

WPS 3144

POLICY RESEARCH WORKING PAPER

3144

# Market Disequilibria and Inflation in Uzbekistan, 1994–2000

*Thilak Ranaweera*

The World Bank  
Development Economics Senior Vice Presidency  
Development Data Group  
October 2003



Public Disclosure Authorized

Public Disclosure Authorized

## Abstract

Ranaweera develops and applies a macroeconomic framework to ascertain the influence of domestic disequilibria and external shocks on inflation dynamics in Uzbekistan. Using quarterly data for the period 1994:01 to 2000:03, he estimates several “long-run” relationships for the goods, money, and foreign exchange markets of Uzbekistan which are characterized by multiple exchange rates, import restrictions, and other domestic administrative controls. The empirical estimates, which use error correction mechanisms for different markets, show that domestic monetary and

output developments, and changes in the official exchange rate compared with the parallel market rate have had a significant influence on the short-run behavior of the foreign exchange market in Uzbekistan. Furthermore, disequilibria in the product and money markets are the major forces driving short-run inflation dynamics in Uzbekistan. It should be noted that the study has been constrained by both the quantity and the quality of quarterly data available for the Uzbekistan economy.

---

This paper—a product of the Development Data Group, Development Economics Senior Vice Presidency—is part of an ongoing effort in the group to improve quantitative analytical tools for country assistance strategies. Copies of the paper are available free from the World Bank, 1818 H Street NW, Washington, DC 20433. Please contact Premi Rathan Raj, room MC2-742, telephone 202-473-3705, fax 202-522-3645, email address [prathanraj@worldbank.org](mailto:prathanraj@worldbank.org). Policy Research Working Papers are also posted on the Web at <http://econ.worldbank.org>. The author may be contacted at [tranaweera@worldbank.org](mailto:tranaweera@worldbank.org). October 2003 (25 pages)

*The Policy Research Working Paper Series disseminates the findings of work in progress to encourage the exchange of ideas about development issues. An objective of the series is to get the findings out quickly, even if the presentations are less than fully polished. The papers carry the names of the authors and should be cited accordingly. The findings, interpretations, and conclusions expressed in this paper are entirely those of the authors. They do not necessarily represent the view of the World Bank, its Executive Directors, or the countries they represent.*

# **Market Disequilibria and Inflation in Uzbekistan, 1994-2000**

**Thilak Ranaweera<sup>1</sup>**

**DEC DG**

---

<sup>1</sup> Thanks are due to Sayora Umarova, Michael Lewin, Asad Alam, and Masakazu Someya of the World Bank for helpful comments. The unstinted help of Samuel Otoo and Ritu Anand was instrumental in bringing this study into print.



### Market Disequilibria and Inflation in Uzbekistan, 1994-2000

This study is intended to develop and apply a macroeconomic framework to ascertain the influence of domestic disequilibria and external shocks on inflation dynamics in Uzbekistan. Using quarterly data for the period 1994:01 to 2000:03, the study estimates several “long-run” relationships for the goods, money, and foreign exchange markets of Uzbekistan, characterized by multiple exchange rates, import restrictions, and other domestic administrative controls. The empirical estimates, which use error correction mechanisms for different markets, show that domestic monetary and output developments, and changes in the relationship between the curb market and official exchange rates have a significant influence on the foreign exchange market dynamics in Uzbekistan. Furthermore, the results of the study suggest that disequilibria in the product and money markets are the major forces driving inflation dynamics in Uzbekistan. While disequilibria in the parallel market themselves do not seem to be a factor in price increases, the ratio of the curb market exchange rate to the official rate seems to exert a significant influence. One can infer that an important consideration here is the speed and the magnitude of adjustment of the official exchange rate in the face of disequilibria in the parallel market for foreign exchange.

The macroeconomic framework underlying the analysis assumes that the Uzbekistan economy is “small” relative to the rest of the world.<sup>2</sup> Thus terms of trade shocks and effects of international financial flows pass through to the economy mainly via the exchange rate, especially the parallel market rate. The financial system is dominated by state-owned banks, which are operating under fixed interest rates, administratively determined exchange rates (both the official and commercial bank rates, until May 2000), and which have limited access to financial assets for investment. Given these considerations, we specify a model that attempts to capture the “long-run” behavior of the money, foreign exchange and goods markets. We then use the “long-run” equations to analyze the impact of market disequilibria on the dynamic behavior of money, exchange rate and prices.<sup>3</sup>

---

<sup>2</sup> This is the familiar small country assumption.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 1 starts with a comparative analysis of the inflation and stabilization experiences of a number of transition economies. Section 2 gives a brief description of the recent developments in Uzbekistan's economy that provides the setting for the economic relationships considered in the study. Section 3 is devoted to the presentation of the long-run relationships for each of the markets: money market, foreign exchange market, and goods market. The time series properties of the variables used in the study are presented in section 4 followed by the empirical estimates of the equations in section 5. Section 6 contains a number of concluding remarks.

#### Section 1: Inflation and Stabilization in Transition Economies

A comparative study of inflation and stabilization in Eastern Europe (see, Stanley Fischer and Ratna Sahay (2000)) revealed that most countries entered the transition process with a monetary overhang and the urgent need for price liberalization. Stabilization packages were put in place in 25 countries by the year 1995. The extent of the monetary overhang and the timing (delays) of the initiation of a stabilization program had an effect on the extent of pre-stabilization inflation, which varied from 57000 percent per annum in Georgia to 26 percent in Hungary (see table 1).

It appears that inflation stabilization was one of the key successes of the transition process. At the time of liberalizing prices, countries were concerned with possibilities of inflation spirals and ever rising wage demands. In view of these concerns, most stabilization programs included tight monetary and credit policies, wage controls, and policies for non-inflationary sources of financing the budget deficits.

