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Children regularly receiving health visits and education, the sick receiving proper and timely health care, safe water flowing out of the tap, electricity reliably reaching homes and businesses—these apparently simple events are taken for granted in developed countries. In Latin America, despite two decades of social and infrastructure improvements, the poor and many of the middle class make do with low-quality services. Far too many of the poor receive no services. Improving service delivery to the poor is both a widespread political demand and central to the realization of the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs).

This book interprets service delivery successes and failures in Latin America and provides guidance to policymakers and development practitioners on shaping public action to provide better-quality services for all. Its analysis builds on the accountability framework developed in the World Bank’s World Development Report 2004: Making Services Work for Poor People, which emphasizes the behavior of people—from teachers to administrators, politicians, and rich and poor citizens—within the chain of interactions from demand to actual service delivery.

The report seeks to answer an essential question: If accountability relationships among citizens, policymakers, and service providers are key to effective service delivery and there have been both systemic reforms (expanding national and local democracy) and an array of specific experiments (privatization, increased choice), why is service delivery in Latin America still so inequitable and often of low quality?
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# Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Full Form</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADERASA</td>
<td>Asociación de Entes Reguladores de Agua Potable y Saneamiento de las Américas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIN-C</td>
<td>Comprehensive Attention to Childhood in the Community program (Honduras)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCT</td>
<td>conditional cash transfer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEO</td>
<td>chief executive officer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEPAL</td>
<td>Comisión Económica para América Latina y el Caribe (Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLAS</td>
<td>local health administration committees (Peru)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COFOPRI</td>
<td>Comisión para la Formalización de la Propiedad Informal (Peru)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA</td>
<td>executive agencies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAA</td>
<td>Executive Agencies Act</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDUCO</td>
<td>Educación con Participación de la Comunidad</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FONASA</td>
<td>National Health Fund (Chile)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FONCODES</td>
<td>Fondo de Compensación y Desarrollo Social (Peru)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFMS</td>
<td>Guatemala Integrated Financial Management System</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISAPRES</td>
<td>a private health insurer (Chile)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT</td>
<td>information technology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAC</td>
<td>Latin America and the Caribbean region</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAL</td>
<td>Latin American Laboratory</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDGs</td>
<td>Millennium Development Goals</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGO</td>
<td>nongovernmental organization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OECD</td>
<td>Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PISA</td>
<td>Program for International Student Assessment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPP</td>
<td>purchasing power parity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIMCE</td>
<td>standardized school scores</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIMSS</td>
<td>Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>United Nations Development Programme</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDI</td>
<td>World Development Indicators</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDR</td>
<td>World Development Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: All dollar amounts are U.S. dollars unless otherwise indicated.
Children going to school and learning, parents taking their children for regular health visits, the sick receiving proper and timely health care, safe water flowing out of the tap, reliable electricity supplies—these apparently simple events are taken for granted in developed countries. However, in most of Latin America (as in most developing countries), only the privileged have reliable and quality access to such services, and they often achieve this by using high-cost private solutions. The poor and many of the middle classes suffer low quality services, and, in the poorer countries and regions, often no service at all. How come? While technical difficulties may still be a binding constraint for some sophisticated services, they are clearly not a bottleneck for the most essential ones; it is not primarily a technical problem when children systematically fail to learn to read and write or a community does not receive reliable supplies of safe drinking water.

This report seeks to answer this question. In so doing, it aims to provide guidance as well to policy makers and development practitioners on how to shape public action to get better quality services for all. This challenge is both a widespread political demand throughout the region and central to the realization of the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs). This report’s major theme is that to understand questions of service access and quality, we need to examine people’s behaviors, from teachers to administrators, politicians, and rich and poor citizens. The big issue is whether those responsible for designing and delivering services are accountable to the citizens who are demanding the services—and also paying the taxes and fees that finance services. This is fundamentally about relationships between groups of people. But these relationships are embedded in formal and informal institutional structures. Influence patterns on service delivery can work through two broad pathways: first, what is termed the “long route” from citizens to politicians to service delivery agencies to service providers; and second, the “short route” of direct interactions between individuals, communities, and front-line workers. These considerations apply to some degree to all public action areas—from macroeconomic policy to policing. But they are particularly important to service delivery areas that involve intensive transactions and discretionary behavior of providers—features typical of the full panoply of basic services that are central to the lives of citizens, from schooling to water supply.

In this introduction we outline service delivery progress and introduce the prism through which we believe service delivery should be interpreted, particularly in the Latin American political and institutional context. We then provide an interpretation of how this context can help understand the current state of service delivery and sketch the public action implications. The ideas and results are developed at greater length in the body of the report.

**Service Delivery Progress**

Latin America’s political and economic history is associated with a tradition of unequal service delivery, with the poor typically suffering from a severe lack of decent quality services. Conditions have been better in the relatively richer societies, notably in the Southern Cone and to a certain extent in Mexico. They are typically worse in poorer and more socially fractured societies, such as Bolivia and Guatemala.
are worst in Haiti, the region’s poorest and institutionally weakest society. In particular, the 1980s debt crisis hurt progress in public provisioning in most countries in the region.

However, there has been significant progress in the past decade or so; chapter 2 reviews this progress. Progress is most clearly seen in service coverage, notably in education, health, and basic infrastructure water and electricity services. These gains were associated with expanded public spending for social services.

CEPAL (Comisión Económica para América Latina y el Caribe (Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean)) (2001) estimates that during the 1990s, total per capita social spending increased by about 50 percent, if pensions are included, and 30 percent if they are not. By contrast, during the same period, public infrastructure services expenditures fell from 1.2 percent of GDP to 0.6 percent of GDP, declines that were offset by surging private investment in the middle of the decade, but not since.

In the poorer countries, an important agenda of increased coverage remains. To varying degrees, all countries suffer problems of both inequality and quality. With respect to inequality, in Mexico, the average person in the bottom quintile of the income distribution has 3.5 years of schooling, compared with 11.6 years for a person in the top quintile. In Brazil, a child born to a household in the poorest 20 percent of the distribution is three times as likely to die before reaching the age of five as a child born into a household in the top fifth. A Guatemalan family whose income is in the bottom 20 percent of the distribution has a 57 percent chance of being connected to the water mains and a 49 percent probability of having access to electricity, while a household in the top 20 percent of the distribution enjoys rates of 92 and 93 percent, respectively. Throughout the region, the bulk of social protection spending goes to formal social security systems that primarily benefit those in the upper part of the income distribution. Such inequalities are particularly noteworthy between indigenous and nonindigenous people across Latin America (Hall and Patrinos, forthcoming) and between Afro-Latinos and others.

With respect to quality, the education sector has specific evidence of low test scores at least relative to countries of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). There are widespread reports of dissatisfaction with service delivery, especially from the poor, notably from country studies in the region on the Voices of the Poor (Chambers et al. 2000).

To interpret the Latin American experience, this report builds on the framework developed in the 2004 World Development Report (WDR). The WDR sought answers for observed service delivery failures worldwide. It developed a framework that emphasizes people's behavior within the chain of interactions from demands of citizens to actual service delivery—whether this is by public, private, or nongovernmental organizations (NGOs). For example, for children to learn how to write, there needs to be some type of school, the children need to be present, teachers need to show up and be paid, and the teachers need to have the skills, resources, and motivation required to genuinely teach. Behind this there are a whole set of interactions from policy and budgetary decisions, through the performance of ministries of education to recruitment and the influence of mayors and communities. When any link in the chain breaks (i.e., children do not come to school, the teacher is not present, there are no inputs, and so on), the entire process may fail. Whether a system works depends on how responsibilities are assigned, what capabilities those in charge actually have, what accountability mechanisms exist for those in charge, and what incentives are in place to make the accountability mechanisms effective in practice. All these factors tend to be deeply embedded in social and political relations.

The WDR conceptualized an approach that focuses on accountability mechanisms and power relations between policy makers, providers, and citizens/users. The approach emphasizes the role of three such relationships:
1. The “political voice” of different citizen groups over policy makers in shaping policy design;
2. The “compact” between policy makers and service providers, whether they be public, private, or nongovernmental; and
3. The “client power” (either through the exercise of a more direct form of voice or through choice) of citizens vis-à-vis the service providers.

Figure 1.1 illustrates these relationships, and box 1.1 provides a glossary of terms relevant to this framework.

Within such a framework there are two influence pathways for service delivery for the poor (or nonpoor). The so-called “long route” involves the influence of citizens on service delivery actors with five features: the understanding that a service or goods embodying a service will be supplied (delegating); paying for the service (financing); supplying the service (performing); obtaining relevant information and evaluating performance against expectations; and being able to impose sanctions for inappropriate performance or provide rewards for appropriate performance (enforcing).

Client power: The accountability relationship connecting clients to the front-line service providers, usually at the point of service delivery, based on interactions through which clients monitor suppliers and express their service demands.

Citizens participate in political processes to shape and attain goals. Clients receive services to satisfy their household demands. Individuals can act as both clients and citizens.

Clientelism: The tendency of politicians as patrons to respond to political competition by excessively favoring one group of clients over another in return for a political advantage. Politicians often practice clientelism by providing narrow supporter groups with free public services or public employment.

Compacts: The broad, long-term accountability relationship that connects policy makers to organizational providers. This relationship can, but need not, take the form of a contract.

Long and short routes of accountability:
Clients may hold service providers accountable for performance in two ways. First, the short route connects clients and providers directly. When such direct client power is weak or not possible to use, clients must use voice and voting as citizens to hold politicians accountable. In turn, politicians and policy makers must use their compacts with service providers to hold those providers accountable. The long route of accountability combines the actions of clients pressuring politicians and policy makers/politicians pressuring providers.

Organizational providers: Public, private, and nonprofit entities that provide services. These can range from a government ministry with thousands of employees to a community-run school serving a village.

Politicians and policy makers: The service delivery actors that discharge the state’s legislative and regulatory responsibilities. Politicians may be elected or may reach their positions through undemocratic means. They can also be policy makers—for example, a general who is president, but also runs the military. Policy makers are more commonly the highest unelected officials, either from the civil service or appointed. Politicians set the general policy direction, while policy makers implement those directions and also set and enforce the conditions under which service providers operate. Accountability subrelationships between politicians and policy makers are usually derived from the constitution or administrative law.

Service delivery framework or chain: The four service-related actors—citizens/clients, politicians/policy makers, organizational providers, and front-line professionals—and the four relationships of accountability that connect them:
• Voice and politics: Connects citizens and politicians
• Compacts: Connects politicians/policy makers and providers.
• Management: Connects provider organizations with front-line professionals
• Client power: Connects clients with providers.

Voice and politics: This is the most complex accountability relationship. It connects citizens and politicians and includes formal and informal processes such as voting, electoral politics, lobbying, propaganda, patronage, clientelism, media activities, information access, and others. Citizens delegate to politicians the functions of serving citizen interests and financing government through taxes. Politicians perform by providing services. Citizens enforce accountability through the short and long routes discussed above.
policy makers—working through political institutions—who then provide the policy and resources for providers (organizations and individuals) to run services, with important relationships of “management” within providers, especially with respect to influences on front-line workers’ behavior. When such a long route works, it is the classic model of an effective Weberian bureaucracy that is ultimately accountable to citizenry through an elective democracy. The so-called “short-route” involves the more direct influence of the ultimate beneficiaries, when clients directly control how services are delivered or have the effective capacity to choose from whom they get services.

Such a framework helps understand service delivery problems. When governments do not feel the pressure to respond to citizen demands, when they are incapable of enforcing basic performance rules on those directly responsible for service delivery, and when citizens have no control or choice over service providers, typically services will fail. Unless there is an enabling environment (one that provides opportunities for, rewards and recognizes “good” behavior, that supports those that try, that controls abuse of various types, that learns from mistakes, and has the chance to adapt), individual effort by committed providers and users will go to waste. The (public policy) trick is to help establish such an enabling environment.

Latin American Political and Institutional Context

Within this type of framework for interpreting service delivery, it is fundamental to understand the broader political and institutional context where delivery mechanisms operate. And the last two decades have seen a deep transformation in Latin America and Caribbean (LAC) countries. First, the region has moved from being a set of countries where democracy was the exception, to one in which it is the rule. In 1980, a majority of LAC countries did not have democratically elected governments. Today, most of these countries are political democracies with national authorities elected in free and competitive elections, with freedom of expression and association. The shift from authoritarian to constitutional rule and more competitive electoral systems constitutes a major development, particularly when seen in historical perspective. The spread of democracy has provided a large number of citizens, hitherto excluded from the political decision-making processes, with mechanisms through which they can voice their demands for better services, though whether government will actually respond to these demands is still subject to a range of political and social factors that often limit actual exercise of citizens’ rights.

Second, governments in the LAC region have become significantly more decentralized in political, administrative, and fiscal terms. This is best understood as a particular dimension of democratization. The number of popularly elected subnational governments has expanded throughout the region, achieving what has been called a “silent revolution” (Campbell 2003). These governments have acquired growing service delivery responsibilities and channel a larger share of total public spending on key services’ provision. This has also entailed a growing transfer of revenue authority to local levels, in the form of transfers, taxes, or access to debt.

Third, there was a process of redefining the state’s role that involved increased contracting out to private and nongovernment service providers, wholesale privatization (especially in the infrastructure sectors), and more generally, a movement toward enhancing the role of competition in many economic activities, including the provision of key services.

Fourth, there has been rising activity by civil society actors, from NGOs to identity-based movements (notably amongst indigenous groups), both in the delivery of services and as actors seeking different policies. This has sometimes been associated with efforts by national or local governments to open up government decision-making to citizens and civic organizations.

Interpreting service delivery successes and failures in Latin America. If accountability relationships between citizens, policy mak-
ers, and service providers are key to effective service delivery, and there have been both systemic reforms (expanding national and local democracy) and an array of specific experimentation (privatization, increased choice), why is the current service delivery condition still problematic, especially in terms of inequality and quality? Chapter 3 systematically explores this issue.

The general message is that institutional reform is a long-term endeavor, and there are no magic bullets. The political and institutional changes noted above are important and are broadly positive for service delivery. However, history has bequeathed the region weak and unequal formal and informal institutions. While conditions vary considerably across countries, institutional structures are often clientelistic and, especially in countries with ethnic and social cleavages, socially exclusive. Throughout the region, the poor are typically disempowered. Greater formal democracy and decentralization have opened political opportunities, but procedural democracy is only one element in the shift to broadly accountable governments, and decentralization sometimes only leads to relocating patronage and the consequent capture of benefits by local elites.

An important corollary of this analysis is that service delivery’s effectiveness for both poor and nonpoor people is highly dependent on the institutional context where the delivery takes place. Similar designs and spending levels on delivery of education, health, roads, water, electricity, or other services can have very different effects depending on how they work in practice, both across countries and across localities within countries. For example, decentralized delivery with local participation may lead to great service quality for the poor in one situation, but be captured by clientelistic practices of local elites in another, or rendered ineffective by unmotivated and underpaid front-line workers in yet another. When we turn to specific experiments in service design, we see considerable variety. Privatization can lead to greater service efficiency, coverage, and quality for the poor in one case, but corruption and increased prices in another.

We also analyze these experiences through an additional prism that examines the extent to which the poor (and the nonpoor) are “empowered” in their relationships with both policy makers and service providers—whether service-providing organizations or front-line workers. In essence, we see poor people’s empowerment as the product of the interaction between what we term the “agency” of the poor (i.e., their capacity to exercise choice, to envision alternative courses of action, and to act upon their choices) and the social and political opportunity structure (i.e., the institutional context within which poor actors operate) that enables the exercise of that agency. This opportunity structure depends on a variety of factors, including the openness of formal or informal institutions, the power and cohesiveness of elite groups, and the state’s bureaucratic capacity. The extent of empowerment is fundamental to both the overall service coverage and quality, whether under public, private, or nongovernment auspices, and the workings of particular institutional design experiments.

While context is central—and there are no magic bullets—it is possible to interpret success and failure patterns. Overall, we find that “long-route” reforms have brought mixed results when used to strengthen both policy makers’ responsiveness to citizens and the internal performance of service delivery mechanisms. Such deep institutional reform is proving to be a much longer-term endeavor than was originally envisioned, especially when the poor have historically been not empowered or have not been incorporated in local or national polities through clientelistic structures. In some cases, however—within some social funds or privatization experiences, for example—there are important positive experiences, but this greatly depends on the political and institutional context. By contrast there are many positive cases of “short-route” mechanisms that have brought gains through either increased choice of clients (for example, as a product of increased competition for telecommunications) or increased client power (for example, in experiments in greater parental influence on schooling).
In chapter 4 we turn to implications for future policy design and formulation. Drawing on the interpretation of past successes and failures, we argue for approaches that seek both to work through the long path to strengthen the long route of greater accountability to all citizens and strengthen the workings of core government services, and continued experimentation with a variety of short-route mechanisms. These two routes are seen as highly complementary. In both it is fundamental to link specific technical designs with measures to empower the poor, both through strengthening their agency and improving the institutional opportunity structure where they live and potentially influence policy makers, organizations, and front-line workers. In designing policies, it is important to shape designs and approaches in light of the country or local context—the best changes in Chile may be quite different from those in Guatemala, and those in Brazil’s southeast different from the northeast.

Finally there are important conclusions for the policy design process. Integrated within democratic debate, systematic exploration and evaluation processes are key for both the “technical” design issues and for the broader process of empowering all citizens and making decisionmakers more accountable. External actors can play a valuable complementary role, but this needs to be shaped to support internal policy experimentation and accountability processes, by exploring opportunities to support institutional change, strengthen evaluation and debate processes, and facilitate systematic sharing of experiences.

Notes
1. Salamon et al. (1999) present a quantitative assessment of the service delivery role that NGOs played in Argentina, Brazil, Colombia, Mexico, and Peru.
Latin America has a long history of economic, political, and social inequality that has had a potent influence both on the question of service delivery for the poor and on overall economic and social performance. The last two decades, though, have been a period of change for most countries in the region. There has been a dramatically different scene in country after country stemming from the overwhelming shift from authoritarian to constitutional rule, the movement to more decentralized governments, the rising activity by civil society actors outside the state, the growing role of NGOs (both for- and not-for-profit) in delivering a variety of services, and the increased activity of various LAC countries in the world economy.

During this period, coverage of key services (education, health, water and sanitation, electricity, and telecommunications) increased—often substantially—in most countries in the region. However, progress has been unequal between countries, regions, services, and social groups: large inequities in access to services remain a feature in most LAC countries. The improvements in social outcomes resulting from service coverage expansion have not been large and spread out enough to break with the resilient pattern of inequality that has characterized the region.

Often, recorded service delivery progress masks large inefficiencies and deep inadequacies in the service quality and relevance. The noted service coverage expansion was often achieved by relying on increased public spending from a very low base relative to the region’s income level. Furthermore, the “service delivery reform” agenda that LAC countries face can be appropriately characterized as a moving target; as coverage gaps in very basic services are closed, new services become a binding constraint for equitable development in the region (for example, secondary and tertiary education). In addition, more complex challenges, besides coverage, become apparent, the most important being the quality of the services offered. In summary, while there has been significant progress, there are enough signals suggesting that there is still room for substantial improvement; service delivery reform remains an open agenda.

Progress in Basic Service Coverage

Primary and secondary education coverage increased significantly between 1980 and 2000 in the region. Considering the 20 largest countries, average primary enrollments of the relevant age group went from 81 to 92 percent, while the corresponding figures were 40 and 58 percent for secondary education. Most of the countries analyzed displayed primary education coverage rates close to or above 90 percent in 2000. Despite the noted increases, secondary education coverage remains below 70 percent in most countries in the region. In addition, coverage differences between countries are larger for secondary than for primary education. For example, the minimum secondary education coverage in the sample corresponds to Guatemala (26 percent), while the largest corresponds to Argentina (79 percent). This gap is almost three times larger than the corresponding one for primary education. Turning to international comparisons, only a couple of Latin American countries underperform (considering their income levels) in terms of primary education, while in the case of
secondary schooling, about half the countries in the region experience gaps relative to their income levels.

Figure 2.1 provides a summary view of the secondary education case. Three findings are immediately apparent: (i) the absolute coverage increases have been remarkable; (ii) most of the region still lags behind the middle income countries, and the gap remained almost unchanged throughout the period under study; and (iii) very few countries (Argentina and Chile) come close to the coverage levels of high-income countries, including that of the United States. Although the gap closed in the majority of the cases, this is due in part to the low relative starting level observed in the Latin American countries in the sample, relative to their income levels.

Coverage of health services also increased significantly during this period. For example, for the same group of countries the percentage of births attended by a trained professional increased by 10 percentage points and immunization rates for children up to one year of age by 16 points. By 1999, these countries displayed higher than expected—

for their income level—immunization rates and professionally attended births.

Figure 2.2 shows that there have also been significant increases in coverage for basic infrastructure services (water, sanitation, electricity, and, in some cases, telephone service). In the decade from 1986 to 1995, coverage in a sample of 12 countries increased from 76 to 82 percent for the water service, 67 to 80 percent for sanitation, and 82 to 89 percent for electricity, while telephone penetration doubled from 5 to 10 percent. However, the figure also shows that coverage is still unequal with large differences between households according to their income levels.

Importantly, coverage increases have frequently been larger for the population's most disadvantaged segments. This is particularly true for services where coverage rates were relatively high to start (see, for example, Foster 2003). Figures 2.2 and 2.3 show these results for water, electricity, and primary education. Despite this progress, about half the countries considered showed coverage rates below those predicted by their income level.
The many successes of LAC countries in expanding coverage of basic services over the last two decades have not always resulted in leveling the playing field among different groups in society; many coverage and quality gaps are reproduced for less basic services, which, increasingly, become binding constraints to equal opportunities. The most notable example is in higher education. As basic and secondary education gaps have diminished in many countries, higher education has become, perhaps, the main differentiating factor among the region’s social groups. In particular, the last decade has seen a sharp increase in the returns to higher education in the majority of the countries. This development is responsible for a high share of the region’s recent rise in income inequality. However, access to higher education continues to be highly restricted in most countries and associated directly with income status. Furthermore, access gaps between the rich and the poor appear to
have increased over the last decade in most countries (see figure 2.4).

