td>106.70</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>9.84</td>
<td>96.86</td>
<td>9.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Disbursement Graph
Key Decisions Regarding Implementation

The project should continue to focus on nurturing co-opted SHGs, CDOs and Area Level Federations; forming new SHGs; building the capacity of these community institutions; linking them with Banks for micro finance, and initiating livelihood interventions. Effective systems are going to be required for delivering these results, including for human resource management, project management, and fiduciary management, which are included in the Action Plan for Strengthening Systems, which has been prepared by the mission.

Restructuring History

There has been no restructuring to date.

Related Projects

There are no related projects.