By the year 2001, inflation had been brought down to single digits, except in the cases of Belarus, Romania, Russia, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan, and Uzbekistan. An important consideration in the initial stabilization strategy was the choice of exchange rate regime. The Central and Eastern European Countries (CEE) and the Baltics chose a mix of fixed regimes (Croatia, Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Poland, and Slovakia) and flexible regimes (Albania, Bulgaria, FYR Macedonia, Latvia, Lithuania, Romania, and

---

<sup>3</sup> For this purpose, an error correction formulation is used.

Slovenia). Apparently, countries with a currency board (i.e. Bulgaria, Estonia, and Lithuania) have had impressive inflation control performances.

Although many former Soviet Union countries (FSU) had announced their regimes as flexible, most were actually pegged to the US dollar or the Deutsche Mark after commencement of the stabilization program. Several countries introduced monetary reforms and new currencies. With the exchange rates explicitly or implicitly fixed, there was a rapid decline in inflation towards the latter part of the 1990s.

In recent times, most countries have moved to a flexible exchange rate regime. The move from pegs to more flexible regimes had been prompted, among other things, by considerations of easing the pressure on exchange rates. The case of Russia demonstrates the dangers of not exiting to a more flexible regime in time in the backdrop of unsustainable fiscal policies and high capital mobility.

Uzbekistan launched a comprehensive stabilization and structural reform program in late 1994. However, the country seems to have adopted a somewhat different approach than most FSU countries. In early 1997, the progress in liberalizing the foreign exchange and trade regime was reversed and a system of multiple exchange rates and restrictions on current account transactions was introduced with the objective of promoting import-substituting industries and conserving foreign exchange reserves. In the current study, we give explicit consideration to the exchange rate regime, the money market conditions, and the output gaps that jointly determined the inflation experience of Uzbekistan. In the following section, we begin with a brief analysis of the overall developments and policy approaches of Uzbekistan.

## Section 2. Recent Developments in the Uzbekistan Economy

Since its independence in 1991, Uzbekistan, a successor state of the former Soviet Union (FSU) in Central Asia, has followed a unique path to economic transition. At the time of independence, the country's relatively rich resource endowment, low degree of over-industrialization and trade dependence, large share of agriculture in aggregate output, and the predominance of cotton and other raw materials in exports, pointed to a relatively better transition path (in comparison with other FSU countries) to a market-based system. However, rather than emphasize economic growth, the government has sought to promote stability. This goal is pursued by subsidizing employment, controlling prices on essential items, privatizing large enterprises gradually, and attempting to attain self-sufficiency in energy and food supplies. Although no clear characterization of this strategy exists, it could be broadly considered as some form of "gradualism" to economic transition.

The transition process in Uzbekistan has so far been rather uneven (see, World Bank(1999)) and can be described as a case of stop-go on transition reforms. In this respect, beginning in early 1992, Uzbekistan has evolved through three different transition phases, which are very different from each other in terms of macroeconomic policies followed by the government, progress made in the implementation of market-oriented reforms, and stability of the macroeconomic situation. During the first phase, covering the period 1992-93, the government seemed to have followed rather loose macroeconomic policies, the implementation of market-oriented reforms was limited in scope and slow, and the macroeconomic imbalances inherited from the FSU deteriorated markedly. During the second phase, which covered the period from early 1994 to the 3<sup>rd</sup> quarter of 1996, macroeconomic performance improved considerably perhaps as a result of the tightening of financial policies and the acceleration of many market-oriented reforms. The third phase covers the period from the 4<sup>th</sup> quarter of 1996 to the present, and is characterized by occasional loosening of macroeconomic policies, a reversal of some key reforms while maintaining sustained progress in others, and the worsening of the external imbalances. The macroeconomic situation continued to be difficult during the year 2000 as a result of low gold prices, large debt service payments, and the overvalued and exchange rate system. Nevertheless, On May 1, 2000, the official and commercial



bank foreign exchange rates against the US dollar were unified at the level of the commercial bank rate., which reduced the spread between the new official rate and the curb market rate. In the year 2000, high interest payments led to a marginal deterioration in the current account of the balance of payments in spite of a marginal improvement in the trade balance. A drop in tax revenues and an increase in current expenditures led to a deterioration in the budget deficit.

### Section 3. Long-run Relationships

The long-run relationships in the product, money, and foreign exchange markets are considered in the backdrop of the “gradualist” transition path followed by Uzbekistan , which has essentially remained a rather “controlled” economy.

#### The Money Market

The money market equation attempts to incorporate a number of important characteristics of Uzbekistan’s financial system: i.e. severely limited scope of markets, instruments confined to money, real assets, and foreign exchange, fixing of nominal interest rates under high inflation conditions, a substantial influence exerted by the parallel market exchange rate on the degree of asset substitution. The “long-run” money demand for Uzbekistan can be specified as follows:<sup>4</sup>

$$M = a * y^b * PAR^c * P^d \quad (1)$$

where: M - nominal money supply

y - real GDP

PAR - parallel market exchange rate

P - domestic price level.

---

<sup>4</sup> In the empirical work of this study, the parallel market exchange rate was expressed in terms of local currency units per US dollar

The parameters  $a, b, c, d > 0$

Taking logarithms, we can write the real demand for money as<sup>5</sup>

$$m-p = a + b \cdot y - c \cdot par \quad (2)$$

#### Foreign Exchange Market

To develop a market-clearing model for foreign exchange, two equations relating to supply and demand are postulated. On the supply side, exports, foreign borrowing and changes in external reserves are important elements. On the demand side, the important components are imports and currency substitution. Imports depend on the level of domestic expenditures (absorption) and the real exchange rate while the demand for currency substitution can be depicted as a function of excess money supply.