Another increasingly important gap can be seen in social protection mechanisms in the region. The traditional social insurance instruments that command the largest share of public budgets in many countries in the region have, by design, been associated with formal sector employment. As a result, the poor, who often lack formal employment, have tended to be excluded from the benefits of pensions, social health insurance, unemployment, and family allowance systems, among others. Today, the so-called “truncated welfare state” constitutes one of the key bottlenecks to inclusive development in the region (De Ferranti et al. 2004). While the 1990s saw an expansion in targeted social assistance programs, these remain small in size relative to the other programs, which appear to be particularly resilient (as in the case of higher education) to change.2

Beyond all the noted limitations and differences across countries and services, it is fair to say that LAC countries have made important efforts to expand coverage of key services over the period considered. In many cases, such efforts were associated with changes in the way services are delivered. Chapter 3, below, analyzes those changes. But more generally, the service coverage expansion was typically associated with changes in the spending level and composition. For social services, this was largely achieved through a substantial increase in public resources, while for infrastructure services, public expenditure declined and the key mechanisms were private financing and improved cost recovery.

CEPAL (2001) estimates that the increase in total per capita social spending during the 1990s was about 50 percent, if pensions are included, and 30 percent, if they are not. Public education spending for the 20 largest countries in the region grew from 3.3 percent of GDP in 1975 to 4.2 percent in 1999. The increase in health expenditures is even more marked. Several countries have large public spending increases (above 50 percent) in these two services, including Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Nicaragua, Paraguay, and Uruguay. In most

Figure 2.4 Tertiary Education Enrollment Differences in Selected Countries

Source: De Ferranti et al. 2004.
Note: The bars represent the enrollment ratio between the richest and poorest 20 percent of the income distribution for the age group 18–23. Countries are ordered according to the 2002 GNP per capita as published by the WDI (lowest to highest). The lines are the country enrollment rates for the top and bottom quintiles for the latest period (around 2000).
Service Quality

The evidence on quality is much less systematic than that on coverage, but there are clear signals that suggest both poor average quality of services and significant differences among social groups. In education services, for example, the few Latin American countries participating in international education assessments such as PISA (Program for International Student Assessment) and TIMSS (Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study) have shown average low scores (suggesting overall poor education quality) and, sometimes, high dispersion (suggesting unequal access to quality education). Recent PISA examination results indicate that in many LAC countries, education quality is lower than what would be expected given their income levels. The Latin American Laboratory (LAL) for Assessment of Quality in Education’s First International Comparative Study of Education suggests that only a minority of students achieve satisfactory results (for example, read, recognize meanings, and understand and interpret information) in most countries in the region and those tend to be concentrated in the larger cities; towns and rural areas show a significantly worse performance. Figure 2.5 presents the reading results; similar results were obtained for mathematics.

Figure 2.5 Percentage of Students Reaching Expected Levels, by Region and Language

Source: Laboratorio Latinoamericano de Evaluación de la Calidad de la Educación (Latin American Laboratory for the Evaluation of Quality of Education).
The data from the Laboratorio Latinoamericano de Evaluación de la Calidad de la Educación (Latin American Laboratory for the Evaluation of Quality of Education) show that test results are strongly associated with a few school-related factors that can be seen as more direct quality measures: education level of teachers, instruction hours, teacher-student ratios, and degree of school autonomy/participation. Unfortunately, few countries collect such indicators systematically. However, there is evidence that Latin American countries tend to have yearly hours of instruction, which are below the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) average. Given the high incidence of teacher absenteeism in many LAC countries, the gap in actual class hours is likely to be even larger. Similarly, LAC pupil-teacher ratios are above the OECD average.

Of course, the poor quality problem is not unique to education. There is scattered evidence that quality is low in the provision of some infrastructure services in Latin America when compared to countries with similar development levels. For example, waiting time to get a telephone line or the number of faults in this service per unit of time in Latin America is more than double those observed in East Asia. Relatively high water coverage rates often hide serious inadequacies in both the potability and service continuity. Inequity in the quality of some infrastructure services, especially sewage and telephone service, also seems to be high (Estache, Foster, and Wodon 2002). There is anecdotal evidence that the health services that the poor receive are of much lower quality than those received by the population’s better-off segments (see, for example, World Bank 2002b). This is especially true in regard to physician training and experience, the quality of the infrastructure where health services are offered, and waiting times to get appointments (DNP 2002, for the case of Colombia).

Social Outcomes
The trend of pronounced improvements in access to basic services has contributed to significant progress in the evolution of key indicators of well-being and capabilities (social outcomes), such as average educational attainment, literacy rates, life expectancies at birth, and child mortality rates. For example, for the largest 20 countries, life expectancy increased from 59.7 to 70 years between 1970 and 2000, and most of the countries show greater than 15 percent growth in this category. In addition, the countries with the lowest initial level (Bolivia, Ecuador, El Salvador, Honduras, and Peru) show the highest increases, catching up with the regional average. By 2000, the dispersion in this indicator had declined. A very similar pattern emerges when analyzing infant mortality rates. All these countries increased their average educational attainment in a significant manner in the 1970–2000 period. It is also noteworthy that the countries that experienced the highest increases were the ones lagging in 1970. Thus, in 2000 there is less dispersion in educational and health outcomes in Latin America. However, relative to its income level, many Latin American countries are underperforming. In particular, the infant mortality levels and rates of access to safe water in many countries are below their expected levels; in some cases, the differences are quite large (see figure 2.6).

Unfortunately, the noted service coverage increases have not been sufficient to eliminate the very large inequalities observed in many key social indicators. For example, in Mexico, the average person in the bottom quintile of the income distribution has 3.5 years of schooling, compared with 11.6 years for a person in the top quintile. In Brazil, a child born to a household in the poorest 20 percent of the distribution is three times as likely to die before reaching the age of five as a child born into a household in the top fifth. In Bolivia, that ratio is greater than four, with children in the bottom fifth experiencing under-5 mortality rates as high as the South Asian average. A Guatemalan family whose income is in the bottom 20 percent of the distribution has a 57 percent chance of being connected to the water mains and a 49 percent probability of having access to electricity. The corresponding probabilities for a household in the top 20 percent of the distribution are 92 and 93 percent, respectively. Figure 2.7 por-
trays some of the most important inequalities in outcomes quite clearly.

Such inequalities are particularly noteworthy between indigenous and nonindigenous people across Latin America (Hall and Patrinos, forthcoming). Indigenous people in Mexico are four times as likely to be illiterate than the nonindigenous. In Guatemala, the average indigenous adult has just 2.5 years of schooling, compared to 5.7 years of schooling among nonindigenous adults. In Bolivia, indigenous people have 5.9 years of schooling, but nonindigenous people have 9.6 years of schooling.

One in four indigenous Ecuadorians never attended school, compared to only one in 20 nonindigenous Ecuadorians. Compared to nonindigenous Ecuadorian children, indigenous Ecuadorian children are twice as likely to be chronically malnourished. In Mexico’s predominantly indigenous municipalities, the infant mortality rate is 41 per 1,000, compared to 24 per 1,000 in predominantly nonindigenous municipalities. The child mortality rate for Ecuador’s indigenous population is double the rate for the nonindigenous population (10.5 and 5.1 per 1,000, respectively).
Overall, the evidence reviewed is indicative of the growing importance that governments in the region have given to expanding social services. However, these trends raise many questions about challenges for the future. There are a number of countries that still show insufficient commitment of public resources to financing key services. But overall, further progress is unlikely to happen if governments keep relying only on increasing resources and if more effective delivery systems are not developed. This is the case particularly when fiscal constraints limit the capacity to respond by simply adding more resources. The same is true for services for which ensuring quality and relevance is essential and, thus, deeper changes may be needed in the type of service provided. It is in this context that we stress the importance of assessing how well today’s service delivery systems are positioned to address the many remaining challenges facing LAC countries, particularly in terms of serving the poor. The next chapter will provide an assessment of the changes introduced in LAC service delivery systems over the last two decades.

Notes
1. In fact, secondary education returns remained almost unchanged during the 1990s, while those for tertiary education were on the rise, implying that the skill premium grew importantly throughout the region. Santamaría (2003) finds that this increase in the wage gap between those with high education levels and the rest of the labor force explained most of the increase in overall income inequality in Colombia over the 1990s.

2. In 2000, CEPAL (2001) estimated that pension spending was around 5.3 percent of GDP as an average for the region and that it represented more than half of total social spending. When the region’s 15 largest countries are considered, on average, only 7 percent of that expenditure benefits the poorest 40 percent of the population.

3. Of course, many other (macro, micro, and structural) factors have contributed to the observed progress in those outcomes. For example, child mortality reductions tend to be associated not only with health services, (for example, distribution of hydration tools to poor households), but also with improved parental education, increased coverage of water and sanitation services, higher incomes, and reduced fertility.
The last two decades have seen some radical transformations in state organization and structure and in the relationship between the state, its citizens, and markets. The spread of democracy, state decentralization, a redefined state role, and increased activity by non-governmental actors represent important transformations that create opportunities for change in accountability relationships between policy makers, provider organizations, front-line providers, and citizens.

This chapter seeks to explore the influence that these various changes have had on the delivery of services to poor people in Latin America. We review the evidence on how key accountability relationships were transformed during this period and the influence such transformations had on service delivery. First, we look at changes in the relationships between policy makers and public and private service providers. Next, we review changes in the relationships between clients and providers that have sought to increase “client power.” Finally, we consider changes in the relationships between citizens and policy makers and their impact on overall state accountability. In doing so, we will seek to highlight the many connections between these accountability relationships and identify remaining bottlenecks to improve service delivery systems in Latin America.

This chapter draws on available studies and assessments of specific country and sector service delivery experiences. The growing emphasis on conducting systematic evaluations of policies and programs among both academics and development practitioners provides us with a good empirical basis for our review. Nevertheless, important knowledge gaps remain (for some types of services, groups of countries, and service delivery approaches). In our assessment, we have made an effort to recognize and identify such gaps in the two decades of change in service delivery approaches in Latin America.

**Reforming the Compact**

Latin America has long been characterized by a general tendency for its states to be “weak” and “unequal,” specifically when delivering key public goods (especially in terms of macroeconomic stability and universal guarantees of property rights and of citizenship) and provisioning quality basic economic and social services to all (De Ferranti et al. 2004). Where public goods are inadequately provided, wealthier groups either resort to private mechanisms (for example, with private security or holding savings abroad to deal with macroeconomic instability) or actually benefit from weaknesses (for example, by influencing justice systems through private influence). Where basic goods are underprovided, it is typically the poor who are served last and where quality is low, richer groups have greater means to opt out in favor of privately provided services. To a great extent, such weakness has been associated with badly functioning state bureaucracies in charge of service delivery—even though the underlying causes of such deficient functioning have typically been linked to the social and political structures within which such bureaucracies operate. Thus, a key aspect to improving service delivery is associated with the incentives and capacity of the policy makers to establish effective compacts—broad, long-term accountability relationships—with the organizations (state and nonstate) in charge of service provision.

The last two decades have seen many attempts at reforming the state’s internal organization, its structure, and its roles in
Latin America. Some of these attempts had the specific purpose of improving state service delivery effectiveness, while others responded to different policy goals (such as the state decentralization process). In this section we review the most important of these reforms, searching for empirical evidence on whether and how they improved service delivery. First we discuss the efforts to reform government bureaucracies. Next, we explore the effects of administrative decentralization that is part of the multifaceted state decentralization process that LAC countries have been implementing. Finally, we look at a broad range of initiatives that innovated service delivery by establishing stronger, more performance-based compacts with actors outside the mainstream state bureaucracies.

**LAC government bureaucracies remain generally weak, ineffective, and largely unaccountable for results**

A mix of reforms emerged in the region over the last two decades, whereby governments have sought to modernize and adapt their structures and administrative systems\(^1\) and introduce new public management approaches. Many countries significantly improved capacities for core economic management (see box 3.1). However, the effects of the modernization efforts on improving service delivery have been limited to date. Implementing fundamental reforms of government bureaucracies to make them more results-oriented has proven to be a long, arduous path rife with political and technical difficulties.

Initial public sector reform efforts focused nearly exclusively on fiscal adjustment objectives and the broad redefinition of the state’s roles. Attention gradually shifted to modernization of core government functions, such as macroeconomic planning, financial management, and tax administration. By the end of the 1990s, state institutional reform was squarely on the region’s development agenda.

These reform efforts tended to emphasize improvements in internal processes and instruments. Aided with technological advances in the 1990s, integrated financial management systems have become popularized as potent instruments for strengthening government financial management (especially expenditure control during the budget execution stage and ex post reporting). Integrated financial management system reforms are sometimes seen as building blocks or as a catalyst for broader institutional reforms of the public expenditure management systems; better information can contribute to developing better institutions that facilitate better decisions and eventually, better outcomes.

The introduction of these improvements in many countries has been among the most successful reform efforts in the region’s public administration realm in the 1990s. As seen in Guatemala’s reform (see box 3.2), it is possible to use such systems in a way to derive immediate service improvement impacts (Dorotinsky and Matsuda 2001). Overall, the contribution of the region’s financial management reforms has been to establish better input control as a fundamental building block of good public administration.\(^2\)

LAC countries have also begun developing the technical foundations for performance-
Based budgeting and management with the use of performance indicators and the introduction of program evaluations. Several countries, including Bolivia, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Mexico, and Peru, have embarked on efforts to develop a results-oriented management framework even though, with the possible exception of Chile, no country has yet developed a fully functioning performance management system. Often these efforts have suffered wavering political commitments by different governments. In some cases, the work done so far appears to be mostly technocratic exercises with limited links to actual government decision-making and management processes.

Arguably the most important public sector reform from the viewpoint of improving service delivery, especially in the labor-intensive social sectors, is personnel management reform. After the emphasis on public sector retrenchment in the fiscal adjustment context, the second half of the 1990s saw the emergence of civil service professionalization, or the establishment of a merit-based civil service, as a commonly-agreed-on priority in a number of countries. Yet deep reform of the civil service systems has proven politically difficult and technically intractable. Most countries in the region have yet to establish professional “Weberian” bureaucracies, which many analysts see as a necessary precursor to introducing modern managerial approaches (Shepherd 2003). Far from introducing performance-oriented personnel management practices as a necessary complement to strengthening the public sector’s service delivery capacity, several countries in the region are still struggling to keep the aggregate wage bill under control and lack sufficient capacities to control deployment and attendance, let alone performance, of their public servants. In very few cases, this has reached the level of failed states (see box 3.3).

Aside from the technical and fiscal complexities (for example, how to resolve the well-known dilemma of controlling the aggregate wage bill for sound fiscal policy on the one hand and paying individual civil servants competitive salaries on the other), civil service reforms are riddled with political difficulties. Introduction of a merit-based civil service is difficult because stakeholders in the political system actively resist

**Box 3.2 Public Financial Management Reform in Guatemala**

At one point or another, most countries in the region have attempted to reform their financial management systems (normally budgeting, treasury, accounting, and debt management) to make public expenditure more effective and efficient. The Guatemala Integrated Financial Management System (IFMS) stands out as one of the most successful. Emerging from three decades of civil war, Guatemala had a desperate need not only to improve service delivery, but also to restore confidence in the public sector’s integrity and efficiency.

Some examples that illustrate Guatemala’s success and its potential impact on the coverage of public sector services are:

- Elimination of the “floating debt” that arose from inadequate budgetary controls, which used to lead the Ministry of Finance to devote virtually the full first quarter of the year to paying commitments from the previous year. It turned out that many of these commitments were not legitimate. Close to $100 million was saved through the controls implemented as part of the IFMS.
- By decentralizing purchases through the IFMS, control of the procurement processes was improved and arrears with suppliers were eliminated. This reduced the cost of certain wholesale purchases for hospitals and schools by 10 to 70 percent.
- As part of the IFMS deployment, payments are electronic and checks are no longer issued to suppliers, with the exception of teachers’ salaries. Once these begin to be paid electronically, an estimated savings of $2.5 million per year will be achieved simply by eliminating the use of physical checks.
- As a complement to the IFMS, the project is deploying an online procurement system (“Guatecompras”), which has been widely praised both inside and outside the country. It is expected that in the medium run, up to a 20 percent savings in public sector purchases will be achieved through increased transparency and more effective competition.
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Civil service unions are often opposed to change initiatives that are designed to improve their performance incentives and thus also affect their entrenched (and usually legally sanctioned) privileges and benefits. Unions typically oppose these measures, especially those geared toward fiscal adjustment purposes (which result in job losses), better internal controls (which result in reduced room for opportunistic behavior), and managerial flexibility (which can result in both job losses and greater performance exigency). In Brazil, for example, the 1998 administrative reform was introduced with the aim of introducing greater flexibility to public sector personnel management and to introduce greater performance orientation. However, the reform has stalled partly due to strong union pressure (Rinne 2001). In Chile, union opposition has been cited as one of the reasons for relatively limited progress in civil service reform aimed also at introducing greater flexibility in the public sector personnel management regime (Egaña 2003).

Union opposition to performance-enhancing reforms tends to be particularly problematic in social sectors. For example, teachers unions typically negotiate for job security (as well as, obviously, for better wages) and against results-based quality assessment systems and local control. In countries ranging from Bolivia, Costa Rica, the Dominican Republic, Peru and Venezuela, just to name a few examples, unions opposed reform measures to strengthen meritocracy and teachers’ accountability for learning outcomes or to introduce private provisions so as to generate competitive pressure for public providers and offer “exit” options to parents (Gray Molina, Pérez de Rada, and Yeñez 1999; Maceira and Murillo 2001). It is also true that teacher unions—or at least factions within unions—have sometimes been reform advocates and governments have worked with them for quality and efficiency improving reforms, for example, in Minas Gerais (Grindle and Mason 2004). When explicitly involved in the reform process, responsible unions can play a positive role in defining the content of performance-oriented teacher service reforms and facilitate their implementation, as was the case in Chile over the 1990s (Crouch 2004).

Besides the frequent opposition from public sector unions, the most obvious culprits for lack of civil service reform are the continued prevalence of clientelism and the politicization of public administrations. In much of Latin America, provision of public jobs has been a major instrument both for achieving or maintaining national level support and/or an instrument for the clientelistic exchange of local level favors. This problem has been diagnosed in Bolivia, for example, where the peculiarity of the political system creates permanent and strong incentives for political parties to divvy up it. Civil service unions are often opposed to change initiatives that are designed to improve their performance incentives and thus also affect their entrenched (and usually legally sanctioned) privileges and benefits. Unions typically oppose these measures, especially those geared toward fiscal adjustment purposes (which result in job losses), better internal controls (which result in reduced room for opportunistic behavior), and managerial flexibility (which can result in both job losses and greater performance exigency). In Brazil, for example, the 1998 administrative reform was introduced with the aim of introducing greater flexibility to public sector personnel management and to introduce greater performance orientation. However, the reform has stalled partly due to strong union pressure (Rinne 2001). In Chile, union opposition has been cited as one of the reasons for relatively limited progress in civil service reform aimed also at introducing greater flexibility in the public sector personnel management regime (Egaña 2003).

Union opposition to performance-enhancing reforms tends to be particularly problematic in social sectors. For example, teachers unions typically negotiate for job security (as well as, obviously, for better wages) and against results-based quality assessment systems and local control. In countries ranging from Bolivia, Costa Rica, the Dominican Republic, Peru and Venezuela, just to name a few examples, unions opposed reform measures to strengthen meritocracy and teachers’ accountability for learning outcomes or to introduce private provisions so as to generate competitive pressure for public providers and offer “exit” options to parents (Gray Molina, Pérez de Rada, and Yeñez 1999; Maceira and Murillo 2001). It is also true that teacher unions—or at least factions within unions—have sometimes been reform advocates and governments have worked with them for quality and efficiency improving reforms, for example, in Minas Gerais (Grindle and Mason 2004). When explicitly involved in the reform process, responsible unions can play a positive role in defining the content of performance-oriented teacher service reforms and facilitate their implementation, as was the case in Chile over the 1990s (Crouch 2004).

Besides the frequent opposition from public sector unions, the most obvious culprits for lack of civil service reform are the continued prevalence of clientelism and the politicization of public administrations. In much of Latin America, provision of public jobs has been a major instrument both for achieving or maintaining national level support and/or an instrument for the clientelistic exchange of local level favors. This problem has been diagnosed in Bolivia, for example, where the peculiarity of the political system creates permanent and strong incentives for political parties to divvy up...
the public sector as a currency of political exchange to maintain minimum conditions for governing (World Bank 2000b). Bolivia’s case may be extreme in some sense, but it is hardly unique. In Mexico, with a more developed pool of professional human resources, politicization has reigned in the administration of public sector personnel (Garibaldi n.d.). It is also seen in the only recently changed tradition of use of teaching jobs as a means of patronage in Colombia (Angell et al. 2001). Public utilities are a further example of a patronage system of job provision and cheap, if low quality, services (Foster 2003). Overall, in a context where job granting remains a preferred mechanism to reward political clienteles, there is a serious challenge to design effective incentive systems that motivate front-line workers involved in service delivery. Furthermore, often union resistance to performance-enhancing reforms is a defensive move against such practices.

