International Development Association
1818 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20433
United States of America

INDIA: Credit No. 5425-IN
(Mizoram State Roads II – Regional Transport Connectivity Project)
Performance Monitoring Indicators

Dear Sirs and Mesdames:

We refer to: (a) Section II.A of Schedule 2 to the Financing Agreement of even date herewith between India (the Recipient) and International Development Association (the Association); and (b) Section II.A of the Schedule to the Project Agreement of even date herewith between the Association and the State of Mizoram (the Project Implementing Entity).

Unless otherwise agreed with the Bank, we shall monitor and evaluate implementation of the Project in accordance with the Performance Monitoring Indicators attached to this letter.

Very truly yours,

INDIA

By

Authorized Representative

Very truly yours,

STATE OF MIZORAM

By

Authorized Representative

Attachment – Performance Monitoring Indicators
Annex 1: Results Framework and Monitoring

India: Mizoram State Roads II- Regional Transport Connectivity Project

Results Framework

### Project Development Objective

The proposed Project Development Objective is to increase transport connectivity along regional trade corridors in Mizoram.

### Project Development Objective Indicators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator Name</th>
<th>Core</th>
<th>Unit of Measure</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Cumulative Target Values</th>
<th>Data Source/ Methodology</th>
<th>Responsibility for Data Collection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reduction in travel time on project corridors</td>
<td>Minutes</td>
<td>C-Z: 75 C-C: 140 L-T-K: 78</td>
<td>C-Z: 75 C-C: 140 L-T-K: 78</td>
<td>C-Z: 75 C-C: 140 L-T-K: 78</td>
<td>C-Z: 75 C-C: 140 L-T-K: 78</td>
<td>C-Z: 75 C-C: 140 L-T-K: 78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:
1. Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) in Number of vehicles (All motorized traffic only).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator Name</th>
<th>Core</th>
<th>Unit of Measure</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>YR1</th>
<th>YR2</th>
<th>YR3</th>
<th>YR4</th>
<th>YR5</th>
<th>End Target</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Data Source/Methodology</th>
<th>Responsibility for Data Collection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Roads rehabilitated or improved, Rural</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Km</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>Road Asset Survey</td>
<td>PWD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads in good and fair condition as a share of total classified roads</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>percentage</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>Road Asset Survey</td>
<td>PWD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District level road maintenance contracts implemented*</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>Field Survey</td>
<td>PWD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Maintenance contracts covering at least one-quarter of the road network