Assuming that the "long-run" excess money supply is equal to zero (that is,  $(M^s - M^d)=0$ ), the equilibrium condition for the foreign exchange market can be written as follows:

$$fex = a_1 - b_1 \cdot rer + b_2 \cdot rde \quad (3)$$

where the parameters  $b_1, b_2 > 0$

$fex$  - foreign exchange supply (demand)

$rer$  - real exchange rate

$rde$  - real domestic expenditures.

In principle, this equation can be written in terms of the logarithm of the nominal exchange rate by incorporating appropriate relative price terms (as proxies for the real exchange rate). Thus, with all lower case variables in logarithms, we have:

$$par = a_2 - b_3 \cdot fex + b_4 \cdot rde + b_5 \cdot pd - b_6 \cdot pm \quad (4)$$

---

<sup>5</sup> This specification assumes homogeneity between money and prices ( $d=1$ )

pd - domestic price level

pm - international prices (proxied by the import price index)

coefficients  $b_3, b_4, b_5, b_6 > 0$

During the period of the current study (1994-2000), Uzbekistan maintained extensive foreign exchange and trade controls that aimed at preserving foreign exchange for servicing the country's external debt obligations. The multiple official exchange rates (official and commercial bank rates) remained at substantially appreciated levels as a consequence of which the demand for foreign exchange at the "official" rate far exceeded the supply. The "unmet" demand for foreign exchange for both current and capital transactions was channeled to the parallel market, exerting considerable pressure on the parallel market premium. Since the public sector is officially barred from utilizing the parallel market, it is clear that the parallel market premium does not reflect the "unmet" foreign exchange demands of the public sector. The gap between the observed parallel market exchange rate and the "unrestricted" equilibrium exchange rate (which is unobserved in the present case) can be considered as a measure of the degree of restrictions on public sector import demand that is excluded from the parallel market in the current administrative set-up.

#### The Goods Market

Following the expectations-augmented version of the Phillips curve, inflationary expectations and the departure of output from its potential level determine the inflation rate. In this formulation, if output (demand) is higher than the potential level, inflation will tend to rise, and if actual output is below potential, inflation will tend to fall<sup>6</sup>. One implication of the formulation is that in the steady state (where the output gap is zero) inflation will tend to remain constant.<sup>7</sup> In addition, taking explicit note of imbalances in the money and foreign exchange markets, the basic model can be written as follows:

---

<sup>6</sup> It is probably correct to say that the Phillips curve approach is a central element in most models of inflation (Nickell, (1988): Masson, Symansky, and Meredith (1990): Chada, Masson and Meredith (1992)).

<sup>7</sup> In standard macroeconomics (for example, see Dornbusch and Fischer) the inflation rate and the level of output are determined by the interaction of aggregate demand and supply. In the long-run, i.e. in the steady state (where the growth rate of money is assumed to be constant, expectations have adjusted to actual inflation, and where output and inflation are constant, and output is at full-employment level), the inflation rate is determined only by the growth rate of money. In the real world, an economy probably never

$$\Delta\Pi_t = \alpha_4 + \sum_{i=0}^{\Omega} \beta_{4i} * YGAP_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{\Omega} \lambda_{4i} * MGAP_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{\Omega} \gamma_{4i} * ERGAP_{t-i} + \epsilon_{4t} \quad (5)$$

where  $\Pi_t = (CPI/CPI_{(t-1)} - 1)$  - change in the Consumer Price Index

$YGAP_t = (RGDP_t - TRGDP_t) / TRGDP_t$

TRGDP - Potential real GDP

RGDP - Real GDP

$MGAP = (M_t - TM_t) / TM_t$

MGAP - money market gap in terms of deviations from an "equilibrium" money supply(TM).

$ERGAP = (ER_t - TER_t) / TER_t$

ERGAP - the exchange rate gap in terms of a deviation from an "equilibrium" rate (TER).

$\epsilon_t$  - a stochastic disturbance term.

Note that the parameters  $\beta_{1i}$  indicate the percentage change in inflation that can be attributable to a one percent change in the output gap (i.e. the semi-elasticity of inflation with respect to the output gap for various past years).

#### 4. Characteristics of the Time Series Used

All data used in the study were assembled from various official publications. These include publications of the State Committee for Statistics, IMF (including International Financial Statistics), EBRD, UNDP, the World Bank.

The properties of the time series included in the study are investigated using the Phillips-Perron (PP) and ADF tests. Both the PP and ADF tests indicated that all the series were non-stationary in "levels" (for saving space, these results were not reported, but are available on request) irrespective of whether raw data or logarithms of the data were considered (see table 2). However, almost all the variables proved to be difference stationary, except for the quarterly series on the official exchange rate, which turned out to be stationary after the second difference.

---

reaches a steady state with internal and external shocks to both supply and demand moving it in unexpected directions. In the short-run, changes in the growth of money, government spending and/or taxes could cause changes in both aggregate demand and inflation. In the dynamic case, aggregate demand also depends on lagged output

The PP and ADF tests for the first differences of the data are reported in table 2 below.<sup>8</sup>

## 5. Empirical Estimates<sup>9</sup>

For empirical validation of the model, following the Engle-Granger (1987) two-step procedure, “long-run” behavioral equations for the money, foreign exchange, and product markets are estimated first. Cointegrating vectors identified from this process are then used to formulate error correction models (ECM) for estimating the short-run dynamics in each of the markets. Finally, the short-run adjustments in the different markets are put together to explain inflation dynamics.