Effective social service delivery depends critically on the quality and dedication of teachers, doctors, and nurses. Yet, organized resistance by unions and informality sustained by patronage and clientelism severely limit reformers’ options for using explicit incentive mechanisms for enhancing their performance orientations (for example, performance-based pay). In addition, in most countries in the region, paying the large number of social sector workers adequately remains a significant challenge given national fiscal realities. A long-term solution seems to depend on somehow encouraging greater professionalization of the social sector workers (especially teachers). But in the short run, it may be possible to find promising alternatives in greater reliance on “social control” mechanisms, such as community vigilance of family health workers in the Brazilian state of Ceará (Tendler 1997) or school director elections as practiced in several Brazilian states.

The consequences of the lack of civil service reform go beyond continued general weaknesses in public administration. They can also undermine institutional innovations in specific sector reforms by depriving the public sector of technically competent personnel inculcated in the ethos of rule-bound integrity (for example, teachers who show up at classrooms every day to teach) or of personnel management flexibility to take advantage of incentives for improved organizational performance. The latter type of problem is well illustrated by the difficulties that public health sector reforms faced in seeking to improve the incentive framework for efficiency and responsiveness (see box 3.4).

Overall, the evidence suggests that the historical weaknesses of Latin American state bureaucracies still limit the effectiveness of conventional public sector delivery. State modernization reforms have upgraded governments’ institutional capacities considerably—at least in some areas of public administration systems—and it is likely that such upgrading will have substantial effects over the longer term. But very few countries

**BOX 3.4 Lack of Civil Service Reform as a Health Reform Bottleneck**

A main thrust of LAC health sector reforms has been to organizationally separate the three key health system’s functions: regulation, financing, and service provision. In particular, purchaser-provider split reforms have been introduced in many LAC countries with the objective of improving the public health sector’s efficiency and responsiveness incentive framework. Under integrated systems, the central authority has the simultaneous missions of maximizing the impact of its resources on the population, of financing the system, and of ensuring financial sustainability of its own providers. Historically, that combination of missions created incentives for the state to focus on ensuring a stable revenue flow for its own providers, rather than on examining the impact on the population. Chile provides the earliest case of these reforms with the creation of the National Health Fund (FONASA) in 1981. After significant legal, managerial, and financial reforms, there is almost complete separation of purchasing and provision in Chile’s public health sector. Colombia is the other paradigmatic case; in the mid-1990s, it initiated an ambitious health insurance reform that implied a clear and nationwide separation of functions. Efforts at establishing public hospital autonomy were widespread, but limited in scope and effects (World Bank 2001b; World Bank 2002b; Over and Watanabe 2003; World Bank 2003c).

For these reforms to be successful in enabling public sector performance change requires significant flexibility in resource management and allocation by service providers. In other words, reform implementation requires that public providers be increasingly able to adapt their service production functions and cost structures to the continuous evolution of price signals determined by the new payment mechanisms. There is increasing evidence of the difficulties in implementing the purchaser-provider split (Baeza 1996). For example, the slow pace of the reforms in Costa Rica and Chile—two countries with relatively effective public administrations—is due to a great extent to the management rigidity in all production factors at the provider level, particularly in the lack of flexibility in managing human resources. Indeed, international experience (England 1998) increasingly suggests that purchaser-provider split reforms are facing serious constraints due to the difficulties in reforming civil servant regulations in the public health sector and the resulting rigidity in managing production factors and modifying cost structures by public providers.
have been able to move decisively toward developing a results-oriented public administration or achieve significant depoliticization of the state apparatus. We interpret this as being due to a combination of the intrinsically long-term nature of institutional change and the persistence of countervailing forces from groups, from patronage-oriented political groups to unions, that benefit from existing arrangements. The implication is, however, that service delivery quality by state agencies remains a serious bottleneck, particularly for those services (for example, education and health) for which personnel practices are crucial and in countries where resistance by interest groups is the strongest.

Service delivery function decentralization was attempted in country after country with heterogeneous results

Over the last two decades, most countries in the region have gone through a process of decentralizing service delivery responsibilities. Practically all countries have undertaken some form of decentralization of their primary and secondary education system, which involved the transfer of decision-making powers to actors within or, more likely, outside the ministry of education’s bureaucratic structure. In many countries, the water industry was transformed from a single national provider under the central government’s control, to a highly decentralized structure with service being delegated to hundreds of new municipal utilities. Local and regional governments were also charged with growing responsibilities for public health facilities and programs. Decentralization also took place in the transport sector, with municipalities and regional governments becoming increasingly involved in tertiary and secondary road management. Over time, some countries also delegated responsibilities for social assistance programs to subnational governments.

These reassignments of responsibilities followed many paths and approaches, but in few, if any, cases was administrative decentralization driven primarily by the goal of improving the service delivery efficiency. More typically, decentralization of service delivery responsibilities was largely determined by the design and outcomes of both political and fiscal decentralization processes. In all cases, however, the decentralization of service delivery responsibilities to local governments has resulted in a reform of the compact between governments and service providers whereby the latter are increasingly under the supervision of subnational governments, as well as new compact relationships between the national government that still sets overall sectoral policies and the subnational governments charged with service delivery.

Whether these changes result in better services depends crucially on local bureaucracies being able to function more effectively than the national ones. The key is for local governments to have the combination of resources, authority, and incentives needed to do so (World Bank 1999). This can also be seen from the perspective of the tradeoff between increased information at lower government levels and the influence of local power structures and practices (Bardhan and Mookherjee 2000a, 2000b). In the Latin American context, there is a strong history of the power of local strongmen and of the importance of unequal local social structures. However, there is also a significant variety of experiences, including in terms of the democratization and decentralization responses. The evidence essentially points in the direction of mixed results: decentralization’s impact on service delivery varies significantly between countries and sectors or geographic areas within countries. Critical to explaining the results are local political conditions and the country- and sector-specific policy frameworks under which decentralized service delivery operates.

Design issues. In many cases, the overall frameworks under which responsibilities were decentralized in most countries and for most services have created overlaps and gaps, inadequate or insufficient authorities and resources, and few enforceable mechanisms to promote local government performance orientation. Most countries had many difficulties in establishing well func-
tioning compacts between government levels to ensure the necessary incentives for effective service delivery to the poor.¹⁰

In many cases, blurred responsibilities have both made the tasks of newly empowered local governments complex as well as created too many opportunities for individual governments to essentially avoid becoming accountable for results vis-à-vis their citizens. In the case of health services, for example, in most cases decisions on human resources (for example, salaries and civil service rules) remained centralized or in the hands of regional governments imposing restrictions on municipal management autonomy (Bossert 2000). In those countries (such as Bolivia and Colombia) that distributed responsibilities for education services among three government levels, room was created for strategic behavior for each government level to seek to expand their power or budgets, and inconsistencies in the assignment of responsibilities and resources made it extremely difficult for local actors to “manage” schools in practice. Similarly, the arrangement to have national regulatory agencies oversee the performance of subnational water utilities (for example, in Bolivia, Colombia, and Peru) has not functioned very effectively due to differing sector policy goals between national and subnational governments, and even conflicting jurisdictions that undermine the regulatory agency’s authority.¹¹

Superimposing decentralization over other sector reforms is another source of inconsistency. For example, many countries now have legal contradictions between policies promoting community-based approaches to rural water and sanitation services and decentralization laws. This is the case, for example, in Honduras, where a recently passed water sector law provides legal recognition and a mandate to community water committees to provide services, while the decentralization law gives municipal governments the same responsibility. As a result, paradoxically, rural water and sanitation decentralization may actually result in centralization of decision-making power by transferring legal responsibility and authority away from the hands of rural communities to local governments.

Fiscal transfers remain the principal financing source for a large number of local governments, creating the potential for strong accountability lines toward the center. In reality, though, the establishment of clear, transparent compacts linked to service delivery outcomes is the exception rather than the rule. In Argentina, for example, the absence of a clear “compact” between the national level and the provinces (that is, little or no specification of targets and objectives and the use of general transfers that are not tied to any particular efficiency indicator) implied that decentralization’s effects on education quality and coverage vary a lot across provinces, depending on provincial management (Galiani and Schar-grotsky 2002). This raises both efficiency and equity concerns. For water and sanitation, notwithstanding extensive decentralization, central government often retains a role in financing services, whether through budget transfers or credit lines for major investments. This financing relationship potentially provides an additional mechanism for increasing the accountability of local service providers, since access to resources could potentially be conditioned on absolute performance thresholds or ranking against utilities in other jurisdictions. However, it is striking how little use has been made of this instrument in practice, with the majority of municipalities in the region receiving fiscal transfers without any accountability requirement against the resources spent.¹²

Nevertheless, there are good examples of arrangements where strong intergovernmental compacts coexist with large fiscal transfers. In Chile, for example, the education delivery responsibility was transferred to municipalities through specific agreements that defined explicitly the responsibilities, rights, and obligations of the new providers and linked central resources to the number of students attending class. As illustrated by the case of Brazil’s FUNDEF, an innovatory financing mechanism that collects resources from state and municipal governments in a single fund and redistributes them according to the amount of students enrolled, thus ensuring spending minimum levels in all states and municipalities,
when the compact between the center and subnational governments is strengthened, there is great potential to generate improvements in educational achievement outcomes and enrollment, in particular in poor areas (World Bank 2003b).

Local responses. While the characteristics of the overall fiscal, administrative, and political frameworks under which decentralization takes place are clearly influential on service delivery opportunities for local decisions and actions, it is increasingly apparent that localities respond differently to such frameworks, emphasizing the role of local political systems and local social organization’s characteristics and strengths.

The experience across municipalities in Bolivia is of interest here, particularly since they appear to be characterized by relatively weak state capabilities and embedded patronage politics (World Bank 2000b). Popular participation was intended as a national reform to shift resources and control to municipalities and legalize local level civil society groups, of which campesinos’ syndicates were the most important in many parts of the country. While the changes brought benefits, on average, (Faguet 2000) the dynamics of change depended on the variable local social context. In some parts of the country, notably in some of the Cochabamba valleys, where there was a relatively strong social mobilization tradition (that is, greater agency capacities of the poor), the legal changes in the direction of greater openness appear to be bringing initial benefits in the form of better public action. In the traditional sierra city of Sucre, by contrast, a traditionally more closed political culture and a stronger elite may have caused the decentralizing reforms to primarily increase the local elite’s power (Gray Molina 2002; Calderon and Szmuckler 2004; Blackburn 2001).

But beyond these broad, albeit powerful, structural forces, it is the combination of many factors that appears to be behind successful local service delivery reform. For example, Bogotá city engaged in a comprehensive reform program of the local education system, improving learning and teaching outcomes. A variety of factors contributed to this success: local electoral competition as motivation to pursue reform; the fact that education reforms included both private and public schools; close parental oversight; control over human resources on the municipality’s behalf; and a new incentive framework that the central government established (capitation grants instead of cost-driven central transfers). All these factors combined provided local politicians with both the incentives and the ability to move toward results-based management. In other words, changes along the various accountability relations (that is, not only or even primarily in the intergovernmental compact) made the observed results possible—a recurrent theme in our analysis.

The experience of LAC countries suggests that effective decentralization opportunities systematically differ between types of services. In contrast to the education sector’s mixed cross-country and in-country evidence, there is surprisingly little evidence that local elections have created any significant pressure to improve municipal water services. Only a minority of small and medium-size cities in Latin America have undergone major reforms of their water utilities. It is only a matter of speculation as to why local level political incentives implicitly appear to be less in favor of reforms in the water than in the education sector. What is clear, though, is that asymmetric decentralization results by sector are related to the influence of size. In the water sector, a serious but unanticipated decentralization consequence has been the loss of scale economies in service provision, as well as the dispersion of scarce human resources with the capacity to manage and maintain water systems. In commercial terms, the client base for many smaller municipalities is entirely composed of low-income residential customers, making it difficult to achieve financial viability. This type of scale factor appears to be of much less importance in the case of education, for example. Nevertheless, scale may also be a limiting factor for some aspects of education service provision, such as curriculum design or evaluation systems, which tend to remain the central government’s responsibility.
More importantly, while small scale may not be a serious bottleneck at any particular point in time, it may reduce flexibility to react to changing conditions over time: for example, by limiting the capacity of system administrators to change resource allocation decisions in response to demographic shifts. Of course, these challenges do not necessarily call for centralization. Rather, they emphasize the importance of arrangements for intermunicipal cooperation.

It is probably fair to say that the fruits of decentralization are still not fully harvested. In some cases (countries and sectors), some important changes (for example, in financing arrangements to improve accountability for results) are called for as a precondition to create a better enabling environment for local delivery of services, and several countries are already moving in that direction, Colombia being the most recent example. In other cases, more time may be needed to allow local governments and local politics to play out as reformers hoped. In all cases, however, central governments are faced with the need to provide incentives and implement mechanisms to promote and facilitate needed actions on the part of subnational entities: central governments have a central role (monitoring results, providing incentives, and even intervening when service delivery fails) in making decentralization work for service delivery outcomes.

**Establishing compacts with agencies or actors outside the mainstream state apparatus has overall produced good (short-run) results**

LAC governments have sought alternative and often innovative ways to avoid the deep difficulties of reforming bureaucracies (central and local) to improve service delivery outcomes. Many of these alternative ways involve a combination of contracting out services to private firms and NGOs and relying on alternative bureaucratic structures that bypass more traditional hard-to-reform ones. Overall, these efforts produced positive results in terms of improving service delivery outcomes. Below is a brief review of the assessments available for some experiences, followed by a discussion of this approach’s limits on improving service delivery.

**Contracting out service delivery.** Contracting out services to private operators, whether through long-term concessions or outright asset sales, is most advanced in the infrastructure sectors. Prior to 1980, utilities in most Latin American countries were organized as publicly owned and operated national (or state level) monopolies. Politicians exerted their control over the sector via the appointment (and dismissal) of utility managers, and by providing subsidies to support unsustainable enterprises. In return, utilities provided jobs, kept tariffs down, and allocated investments and public works contracts on a political criteria basis. The consequences of this regime were spiraling costs, low service quality, and a shortage of resources to fund badly needed service coverage expansions. From the early 1990s onwards, there was a widespread movement to try and replace the “clientelistic” service provision model. The reforms stressed the need to provide a clear institutional separation between the roles of policy maker, regulator, and service provider. Service provision would be delegated to the private sector to ensure that services were run on commercial principles, and providers were free to pursue service delivery objectives. In other words, the contracting out provision to private firms implied that in the case of utilities, many bottlenecks in the health sector from inadequate progress in implementing civil service reforms (see box 3.4) would not materialize.

The reforms implied a substantial increase in private sector participation. A recent survey of private sector participation in Latin American infrastructure during the 1990s found that there had been more than 200 concessions for water, electricity distribution, and basic telephony services (Guasch 2004). However, the scope for private participation proved to vary substantially across sectors; by the year 2000, over 90 percent of telephone customers, 50 percent of electricity customers, and 15 percent of water customers in urban areas in Latin America received their service from private operators. There is substantial evidence that private
sector participation has led to significant improvements in the performance of utilities, particularly as regards connections, labor productivity, and service continuity. The increased coverage of electricity, water, and sewerage services primarily benefited lower income groups, while the surge in telephone penetration primarily benefited the middle classes (McKenzie and Mookherjee 2002). There is similar positive evidence regarding water sector coverage.

While coverage and efficiency effects were generally favorable, price effects were more varied, often depending on initial conditions (De Ferranti et al. 2004).

An essential aspect of these reforms was the creation of a regulatory agency for utilities (with the key function of insulating service providers from political interference, so that decisions can be taken on the basis of long-term public interest). At present, Latin America has more than 30 national level regulatory agencies for the water, electricity, and telecommunications sectors. Regulators for subnational jurisdictions have also sprung up in federal countries such as Argentina and Brazil, and for the water sector in countries such as Ecuador and Honduras. In many cases, sectoral legislation incorporates several mechanisms designed to safeguard regulatory autonomy, in particular by establishing clear criteria for regulator selection and removal and making regulators financially self-sufficient via sector levies.

Similar, large-scale experiences of contracting out private concessions are less common for social services. The Bogotá school concessions program (which created 18,000 new school places) is an important example of how private management of public schools could work if used more extensively. The municipal education department constructs new, state-of-the-art schools in low-income areas that are each able to accommodate between 800 and 1,200 students. A public procurement process offers the opportunity to manage the school; bidders (good-quality private educational institutions) are evaluated on their proposed management plans. Concessionaries are obliged to provide educational services to poor children and in return receive remuneration from the department on a per-student basis. While the contract establishes clear standards that must be upheld, the concessionaire has full autonomy over school management and is evaluated solely on results. Concessionaries have already produced striking management improvement results: they allocate, on average, 55 percent of the per capita remuneration to human resources, well below the 90 percent allocation by public schools.

More common is the practice of contracting out the delivery of social services to NGOs. In their efforts to improve efficiency and reduce costs, a growing number of ministries of health in Central America began to purchase primary health care services from NGOs—usually private, non-profit entities—to provide coverage in delimited geographic areas (Fiedler 2002). Through a formal, competitive process, El Salvador, Honduras, Nicaragua, and Panama awarded contracts to NGOs to provide coverage to remote (often indigenous) populations with little or no access to care, in return for a fixed, annual capitation payment. In most cases, the NGOs provide care using a network of itinerant teams, usually complemented with community-based paramedics. The NGOs are generally required to visit designated villages or to provide care at specified delivery sites a minimum number of times per year.

These innovations started out as relatively small-scale experiments. In some cases—Nicaragua, for instance—they have not been regarded as successful, and their scale and financing were reduced. In other cases, both the clientele and authorities enthusiastically endorsed this approach, and its scope has gradually grown. In Panama, for example, NGO provider teams now cover about 95,000 people, and current plans call for expanding the program to nearly 10 percent of the population in the next few years. With the longest history of contracting out to NGOs among Central American countries and of purchasing a greater variety of services than its neighbors, Guatemala has contracts with approximately 90 NGOs that provide services to more than three million people, about 30 percent of the national population. Impact
evaluation studies show better results under this approach than under the more traditional public sector delivery model (La Forgia et al. 2004).

In terms of education, the largest case of contracting out is the Fe y Alegría schools that operate in 14 Latin American countries and cover approximately one million students. An evaluation of the program’s operations in nine countries indicates that schools integrated into Fe y Alegría outperform traditional public schools, with lower repetition, dropout, and higher overall progress rates in school and higher overall retention rates, on average (Patrinos 2002; Swope, Latorre, and Celedón 1998).

The national Fe y Alegría office in each country oversees the education quality given and offers training to its teachers and center directors, administers the educational centers, and coordinates activities in such a way that it becomes a local area development strategy. Fe y Alegría, for example, developed many strategies to reduce grade repetition and school dropout rates during the first years of schooling for children coming from the most disadvantaged areas. Critical to Fe y Alegría’s success are its parent-centered strategies. These are based on an open school environment that promotes effective parental involvement in the learning process, community involvement in school management, and student-centered strategies that depend on an interactive teaching method and recognize a variety of learning styles. The dynamic at play in this case is strongly associated with the altruistic and “empowerment”-oriented approach that characterizes Fe y Alegría. In other words, the effects of contracting out appear to be closely related to the contractor’s nature.

**Bypassing unreformed bureaucracies.** When the nature of the services or the political/historical conditions do not permit governments to contract them out to either private operators or NGOs, the near impossibility of a governmentwide civil service reform resurfaces as a major bottleneck to improving service delivery. In this context, a number of governments in the region have adopted, to different extents, targeted approaches to public sector reform on an agency-by-agency basis. This approach has shown promise of relatively quick success, including a handful of well-known examples of impressive organizational turnarounds. Probably the best contemporary case is a set of semiautonomous agencies created in Peru in the 1990s. Instead of trying to reform the whole government machinery, Peru created a series of “autonomous” agencies in key areas related to sustenance of the market-oriented reform and targeted poverty and social programs. These agencies included the revenue administration agencies, regulatory agencies, and social program agencies [Comisión para la Formalización de la Propiedad Informal (COFOPRI) and Fondo de Compensación y Desarrollo Social (FONCODES)]. The agencies tended to be staffed with competent professionals who were generally better paid than civil servants in the core ministries and operated with a degree of autonomy from governmentwide rules governing personnel and financial management. In some cases (for example, COFOPRI), they also experimented with various ways of involving stakeholders in decision making as a means of improving accountability (see Cantuarias and Delgado 2004).

Although the approach of creating such efficiency enclaves is more common in areas such as revenue administration and regulation (see box 3.5), the model has also been applied widely for the provision of specific social infrastructure services through social funds. These funds were first launched as “social compensation” programs to soften the impact of structural adjustment policies on the poor through the construction of small-scale infrastructure projects targeted to poor communities in accordance with local priorities.

Perhaps the most significant innovation that social funds have brought about has been their role as means of investing in basic infrastructure. Whereas traditional delivery lines—namely, the line ministries—tended to be relatively inefficient and focused on infrastructure in capital cities (perhaps due to political pressures, to greater visibility of problems, or simply because of economies of scale and of agglomeration), social funds, on the other
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BOX 3.5 Executive Agencies in Jamaica

After taking various administrative reform approaches over the years, Jamaica introduced the creation of executive agencies (EA) as part of a broad public sector modernization program. While previous initiatives attempted some redesign as part of civil service reform, the system was still subject to central controls over resource inputs and did not address performance management. EA, which are characterized by organizational separation, offered Jamaica a way to improve public sector functioning and enhance service delivery.

In creating the EA, Jamaica incorporated essential features of new public management. It also made significant legislative and institutional changes to eliminate impediments arising from traditional central control over allocation of financial and human resources in line departments. One such feature was to make the EA chief executive officers (CEOs) directly responsible to their portfolio ministers, with incentives to produce specific outputs (that is, rewards and sanctions were given to the CEOs for good and bad performance, respectively). Delegating authority to the CEO to manage the financial and human resources needed to produce results was a major achievement of the reform. The CEOs could “hire, fire, and discipline” their employees; enabling them to maintain control over the allocation of most inputs.