### Demand for Money

The results of estimation of one of several variants of the “long-run” demand for money using quarterly data for the period 1994:Q1 to 2000:Q3 are given in graph 1, which shows the actual and fitted values of the dependent variable (log of real money balances) and the distribution of the residuals. These results suggest that production has a positive effect on the demand for real balances and that the coefficient is significant at the 1 percent level when the real output variable was approximated by a Hodrick-Prescott filter. The currency substitution effect was better captured by a ratio of the curb market rate to the official exchange rate. The higher the curb market rate in relation to the official rate (that is, the higher the parallel market premium) the lower was the demand for domestic real balances, as the agents were shifting away from the relatively lower returns associated with domestic money (i.e. negative real interest rates). These results were not substantially affected by the inclusion or exclusion of dummy variables that were intended to capture seasonal factors.<sup>10</sup>

---

<sup>8</sup> Unit root tests for the levels of variables have not been reported here to save space. These are available from the author.

<sup>9</sup> The results are reported in graphical form at the end of this paper. However, the detailed results are available from the author.

<sup>10</sup> The equation including the seasonal dummies is available from the author

### “Equilibrium” Exchange Rate

Due to the lack of national accounts expenditure data on a quarterly basis for the entire period of the study, a variable for domestic demand (absorption) could not be included in the analysis. The closest proxy was conceived to be gross domestic expenditure (equivalent to GDP). While domestic demand (absorption) would be expected to exert an upward pressure on the parallel market exchange rate, GDP (or its expenditure version) could be expected to have a negative effect – a supply side effect.

The results for the estimates of equation (6) are given in graph 2, which suggest a fairly strong relationship among the parallel market exchange rate, CPI (the proxy for domestic prices), production, and foreign exchange availability. Domestic production (expenditure) seems to have had a strong negative influence on the curb market exchange rate. This suggests that domestic production of import substitutes may have dampened the demand for imports, thus reducing relatively the pressure on the curb market exchange rate. At the same time, domestic prices (as proxied by CPI) seems to have exerted a strong upward pressure on the curb market exchange rate with the current level of the CPI being highly significant in explaining the variations in the ratio of curb market to official exchange rate. Foreign exchange supply (LTFESUP) has the correct sign in the equation. However, it is not a significant variable that explains the variations in the parallel market exchange rate in relation to the official rate.

Graph 2 is also quite revealing in other ways. Periods of relative “over-valuation” seems to have been followed by “overshooting” as authorities grapple with the multiple exchange rate and import controls, and other policy reforms. Thus, the parallel market rate seems to have been “over-valued” during the period 1997:Q4 to 1999:Q2. However, with the rapid adjustment of the parallel market rate since then (and perhaps, with insufficient adjustment of the official rate), it seems to have “overshot”.

## The Output Gap

Several empirical studies for developed countries have attempted to show the validity of the gap model and the magnitude of the impact of outputs gaps (IMF (1991), IMF( 1995), Singh (1996)) on inflation. In a recent study (IMF, 1997), Coe and McDermott presented evidence of 13 developing countries that suggested that the gap model “works well in almost all Asian economies”, and that the “output gap is a significant determinant of the change in inflation in 11 of the 13 countries studied”.

The Hodrick-Prescott (1997) filter has been widely used to separate the “potential” part of GDP from the “cyclical” component. An estimate of the output gap can be obtained as the difference between the estimate of the HP trend and actual output.<sup>11</sup> The results of the estimates are shown in graph 3. It appears that since 1998:Q3, actual output is well below “potential” while the opposite seems to be the case for the earlier period from 1994 to 1996. While most FSU countries experienced a significant output collapse since the breakdown of the USSR, it has been found that the output collapse in Uzbekistan was much less severe than in other FSU countries (see, Zettlemeyer (1998), and Zettlemeyer and Taube (1998)).<sup>12</sup>

## Dynamic Equations

Dynamic versions of each of the equations for goods, money and foreign exchange markets are formulated following the two-step procedure of Engle-Granger (1987). First, the “long-run” equations are tested for cointegration. If the variables in each of the equations are found to be cointegrated, error correction models are formulated using the respective cointegrated equations.

---

<sup>11</sup> Several criticisms have been leveled at the HP filter method. It has been argued that the HP method removes potentially valuable information from time series data (King and Rebelo, 1993) and that it may impart spurious cyclical patterns to the data (Cogley and Nason (1995)). Another major concern is the rather arbitrary choice of smoothing parameters. However, in the absence of the information to estimate production functions, the HP filter offers a convenient (but mechanical) way to estimate “trend” output.

<sup>12</sup> The mildness of Uzbekistan’s recession are attributed to a combination of a) a low degree of initial industrialization, b) its cotton production, and c) its self-sufficiency in energy. While evidence suggested no positive role of public investment, it was thought that a set of policies which failed in most other transition countries. (i.e. supporting the industrial sector through credits and direct subsidies) was relatively successful in sustaining output during Uzbekistan’s early transition years.

## Demand for Money

The long-run equation for money demand is found to be cointegrated. Table 3 reports the results of estimating the dynamic model for money demand following the Engle-Granger (1987) two step procedure. The error correction term has the right sign and is significant at the 10 percent level. In the short-run, money demand appears to be adjusting toward the long-run equilibrium in little over one quarter. The error correction mechanism in the foreign exchange market did not seem to have a significant effect on short-run money demand, except when a three-quarter lag was considered. In this case, the ECM term was significant at the 10 percent level. Neither the output gap nor any price variables were significant in the estimated equations despite carrying expected signs.

## Exchange Rates

Several different estimates of the short-run parallel market foreign exchange rate were made but only one result is reported in table 4. It is clear that a relatively good fit has been obtained for the error correction model when the logarithm of the change in the ratio of the curb market rate to the official rate was considered to be the dependent variable. The estimates yielded relatively inferior results when the change in the logarithm of the curb market rate was considered the dependent variable.

The error correction term for the ratio of the parallel market to the official rate in this equation is highly significant, has the right sign, and indicates an almost full adjustment of disequilibria in the foreign exchange market (as represented by the ratio) in one quarter. Furthermore, the change in the level of the ratio itself seems to be exerting an important short-run effect. The adjustments to disequilibria in the money market during at least the preceding two quarters seem to exert a strong influence on the relationship between the curb and official market exchange rates, with the relatively stronger impact coming two quarters ahead. The disequilibria in the product market also influence the parallel market exchange rate with the relevant coefficient being significant at the 10 percent level. However, in the short-run model, the

---



foreign exchange supply variable is not significant, indicating factors other than the flow of foreign exchange resources probably play a more significant role in the foreign exchange market.

#### Inflation in the short-run

One of the intentions of the study has been to explain short-run inflationary behavior in the Uzbek economy in terms of the disequilibria in the money, foreign exchange, and product markets. With this in mind, we use the error correction terms for each of the equations in the formulation of the dynamic version of the inflation equation.

In the short-run dynamic inflation equation, except for the error correction term relating to the parallel market for foreign exchange, all other error correction terms are highly significant (see table 5). At the same time, the change in the ratio of the parallel market to the official rate has a very significant effect on the change in inflation rate. If the curb market rate increases due to disequilibria in the foreign exchange market or the authorities fail to adjust the official rate in line with those disequilibria, inflation is likely to increase substantially. Furthermore, product market disequilibria are seen to exert a strong influence on the change in inflation. The coefficients for money market adjustment as well as the change in the level of money supply are highly significant indicating the predominance of monetary phenomena in the inflation process in Uzbekistan.

#### 6. Some Conclusions

Using quarterly data, the study has estimated several “long-run” relationships for the goods, money, and foreign exchange markets of Uzbekistan for the period 1994:01 to 2000:03, in an environment of multiple exchange rates, administrative controls, and import restrictions. It was found that the variables describing the behavior of each of the different markets were cointegrated. Using this property to incorporate the error correction mechanisms in different markets, the study developed a framework to ascertain the influence of domestic disequilibria and external shocks on inflation dynamics in Uzbekistan.

The empirical estimates show that domestic monetary and output developments, and changes in the relationship between the curb market and official exchange rates have a significant influence on the foreign exchange market dynamics in Uzbekistan. However, the contemporaneous level of foreign exchange supply does not seem to have a substantial impact on the parallel exchange rate market.

The results suggest that disequilibria in the product and money markets are the major forces driving inflation dynamics in Uzbekistan. While disequilibria in the parallel market themselves do not seem to be a factor in price increases, the ratio of the curb market to the official exchange rate seems to exert a significant influence. One can infer that an important consideration is the speed and the magnitude of adjustment of the official exchange rate in the face of disequilibria in the parallel market for foreign exchange.

The study has been rather constrained by the limited availability of quarterly data on many important variables. It had to make do with a number of proxies for the variables under focus. The study can benefit from a further investigation into improving both the quality and the quantity of data required for econometric and other investigations of the Uzbekistan economy.

## References

- Alam, Asad, and Arup Banerji (2000): *Uzbekistan and Kazakhstan, A Tale of Two Transition Paths*, Europe and Central Asia Region Working Paper, Poverty Reduction and Economic Management Sector Unit, The World Bank.
- Chadha, Bankim, Paul R. Masson, and Guy Meredith (1992): *Models of Inflation and the Costs of Disinflation*, Staff Papers, International Monetary Fund, Vol. 39, pp. 395-431.
- Cogley, Timothy, and James M. Nason (1995): *Effects of the Hodrick-Prescott Filter on Trend and Difference Stationary Time Series: Implications for Business Cycles Research*, *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, Vol. 19, pp 253-78.
- Engle, R.F., and C.W.G. Granger (1987): *Cointegration and Error Correction Representation, Estimation and Testing*, *Econometrica*, Vol. 55, pp. 251-76.
- Fischer, Stanley, and Ratna Sahay (2000): *The Transition Economies After Ten Years*, IMF Working Paper, WP/00/30, February 2000.
- Johansen, Soren (1988): *Statistical Analysis of Cointegrated Vectors*, *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, Vol.12, pp.577-638.
- Johansen, Soren, and Katerina Juselius (1990): *Maximum Likelihood Estimation and Inference on Cointegration with Applications to the Demand for Money*, *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics*, Vol. 52, 169-210.
- Hodrick, Robert J., and Edward C. Prescott (1997): *Postwar U.S. Business Cycles: An Empirical Investigation*, *Journal of Money, Credit, and Banking*, Vol. 29, pp. 1-16.
- King, Robert G., and Sergio Rebelo (1993): *Low Frequency Filtering and Real Business Cycles*, *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, Vol. 17, pp. 207-31.
- Nickell, Stephen (1988): *The Supply Side and Macroeconomic Modeling*, in *Empirical Macroeconomics for Interdependent Economies*, ed. by Ralph C. Bryant and others, Brookings Institution, Washington, pp. 202-21.
- Masson, Paul R., Steven A. Symansky, and Guy Meredith (1990): *MULTIMOD Mark II: A Revised and Extended Model*, IMF Occasional Paper, No. 71, International Monetary Fund, Washington.
- Okun, A (1962): *Potential GNP: Its measurement and significance*, in *American Statistical Association, Proceedings of the Business and Economic Statistics Section*.
- Phillips, Peter, and Pierre Perron (1988): *Testing for a Unit Root in Time Series Regression*, *Biometrika*, No.75, pp335-46.
- Spechler, Martin C. (2000): *Uzbekistan: Silk Road to Nowhere?*, *Contemporary Economic Policy*, Vol. 18, pp. 295-303.
- World Bank (1999): *Uzbekistan Social and Structural Policy Review*, Grey Cover Report No. 19626.
- Zettelmeyer, Jeromin (1999): *The Uzbek Growth Puzzle*, IMF Staff Papers, Vol. 46, No.2.
- Zettelmeyer, Jeromin, Gunther Taube (1998): *Output Decline and Recovery in Uzbekistan - Past Performance and Future Prospects*, IMF Working Paper WP/98/132.