The Executive Agencies Act (EAA) provisions that Parliament passed in 2002 incorporate a strategic planning process to assess each agency during its restructuring, including its future organizational structure, staffing, and remuneration requirements. Since then, EA developed new performance indicators and the agencies are now evaluated regularly. All agencies have prepared citizens’ charters as agreements with their clients on the agencies’ performance. Some have incorporated customer satisfaction components among their key indicators and have reported satisfactory levels in follow-up customer surveys. The EA improved operational efficiencies and was able to charge reasonable user fees, in cases where consumers are “identifiable” and it is efficient and equitable to do so.

hand, were able to channel investments into poorer, hitherto unreached rural areas and to do so at lower costs and greater speeds than the line ministries. An important reason for this efficiency is that the funds contract with third parties to implement the works, which brings performance-based incentives into play. Likewise, their staff tends to be recruited competitively, with employment contracts more along the lines of those offered to private sector employees. There is considerable evidence that social funds have been effective in expanding service delivery. They have also benefited from relatively careful evaluation (Rawlings et al. 2004) that often showed positive effects in outcomes for the poor, with lower operating costs than for most comparative programs.

Social funds essentially created a new, shorter route of accountability between the providers of small infrastructure investment, on the one hand, and local communities, on the other. The relationship between the fund and the communities it served was generally stronger than had been the case with traditional government agencies or local governments, especially in centralized regimes or in societies characterized by the presence of local strongmen. Social funds’ new accountability arrangements have allowed communities to express their needs (including making choices among a variety of sectors) and to stay informed regarding investment decisions and works progress. Rules often furthered that accountability by establishing social control mechanisms, as part of supervision—in other words, communities had to sign off before contractors were paid.

Several “bureaucracy avoiding” accountability mechanisms operating in the case of social funds can be found in conditional cash transfer (CCT) programs. In the recent past, CCT programs have become a popular social assistance approach as they have been shown to generate important gains regarding both the provision of immediate assistance and longer-term human capital development impacts (Rawlings and Rubio 2003). Through provision of cash grants directly to poor households, conditional on human development–related activities such as children’s school or clinic attendance, CCT programs allow central governments to have a direct relationship with the target population, at least in terms of beneficiary selection, without the intermediation of local authorities or without having to use local service providers to reach the target population to deliver social assistance. Indeed, the central government commonly administers CCT programs directly, including identifying beneficiaries and verifying compliance and delivery of cash transfers. Making these functions operate in an efficient way (that is, having a well-designed and -maintained objective targeting system, making payments in time to large numbers of poor families dispersed throughout the country, and so on), depends crucially on the existence of a relatively small but technically solid and politically protected central bureaucracy—something that most line agencies in the same country have failed to achieve.

Limits to alternative compacts. The common denominator of these various innovations is the bypassing of well-established
traditional state bureaucracies (central and local) in favor of compacts either with private for- and not-for-profit actors or with “elite” state agencies or units for which performance-based management is feasible (or easier) to establish. There are many, mostly well-documented successful examples of such initiatives. At the same time, far from being a silver bullet to service delivery reform, bypassing state bureaucracies also raises a series of broader (mostly longer-term) common concerns.

First, in most cases, success of these approaches depends on the consolidation of an “efficiency enclave”—for example, the social fund, the regulatory agency, or the CCT program management unit. Even though enclave agencies may be a good, second-best solution in some contexts, they are hardly foolproof (Laking 2002). Of particular concern is the potential lack of institutional sustainability as often an enclave agency’s short-term performance is sustained with exceptional political support and government commitment (often by the presidents themselves) and, thus, are vulnerable to waning commitments that accompany change of governments or shifts in the political environment. More generally, the question as to how and why such enclaves are spared the typical clientelistic force that weakens the quality of public sector employment remains unanswered. What type of political calculation led political leaders to provide special administrative procedures and practices to a selected few agencies? It is possible to speculate that such approaches are motivated by an idiosyncratic need to ensure the effective implementation of high priority policies or initiatives (for example, establishing credibility of a privatization reform by creating an independent regulatory agency or creating an effective social program for social containment reasons). In some cases, the donors’ role may be equally important as a source of pressure and/or encouragement for such approaches.

Second, even when key agency sustainability is not an issue, there may be negative consequences of such islands of efficiency operating in the context of an unreformed public sector. The arms-length relations between the government and these enclave agencies and the latter’s exemptions from many public sector regulations have sometimes led to loss of effective central control, and, at times, corresponding opportunistic behaviors. Some enclave agencies have engaged in irresponsible or reckless financial activities (for example, excessive borrowing or overspending) or in patronage-driven personnel practices.

Similarly, some CCT program critics argue that, while they represent a creative approach to providing social assistance, they constitute an “end-run” around the more difficult task of reforming inefficient public education and health services. There are concerns that without greater attention to the provision of quality services, CCT program conditionalities run the risk of mandating the poor’s use of low quality services, tying them to ineffective service providers. These supply-side concerns have led to calls for renewed attention to the basic task of providing accessible, high-quality health and education services in poor areas. A related concern involves the local government’s role. Although administrative arrangements vary considerably across programs, CCT programs (especially those with highly centralized administration such as Colombia and Mexico) have been accused of undermining local governments’ effectiveness by bypassing their authority. This concern has particular resonance in countries where democratically elected local governments are in their infancy and where central governments have a long tradition of clientelism and paternalism. Strong centralization also limits the program’s ability to address beneficiaries’ needs and build in local response mechanisms for social containment reasons. It may also limit the program’s ability to effectively coordinate with health and education service providers.

These concerns have also led analysts to ask under what conditions will the “outsourcing” of functions create opportunities for strengthening mainstream government agencies in charge of policy making. For example, contracting out health services to
NGOs in Central America implies new types of activities by ministries of health that require the development of new capabilities, such as the ability to manage a competitive bidding process and to oversee, monitor, and evaluate contract compliance. Indeed, the development of these purchasing arrangements is seen as an opportunity to develop these skills via learning-by-doing. To date, perhaps with the exception of Guatemala, such changes have not materialized (Fiedler 2002).

Similarly, many project documents and charters from the mid-1990s suggested that social funds would play a role only temporarily in the delivery of small infrastructure services, until the line ministries were strengthened and better able to perform these functions themselves—when it was assumed that they would turn the functions back to the line ministries. For a number of reasons (including the funds’ administrative efficiency which made them attractive to governments and donors), in most cases this transfer did not materialize. Governments in many countries began using social funds as the de facto and, at least in the case of Honduras, official principal agencies for small-scale social and economic infrastructure. It remains an open question whether such specialization is in the end effective in enabling sector ministries to concentrate their functions on policy-making and regulatory aspects—a stated goal of the movement to institutionalize the role of social funds.22

Third, there is a broader issue on the difficulty of balancing autonomy (for example, of social funds, CCT units, or regulatory agencies) and ultimate accountability. The many successes throughout the region of such approaches cannot obscure the real danger of capture by clientelistic politicians or by private suppliers and concessions; even enclaves are embedded in sociopolitical systems. For example, analyses of the major Mexican Social Fund scheme of Pronasol (or Solidaridad) document how it was systematically used for clientelistic purposes (Diaz-Cayeros et al. 2002; Molinar and Weldon 1994). For the Peruvian Social Fund of FONCODES, while Paxson and Schady (2002) documented its success in terms of pro-poor targeting, Schady (2000) shows that President Fujimori specifically targeted it using political criteria (targeting potential swing areas near election time). Similarly, case study work by Tendler (2000) in the northeast of Brazil documents, in the cases analyzed, how “demand-driven” initiatives were often captured, including on the supply side, by private companies that offered communities particular products that they could deliver and then suggested forming local committees to give the stamp of participation.23

Problems of capture have also been identified in the regulation of contracts with the private sector, which remains a major challenge, notwithstanding substantial advances in creating legal frameworks and regulatory institutions. Key problems are the difficulty of ensuring an arm’s length relationship between the regulator and the executive branch and the challenge of balancing investor and consumer interests in societies with a limited tradition of consumer organization and participation (see the next section). Newly privatized companies become part of new distributional coalitions, where large conglomerates play an important role in contributing to a perception of concentration of power and profits. A series of Latino Barometro polls, for example, find that a growing proportion of those questioned disagreed with the statement that privatization had been beneficial for their countries. Many critics are concerned with how fairly privatization’s benefits have been distributed among different stakeholders and, in particular, the extent to which the state and private investors may have benefited at the expense of consumers and workers. This phenomenon can be attributed partly to biases in the original design of privatization transactions that tended to emphasize fiscal concerns over social and economic considerations. It probably also reflects deficiencies in the ongoing regulatory process, which has not always been successful in transferring efficiency gains into lower tariffs for customers. Indeed, the challenge of developing an effective counterweight in noncompetitive sectors has been difficult due to classical problems of regulatory capture and the common interest of governments and privatized utilities in high profits.
As the previous review indicates, when public bureaucracies don’t work well, short-circuiting them by contracting out services to private firms and NGOs or setting up parallel, more independent agencies in charge of service delivery is showing some good results, at least in the short run. There are many indications, however, that in the longer run both their sustainability and full effectiveness depend on whether the rest of the state apparatus improves its capacity and becomes more performance-oriented.

All in all, to initiate and sustain good, effective service delivery compacts (within or outside the state), at a minimum, requires the basic commitment and support of policy makers. As the examples reviewed in this section show, LAC countries have been successful in developing such compacts, particularly when they transferred management responsibilities outside the mainstream state bureaucracy. Nonetheless, many questions remain as to the political incentives at play to explain the genesis, sustainability, and capacity to scale up (or out) of such innovations. We speculate that the overall success of compact reforms is related to broader efforts to enhance both client power and citizen voice.

### Enhancing Client Power

When clients have power over providers, a shorter, more direct form (that is, less dependent on actions and performance of other actors) of accountability for results may arise. Over the last two decades, many Latin American countries have explored different ways of enhancing client power. In some cases, this entailed giving individuals the power of choosing which organization they get services from, with the expectation that this will create better (market-like) incentives to providers. In other cases, it involved giving clients a direct (collective) role in service management, with the expectation that such involvement should result in stronger control over the actions of front-line providers and a corresponding positive change in the quality of services provided.

Unlike most of the changes discussed in the previous section, these transformations had service delivery improvement as a primary objective. As a result, many of these experiments have benefited from evaluations that seek to identify their success in achieving service delivery objectives. We will first review the experience with client choice and next with client participation in the management of services.

*In the limited areas in which it has been implemented, giving individuals a choice over which organization they get services from has generated powerful pressures for results on front-line providers*

The introduction of choice as a mechanism to promote client power over service providers has been a powerful one, albeit of restricted coverage. In the infrastructure sector, the 1990s reforms resulted in the gradual introduction of competition for electricity only (in the case of a relatively small number of large industrial consumers) and for long distance and cellular telephony. In the water sector, competition has been limited to bidding out concession contracts. However, even there, the extent of competition has been quite limited due to the relatively small number of multinationals active in the sector (Foster 2002). Relatively little attention has been paid to the competition that arises from small-scale independent providers of water services, such as water tankers and secondary water retailers, particularly in underserved peri-urban areas (Solo 2003).

In education services, two key experiences with choice are those of Colombia and Chile (see box 3.6). In the first half of the 1990s, Colombia introduced a secondary education targeted voucher program, which involved approximately one-fifth of the country’s municipalities and close to 2,000 private schools. The main objective was to increase the number of poor students transitioning from primary to secondary education. Chile, on the other hand, represents a unique case in the sense that a nationwide system of “quasi-vouchers” directed to all municipal schools and nonfee-charging private schools was established with the main objective of promoting competition among schools.
In the health sector, once again Chile and Colombia introduced paradigmatic reforms that put competition and choice at the center. In the 1980 context of a complete overhaul of its social security system, Chile reformed its social health insurance system, introducing private-public competition for mandatory health insurance. The reform allowed all formal workers, independent of their income level, to choose between a private health insurer (ISAPRES) and the public national health fund (FONASA) for mandatory health insurance coverage. The reform, however, mandated that public subsidies would be given only to FONASA beneficiaries irrespective of the income and risk characteristics of those opting for ISAPRES.

In 1994, Colombia also introduced a radical social health insurance reform that, as in the Chilean case, promoted competition among insurers, but also introduced demand-side premium subsidization for the poor. Colombia also introduced an explicit benefit package and a risk/income equalization fund, both important instruments to reduce the market segmentation incentives on a risk basis.

Overall, the results of competition have been very positive in all sectors where it has been tried. In infrastructure services, introducing competition in cellular telephony reduced charges and catalyzed a major coverage expansion. The number of cellular lines in service grew 500-fold during the 1990s (at a 57 percent annual average growth rate), to the point where, by the year 2000, cellular telephone penetration had overtaken fixed line coverage in countries as diverse as Bolivia, Chile, Guatemala, Mexico, and Venezuela. As a result of competi-
tion, cellular call charges fell between 30 and 50 percent in Bolivia, Peru, and Venezuela, largely as a result of the introduction of the “calling party pays” system. Similarly, long distance telephony liberalization drove down international calling costs by 50 percent in Chile, Colombia, Mexico, and Peru, and by more than 70 percent in El Salvador and Guatemala. Even in noncompetitive sectors, the move toward cost-reflective charges (see box 3.7), combined with the establishment of customer complaints channels, have begun to make consumers more demanding about the quality of service they receive.

The Colombia education voucher experience was thoroughly evaluated (Angrist and others 2002, 2004). Results indicate that in the participating municipalities, the program provided an effective way to increase educational attainment and achievement (college-entrance test scores) for the poor students benefiting from the vouchers. Critical were the sound criteria in the selection of the participating municipalities and schools and the application of effective targeting mechanisms, with the use of performance incentives for participating schools and beneficiaries. Despite its positive results, the lack of the government’s commitment, the teacher union’s opposition, and administrative difficulties (disbursement delays and program monitoring burden) led to the program being discontinued as a national initiative in 1997. Nevertheless, some local governments continued implementing similar approaches without national support. Beyond the idiosyncrasies of the factors leading to the demise of this particular experience, the message appears to be that, unless the ultimate beneficiaries of the increased choice have sufficient voice (that is, they are sufficiently empowered) to defend their gains from those whose interests such schemes affect, sustainability may be a serious bottleneck.

The Chile “education voucher” experience generated a range of evaluation studies centered on the relative performance of public and private schools. Overall, with the introduction of the per-student subsidy, enrollment increased considerably in the private voucher schools (from 14 percent in 1980 to 32 percent in 1990), which, controlling for socioeconomic status, perform better than municipal schools (Carciofi, Cetrángolo, and Larrañaga 1996; McEwan and Carnoy 2000), pointing to positive effects of competition on the private schools’ performance. However, it is generally recognized (McEwan and Carnoy 1999, 2000; Hsieh and Urquiola 2003) that a lack of incentives and municipal sector capacity to compete, a lack of clear information on relative school performance, and some “cream-skimming” among private schools hampered competition among private and municipal schools.

In the 1990s, further municipal autonomy constraints (for example, the 1991 teacher statute) and centrally driven interventions in favor of the poorest/weakest
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**BOX 3.7 User Fees**

An integral element of the 1990s infrastructure reform process was to ensure that utility tariffs reflected accurately the full economic costs of service provision. Cost-reflective tariffs are needed to safeguard service providers’ financial sustainability and hence, political independence. This principle had varying implications according to the sector and locality, and it is difficult to generalize about the experience. Indeed, a recent study found that out of 10 privatization cases studied, prices rose in five and fell in the other five (McKenzie and Mookherjee 2002).

The same study shows that in most cases, welfare losses arising from higher utility tariffs that the reform triggered are more than compensated for by the welfare gains associated with expanding access to services (McKenzie and Mookherjee 2002). The reason is that the budget share allocated to the utilities is relatively low, and hence tariff increases in the cases studied (Argentina, Bolivia, and Nicaragua) accounted for less than 1 percent of household budgets, while the gains from access can be greater than 10 percent of budgets for the poorest families, as households are able to abandon more expensive substitutes to utility services. In many countries, the reform of service tariffs did not adequately evaluate the extent to which cost-reflective tariffs would be affordable to the poorest clients, or investigate appropriate compensating mechanisms.

Traditional cross-subsidy schemes are often poorly designed, failing to target subsidy resources effectively to the poor. In La Paz, Bolivia, for example, the average water consumption of a low-income household is around 5 cubic meters per month, and the average consumption for a higher income household is around 25 cubic meters per month, showing a clear differentiation. However, the water utility provides a subsidized rate on the first 30 cubic meters per month, thus that higher income households obtain the largest subsidy benefit. Even in countries like Chile and Colombia, which have developed more sophisticated individual or geographical means for targeting systems for water subsidies, significant deficiencies remain. Gomez Lobo and Contreras (2000) show that about 65 percent of these subsidy resources go to households in the top 70 percent of the income distribution, while 55 to 75 percent of the beneficiaries come from the top 70 percent of the income distribution.

The accumulated evidence suggests that the transition toward cost-reflective user charges needs to include a strategy to evaluate and safeguard affordability of a subsistence basket of utility services to the poorest households. In some circumstances, it may be much more important from a social perspective to focus scarce subsidy resources on reducing access costs for the unconnected, rather than reducing prices for those who already have access to the service.
municipal schools complicated even more the working of competition between municipal and private schools. On the other hand, dissemination of standardized school scores (SIMCE scores), increased administrative and pedagogical municipal school autonomy; introduction of school cofinancing and improved monitoring of student attendance improved the voucher system’s operation. The studies centered on the 1990s generally confirm that private subsidized schools perform better than municipal schools (Sapelli and Vial 2002) and have improved their performance due to strong incentives to compete (decision-making autonomy, reliance on the voucher or parent cofinancing, and so on), therefore concluding that competition and choice were helpful in improving private schools’ quality and efficiency (Gallego 2002). However, competition’s impact on municipal schools’ performance remains more controversial, with some studies (Gallego 2002) finding that improved municipal performance was largely due to competition, while others (Schiefelbein and Schiefelbein 2000; Cox and González 1998) assert that it was due to the central compensatory programs.

Turning to the health sector, social health insurance reform in Chile resulted in a significant increase of both private health insurance coverage and private provision of health services. At the same time, it also resulted in a severe segmentation of the risk pool, with the high-income and low-risk groups concentrating in the private (ISAPRE) system and the low-income and high-risk concentrating in the public one (FONASA). The Colombian reform, on the other hand, is expected to address a key factor that determined income market segmentation in the Chilean case through the introduction of subsidized insurance premiums for the poor. Preliminary evaluations of the Colombian reform (Bossert et al. 2003) indicate an increase in service utilization and coverage for the population at large. However, the evaluations do not distinguish clearly the specific effects on the poor, nor the extent to which reforms improved the financial protection of households.

In general, the evaluation results from the experiences of expanded choice are encouraging. Nevertheless, this should not obscure the fact that, as illustrated in the cases discussed above, the conditions for choice to work (particularly for the poor) are not minor. On the demand side, the existence of highly unequal economic and social conditions in most countries in the region require active public policies to compensate for extensive informational asymmetries (for example, on the quality of education services as in Chile in the 1990s) and differences in purchasing power and needs (for example, by introducing income/risk equalization mechanisms for health insurance in Colombia). Without such compensatory actions, increased social stratification becomes a significant danger. On the supply side, the presence of independent providers operating in a competitive environment is, naturally, key to the proper functioning of a model based on choice. Both the existence of structural bottlenecks to competition (for example, in the case of many utilities or in schooling in dispersed rural areas) and the social desire to ensure minimum quality standards (for example, safe water or predetermined learning goals) are satisfied throughout the country require, again, the need for government intervention in service delivery, or most likely, in regulation.

**Client participation in the management and operation of services has also shown good results for services that allow a relatively small production scale**

Client participation in service management is the second way that client power operates. The experiences with community-run schools, health clinics, and rural water systems in a diverse group of countries provide the empirical basis to assess the power of client participation in service delivery. Overall, the evidence suggests that direct client involvement in the management of services can yield positive results when the services involved allow a relatively small production scale and rather simple management challenges.

The experience of community-run schools in El Salvador and Nicaragua provides solid evidence on the benefits of a participation
approach to client power. Each school is required to establish an elected council—composed only of parents in El Salvador and of parents, teachers, and the school director in Nicaragua. The school must also sign a special agreement with the Ministry of Education under which the latter decentralizes to the councils the management of all funds, allowing them to manage all teachers, take care of operating and maintenance costs, and even make academic decisions. “Client power” is therefore expressed through active participation in school management. In addition, in Nicaragua, client power also finds a way through the system of national, departmental, and local education councils set up to ensure broad participation at all levels.

The key aspect of this model is the control that parents have over teacher management decisions—exactly the aspect that has proven the most controversial and hard to reform in state-managed school systems. In Nicaragua, school-based decisions on teacher management are shown to have a positive impact on test scores (King and Ozler 1998). In El Salvador, enrollment in the rural areas increased massively following Educación con Participación de la Comunidad (EDUCO), and it has been shown that school-based decisions on teacher management have a positive impact on class attendance (Jiménez and Sawada 1998).

The El Salvador and Nicaragua cases are the most thoroughly evaluated experiments with community-run schools, but there are a growing number of such experiences in Central America (for example, Guatemala and Honduras) and elsewhere in the region. For example, the much referred to experience of the Brazilian state of Minas Gerais with community councils and elected school principals (Guedes et al. 1997) is one of many examples of a growing trend toward school-based management experiences in Brazil (see Aglaé de Medeiros Machado 2002). Overall, the evidence is rather strong in the sense that decentralizing responsibilities to the school, particularly in the teacher management area, makes it possible to maximize the use of local information and accountability mechanisms. It is likely that, given the peculiarities of the educational process (proximity to the final users, continuity in the provision of the service), the scope for user participation will be particularly important in that sector.