Table 1. Transition Economies: Stabilization Programs and Inflation Performance, 1989-98 and 2001

Stabilization Program Date	Pro-Program Inflation)	Exchange regime adopted	Maximum Annual Inflation	Year in	Year in	Exchange regime in 2000	Inflation in 1998	Inflation in 2001
				which was highest	which inflation fell below 50%			
Albania August 1992	293	Flexible	237	1992	1993	Flexible	8.7	3.1
Armenia December 1994	1885	Flexible/Fixed	10896	1993	1995	Flexible	-1.2	3.1
Azerbaijan January 1995	1651	Flexible/Fixed	1787	1994	1996	Flexible	-7.6	1.5
Belarus November 1994	2180	Flexible/Fixed	1997	1993	1996	Flexible	181.7	61.1
Bulgaria February 1991	245	Flexible	579	1997	1998	Fixed	1.0	7.4
Croatia October 1993	1903	Fixed	2585	1989	1994	Flexible	5.3	4.8
Czech Republic January 1991	46	Fixed	52	1991	1992	Flexible	6.8	4.7
Estonia June 1992	1086	FixedS	947	1992	1993	Fixed	4.5	5.7
Georgia September 1994	56476	Flexible/Fixed	7486	1993	1996	Flexible	10.6	4.6
Hungary March 1990	26	Fixed	35	1990	NA	Flexible	10.6	9.1
Kazakhstan January 1994	2315	Flexible/Fixed	2961	1992	1996	Flexible	1.9	8.4
Kyrgyz Republic May 1993	934	Flexible/Fixed	958	1992	1993	Flexible	18.3	6.9
Latvia June 1992	818	Flexible/Fixed	1162	1992	1993	Fixed	2.8	2.5
Lithuania June 1992	709	Flexible/Fixed	1162	1992	1994	Fixed	2.4	1.2
Macedonia, FYR January 1994	248	Fixed	1780	1992	1995	Flexible	-2.4	5.5
Moldova September 1993	1090	Flexible	2198	1992	1995	Flexible	18.2	9.8
Poland January 1990	1096	Fixed	640	1989	1992	Flexible	8.5	5.5
Romania October 1993	314	Flexible	295	1993	1995	Flexible	40.6	34.5
Russia April 19953	218	Flexible/Fixed	2510	1992	1996	Flexible	84.4	21.5
Slovak Republic January 1991	46	Fixed	58	1991	1990	Flexible	5.6	7.3
Slovenia February 1992	288	Flexible	247	1991	1993	Flexible	7.5	8.4
Tajikistan February 19953	73	Flexible	7344	1993	1994	Flexible	2.7	12.1
Turkmenistan Not Started	20	Not applicable	9743	1993	1997	Flexible	19.8	11.4
Ukraine November 1994	645	Flexible/Fixed	10155	1993	1990	Flexible	20.0	6.1
Uzbekistan November 1994	1555	Flexible	1281	1994	1996	Flexible	26.1	27.2
Memorandum items:								
All Transition	820		2764	1992	1996		19.1	
All CEE	450		651	1991	1993		9.2	
CEE: Early Reformers	567		603	1991	1992		7.4	
CEE: Late Reformers	275		723	1992	1995		12.0	
Baltics	871		1091	1992	1993		3.2	
Other Former Soviet Union	1142		4943	1993	1996		31.2	

## Notes:

Sources: For years 1989-98, data adapted from IMF Working Paper WP/00/30 authored by Stanley Fishcher and Ratna Sahay

Other Sources: for other years, IMF, International Financial Statistics

Pre-program inflation is inflation in the 12 months previous to the month of the stabilization program

Inflation is calculated from December to December

Fixed regimes are those that have a currency board, pegged (explicitly or implicitly) at a fixed rate or have a narrow crawling band

Flexible regimes include those that are free or managed floating

Lithuania adopted a currency board in April 1994 and Bulgaria adopted one in July 1997

The Latvian currency was pegged to the SDR in February 1994

Russia announced an exchange rate corridor in July 1995. Both Latvia and Russia had flexible exchange rate regimes prior to these dates

CEE Early reformers refer to Croatia, Czech Republic, Hungary, Poland, Slovak Republic and Slovenia

CEE later reformers refer to Albania, Bulgaria, Macedonia FYR and Romania.