In contrast, the evidence on effective experiments with community-run health centers is rather limited. One interesting example is that of local health administration committees (CLAS) in Peru. These are private, nonprofit community associations built around a health center or post (Cotlear 2000). The CLAS prepare local health plans that become the basis for a contract with health authorities. The contracts are designed to finance outputs rather than inputs, and the CLAS are held accountable for reaching specific targets (derived from the plans) and for the use of funds. The CLAS are given flexibility in the use of resources relative to state-run centers. While there is no systematic evaluation of the approach’s impact, the data do show an improvement in health indicators (for example, lower waiting time in CLAS centers).

There are a number of factors that seem to conspire against community participation in health centers compared to that in school management. First, in most cases users of health services have a very intensive (when sick), but much less frequent, relationship with providers than parents of children have with schools. In that sense, solving the collective action problem involved in community-run services is likely to be more difficult in health. Second, informational asymmetries tend to be very large in the case of health services. As a result, the opportunities for health professionals capturing control under community-run schemes is likely to be higher than in the case of schools. Overall, these factors may help explain the observed differences in the popularity of the approach between the two sectors.

Unlike the case of health centers, community committees (juntas de agua potable) and cooperatives throughout Latin America have successfully managed rural water and sanitation services. Over the years, as coverage levels increased in rural communities, it became impractical and expensive for centralized service delivery agencies (usually located in the Ministry of Health, Public Works, or
Urban Development) to take charge of operating and maintaining water systems spread across the country. Overall, the community-management approach has proven to be highly successful for rural water services.

Approaches to community-based service delivery have evolved from water systems constructed by a national agency and subsequently “handed-over” to communities for management, to more demand-responsive approaches where the community participates in all phases of the project cycle and shares in the investment costs of projects. Chile provides a good example of the first approach (Alvestegui and Sara 2003), which, while generating impressive results in terms of coverage,28 may have led to insufficient community attention to finding lower cost solutions and to investing in system rehabilitation or expansion. Community participation advantages are perhaps felt more strongly in the latter approach, followed in countries such as Bolivia and Ecuador; this approach aims to ensure communities obtain a system for which they have the financial willingness and technical capacity to maintain it (World Bank 2002c).

The details of the service-specific authorizing environment—in particular how flexible and consistent are the rules and constraints under which community groups need to operate and the nature and enforceability of accountability relations to the authorities delegating powers—can be very influential in the outcomes of the experiences of community-run services.

In the case of community-run schools in Central America, for example, both in El Salvador and Nicaragua the recorded successes are, in part, linked to the existence of a solid “compact” linking the school with the Ministry, which seeks to ensure the fulfillment of coverage, efficiency, and equity targets. A weak (or weakly enforced) “compact,” on the other hand, implies that school autonomy and strong parent involvement in financial and administrative matters could produce, for instance, cases where teachers are unfairly fired or to cases of mishandling of funds. There is, in fact, some preliminary evidence from El Salvador showing that as the Ministry weakened its control of schools, such cases begun to appear. Similarly, the fact that ministries of education in Guatemala and Honduras had less capabilities to enforce “school compacts” than their counterparts in El Salvador and Nicaragua may be the principal reason for the difficulties experienced in their community-run schools.29

The overall authorizing environment is also crucial when elements of community-run services coexist with nonparticipatory mechanisms. As the experience with water supply programs in rural areas (Gran et al. 1997) showed in some cases, even though communities took educated decisions based on the participatory program in question, since the construction of the systems continued to be the responsibility of a “nonreformed” state agency, there was no way they could ensure that the works be conducted according to plan. In other words, demand-orientation must take place consistently in all the stages of the provision process (financing, production, delivery, and so on) otherwise the “weaker” link of the chain ends up dominating the rest.

Beyond establishing the authorizing environment, central governments can develop complementary mechanisms to support the operation of community groups. In many ways, social funds are evolving in that direction. The latest generation of funds is becoming a mechanism that both empowers communities and local governments and channels national preferences (such as a poverty targeting emphasis) in the form of incentives to local actors. Community participation is now viewed as an end in and of itself—almost on par with the goal of increasing access to basic infrastructure—and funds have incorporated not just new rules of the game (that is, in the operations manuals), but also specific training geared to strengthen community capacities for planning and implementation.30 Similarly, the experience with rural water and sanitation projects indicates the importance of providing appropriate community outreach, organization, and training programs to complement hardware investments. The approach has required centralized agencies to transform their roles from service providers to facilitators. A new set of intermediaries, usually specialized NGOs and small contracting
firms, have emerged to provide assistance to communities in formulating their demands, building capacity, and implementing projects, while the central government provides the normative framework, access to funding, and technical oversight.

Both the opportunity for and ultimate results of client-participation schemes appear to be context-dependent: national and local political conditions, community organization traditions, and the strength of front-line providers (for example, teacher unions) matter in explaining outcomes. For example, both the El Salvador and Nicaragua education experiences started after a civil war and, therefore, were also part of a pacification and democratization attempt. They were initially implemented on a subnational scale to be extended to different extents. This not only reminds us of the idiosyncrasies behind these cases, but also that the political climate may have been particularly welcoming to such initiatives given the need to consolidate democracy to which such participation was functional. Similarly, the EDUCO experience grew out of community efforts during the civil war: it was a case where a relatively weak national state took advantage of relatively strong communities (rather than a strong state empowering weak communities) to respond to growing social needs in the postwar scenario.

Sustainability can be an issue when relying too much on community-based management mechanisms. Community-based schools, for example, are often quite isolated from the state’s overall institutional structure (including the absence of links with the intermediate and local government levels) and have developed at the margin of the teacher unions; in this context, they are particularly vulnerable to political change. Similarly, the CLAS experience in Peru indicates that while communities received them with great sympathy, they encountered significant resistance in a state bureaucracy that resented the implied loss of control (Cotlear 2000).

Client power relationships are affected by the incentives, motivation, and behavior of front-line workers. These relationships are particularly embedded in the local sociocultural conditions, the behavior patterns, and collective expectations produced by unequal group-based relations. This can be of particular importance where there is greater social distance between front-line workers and their “clients,” as with indigenous students and nonindigenous teachers. The ways in which poor groups interact with front-line providers will be a function of both the larger social context and histories of mobilization and engagement. At the same time, when communities are offered sufficient support, client power can contribute to changing the local balance of power, whether this is through increased parental influence in EDUCO schools in El Salvador, the introduction of culturally appropriate practices to birthing and postnatal care in Quechua areas in Cusco, Peru, (see box 3.8, above), or the provision of the
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**Box 3.8 The Role of Community Participation and Cultural Adaptation in Service Delivery**

Malnutrition in Honduras dropped rapidly through the 1980s, but progress stalled in 1990. The Ministry of Health required public health centers to detect low growth (stunting) among children, then to use stunting as a criterion for distributing health services. By 1994, the Ministry concluded that the program needed closer community ties to maximize impact and designed the Comprehensive Attention to Childhood (AIN-C) for that purpose. AIN-C targets all children less than two years of age in poor communities. Volunteer monitors meet with mothers monthly and plot the infant's growth progress on a simple bar chart. In AIN-C, it is communities that request the program before it begins operations, decide how many monitors will operate, and select the monitors. The monitors give quarterly reports to the community with focus on communal obstacles to good health, such as contaminated water or a lack of shared childcare. They negotiate with mothers about specific needed practices to improve a child’s nutrition and decide whether to reach mothers by house-to-house visits, neighborhood sessions, communitywide events, or other means. AIN-C has been shown to increase breastfeeding to children under six months of age, improve provision of oral rehydration solution to children with diarrhea, expand the giving of vaccines to infants, and spread other preventative health measures. AIN-C has about one-ninth the cost of the first facility-based program and has had far more extensive reach than that program had.

Community participation also implies the potential to incorporate adaptation of service delivery to local culture. One clear example comes from a maternal and child health project in the Paruro (Cusco) Province in Peru where an effort was made to improve the number of women from rural areas who attended the clinic for childbirth. Findings from earlier interventions highlighted that women from remote rural areas were unwilling to leave their children for an extended period, found the clinic cold, and felt uncomfortable using formal Western birthing procedures. The project established a “waiting house” where women nearing childbirth could be lodged and fed in a house close to the clinic, installed extra heating in the birthing room, and provided an alternative bed to the standard Western “birthing table.” The result was a dramatic rise in medically attended births and a sharp fall in postnatal mortality of both babies and mothers. The practices have also been followed by many other health centers in rural areas in Peru with the same dramatic results. This is a clear demonstration of how attention to cultural issues can provide great advances in fighting poverty—in this case on the health dimensions—and in the general development effectiveness of projects and programs.

CCT grants to mothers, which combined with the election of mothers’ local representatives to serve as conduits between beneficiary families and the CCT program, introduced changes in empowerment dynamics that are playing out in households and communities throughout the region (Adato 2000; and Adato et al. 2000).

The evidence reviewed indicates that client participation can compensate for the weakness of long-route mechanisms. Ultimately, however, the effects and sustainability of such client power mechanisms are dependent on both the existence of capacities (agency) among the poor and minimum state capacities to initiate and regulate their implementation. When and if the conditions to initiate such approaches exist, a virtuous circle may be generated whereby client participation continues to generate capacity-building opportunities for the social organizations of the poor and disadvantaged and of individuals and families—factors that should contribute to making the state more accountable overall to citizens.

Overall, the evidence strongly suggests that taking decisions away from public bureaucracies (central or local, old or new) into the hands of individual or group of beneficiaries is proving to be a powerful idea. The growing number of evaluation studies available shows solid results regarding the benefits of initiatives oriented to enhance client power (through choice and direct management control of front-line service providers), even though the spread (in terms of the number of services and number of people that benefit from them) of such approaches is still not too wide. The evidence also suggests that client power as service delivery mechanisms is also influenced by the overall strength of state accountability: weak overall state accountability is likely to undermine service delivery improvements that are achieved through enhanced client power.

**Enhancing State Accountability to Citizens**

Ultimately, the extent to which the state is accountable to citizens (particularly poor ones) constitutes the key factor in determining whether service delivery systems work for people. To be sure, whether or not policy makers face the incentives to address the needs and preferences of citizens (that is, whether they are accountable for results) is fundamental when it comes to carrying forward and implementing the reforms to the compact between policy makers and providers discussed above. And even if the client-power alternatives discussed above require less “hands-on” involvement by state agents in the actual delivery of services, whether and how such reforms are implemented and supported over time is clearly influenced, once again, by the nature and intensity of the accountability relationship linking policy makers and different groups of citizens. Said differently, politics, political institutions, and citizen voice constitute fundamental determinants of whether services work for people—particularly poor ones.

In this section we review the evidence on how some fundamental political and social transformations in Latin American countries over the last two decades have affected state accountability for service delivery. Indeed, the sharp expansion of electoral democracy and constitutional rule (and the associated expansion in freedom of expression and association) is one of the most striking transformations that took place in Latin American over the last two decades. How these changes affected state accountability is the subject of this section.

**Despite the widespread democratization process at the national and local levels, many bottlenecks remain to the full operation of mechanisms of state accountability**

Universal suffrage came late to Latin America compared with the United States or Europe, excluding poorer groups for longer, via literacy, wealth, or gender (De Ferranti et al. 2004). But suffrage has now been universal for several decades and certainly so for the latest democratic transitions. Arguably, LAC is better placed than the other developing regions to take advantage of the so-called long route to accountability—citizens
pressuring politicians, and politicians and policy makers pressuring providers—because, on average, democracy is better established in the region. Democracy would be expected to be of particular importance for service delivery in Latin America in light of the ineffectiveness of most of the region’s authoritarian regimes to deliver broad-based services. For a number of reasons, East Asian–style developmental states that were effective both at promoting development and in including most of the population in the growth process were not a notable feature of Latin American development. This can be attributed to a range of factors, including the lack of external threats that were forces for state-building in East Asia (and earlier in Europe) and greater social cleavages in Latin America that made national institution-building more difficult and reduced the responsiveness of elite-dominated governments to poorer groups. There were partial exceptions, \(^3^3\) but even these cases fall far short of development action by authoritarian states in East Asia, from predemocratic Korea to Vietnam in the recent period.

The ineffectiveness of the authoritarian regimes has naturally heightened general expectations of democratization to bring about major improvements in inclusive governance. However, an overview of the record suggests that the democracy wave of the 1980s and 1990s has not yet ushered in a significant transformation of service delivery. To be sure (as discussed above), there has been a marked expansion of social services in the last two decades that reflects at least partly the response of governments to citizen demands. This pattern is confirmed by the growing empirical literature seeking to establish causal relationships between political regimes and economic and social outcomes (see box 3.9, below). But electoral democracy alone has been less effective in situations where what was required to improve service delivery to the poor involved tackling vested interests (for example, in reforming higher education financing or reforming “truncated” social insurance systems), \(^3^4\) reducing state “capture” by powerful firms, organizations, or individuals, or resolving distributional conflicts. The political accountability mechanisms prevailing in Latin American democracies do not appear to be strong enough to make the achievement of effective service delivery to all citizens a central goal of government actions.

Indeed, there is a seeming consensus among political scientists that the democratic regimes that have been established in Latin America present serious institutional bottlenecks, particularly in relation to the development of adequate and effective mechanisms of accountability (for example, O’Donnell 1993, 1994, 1996; Shifter 1997; Schedler, Diamond, and Plattner 1999; Smulovitz and Peruzzotti 2000). Latin American democracies have been referred to as “delegative” rather than “representative” (O’Donnell 1994), “thin” (Evans 2002), “illiberal” (Zakaria 1997), and “insufficient” (Méndez 2000), and characterized by “citizenship of low intensity” (O’Donnell 2001).

In part, those bottlenecks are generic and not specific to Latin America. Representative democracy requires the existence
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**Box 3.9 Does Democracy Lead to More Social Spending?**

Building on a previous set of studies looking at the relationship between democracy and economic growth, there is a growing literature that analyzes empirically the question of whether democracies value more social services than authoritarian regimes do. Using data on coverage of key education and health services and democracy indicators (for example, the degree of competitiveness of political participation to the degree of constraints on the chief executive), Lake and Baum (2001) find that increases in the democracy level are positively related to levels of public services both in cross-section and time series analyses. They also find that the effects of regime changes (that is, democratization) on the level of public service provision were both rapid and disproportionate. Baum and Lake (2003) find that democracy is associated with higher life expectancy and education levels, which explain higher economic growth rates.

Using data from countries in Africa, Asia, and Latin America, Brown (1999) finds that democracy (measured both as a dichotomous and a continuous variable) has a strong influence on primary school enrollment rates. With data for Latin American countries in the 1973–97 period, Kaufman and Segura-Ubiergo (2001) find no effect of “domestic politics” (whether a country is democratic or not and whether government’s support base is strongly linked to unions) on aggregate social spending levels. They do find, however, that democratic governments spend more on health and education, while “popularly elected” governments protect expenditures on pensions and other transfers that tend to benefit their support base. Using data from 17 Latin American countries between 1980 and 1992, Brown and Hunter (1999) find that in times of economic crisis, democracies tend to protect social spending relative to nondemocracies.

In one of the few country level analyses available, Brown (2002) explores education spending trends in Brazil and links them to electoral competition patterns. He finds that increasing electoral competition in Brazil has been associated with an increasing share of the federal budget allocated to education and a shift from university to primary education spending.
of institutional mechanisms that bridge the gap between citizens and their political representatives—accountability mechanisms that ensure that public officials are answerable for their actions. Elections constitute the primary form of political accountability even though they are recognized as rather restrictive and limited, among other reasons, given that citizens only get one shot to punish or reward politicians for numerous decisions and actions (Przeworski et al. 1999; Keefer and Khemani 2003). National elections are a rather crude mechanism for citizens to enforce policy makers’ responsibilities for the delivery of specific services.

But there are reasons specific to Latin America that may help explain why the latest wave of democratization has not yet delivered on the promise of radically enhanced political accountabilities. The functioning of democracy in Latin American countries appears to be deeply influenced by the depth and resilience of clientelistic structures, whereby politicians generally draw support from relatively narrow, geographically defined constituencies to which they provide tangible material goods (that is, patronage) in exchange for electoral support. An additional feature of Latin American political history is a pattern of “corporatism,” in which governments brought working class or peasant movements into national, institutionalized patronage-based systems, as in the case of Mexico with the PRI, Argentina with Peronism, and to a certain extent, in Bolivia with the MNR and Brazil under Getulio Vargas (De Ferranti et al. 2004).

In many cases, clientelism and corporatism had a direct negative impact on public sector service delivery systems, making difficult the implementation of reforms in state bureaucracies or the transfer of power to alternative service providers (private sector firms, NGOs, or communities). At the same time, clientelism and corporatism have also worked against the development of programmatic (idea-based) parties that was an important part of the political evolution of most European countries and the United States (Shefter 1994). One consequence has been that most Latin American countries have faced democratic consolida-

tion and market-oriented reforms in the 1980s and 1990s with relatively weak institutions for the articulation, aggregation, and negotiation of the interests of poorer groups and inadequate mechanisms for resolution of distributional conflicts. This may have left the new democracies too exposed to capture by all sorts of special interests.

A related characteristic of new Latin American democracies is an observed weakness in systems of checks and balances that the executive power is subject to. The political science literature speaks of weak “horizontal” (O'Donnell 1994, 1998) or “intra-state” accountability (Mainwaring 2003), associated with the inadequate role played by legislatures, judiciaries, and state control organisms in most Latin American countries. The idea (see figure 3.1) is that state accountability takes place not only through elections (“vertical accountability”), but also through the checks and balances among different organs of the state.

Legislatures in most Latin American countries show some worrisome signs of weak capacities to act as effective counterbalances to strong executives, for example, in terms of budget formulation. Rare are the instances where Congress tries to hold the executive branch accountable for the performance of particular policy programs and for the budget. Instead of working through the formal budget process on the basis of the constitutionally vested power to oversee the executive branch, Congress often resorts to passing legislation with serious budgetary implications without submitting them to rigorous fiscal analysis. This then creates a situation whereby a country effectively has dual budget processes, one formally governed by the budget law, but informally managed with executive discretion, and the other developed through substantive legislation with budgetary impacts that only become apparent ex post.

Prevailing electoral and party systems often do not contribute to creating pressure to change this behavior pattern. In many countries, the less-than-democratic process of selecting congressional candidates within parties (particularly when elections are run based on closed party lists, as practiced in Bolivia and Venezuela) leads to weak voter
representation (Shugart et al. 2000). At the other extreme, weak party control of candidates (as in the case of Colombia and Brazil, for example) leads to personalistic representation that, in many cases, contributes to “pork-barrel” congressional politics. As a result, efforts to modernize legislatures have shown so far limited impact (Mendez 2000). The implication is double. Legislatures remain weak to oversee actions by the executive branch. They also remain a weak actor in terms of promoting legal reforms oriented to improving incentives for more effective service delivery systems.

The judiciary is another key actor in the presidential system of checks and balances adopted in Latin America. Still a rarity in the region is an effective judiciary that balances independence from undue political interference with external accountability for its decisions. Courts, by design, are the least accountable to external actors for their actions, and thus the least proactive among the three branches. Their role is to encourage rule-based behavior by resolving concrete cases with a consistent application of the legal norms, but they cannot exercise this power except through a direct request (by a party with standing). Even when cases are heard and ruled on, their ability to force compliance with their decisions tends to be limited, especially when directed against government itself (Henderson et al. 2004). In the best of situations they are most effective in providing relief to individuals, punishing rule violators, and determining the legality of actions and the constitutionality of laws. Since resorting to judicial means is costly, this “reactive” characteristic of the courts has tended to benefit those who can afford judicial recourses, that is, the relatively well-off. Since the rulings typically apply to individual cases one-by-one, even favorable rulings for upholding citizen rights to public services, for example, tend not to benefit the majority poor who have not participated in the particular court cases. Courts can hold political leaders accountable for breaches of the law, but it is rare that they can have much effect in forcing them to do what they will not or cannot undertake.
Against this general backdrop, judicial independence remains the subject of intense criticism and controversy in the region (Galindo 2003). More activist courts that have taken an atypically aggressive role in reviewing government policies and demanding provision of second and third generation rights to aggrieved citizens have been accused of unwarranted meddling in executive affairs and even a sort of judicial populism (Wilson and Handberg 1998). In a few countries where the judiciaries have high degrees of institutional independence from the executive (for example, Brazil, Colombia), judicial decisions have sometimes struck down important policy measures with effects that may have been welfare-enhancing for the general population (for example, by curtailing legally sanctioned privileges and by imposing unaffordable fiscal costs on the government, both current and future). Courts sticking to a more conservative definition of their functions, and often with less political independence, have been charged with an antipoor bias and an overly formalistic approach to their work (Buchanan 2001; Garro 1999; Pinheiro 1999; O’Donnell 2001). Both types have been accused of corruption, self-interested rulings, and extraordinary inefficiency. From the well-documented case of Peru in the late 1990s to the ongoing frequent accusations of Venezuela today, the judiciaries that lack independence from the executive branch are seen as threats to the integrity of democratic institutions and the rule of law. Methods used to deprive the judiciary of institutional independence vary from outright political purges of judges (for example, Bolivia went through 16 massive judiciary purges between 1936 and 1982), appointment of temporary judges whom the executive can remove arbitrarily as in Peru and Venezuela at the time of this writing, or blatant use of partisan criteria for appointing and removing judges as in Honduras, where until recently, the Supreme Court and the majority of the bench was renewed at each government change.

In many countries, establishing a modicum of judicial independence is a priority for assuring a minimum rule of law, though having independence is hardly sufficient to have well-functioning courts. Yet despite reform efforts, such as court modernization programs, independence of the courts remains questionable in most Latin American countries. Many countries in the region still experience limited capacity to provide equal access to justice to all citizens (Buchanan 2001; Garro 1999; Pinheiro 1999; O’Donnell 2001). Historical legacies of arbitrary behavior by the state and the ability of powerful elites to operate above or outside the law contribute to negative perceptions of the justice system (Domingo and Sieder 2001). As a result, courts tend not to play an active role as enforcers of state accountability vis-à-vis citizens, particularly poor ones (Correa Sutil 1999; Pasara 2002; Dodson and Jackson 2000).

In the last 20 years, legal and constitutional reforms across Latin America have generated quasi-autonomous institutions that monitor the exercise of public authority. The creation of an ombudsman office (“Defensor del Pueblo”) has probably been one of the most successful efforts to compensate for weak judiciaries. In 1985, Guatemala was the first Latin American country to create an ombudsman’s office, and in the 1990s, many countries followed suit. These offices investigate complaints over human rights, environmental protection, freedom of the press, and elections. Although institutional design varies from country to country, this office’s decisions or recommendations are never binding. In most countries, the legislative branch appoints the ombudsman, though the ombudsman operates as an independent entity. But, in most countries, ombudsman offices have very limited (legal and otherwise) capacity to enforce their findings (Shugart et al. 2000) and their effectiveness depends mostly on political dynamics and not on legal status.

Audit institutions (such as comptroller’s and inspector general offices) oversee budgeting and expenditure of public funds. Recent reforms have often involved changes from simply publicizing budget figures to evaluating efficiency or cost-effectiveness. Public auditors have also gained increased institutional autonomy. Prosecutor or
investigative agencies (often the Ministerio Público or Prokuraduría General) fulfill several roles, but the most important for democratic accountability has been their increasing role overseeing prominent government corruption investigations. In both cases, there are indications of weak capacities in these institutions (Payne et al. 2002).

Decentralization has moved issues down to the local level, adding a new layer of political relations that influences opportunities and capacities for citizens to influence policy makers. Seemingly dramatic transitions to new political and social equilibria have occurred in some cities or municipalities, leading some observers to see more potential for rapid change at local levels (Campbell 2003). When this happens, better service delivery systems typically result. However, it is still unclear how widespread the benefits of local level democratization, as exemplified in these cases, will be throughout the region. As the case of Bolivia previously discussed (see above) indicates, even a sharp national reform as Popular Participation may have limited impact on political influence of the poor, depending on local context. The oversight and participatory instruments it introduced—that is, the vigilance committees and the constructive vote of censure—sometimes became attractive targets for political parties and interest groups, thereby weakening accountability and transparency. In those localities where the traditional parties remained strong (and civic associations weak), vigilance committees tended to function less effectively either because the latter were co-opted by the traditional elites or because the municipal governments and the vigilance committees became arenas for open conflict. The constructive vote of censure, instead of being used as an ultimate means of holding mayors electorally accountable for their performance, was often abused as a tool of partisan conflict.

The “insufficiency of democracy” for service delivery for the poor is partly a reflection of the intrinsic complexity of shaping institutions for effective services—an issue that is on the agenda even in rich societies with relatively efficient states. But this challenge is exacerbated by Latin America’s sociopolitical conditions that have limited the scope for effective and equitable public actions. Widespread elections and political decentralization are important radical changes that have taken place in Latin America over the last two decades. In particular, they have created opportunities for creativity, innovation, and experimentation in country after country. But patronage, elite rule, and group-based exclusion appear to be resilient. While elections can be established overnight, rule of law and representative institutions require changes in political culture and habits that are harder to change. Ultimately, whether and how the service delivery institutions will be transformed as a result of the transitions from authoritarianism to democracy depends on the strength of mechanisms for vertical (electoral) and horizontal (within state) as well as social accountability.

A virtuous circle may be in the making, whereby social accountability mechanisms enable more effective state actions in service delivery

The mixed record of the new democracies in transforming the historical patterns of unequal and ineffective service delivery systems may be one of the reasons behind the growing uneasiness with political institutions identified in opinion polls throughout the region [United Nations Development Programme (PNUD) 2004]. The “discontent” with the observed weak state accountability mechanisms has led, in some cases, to conflict and political instability, which in extreme circumstances may reduce even further the state’s ability to guarantee minimum service standards even in basic services. At the same time, there is some evidence that the actions of organized citizens (for example, monitoring and exposing wrongdoing by the state) can trigger positive changes in government actions. In other words, the tension created by weak state accountability does not necessarily mean there is a zero (or worse, negative) sum balance of power between state and citizens. Rather, when civic actions trigger reactions...
of the more conventional vertical, and particularly intrastate, accountability mechanisms, a synergic process may take place.

Partly enabled by the democratization process, the last two decades have seen rising activity by civil society actors outside the state, from NGOs to identity-based movements (notably amongst indigenous groups). Classical social actors (those existing before the new wave of democratizations) appear to have lost some of their social significance and tended to corporatize, while new ones have appeared but are still rather unstable (Garretón 2002). For example, human rights organizations and civic networks that monitor elections to prevent fraud or police actions to prevent abuse and violence have emerged as important actors in countries such as Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Mexico, and Peru (Peruzzotti and Smulovitz 2001). The development of the public interest law movement (McClymont and Golub 2000) is another good example of how the emergence of civil society organizations—in this case, those that demand due process and “proper” procedures—is affecting accountability mechanisms. A free(er) and more inquisitive press (Peruzzotti and Smulovitz 2001) and independent think tanks are additional facts in postauthoritarian Latin America.

This more active civil society has provided the basis for what has come to be known as social accountability (Smulovitz and Peruzzotti 2000): the actions by a multiple array of citizens’ associations and movements and the media to monitor government actions and expose wrongdoing. The exercise of this type of accountability has typically followed three complementary strategies (Peruzzotti and Smulovitz 2001): legal approaches (involving the courts), social mobilization, and mediatization—the latter two as a means of calling attention to public wrongdoing. In all cases, these actions seek to activate the operation of intrastate accountability mechanisms directly (that is, by decisions of the relevant state control agencies, including the legal system) or indirectly by pressure operating through the political system. In other words, civic action in response to state failures appears to be directly oriented to encouraging responses by formal state accountability mechanisms.

Of particular interest and relevance for service delivery systems are a growing number of cases of civil society organizations involved in participatory monitoring and evaluation of service delivery (for example, through the use of report cards as exemplified in box 3.10, above), public policies, and budgets as well as of the transparency in the performance of public servants—a good sample of which were surveyed in World

---

**BOX 3.10 ¿Cómo Vamos? Report Cards, Scorecards, and Citizen Monitoring of Service Quality**

A report card is a survey soliciting citizen feedback on the performance of public services. Cards can provide feedback from users on: availability, reliability, and coverage of services; user satisfaction with service quality; responsiveness of service providers to problems; prevalence of hidden costs like corruption; and user willingness to pay. Report cards enable citizens to signal needed reforms to public agencies and politicians and may exact accountability through media coverage and civil society advocacy of service quality. Although their impact has not been rigorously evaluated, they show potential for increasing accountability and improving service quality.

Report cards were first implemented in Bangalore, India, as a response to growing demand on essential public services—demand that service agencies could not effectively meet. Beginning in 1993, Bangalore gathered citizen feedback through a citywide survey. That feedback pushed providers to improve the quality and availability of public services. It also empowered citizens to directly evaluate civil servants and hence altered the relationship between citizens and local government.

LAC Report Cards. Peru was the first Latin American country to implement report cards to assess national nutrition, education, health, and employment programs. Bogotá’s Cómo Vamos initiative soon followed in Colombia. In 1997, leaders from Bogotá’s El Tiempo newspaper, the Corona Foundation, and Chamber of Commerce decided to found a reporting system on public services. The logic was simple: if citizens paid taxes to support local services, why should citizens not play a direct role in evaluating those services? The resulting system, Bogotá Como Vamos, has collected survey data from citizens on the coverage and quality of health, education, security, and other services. The data have been collected both from an annual survey of citizens and city administrators, and from focus groups including citizens from all socioeconomic strata. El Tiempo and the local city television station disseminate survey results—each medium reaches about 3 million people—and Cómo Vamos sends a quarterly bulletin to 3,000 citizen organizations, libraries, research centers, and universities. The project costs about $57,000 annually—half for office and staff costs and the remainder for obtaining and disseminating data. The program’s main visible effects have been on publicizing information.

Colombia’s secretary of education now presents performance data collected by Cómo Vamos on the secretary’s public Web site. Cómo Vamos also published a citizens’ guide for the 2000 mayoral election with a summary on trends and achievements.

Citizen report cards are being planned in Argentina, Bolivia, Ecuador, Honduras, and Mexico. A related tool is community scorecards, which focus on monitoring performance of services at the facility or community level—the level of the school, health post, treatment plant, or other service facility. The scorecard process includes an interface meeting between service providers and community members that allows for immediate feedback and hence has the potential to be a strong instrument for empowerment. Scorecards will be implemented in 12 municipalities in Nicaragua, among other locations.
This has sometimes been associated with efforts by national or local governments to open up governance processes and make them more transparent and accountable (World Bank 2003b). In some countries, regions, and cities, efforts to open up government decision-making to citizens and civic organizations—unheard of until relatively recently—are emerging in very different settings.

Overall, these various cases reinforce the point that the success and sustainability of experiences of social accountability are heavily dependent on the development of synergistic relationships between autonomous and capable organizations of civil society and formally established state institutions (see World Bank 2003b). In other words, civil society pressures, demands, and actions matter the most when they enable and empower the state’s own accountability mechanisms (Fox 2000).

The latter point is well illustrated by the experience and difficulties encountered by civil society in its interaction with the regulatory and control agencies set up by the state in the case of infrastructure services [see Asociación de Entes Reguladores de Agua Potable y Saneamiento de las Américas (ADERASA) forthcoming; Collado 2003]. Under the reforms implemented

**Box 3.11 Opening Up to Social Accountability: Peru, 2001–04**

**The problem.** In 2002, 34 percent of Peruvians listed corruption as the biggest national problem, making it third after unemployment and poverty. Most Peruvians expressed distrust of political parties (81 percent), Congress (73 percent), and the police (66 percent). Furthermore, Peruvians described receiving poor service from political parties (81 percent), Congress (73 percent), and the police (66 percent). Furthermore, Peruvians described receiving poor service from politicians, criminal justice officials (42 percent), and judges (42 percent).

**Peru’s Response: Building Accountability.** In response to the lack of confidence in democratic institutions, Peru instituted a variety of mechanisms seeking to improve government operations and government accountability.

- **National Roundtable for Poverty Alleviation:** In January 2001, the transitional government of President Valentín Paniagua institutionalized a roundtable of intellectuals, government agencies, local governments, representatives of civil society, religious groups, and international representatives to develop a consensus about social policies as well as to provide oversight to their implementation.
- **Local poverty alleviation roundtables.** The National Roundtable helped organize district- and provincial-level roundtables of public officials and civil society representatives to cooperatively design local social programs and strategic development plans. By 2004, over 1,000 roundtables were in operation.
- **Participatory budgeting:** Since the November 2002 regional and municipal elections, all subnational governments have been required by law to work with citizens and NGOs to design agency budgets as part of Peru’s decentralization process. This process has involved citizens in the prioritization and allocation of local investments and the design of local development projects that municipal budgets finance. Regulations and a manual on participatory budget formulation were issued, and initiatives to improve quality of participation are under way.
- **The National Agreement:** Upon arriving in power in July 2001, newly elected President Alejandro Toledo appealed to all political parties represented in the National Congress and civil society to design a “National Agreement” of long-term policies. The agreement, consisting of 30 state policies in a variety of areas, was signed in September 2002; a matrix of indicators was also developed. In May 2004, a decision was made to establish a monitoring system to track social policy implementation. The system involves both government and civil society actors.
- **Freedom of Public Information Law.** In 2003, Peru passed a Law on Transparency and Access to Information, allowing citizens and public officials to access information on government operations at all levels. There is a clear need to improve the government efficiency in responding to an exponential increase in demand for information from citizens, political parties, and civil society organizations.
- **Transparent financial Accounting for public expenditures:** Peru required national and subnational governments to record expenditures through a transparent financial management system (SIAF) that regularly compares public spending to budgeting and physical goals. For social programs, the information has details down to the district level. The system is transparent and open to all citizens through the Internet, so that anyone can monitor spending. In 2003, the site was made user-friendly to encourage its use by civil society through a portal called “Consulta Amigable.”
- **Report cards on social programs:** Peru’s National Household Survey incorporated questions that capture information about users’ satisfaction with health and food programs. Representative regional level data gathered through the survey will be available to the public in 2005. The information will be used by the national monitoring system, which tracks the quality of public expenditures.

These social accountability mechanisms sought to improve the quality of public services, and at the same time, to give legitimacy to a democratic government by involving citizens in program design, implementation, and monitoring. However, given that the reforms have only recently occurred, the extent of their effects will not be clear for some time.

during the 1990s, the regulatory agency is intended to act as an arbitrator between the conflicting interests of consumers and service providers. However, for this model to function effectively, it is necessary for consumers to have a spokesperson that can articulate their interests, and counterbalance the industry perspective, which is usually very strongly represented by the service providers. Without this countervailing influence, there may be a significant risk of regulatory capture by industry interests. In other words, without social accountability, formal “horizontal accountability” mechanisms are unlikely to be fully effective, at least not in a systematic manner.

In Latin America, there was considerable growth in the number of consumer organizations during the 1990s. However, in many countries their presence is still incipient, and in general, they suffer from a shortage of funding, which in turn limits their ability to access the human resources they need to engage in complex regulatory debates. Most countries in the region continue to lack the legal framework that would give regulators a clear basis for interacting with civil society. There are very few examples where the regulator has established a permanent channel of interaction with civil society and in those cases in which such interaction is formalized, ensuring the independence and sustained credibility of both consumer representatives and regulators remains a challenge. Following the U.S. model, public hearings to consult on major regulatory decisions are already widely used in a number of countries including Argentina, Brazil, Colombia, Costa Rica, and Peru. In countries such as Bolivia and Panama, more informal public consultation meetings are held. However, consumer associations often complain that regulators do not provide accessible information sufficiently far ahead to allow them to prepare for these events.

Moreover, public hearings are sometimes perceived as a formality that does not ultimately affect the decisions that are taken. Furthermore, there is little evidence that, when held, such hearings may have led to inquiries or actions by, for example, the relevant congressional committee—a key force for accountability in the U.S. model.

A further concern is the frequent proximity between consumer associations and political parties, which may potentially undermine their ability to act as independent representatives of consumer interests. In a number of countries, the creation of an ombudsman (“Defensor del Pueblo”), as an independent publicly funded spokesperson for consumer interests, has helped to compensate for some of these problems. A lack of tradition in consumer activism remains, nonetheless, a bottleneck particularly for poorer and subordinate groups. For example, a case study of the Bolivian experience with complaint mechanisms after privatization found that there were at least three times more complaints per 1,000 customers originating from the relatively higher income city of La Paz than from its poorer twin city of El Alto, both served by the same utility companies (Foster and Irusta 2003).

Overall, the evidence reviewed presents us with a “glass is half-full, glass is half-empty” situation. Clearly, the political transformations of the last two decades have positioned countries in Latin America much better to enhance the citizen voice’s role and with it the strength of the “long route” to greater accountability. At the same time, a long history of weak states and social and economic inequalities presents itself as a heavy burden in most countries. There are signs suggesting that stronger social accountability mechanisms—like the ones described above—create pressures that could trigger the operation of horizontal (intrastate) accountability mechanisms and, more broadly, pressures for reforming the state. If sustained, these changes could further enable civil society (and particularly the poor) to actively and productively engage in influencing policies and outcomes. As suggested by Fox (2000), this type of virtuous circle requires rejecting the still widely held assumption that state and society are necessarily engaged in a zero-sum balance of power. This points us in the direction of complementary efforts to enhance various accountability mechanisms: long- and short-route approaches complementing and reinforcing each other. The next chapter explores this idea in more detail.
Notes

1. For a succinct historical account of public sector reforms in Latin America, see Spink (1999).

2. Better financial management systems, however, have proven rather powerless in controlling questionable expenditure management when powerful officials are determined to circumvent rules of good financial management. Such was the case in Peru in the 1990s, when the executive branch circumvented its effects on internal control by resorting to various informal means ranging from highly centralized cash management to the decision to leave certain “political” expenditures outside SIAF coverage’s scope and the use of presidential decrees to modify budgets with minimal congressional oversight. See World Bank 2001a.

3. The extent of union power depends on both the economic and political markets. In areas with less competition—education more than health, old-style public monopolies, and national oil companies—workers have more leverage.

4. In the case of the Minas Gerais reform analyzed by Grindle and Mason (2004), there were a number of factors leading to a more positive role of teacher unions than in most of the other cases she studied. In particular, the union was supportive of the competitive selection of school principals and of introducing broader community participation, as it counteracted previous abusive employment practices by clientelistic local politicians.

5. Among the reasons cited for the positive role the Chilean teacher’s union played is its relatively high degree of professional and technical competence, related to its reliance on its professional reputation for its legitimacy. Compared to most teacher unions in the region that tend to concentrate their focus on bread-and-butter issues of remuneration and work conditions, the Chilean teacher’s union openly and systematically engages in serious and considered debates on education policy and is thus a respected voice in Chile’s education policy network (Crouch 2004).

6. It should be recognized that conventional “command and control” service delivery approaches do work in the case of specific services, whereby informational problems are limited and social demands for immediate results strong. A good example has been polio eradication in LAC (Olive et al. 1997).

7. The only exceptions to this general pattern are Chile, which decentralized water to the regional rather than municipal level, and a number of Central American countries (Panama and Nicaragua), which still retain single national providers. In Brazil, the decentralization was from the state to the municipal level and is still only partial.

8. The decentralization of education services, for example, presents a variety of experiences. A first group of countries (Argentina, Brazil, Chile, and Mexico) placed a subnational level of government at the center of the decentralization process. A second group of countries (most notably Bolivia and Colombia) have operated, de facto, with a system of shared responsibilities between local, regional, and national governments. More specifically, in the case of both countries, the management of human resources was attributed primarily to the regional level and the management of school infrastructure primarily to the municipal level, with the responsibility for the planning, pedagogical, and curricular aspects of the educational process shared mostly across the central/regional/local level. A third group of countries (principally in Central America) have decentralized responsibilities to the school level, adopting an approach of school-based management with little influence of subnational governments.

9. We use the term “local government” loosely here to refer to a broad range of subnational state actors.

10. In a number of countries including Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Colombia, Ecuador, Peru, and Venezuela, conflictive relations and political rivalries between the national and subnational governments have reduced the scope for effective intergovernmental coordination. The essentially political nature of these relations has also made it difficult for these countries to resolve the perennial problem of vertical imbalance in resource and responsibility assignments across government levels, as reassignment of resources and responsibilities often implied zero-sum games between competing political actors. See, for example, Abrucio (1999) for a thesis on “competitive and predatory federalism” in Brazil and, similarly, Tommasi et al. (2001) for the case of Argentina.

11. In many cases, only a small minority of municipalities have taken any measures to modernize their water utilities, whether by private sector participation or by corporatizing the public provider. This has led to situations where the national regulatory agency applies modern incentive-based regulation to utilities that are
still managed along traditional “clientilistic” lines and have no real incentive to meet regulatory targets. In extreme cases, there may even be jurisdiction conflicts. For example, Peru’s national regulator found that when it authorized municipal water companies to increase their tariffs towards cost-reflective levels, in 30 percent of cases the boards of these companies voted to keep their tariffs below the regulatory price cap for political reasons.

12. Brazil and Mexico have federal banks (Caixa and Banobras), which to some extent condition access to credit against financial indicators. Ecuador is currently developing a mechanism that would allocate central government capital transfers across municipalities based on a formula that captures the level of financial and institutional performance of the utilities, taking poverty levels into account. A similar scheme has been proposed for Bolivia.

13. In countries where decentralization has taken place, there are a number of cases where local mayors have played a pivotal role in water sector reform processes, such as Cartagena in Colombia. In countries that have thus far retained a centralized service provider, such as Honduras and the Dominican Republic, there has been an increasing tendency for individual municipalities to opt out in the hope of being able to provide a better service at the local level. An interesting example is the city of Puerto Cortes on the Atlantic Coast of Honduras; following a hurricane that interrupted the city’s water supply, the mayor succeeded in negotiating a temporary transfer of operation and maintenance responsibilities from the national water utility and reached an agreement with the population that tariffs could be raised as long as services improved. Thereafter, a full transfer of responsibilities to the municipality was achieved by means of a congressional decree, and the utility was converted into a limited company with mixed municipal and workforce ownership.

14. Comparing the decade leading up to reform to the decade that followed reform, the expansion rate of service connections increased by a factor of two for water and sewerage services, a factor of four for fixed-line telephony, and a factor of 27 for cellular telephony, while it declined slightly for electricity. A similar comparison for labor productivity shows that the improvement rate of this index increased by almost threefold for electricity, by more than fivefold in the fixed-line telephony sector, and by more than tenfold in the cellular telephony sector; however, for the water sector, the improvement is more modest. There have also been significant improvements in the continuity of service, particularly in the electricity sector.

15. McKenzie and Mookherjee (2002) find that the increase in access to water following private sector participation in La Paz and El Alto in Bolivia was significantly higher than the previous historical trend for those cities and to the trend observed in nonprivatized cities over the same period. Moreover, a recent study from Argentina showed that (controlling for other relevant factors) municipalities that had privatized their water services had significantly lower infant mortality rates than those that retained the service in public hands, the main explanation being improved service quality (Galiani et al. 2002).