Baltics refer to Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania

Other former Soviet Union refer to Armenia, Azerbaijan, Belarus, Georgia, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyz Republic, Moldova, Russia,

**Table 2: Unit Root Tests For First Differences of Data**

<b>Variables</b>	<b>ADF-Test Statistic</b>	<b>Phillips-Perron Test Statistic</b>
LQACUR	-3.5219	-8.2687
LQAOFI	-6.8312	-3.1457
LQBLKP	-4.3122	-5.6972
LQCP93	-2.7341	-5.3979
LQNFRD	-2.8680	-3.2815
LQPRDIN	-7.9336	-7.3586
LQRM2	-5.2409	-4.0856
LQRRM	-7.9472	-4.8400
QACURR	-3.0714	-3.6439
QAOFIR	-1.2796	
QAOFIR*	-3.7626	-4.6643
QBLKPRM	-3.0555	-3.5903
QNFRES	-2.2263	-2.9432
QOFCPI93	-6.2136	-3.9662
QPRDIND	-7.1755	-6.6740
QRM2	-2.6765	-2.8656
QRRM	-4.3910	-3.7246

---

**Notes:**

\* indicates second difference of series

Variables names beginning with L indicate logarithm of the series

Variables names beginning with Q indicate quarterly series

Variables names beginning with QA indicate quarterly averages of series

Variable names:

QACURR - quarterly average curb market exchange rate

QAOFIR - quarterly average official exchange rate

QBLKPRM - quarterly average curb market exchange rate premium

QNFRES - quarterly net foreign reserves in US dollars

QOFCPI93 - quarterly official consumer price index (1993=100)

QPRDIND - Quarterly production index

QRM2 - quarterly real broad money supply

QRRM - quarterly real reserve money

Table 3: The Dynamic Demand for Money Equation

Dependent Variable: DLQRM2

Method: Least Squares

Sample(adjusted): 1996:3 2000:3

Included observations: 17 after adjusting endpoints

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic
RSEQLRM2C(-1)	-0.7544	0.3577	-2.1089
LYGAP/LQPRDIN(-1)	0.0072	0.0264	0.2722
DLNWCP93(-1)	-0.1417	0.2756	-0.5142
RSEQLCUR1(-3)	0.1849	0.1000	1.8485
C	0.0247	0.0340	0.7249
SD2	0.0110	0.0292	0.3779
SD3	0.0207	0.0362	0.5707
SD4	0.0631	0.0450	1.4040
R-squared	0.7438	Mean dependent var	0.0396
Adjusted R-squared	0.5445	S.D. dependent var	0.0697
S.E. of regression	0.0471	Akaike info criterion	-2.9692
Sum squared resid	0.0199	Schwarz criterion	-2.5771
Log likelihood	33.2378	F-statistic	3.7319
Durbin-Watson stat	2.3579	Prob(F-statistic)	0.0353

Symbols:

DLQRM2 - change in log of quarterly broad money

RSEQLRM2C(-1) - error correction term for money demand equation

LYGAP/LQPRDIN(-1) - ratio of log of output gap to log of production lagged one quarter

DLNWCP93(-1) - change in log of CPI lagged one quarter

RSEQLCUR1(-3) - error correction term for exchange rate equation

**Table 4: Equation for the Change in Ratio of Curb Market to Official Exchange Rate**

Dependent Variable: DLRQCUROFI

Method: Least Squares

Sample(adjusted): 1996:2 2000:3

Included observations: 18 after adjusting endpoints

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic
RSEQLCUROFI2(-1)	-0.9933	0.3272	-3.0360
RSEQLRM2C(-1)	-0.2629	0.8423	-0.3121
RSEQLRM2C(-2)	-3.0518	0.8429	-3.6204
LYGAP/LQPRDIN(-1)	0.1194	0.0657	1.8178
DLTFESUP(-1)	0.0940	0.4075	0.2307
DLRQCUROFI(-1)	0.5977	0.2312	2.5848
C	-0.0068	0.0411	-0.1645
R-squared	0.6378	Mean dependent var	0.0407
Adjusted R-squared	0.4403	S.D. dependent var	0.1973
S.E. of regression	0.1476	Akaike info criterion	-0.7027
Sum squared resid	0.2398	Schwarz criterion	-0.3565
Log likelihood	13.3247	F-statistic	3.2289
Durbin-Watson stat	1.9269	Prob(F-statistic)	0.0442

Symbols:

DLRQCUROFI – change in log of ratio of curb market to official exchange rate

RSEQLCUROFI2(-1) - error correction term from foreign exchange market equation

RSEQLRM2C(-1) - error correction term from demand for money equation

RSEQLRM2C(-2) - error correction term from money demand lagged 2 quarters

LYGAP/LQPRDIN(-1) - ratio of log of output gap to log of production index lagged one quarter

DLTFESUP(-1) - change in log of foreign exchange supply lagged one quarter

DLRQCUROFI(-1) - change in log of ratio of curb to official exchange rate lagged one quarter

Table 5: Dynamic Inflation Equation

Dependent Variable: DLNWCP93

Method: Least Squares

Sample(adjusted): 1996:1 2000:3

Included observations: 19 after adjusting endpoints

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic
LYGAP/LQPRDIN(-1)	-0.0442	0.0159	-2.7841
RSEQLRM2C(-1)	1.1761	0.2793	4.2103
RSEQLCUROFI2(-1)	0.0330	0.0970	0.3398
DRCUROFI	1.3600	0.3454	3.9371
DLQRM2	0.8555	0.2467	3.4678
C	0.0404	0.0200	2.0235
SD2	0.0206	0.0316	0.6509
SD3	-0.0040	0.0310	-0.1287
SD4	-0.0075	0.0359	-0.2092
R-squared	0.8355	Mean dependent var	0.0716
Adjusted R-squared	0.7039	S.D. dependent var	0.0663
S.E. of regression	0.0361	Akaike info criterion	-3.4994
Sum squared resid	0.0130	Schwarz criterion	-3.0521
Log likelihood	42.2447	F-statistic	6.3476
Durbin-Watson stat	1.9873	Prob(F-statistic)	0.0043

Symbols:

DLNWCP93 - change in log of CPI

LYGAP/LQPRDIN(-1) - ratio of log of output gap to log of production index lagged one quarter

RSEQLRM2C(-1) - error correction term for money demand equation


RSEQLCUROFI2(-1) - error correction term for foreign exchange market equation

DRCUROFI - change in ratio of curb market to official exchange rate


DLQRM2 - change in log of quarterly broad money




**Graph 1: The Demand for Money Equation**




**Graph 2: Equation for the Ratio of Curb Market to Official Exchange Rate**




Graph 3.