16. Foster (2002) found that the typical period of tenure of water regulators was two to four years, compared with five- to six-year legal terms. This kind of evidence illustrates that it is difficult to legislate against the prevailing political culture and that regulators may come under pressure to “resign voluntarily” long before their legal term has expired, particularly following changes of administration. Nevertheless, while two- to four-year terms may fall short of expectations, they still represent a greater degree of stability than is the case for sector ministers or public utility managers, who typically have a higher turnover rate.

17. It should be emphasized that responsiveness to “communities” is not always, or not necessarily, the same as responsiveness to the articulated preferences of poor groups in the population. “Communities” are heterogeneous and embedded in unequal social and political structures. There is undoubtedly substantial variation in the ways in which different social funds engage with (different groups in) local communities.

18. While Bolsa Escola in Brazil was probably the first in the region, Oportunidades (previously PROGRESA) in Mexico has become the most famous example (with similar schemes in poorer countries, including Honduras and Nicaragua).

19. The basic alteration of traditional accountability relationships described above is complemented by a series of innovations that CCT programs have adopted to address many of the shortcomings of traditional social assistance programs: addressing both current and future poverty, more sophisticated systems for targeting the poor, providing cash, fostering syner-
gies in human development, and using evaluations strategically.

20. This is related to concerns about the geographical selection criteria that most CCT programs applied, which can leave out poor areas with limited health and education supply capacity or limited financial infrastructure. For a discussion of some of the targeting concerns in the specific case of Oportunidades-PROGRESA, see Adato (2000) and Adato, de la Brière, Mindedek, and Quisumbing (2000).

21. To address many of these concerns, CCT programs have set up channels through groups of mothers’ representatives that act as information conduits between the centrally administered program and the local beneficiaries. This system may have led to women’s empowerment and provided a communication channel with local communities, but it operates independently of elected local officials.

22. For a review of the institutional development impact of social funds, see Carvalho et al. (2002).

23. Tendler also argues that there are some structural features of many social funds that make them particular conducive to patronage—the delivery of "obras," the queues, or lists of applicants to be chosen from, and the capacity to turn on the money in pre-election periods.

24. These providers often provide the only realistic short-term supply alternative for low-income customers, yet they often operate in a climate of informality. This limits the possibility of regulating these activities, for example, to ensure that safe drinking water is provided. It also complicates the formation of potentially helpful partnerships between formal utilities and independent providers, whereby, for example, the utility provides a bulk supply of potable water that independent providers then distribute to peri-urban areas.

25. Namely, the existence of soft budget constraints at the municipal level, restrictions on municipal decision making, and the lack of autonomy and accountability of municipal schools.

26. See Di Gropello (2002) for the negative impact of the teacher statute and Sapelli and Vial (2002) for the negative impact of central interventions on competition through the implied differences in per-capita budget between the two types of schools.

27. There is still significant controversy about both the sources and long-term impact of this segmentation on the financial protection of, and service use by, the poor (Massad 1996; Baeza and Cabezas 1998; Bitran et al. 2000; Vega et al. 2003). It should be noted that, particularly during the 1990s, increased targeted public financing for FONASA resulted in significant, progressive anti-poverty effects of public health expenditures.

28. Over 20 years, water services have been provided to 1.3 million rural communities, thereby reaching full coverage of the rural concentrated population.

29. In Honduras, for example, insufficient capacity in the Ministry of Education contributed to substantial delays in salary payments to autonomous schools and teachers being more absent for training needs (Di Gropello and Marshall 2004).

30. Development of this emphasis was affected (not without endogeneity) by many factors—including the rise of democratization throughout the region; the involvement of “community participation” specialists to help improve methods in social funds, but who had a tendency to see community participation as a good in and of itself; and rising emphasis in the international development community on notions such as social capital, empowerment, and so on.

31. In the case of Nicaragua, decentralization to the school level was also functional to the process of “de-Sandinization” that the Chamorro administration initiated. See Grindle and Mason (2004) and Kaufman and Nelson 2004.

32. As, for example, in the case of Guatemala with PRONADE, which was put under severe strain when there was a change in government.

33. Cuba has had exceptional results in delivery of quality education and health services, under authoritarian auspices (World Bank 2003a)—although possibly at extremely high costs in other dimensions of development. Chile under Pinochet, while presiding over distributional worsening along some dimensions, did have areas of relatively effective public action for the poor (Sen and Drèze 1989). Both were building on previous histories of relatively effective social development.

34. In Brazil, for example, the democratic transition involved as much as anything a failure to resolve distributional conflicts—with the 1988 constitution reflecting such a multiplicity of pressures. Expansion of debt and an unusual capacity to raise taxes (by Latin American standards) instead supported a mix of measures...
that sought to provide something to all groups, including expanded education for the poor. But this was done without tackling the multiple areas of opportunity-hoarding or vested interest in the society. Highly subsidized college education has traditionally been one of these elite preserves in Brazil, and the numbers indicate a sharp rise in per student spending.

35. Recent work that tries to model clientelism’s effects on policy outcomes under different assumptions all point to the same conclusion: clientelism results in less than optimal levels of public goods production (Keefer 2002; Robinson and Verdier 2002; Ames 2003).

36. An example of budget management with executive discretion is Peru in the 1990s. Between 1994 and 2001, the Executive issued 748 urgency decrees, 27 percent of which directly amended the budget and 41 percent had a clear effect on the budget or public finances (World Bank 2001a). An example of substantive legislation affecting budget allocation and even fiscal sustainability was the passage of a teacher statute in Honduras in the late 1990s; this granted generous salary increases and other employment benefits without corresponding measures to enhance teacher performance.

37. Ombudsman offices were established in Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, Ecuador, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Mexico, Panama, Peru, and Venezuela (Smulovitz and Peruzzotti 2000).

38. In Peru, for example, Alberto Fujimori’s government blocked the Peruvian legislature from acting on the Ombudsman’s recommendation to prosecute actions of the armed forces and intelligence organizations.

39. For example, Porto Alegre in Brazil is a case of an apparent transition in which the participatory budgeting “instrument” played a role. The design of the participatory budgeting process—by which delegates are allocated to the budget council in proportion to the participation level of associations in open forums—has stimulated associational activity. It has also had the effect of promoting horizontal coalition building, in contrast to the past when neighborhoods processed demands vertically through political patrons with the effect of severely compromis-

ing their associational autonomy and weakening civil society.

40. Both the Brazilian (1988) and Colombian (1991) constitutions introduced legal figures (Acciones de tutela and Acao Direta de Inconstitucionalidade) that allow for citizens’ legal actions in response to failures to enforce rights.

41. Some innovations have targeted the typically hard-to-reach legislatures (Mendez 2000). A good example is the case of Poder Ciudadano in Argentina, with the creation of databases with the voting records of members of Congress, which has been taken up by civil society organizations in Colombia, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Guatemala, Mexico, and Panama.

42. Relatively little attention was given to consumer issues in the original regulatory frameworks. An important reason for this is that, with the exception of Brazil, utility reform predates the establishment of broader consumer defense legislation. In a number of countries (such as Argentina, Colombia, Costa Rica, and Peru), consumer defense legislation was introduced a few years after utility reform, obliging regulators to review their current practices in this area, as well as providing them with new legal instruments to facilitate civil society participation.

43. An interesting exception is the case of ETOSS, the water regulator in Buenos Aires, which in 1999 established a Consumers Commission that was made up of one representative from each consumer association active in the water and sanitation sector. ETOSS funds the commission and the commission has the opportunity to review and comment on all of the major decisions that the Board of Directors makes. In a few isolated cases, such as the state regulator in Rio Grande do Sul in Brazil, the provincial regulator in Cordoba, Argentina, and (for a brief period) the telecom regulator in Peru, consumer associations have been invited to join the board of directors of the regulatory agencies.

44. In response to these criticisms, Costa Rica has introduced a consumer advisor in the regulatory agency staff; the advisor’s role is to help consumer groups analyze and understand the information issued ahead of each public audience.
Over the last two decades Latin American countries have seen some radical transformations in the state’s organization and structure as well as in the relationship between the state, citizens, and markets. First, the region has moved from being a set of countries where democracy was the exception, to one in which it is the rule. Second, LAC governments have become significantly more decentralized in political, administrative, and fiscal terms. Third, there was a process of redefining the state’s role that involved increased roles of private service providers and a movement toward enhancing the role of competition in many areas of economic activity, including the provision of key services. Fourth, there has been rising activity by civil society actors, both in services delivery and as actors seeking different policies. These transformations created opportunities for change in the accountability mechanisms that link policy makers, citizens, users of services, and providers. Figure 4.1 graphically illustrates some of these changes. The accountability relationship between citizens and policy makers has been altered by the generalization of national elections (and by the various mechanisms of intrastate controls implied by constitutional rule) and the strengthening of civic rights; it has also been altered by the introduction of local elections (and the increased role of local governments enabled by administrative decentralization) and the growth and increased activity of civil society organizations acting as watchdogs and intermediaries between citizens and politicians. The evidence suggests that expanded

Figure 4.1  A Network of Influence and Accountability Mechanisms
政治声音的产生是过去几十年来政府在扩展服务覆盖方面的一个重要因素。政治参与是改善服务交付的必要条件，特别是在政治和社会背景下。

《世界发展报告》（WDR）指出，政治参与对于服务交付改革的长期目标，有助于提高政府绩效和公众意见的透明度，从而加强政策制定者和提供者的问责制。通过公共意见的获取和利用，以及透明信息的访问，能够促进计划和政策决策的制定。

BOX 4.1 Lessons for Donors and International Organizations

- **What to do?** Reform lending and analytical work
  
  **Mainstream institutional analysis** as a key component of the project cycle. Beyond traditional economic and technical analyses, a direct implication of our analysis is that “donors” would be well-served by a better understanding of the political economy characterizing accountability relationships between policy makers, provider organizations, front-line providers, and citizens. Project work would be more relevant and effective if donors were to systematically consider the incentives and individual country and sector contexts that affect service delivery. Seemingly “neutral” or “technical” analysis will often fail to take account of the institutional determinants of success or failure.

- **Tools that can help?** Monitoring and evaluation
  
  **Promote impact evaluations.** Promoting structured learning from rigorous impact evaluations of key interventions is critical to generating knowledge on development effectiveness—especially where this is integrated into mechanisms for a variety of forms of debate on the results, from communities to technical seminars to parliaments or congresses. Getting the basic material to feed such debates requires more investment in both evaluation design and implementation, notably because of the public good aspects of these evaluations, which remain undersupplied without subsidization.

- **3. How to do it?** Engage clients
  
  Strengthen accountability and client “voice” through access to information. Public access to transparent information on government performance and, conversely, supporting government’s access to public opinion are key to strengthening the accountability of policy makers and service providers to beneficiaries.

**Process-oriented, responsive client partnerships.** There are no quick and unique fixes to service delivery problems. Technical advice alone is no longer sufficient; instead, donors can increase their effectiveness by adopting a partner role based on regular, open, sensitive, and in-depth policy dialogue with clients. This process-oriented (as opposed to a task-oriented) approach is likely to become more appropriate as institutional reform issues become more important in moving forward the reform agenda.
the weaknesses encountered along the “long route” may impose often serious bottlenecks even to apparently successful innovations empowering service users, particularly poor ones.

Looking forward, further progress is unlikely to happen if governments keep relying only on increasing resources allocated to the provision of services and more effective delivery systems are not developed. This is the case particularly when fiscal constraints limit the capacity to respond by simply adding more resources and for services for which ensuring quality and relevance is essential. It is in this context that the report stresses the importance of assessing the extent to which service delivery systems are today better positioned to address the many remaining challenges facing Latin American countries, particularly in terms of serving the poor. In this final chapter, we summarize our assessment of how changes in key accountability relations that have occurred in Latin America since the early 1980s affected service delivery, and discuss the implications from this assessment for future reform efforts. In some cases this involves entering a more speculative terrain.

**Routes to Better Service Delivery**

Overall, public bureaucracies remain a bottleneck for effective service delivery in most countries. As shown in chapter 2 of the report, in most LAC countries governments have increased the amount of resources allocated to expanding coverage of basic services. Conventional, centralized public sector service delivery (involving pure public financing, delivered through public sector organizations and subject to standard public employment practices) has played a role in the substantial expansion in service coverage in many sectors and countries. But the weak quality of public LAC bureaucracies has not shown much improvement. This is despite the significant progress in state modernization reform that upgraded governments’ institutional capacities considerably, in some areas. It is likely that such upgrading will have substantial effects over the longer term in the quality of services that the state delivers. But very few countries in the region have been able to move decisively toward developing a results-oriented public administration or achieve significant depoliticization of the state apparatus. Some “islands of efficiency” (such as some social funds) have been created in the public sector with consequent positive service delivery results. But their ability to sustain those results is often limited without reforms in the operation of “mainstream” state agencies. Decentralization to subnational governments has changed structures, but in most cases without radically changing this conclusion—at least not so far. Many local governments have indeed grabbed the opportunities created by decentralization and introduced a variety of innovative service delivery approaches. But overlaps and gaps in responsibilities, inadequate or insufficient authorities and resources, few (enforceable) mechanisms to promote performance orientation, and the persistence of clientelistic relationships both at local and central levels (as well as between government levels) remain bottlenecks across the region.

As documented in chapter 3, the many experiments Latin American countries have attempted with alternatives to conventional public sector delivery have, overall, produced positive results, though with a wide variation, depending especially on the sociopolitical and institutional context. Contracting out to the private and NGO sectors, promoting competition and choice, and enabling consumer participation in the management of services have been tried—with some notable successes—in various sectors throughout the region. While the empirical evidence is hardly conclusive, it is fair to say that (i) the balance of evidence between contracting out and service delivery in-house in the state is mostly in favor of the first, under current conditions in most countries; (ii) the experience shows that there is considerable scope for expanding private sector participation in service provision, provided this occurs in a sound regulatory environment; (iii) giving individuals choice over who they get their services from has generated, in the limited areas where it has been implemented, powerful pressures
for results on front-line providers; and (iv)
direct client involvement in the manage-
ment of services can yield positive results
when the services involved allow a relatively
small production scale and rather simple
management challenges.

There is often a temptation to try to
“pick winners” and point our evaluative fin-
ger in the direction of one service delivery
approach—a silver bullet. Specifically, the
difficulties in reforming state institutions
and the relative success in the various alter-
avative delivery models reviewed above may
lead some to argue that the solution to Latin
America’s many remaining service delivery
gaps resides solely in a scaling up of the
“client power” and “contracting out or pri-
vatization” experiences. While it is easy to
become enthusiastic with the results of
individual positive experiments, the evi-
dence is too strong regarding the impor-
tance of context to simply argue for scaling
up or replicating “best practices.” First, it is
often hard to replicate the specific condi-
tions that enabled the initiation and effec-
tive implementation of the innovative
efforts reviewed in chapter 3 (be they PRO-
GRESA/Oportunidades in Mexico, water
privatization in La Paz and El Alto in
Bolivia, or EDUCO schools in El Salvador).
How such reforms will be shaped and
implemented will depend crucially on the
social, political, and public sector context at
national and local levels. Put another way,
the reasons for success often lie in the par-
ticular ways that groups interact in each
society, rather than the specifics of technical
design, however important that may be.
Second, in the long run most such innova-
tions would, in the end, be ineffectual with-
out reforms in the operation of “main-
stream” state agencies that are more deeply
beholden to the fundamentals of interac-
tions between citizens, policy makers, and
service delivery agencies (public or private).
In situations where the state’s capacity to
establish and enforce regulations, to priori-
tize and coordinate policy actions across
ministries, sectors, and government levels,
and to establish and sustain compensatory
mechanisms is weak, there are dangers to
these routes—a lack of sustainability, new
forms of capture, and social stratification,
among others. In the end, it is unrealistic
and unlikely that LAC countries can get
away without both stronger state accountabil-
ity and complementary action to create
effective core public bureaucracies, even
when deciding to move decisively in the
direction of delegating major responsibili-
ties for delivery to nonstate actors.

The political transformations of the last
two decades have positioned Latin Ameri-
can countries much better to enhance state
accountability. At the same time, a long his-
tory of weak states and social and economic
inequalities presents itself as a heavy burden
in most countries. While elections can be
established overnight, rule of law, an effec-
tive system of checks and balances, and rep-
resentative institutions require changes in
political culture and habits that are harder to
change. This will no doubt require more cit-
izen influence on government decisions and
actions, including from poor and excluded
citizens. Stronger voice (as a precondition
for more accountable politicians) is needed
not just to reform the state bureaucracy, but
also to ensure that contracting out, choice,
and participation do benefit the poor. This
does not mean that until and unless state
accountability is fully ensured—that is, until
Latin American countries become fully
mature and consolidated democracies—
efforts to reform service delivery are bound
to fail. On the contrary, there are many ways
in which short- and long-term goals can be
made complementary. To give one example,
community participation approaches to ser-
vice delivery (as in community-run schools
in El Salvador) cannot only have good
short-term results but, depending on how
they are structured, can also help build indi-
vidual, community, and state capacities over
the longer run.

In the last analysis, using the WDR ter-
mminology, the short route (clients exerting
direct control over providers) should be
conceived as a complement rather than a
substitute for the long route to accountabil-
ity (citizens exerting control over providers
through politicians), a potential shortcut
that will only be consolidated if linked to
more fundamental changes. Moreover, our
reading of the evidence makes us skeptical
of an approach whereby reformers put all
their eggs in one transformational basket. Rather, we are inclined to support the notion of “strategic incrementalism” (World Bank 2003a): continued efforts to deepen societywide conditions for accountability (deepen democracy, citizen participation, and state reform), while at the same time pursuing opportunities for specific service delivery reforms and program innovations that push for choice, client involvement in decision making, and performance-based contracts both in and outside the public sector. In other words, pragmatic incremental reforms while unlikely to fully address service delivery problems, can contribute both to short-term results and to creating conditions for deeper and more favorable change over time. In this context, complementarities will arise to the extent that such innovations are designed in ways that contribute to strengthening both state and individuals’ capacities as citizens and consumers. Seen from this perspective, the experiences reviewed in this report provide valuable lessons for the design of alternative service delivery approaches.

**Public sector management reforms: seeking stronger links with concrete and observable service delivery improvements**

The evidence suggests that reforming state bureaucracies and developing a performance orientation are naturally difficult endeavors, from which quick results should not be expected. The primary reasons for state reform failures are political and less so technical. Addressing the problems associated with weak traditions of bureaucratic autonomy and the highly politicized management of government offices involves touching on vested interests. But when the political system does not force accountability mechanisms to function effectively, technocratic approaches to state reforms are unlikely to produce lasting results. It is hard to aspire to a Weberian bureaucracy in charge of service delivery when state accountability mechanisms are not fully developed and functional. This represents a major challenge for Latin America’s young democracies that still experience too many barriers in the citizen and policy maker relationship. As a result, in most countries the case is weak for ambitious new public management–type reform measures being the solution to poor service delivery systems over the short to medium term.

This assessment, however, should not be construed as an argument against public administration modernization efforts. Rather, the implication is that Latin American countries should indeed continue investing in general state capacities, but with stronger links to specific in-sector reforms and linked to service delivery results. Specifically, we hypothesize that the likelihood that investments in improving the quality of administrative and financial systems will have significant and/or lasting impact is greater if and when they are linked to reforms in specific service delivery systems. Indeed, management reforms tied to better service delivery outcomes can create new constituencies and the consequent political support without which their sustainability would be questioned. This hypothesis is corroborated by the relative success of administrative reforms tied to substantive policy reform agendas. Examples include financial management reforms, such as the introduction of computerized financial management information systems as a potent instrument for expenditure control and thus broader fiscal adjustment efforts in the region, or the establishment of regulatory agencies as part of a broader privatization policy in several countries in the region. Similar examples related to service delivery as such are more limited, but the same logic would apply.

In some circumstances, the strengthening of an “enclave” in the administration may be required to achieve a specific service delivery goal. For example, this could be the case when political or social conditions require quick results on the ground that mainstream agencies would be hard pressed to achieve. Experience, though, suggests that the creation of islands of efficiency may result in the perpetuation of parallel bureaucracies with consequent efficiency losses. The response cannot be simply rejecting altogether the approach. Instead, what is required is facing the dilemma between short-run results and long-term
institutional development, and designing upfront clear guidelines and strong incentives for transitions from islands of efficiency to the mainstreaming of administrative reforms.

**Service delivery decentralization: creating better conditions for performance-orientation at all government levels**

Decentralization is no panacea. It can be as susceptible to (local) elite capture and corruption as national service delivery. But it is a political fact of life, and for many services, it has the potential for both more effectively responding to local demands and fostering local structures of more equal and effective accountability. Moreover, under the right conditions, decentralization can provide a context for innovation and experimentation. At the core of successful decentralization is a combination of an improved compact between government levels and strengthened local accountability to all citizens. Depending on the country and sector, this may require simpler and more transparent use of intergovernmental transfers, the enforcement of hard budget constraints for local governments, clear definitions of responsibilities (including through legal and/or administrative instruments), strengthening the capacity of central government monitoring and auditing, and developing information and evaluation systems. It may also require specific intervention instruments (by national authorities or federal bodies) when service delivery failures threaten the well-being of citizens. Last but not least, it requires attention to the other side of empowerment: the capacity of poorer groups to participate in local decision making.

Characteristics of different services make them more or less prone to local delivery, particularly depending on the presence of economies of scale. In fact, it is typically specific aspects or functions in broad services that involve such economies of scale. To give just one example, while day-to-day operations of schools can be managed in a very decentralized fashion, aspects such as curriculum design or student learning assessment systems are more effectively handled in a more centralized manner. In many countries, there is great heterogeneity in the size of subnational entities (for example, municipal governments ruling over large cities and very small localities with dispersed populations), which are in a very different position to deliver the various aspects of particular services. Considering the limited experience with decentralization programs that follow asymmetric rules among subnational entities with the same legal status, addressing these heterogeneities may require the active monitoring and engagement of central authorities.

The latter comment highlights another important lesson: making decentralization work is not a question of getting the blueprint right and then letting things happen. A more proactive role of central governments and NGOs to improve information flows between subnational entities and among citizens could contribute to strengthening the agency of the poor at the local level—a key factor for decentralization to materialize in welfare improvements for the poor. Central governments (and a range of nongovernmental actors) can also enhance the benefits from decentralized innovation by facilitating cross-jurisdictional learning and exchange of experiences. At the same time, central governments may often need to be proactive to help changing behaviors amongst local actors, for example, through the use of financial and other incentives, such as conditional matching grants, or even direct engagement in recruitment, in sharing information, and in support for mobilization. In the end, however, more time may be needed for decentralization’s benefits to materialize in a more generalized fashion.

**Delivery by nonstate providers: ensuring adequate regulatory and compensatory mechanisms are in place to increase service delivery effectiveness and equity**

There is solid evidence showing the positive impact of reforms oriented to increasing the participation of nonstate providers (private for-profit and not-for-profit organizations) in service delivery, when the condi-
tions are right. Privatization and contracting out reforms can improve efficiency and quality of service delivery, particularly when clients’ choice is enhanced. At the same time, privatization has sometimes led to increased concentrations of market (and perhaps political) power in conglomerates and has sometimes been associated with corruption. Not all forms of concessions have proved to be sustainable. Some countries have experienced difficulties in managing contracts over time. Increased social stratification may be an undesirable outcome of increased competition and choice when informational asymmetries are significant and differences large in purchasing power among consumers. The answer is not to throw out the baby with the bath water, as suggested in some critical analyses of private sector participation in service delivery, but rather to reap the lessons of experience to improve the way that such arrangements are designed.

First, it is essential to ensure that the benefits of private sector participation are equitably distributed between all the key stakeholders, namely, current customers, potential customers, employees, investors, and the state. This is likely to require a combination of regulations and subsidies, particularly to ensure affordability among low-income households. Second, greater efforts must be made to improve the efficacy of regulatory agencies that are key to providing a stable operating environment for contractors and enforcing contract provisions (including price and quality of services) to benefit all consumers. Third, there needs to be greater transparency throughout the entire process, from the transaction design, to the allocation of any resulting proceeds, to the subsequent regulatory decisions that affect the evolution of the contract. This will involve providing the public with better and more accessible information, establishing formal channels for citizen participation, and supporting the capacity of citizen-based groups to interpret the policies and practices of privatized firms that often require technical analysis. Stronger voice by citizens benefiting from choice and competition may be an important factor in protecting such reforms from interest groups seeking to reverse them (as occurred in the Colombia education voucher case) and ensuring their sustainability.

There are likely to be important differences between services in the extent (and nature) of potentially useful public interventions. The more that a service is like a classic commodity, the more likely are choice and competition models to work. This is most true of cellular telephony, but becomes of rising difficulty in areas where market power is intrinsic (for example, local water), there are major informational asymmetries (health, perhaps education), and significant probabilities that social, income, and provider structures will lead to greater stratification (health and education). In these areas choice can play a role, but it is no panacea: it is more likely to be a complement than substitute for state effectiveness—whether in public sector service delivery or effective regulation—and for stronger citizen voice, whether influencing policies or effectively representing consumer interests.

**Community-based service delivery approaches: seeking designs that strengthen capacities of organizations of the poor while ensuring accountability for results**

Overall, when market mechanisms are hard to develop—for example, due to a lack of competition—community-run services can be a powerful approach to generate results (even in the short run) for services that do not necessarily involve large scales (and thus both requiring sophisticated technical skills and involving significant collective action challenges) and for which there are not substantial asymmetries of information between clients and providers. But their long-term impact is likely to be the greatest when they contribute to changing the local balance of power in favor of the poor and disadvantaged—simply giving more influence or resources to local communities may, in itself, risk just pushing problems of elite capture, inefficiency, or patronage to a more local level. In the end, the key is whether and how they help empower the poor through both creating capacities for choice, aspiration, and organization (that is,
building agency) among the poor as well as changing the opportunity structure where they live to increase the probability of their voice and actions making a difference. How this is done will depend on social and political context. In particular, to the extent that the experience of running services leads to the strengthening of associational autonomy among poor communities, even a narrow exercise of client power can contribute to an enhanced ability of citizens to hold governments (local and central) accountable for a broader set of actions and policies. At the same time, the government’s role in the promotion of community-based approaches may need to be more proactive than simply “transferring resources” to groups of organized citizens. A solid “compact” between the authorizing state authority and the empowered community groups will typically be required to ensure the fulfillment of coverage, efficiency, and equity targets, as illustrated in the case of EDUCO, for example. This brings up again the complementarities between state modernization and client power approaches.

**Social accountability: seeking opportunities for synergistic relations to strengthen the capacity and accountability of state organizations**

Enhancing the accountability of policy makers to citizens requires more than regular and clean elections. It is through the virtuous interaction of electoral (national and local), intrastate (legislatures, judiciaries, and control agencies), and social accountability mechanisms that Latin American countries are most likely to reverse the inherited pattern of clientelism, elitism, and corruption characteristic of most of its states. In particular, given the difficult path to the strengthening of rule of law in countries with such a long history of weak public institutions, stronger social accountability mechanisms may help trigger a virtuous circle by creating the pressures needed to mobilize intrastate checks and balances and, eventually, create the opportunities for stronger and more inclusive political representation mechanisms. In other words, effective social accountability is not about bypassing the formal channels of state accountability (elections, parliamentary activity, independent courts, and so on) but, rather, about supporting them.

In that sense, investments in social accountability mechanisms at the national and local levels, focused on both overall state performance and specific service delivery issues, can potentially have an extremely high payoff. It is in this context that the growing number of cases of civil society organizations involved in participatory monitoring and evaluation of service delivery (for example, through the use of report cards), public policies, and budgets as well as of the transparency in the performance of public servants constitute a powerful instrument for change.

In a region characterized by a long tradition of exclusionary public policies, the actual enforcement and application of rights appears as a key challenge for improving state accountability, particularly vis-à-vis the poor. The expansion of political rights associated with the new wave of democratization offers a unique opportunity to expand civil and social rights—particularly of the poor, the excluded, the discriminated against—an essential step to counteract the current pattern of policies against poverty and inequality being captured and distorted by ingrained clientelism and paternalism practices. But the active and explicit enforcement of rights could be an even more direct accountability instrument when it is linked to specific services. An example is the case of the recent efforts to establish guaranteed health insurance benefits in several Latin American countries. Although all countries include the right of citizens to good health and/or access to health services as a constitutional mandate, until the mid-1990s, effective instruments for the poor to demand compliance by the state or other actors did not accompany such mandates. First Colombia in 1994, and since then Bolivia (1997), Chile (2001), Mexico (2002), and Argentina (2003), have introduced legislation and sector reforms to guarantee a package of services to all citizens. Such reforms also include legal mechanisms for beneficiaries to complain and demand state compliance. Of...
course, whether this approach is enforceable depends crucially on how fiscally and operationally reasonable the mandates really are.

**The Centrality of the “Fitting Process”**

Our review of the Latin American experience supports the view that there cannot be a “one-size-fits-all approach” to service delivery: differences in types of services, characteristics of the population, and the nature of the political system make any attempt to define the perfect “size” futile (World Bank 2003a).

Seeking the "size that fits" is at the core of any service delivery reform effort. Characteristics of different services—such as the degree of discretion in decision making and the intensity of transactions involved in the delivery process, the existence of economies of scale, and so on—make them better or worse suited for alternative delivery models (Pritchett and Woolcock 2002; World Bank 2003a). For example, as discussed above, it is much easier to create the conditions for a well-functioning competitive market for some services than for others (for example, cellular telephones compared to rural water systems). Similarly, some services (for example, vaccination) are much better suited for massive, standardized approaches than others that require much more customization (for example, the pedagogical aspects of schooling). An important aspect of the “fitting” involves disentangling the nature of different aspects or components of each service (for example, how easy it is to monitor results) to determine the most appropriate approach.

But service characteristics are just one element in the equation. Probably more important is the country context. Of course, countries differ along many different dimensions and any systematization of such differences runs the risk of oversimplifying complex realities. Nevertheless, based on the review of experiences presented in chapter 3, it is possible to identify four key dimensions that help characterize a country context and provide a useful orientation to the range of feasible reform options that policy makers face in seeking to alter the way specific services are being delivered to improve performance.

1. The first dimension is the state’s overall strength and formality. We have argued that there is a general tendency across the region for states to be weak in the sense of doing a poor job delivering key public goods and quality basic economic and social services. Naturally, there are differences between countries. State weakness is manifested in various ways but, for service delivery, the nature of the state bureaucracy (ranging from “dysfunctional” to “Weberian”) and the degree to which the rule of law is established (ranging from informality to different degrees of formality) appears to be critical (see, for example, Burki and Perry 1998). Chile, for example, is a country with a relatively well-functioning bureaucracy; significant parts of Brazilian’s bureaucracy work effectively despite high levels of clientelism in parts. Poorer countries such as Bolivia or Guatemala have much weaker and less rule-bound bureaucracies. The further away from having a “Weberian” bureaucracy a country (or a sector in a country) is, the less likely sophisticated public administration reforms seeking to establish performance orientation in the state are to succeed. Similarly, in countries where the rule of law is not well established, the enforcement of contracting out schemes is likely to be weak, and thus such approaches will be more vulnerable to abuse.

2. The second dimension is the prevailing type of political representation. We have argued that Latin American politics have historically been characterized by clientelism and corporatism, which have a direct negative impact on public sector service delivery systems and make it difficult to develop alternative delivery methods that may fit better changing realities and needs on the ground. Once again, there are differences among countries in the extent to which patronage and authoritarianism continue being the pattern by which politicians and citizens relate to each other, as well as in the
degree to which programmatic (idea-based) parties have replaced corporatist or populist movements. Efforts to reform intragovernmental compacts (for example, by establishing performance-based reward systems in the state) are likely to be captured by insiders in the presence of strong corporatist movements. Similarly, in highly clientelistic environments, schemes involving community-managed services may be highly vulnerable to manipulation and capture by local patrons. Many countries are in transition, especially at local levels: Colombia is traditionally highly clientelistic, but has experienced major changes in Bogotá, for example.

3. The degree of social and economic inequality is the third dimension. It is well known that Latin American countries experience high levels of income inequality relative to other parts of the world. It is also increasingly recognized that several countries in the region experience sharp, group-based social inequalities (particularly linked to race and ethnicity). High levels of social and economic inequality typically are reflected in large gaps in access to quality services and thus increase the cost of meeting the goal of ensuring minimum service levels for all. Social inequality and stratification are also likely to weaken the government’s ability to generate necessary consensus for service reforms that often involves tradeoffs in the short run. Managing inequality is particularly challenging where it is associated with group-based differences and social exclusion—as with indigenous groups in the Andes and parts of Mexico and Central America, and Afro groups in Brazil, Colombia, and Venezuela, and many in the Caribbean. Besides, societies stratified along ethnic and racial lines tend to be vulnerable to populist appeals of politicians who appeal to specific societal groups by providing them with selective private goods rather than public goods that benefit society as a whole (Keeler and Khamani 2003). In a context of high social and economic inequality, an emphasis on choice and competition may yield further stratification unless the state is capable of enforcing strict quality regulations and/or subsidizing access to quality services by traditionally excluded groups.

The previous three dimensions are strongly interlinked in what has been characterized as the syndrome of “weak and unequal institutions” (De Ferranti et al. 2004), which affects a majority of LAC countries. These “structural” factors play out in various ways depending on historical trends.

4. Thus, a fourth dimension is the point of departure regarding how services are being delivered. The history of how specific services have been delivered matters to the extent that it creates constituencies for the status quo as well as ideological reference points for society’s conception of what are appropriate roles for different actors and thus influences the costs of a transition to a different approach. In particular, the historical pattern of state involvement in the delivery of specific services differs between countries (for example, countries with a strong tradition of publicly provided basic education versus others where private schools have historically represented a large share of the system) and with it the strength and attitudes of key stakeholders (including, of course, citizens as consumers of those services) to alternative reform approaches. Overall, path dependence plays a big role in defining feasible service delivery reforms: forces for inertia tend to be high.

Of course, it is the combination of these (and other) factors that together define country context and help determine which type of service delivery approach is most likely to succeed in improving results. As an illustration of how context matters, in table 4.1 we provide a simple set of criteria derived from our assessment of the Latin American experience. These are meant as illustrations of how to analyze country context in assessing prospects for specific service delivery reform approaches.

In a country where state bureaucracies operate broadly along Weberian lines and the rule of law is well established (most
likely, countries where patronage has weakened significantly as the primary form of political relationship), the choice between deepening reforms of public bureaucracies—seeking to make them more efficient and performance-oriented—and expanding alternative service delivery models involving nonstate actors will be highly dependent on the departure point. In sectors where there is a strong tradition of state presence, the costs of radically shifting approaches may be too high. In those cases a cost-benefit analysis is likely to support a strong push for results-based management (as is currently being tried in the case of Chile). This may need to be complemented by actions to open up the state to feedback and influence from clients or citizens, if no such tradition exists. For those sectors in which there is a tradition of private (for- or not-for-profit) participation in service delivery, a key factor to consider in selecting alternative delivery mechanisms is the degree of social and economic inequality. Choice and competition are likely to operate better either under conditions of low inequality or where there is the potential for developing means to ensure that poorer groups are not excluded from the widening possibilities. Under conditions of high inequality, stronger state involvement is required and, thus, contracting out using strong compensatory schemes may be a better alternative (for example, targeting public subsidies in low-income areas as in the Bogotá school concessions program).

In the other extreme, in countries with dysfunctional state bureaucracies and where rule of law is limited, the set of choices available to policy makers is much more limited. In such settings, reforming public sector delivery systems is a long-term endeavor that will face major technical and political constraints. Ambitious efforts to modernize administrative systems are likely to go wasted in the face of weak civil service, and attempts to reform personnel practices are likely to generate strong resistance from those benefiting from the prevailing use of government jobs as instruments of political patronage. Thus, in these settings, administrative reforms are likely to be a long-term affair that will not bring major short-run service delivery benefits. It will often be necessary to complement such reforms with parallel efforts to enhance service delivery by nonstate actors. Limited rule of law is likely to be a serious constraint to the enforcement of contracts, unless contractors have very strong intrinsic motivations to deliver quality services to clients (as in the case of some faith-based NGOs, such as the noted example of Fe y Alegría schools). The choice between an approach that builds on community-managed services and one based on competition and choice as alternatives to public sector provision depends on social and political context. In these countries, the ability of governments is likely to be low to design and implement compensatory measures to address informational asymmetries and differences in purchasing power.

### Table 4.1 Fitting Approaches to Country Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State characteristics</th>
<th>Weberian bureaucracy and acceptable rule of law</th>
<th>Acceptable rule of law but weak bureaucracy</th>
<th>Weak bureaucracy and limited rule of law</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strong tradition of state presence in service delivery</td>
<td>Fruitful to try results-based management. Need for complementary actions to promote social accountability (and decentralization) in cases of authoritarian tradition and weak citizen participation.</td>
<td>Low inequality: competition and choice.</td>
<td>Very modest administrative reforms linked directly to client power initiatives (see below). Decentralization problematic as national standards will be hard to enforce.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tradition of diversified service delivery</td>
<td>High inequality: contracting out with strong compensatory design.</td>
<td>Contracting out a good option as long as opposition from vested interests (political patrons and corporative interests) allows it.</td>
<td>Client power may be the only option in the short run. If inequality is high, community management may be less costly than choice/competition unless clientelism is pervasive.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Thus, under conditions of high inequality, community management schemes may be a better alternative (as, for example, in the case of EDUCO in El Salvador). On the other hand, when local level clientelism is pervasive, the danger that such schemes end up being captured by local patrons is significant, and thus competition among private providers may be the best option. Where the rule of law is weak, there are also greater risks of privatization being captured or corrupted—although the problem is that it is often precisely in these cases that public delivery is also weakest and most captured.

Naturally, there is a whole range of cases in between these two extremes. In table 4.1 we have identified a particular configuration characterized by an acceptable rule of law but weak bureaucracy—a configuration that may approximate the case of a large number of Latin American countries where strong traditions of clientelism and/or strong corporatist movements still have a large negative effect on the quality of state bureaucracies, even though rule of law has been strengthened over the last two decades. Unlike the case of countries with “Weberian” bureaucracies, the opportunities are much reduced for large-scale reforms seeking to improve service delivery by the state through the introduction of performance-based management, which suggests that smaller scale experimentation may be more appropriate. It is in these cases that the tension between short-term fixes and longer-term reforms may be the strongest. Unlike the case of countries where the rule of law is not yet established, there are likely to be opportunities to create “enclaves” or “islands of efficiency” that bypass the overall weak state bureaucracies (as illustrated by the experiences of various social funds). At the same time, as discussed above, unless those experiments have some built-in mechanisms through which experiments are, somehow, mainstreamed, the short-term impacts of innovations may be lost over time. The fact that the rule of law is more established suggests that there may be room to experiment with decentralization of service delivery responsibilities—possibly another way of bypassing some hard-to-reform state bureaucracies. The rule of law is also a supportive factor for contracting out service provision with non-state actors. This may be the most appropriate approach as long as opposition from vested interests is not strong enough to block it. This suggests that contracting out is likely to work better in countries in which patronage, if not completely eliminated, is in the process of being weakened.

In practice, though, the policy maker choice is constrained and conditioned by a multiplicity of factors, which we would find difficult to predict ex ante. Finding the “right approach” is both a political and a technocratic process. This is true both at the macro or national level, where there is growing evidence of the political complexity of service delivery reforms (Grindle and Mason 2004; Kaufman and Nelson 2004), and at the micro delivery point, where specific design choices interact with provider and client behaviors in often-complex ways. As Robinson (2003) has argued, the issue is much less the specific design choices or the new magic bullet (that quickly loses its shine) than the processes that generate policy adjustments to changing outcomes in response to societal and political pressures. This may take place at the national level or at local levels—under the conditions of substantive political and administrative decentralization that exist in Latin America. Paraphrasing Fox (2000, 2001), we can say “the challenge can be understood as a kind of political Feng Shui—the art of placing things in balanced relationship to one another.”

That is why the process by which service delivery reforms are defined, designed, and implemented is so crucially important. In other words, identifying the “size that fits” country and sector circumstances is likely to require the participation and involvement of different voices in society. As Pritchett and Woolcock (2002) suggest, unlike some macroeconomic policies, service delivery reforms can hardly be managed by “ten smart people.” This may very likely involve more open and deliberative forms of governance than what is presently the case in most Latin American countries, with the consequent requirements in terms of institutions for consensus building and
conflict management. Of course, strategic incrementalism applies also in this area: there is scope for many partial changes and payoffs in different parts of the accountability structure that will often involve specific, partial forms of opening and deliberation, and that will support the broader shift in institutions.

But to be effective and avoid becoming a synonym for “chitchatting” debate, consensus building and deliberation need to be fed by rigorous analysis based on solid empirics. This reinforces the importance of robust and systematic evaluations, few of which are readily available, that feed the policy-making process in a timely fashion. In other words, strengthening the links between policy evaluation, debate, design, and implementation is fundamental to achieve a better process. That is, a learning from experience approach is needed. In this approach, policy design is the outcome of a dialogue among interested parties, and implementation is organized in ways that enable the elaboration of regular and solid policy evaluations, which frame and support further dialogue and debate.

The practice of “informed dialogue” (Reimers and McGinn 1997), whereby research-based knowledge is used to shape policy, requires a strong sensitivity to process and the engagement of all relevant stakeholders. Implementing such an approach would undoubtedly involve capabilities on the part of governmental and nongovernmental actors that, in most cases, do not yet exist. Fox (2001), for example, identifies a key role that civil society organizations can play in their advocacy work, by producing policy and program evaluations. He emphasizes both the capacity and organizational challenges of such an initiative and the large expected payoffs that would result from it. To the extent that such investments do materialize, the synergistic civil society or state relations discussed earlier could well develop in this area as well. Thus, a key way to support service delivery reforms is to strengthen the capacities of all relevant actors to participate effectively in policy evaluation and dialogue.

Furthermore, particularly given the increasing range of state and nongovern-
(state bureaucrats), and outside (international actors). The recent Latin American experience provides sufficient evidence that such leadership exists within and outside the state. Often it may have become ineffective by single-mindedly seeking to push a magic bullet solution to service delivery. Shouldn’t a “learning from experience approach” as advocated above help turn it into a more effective source of change? We humbly think so. We see processes of systematic exploration and evaluation, integrated within democratic debate, as key both for the “technical” design issues and for the broader process of empowering all citizens and making decision makers more accountable.
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Social and infrastructure services have expanded significantly in Latin America since the early 1980s. But the poor continue to experience coverage gaps and poor-quality services. The authors of *Citizens, Politicians, and Providers: The Latin American Experience with Service Delivery Reform* argue that access and quality issues are closely tied to the behaviors of both the people providing services and those seeking them. Are the former accountable to the latter?

Building on the accountability framework developed in the World Bank’s *World Development Report 2004: Making Services Work for Poor People*, this study analyzes how two decades of political, administrative, and social transformations have changed accountability relations in Latin American countries. It describes how democratization, decentralization, privatization, and civil society participation have improved service delivery and identifies the causes of service failures.

By shedding light on the factors constraining delivery of the most essential services to the most vulnerable populations, *Citizens, Politicians, and Providers* provides guidance to policymakers and development practitioners on how to shape public action to get better-quality services for all.