Graph 4: Dynamic Demand for Money Equation



**Graph 5: Dynamic Equation for the Ratio of Curb Market to Official Exchange Rate**



**Graph 6: Dynamic Inflation Equation**









**Policy Research Working Paper Series**

	<b>Title</b>	<b>Author</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Contact for paper</b>
WPS3116	Dollarization of the Banking System: Good or Bad?	Gianni De Nicoló Patrick Honohan Alain Ize	August 2003	A. Yaptenco 38526
WPS3117	Policy Research on Migration and Development	David Ellerman	August 2003	B. Mekuria 82756
WPS3118	To Share or Not to Share: Does Local Participation Matter for Spillovers from Foreign Direct Investment?	Beata Smarzynska Javorcik Mariana Spatareanu	August 2003	P. Flewitt 32724
WPS3119	Evaluating the Impact of Conditional Cash Transfer Programs: Lessons from Latin America	Laura B. Rawlings Gloria M. Rubio	August 2003	M. Colchao 38048
WPS3120	Land Rights and Economic Development: Evidence from Vietnam	Quy-Toan Do Lakshmi Iyer	August 2003	P. Sader 33902
WPS3121	Do Bilateral Investment Treaties Attract Foreign Direct Investment? Only a Bit ... and They Could Bite	Mary Hallward-Driemeier	August 2003	A. Bonfield 31248
WPS3122	Individual Attitudes Toward Corruption: Do Social Effects Matter?	Roberta Gatti Stefano Paternostro Jamele Rigolini	August 2003	N. Obias 31986
WPS3123	Production and Cost Functions and Their Application to the Port Sector: A Literature Survey	Beatriz Tovar Sergio Jara-Díaz Lourdes Trujillo	August 2003	G. Chenet-Smith 36370
WPS3124	The Impact of Structural Reforms on Poverty: A Simple Methodology with Extensions	Neil McCulloch	August 2003	M. Faltas 82323
WPS3125	Economic Analysis of Health Care Utilization and Perceived Illness: Ethnicity and Other Factors	Vicente B. Paqueo Christian Y. Gonzalez	August 2003	R. Guzman 32993
WPS3126	Public Disclosure of Environmental Violations in the Republic of Korea	Jong Ho Hong Benoît Laplante Craig Meisner	August 2003	Y. D'Souza 31449
WPS3127	Small and Medium Enterprises Across the Globe: A New Database	Meghana Ayyagari Thorsten Beck Asli Demirgüç-Kunt	August 2003	A. Yaptenco 31823
WPS3128	Child Growth, Shocks, and Food Aid in Rural Ethiopia	Takashi Yamano Harold Alderman Luc Christiaensen	August 2003	H. Sladovich 37698
WPS3129	Price Caps, Efficiency Payoffs, and Infrastructure Contract Renegotiation in Latin America	Antonio Estache Jose-Luis Guasch Lourdes Trujillo	August 2003	A. Estache 81442
WPS3130	The Role of Advocacy in Competition Policy: The Case of the Argentine Gasoline Market	Tomás Serebrisky	September 2003	G. Chenet-Smith 36370

**Policy Research Working Paper Series**

	<b>Title</b>	<b>Author</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Contact for paper</b>
WPS3131	Social Sector Expenditures and Rainy-Day Funds	Christian Y. Gonzalez Vicente B. Paqueo	September 2003	P. Holt 37707
WPS3132	Regional Integration and Technology Diffusion: The Case of the North America Free Trade Agreement	Maurice Schiff Yanling Wang	September 2003	P. Flewitt 32724
WPS3133	Emerging Trends in WTO Dispute Settlement: Back to the GATT?	Peter Holmes Jim Rollo Alasdair R. Young	September 2003	P. Flewitt 32724
WPS3134	Institutional Reform and the Judiciary: Which Way Forward?	Roumeen Islam	September 2003	R. Islam 32628
WPS3135	Trade Reforms, Market Access, and Poverty in Argentina	Guido G. Porto	September 2003	P. Flewitt 32724
WPS3136	Legal Institutions and Financial Development	Thorsten Beck Ross Levine	September 2003	A. Yaptenco 31823
WPS3137	Using Survey Data to Assess the Distributional Effects of Trade Policy	Guido G. Porto	September 2003	P. Flewitt 32724
WPS3138	Fiscal Federalism and Regional Growth: Evidence from the Russian Federation in the 1990s	Raj M. Desai Lev M. Freinkman Itzhak Goldberg	September 2003	V. Sapinosa 81105
WPS3139	Contracting Models of the Phillips Curve: Empirical Estimates for Middle-Income Countries	Pierre-Richard Agénor Nihal Bayraktar	September 2003	M. Gosiengfiao 33363
WPS3140	Raising the Quality of Secondary Education in East Asia	Kaoru Nabeshima	September 2003	K. Nabeshima 37880
WPS3141	Poverty in India during the 1990s: A Regional Perspective	Yoko Kijima Peter Lanjouw	October 2003	P. Sader 33902
WPS3142	Credit Reporting and Financing Constraints	Inessa Love Nataliya Mylenko	October 2003	A. Yaptenco 38526
WPS3143	Who Benefits from Labor Market Regulations? Chile 1960–1998	Claudio E. Montenegro Carmen Pagés	October 2003	M. Arora 32955